

PART VI
OTHER PERSPECTIVES:
PACIFICATOIN AND
MARINES OUTSIDE OF III MAF

CHAPTER 29

Pacification

Prelude—The Tet Offensives and Operation Recovery—III MAF and Pacification
Homicide in the Countryside—Changing Attitudes
The Boys Next Door: The Combined Action Program—The Accelerated Pacification Plan

Prelude

From the beginning of the III MAF expansion of its base areas during the spring and summer of 1965, the Marine command was involved in a pacification campaign. Employing the “ink blot” or “spreading oil spot” theory, the Marine strategy was to build upon success in one area to reinforce that in another to provide momentum for the linking together of the Marine enclaves. During their first year in country, both through trial and error and possibly a residual institutional memory of their early 20th century Caribbean interventions, the Marines developed several pacification techniques that showed some promise.*

In one of its first efforts, III MAF established a civic action program which emphasized village and hamlet self-help projects and medical assistance. Marine units provided materials and equipment to local villagers in the building of schools and other local improvement facilities. Navy corpsmen and occasionally doctors visited nearby hamlets where they would dispense soap, hold sick call, treat minor injuries and diseases, and teach basic hygiene to the inhabitants. The idea was to win the good will of the local populace, gain intelligence, and hopefully enhance the prestige of local government officials, especially the village and district chiefs.

As the Marines expanded their area of operations into the populated area south of Da Nang, they soon realized that security from the Viet Cong guerrillas was

a decisive factor if the South Vietnamese government were to retain or establish control of the countryside.** In this connection, the Marine units employed relatively innovative tactics that they called “Golden Fleece” and “County Fair.” Golden Fleece operations were basically rice protection missions. A Marine battalion would provide a shield behind which the villagers harvested and kept their crops from the VC tax collectors. The County Fair operations were cordon and search affairs with psychological overtones. A Marine battalion would surround a hamlet, bring its population into a large clearing where the troops had erected large tents. While the division band and Vietnamese drama groups provided entertainment, the Marines would search the village and provide medical and dental assistance. Local officials would conduct an informal census and hold any suspicious persons for further questioning. By the end of 1967, however, while the Marine units continued to use County Fair and Golden Fleece tactics, III MAF no longer kept a statistical account of these types of operations.***

**Lieutenant Colonel William R. Corson, who in 1967 headed the Marine Combined Action Program and helped to articulate Marine pacification concepts, commented that pacification was not the equivalent of giving the Vietnamese in the countryside “the Great Society War on Poverty” and hoping that they in return would give “their hearts and minds to those who provided them with the dole.” Corson defined pacification as a condition rather than merely a series of processes: “In the case of the hamlets in South Vietnam, it was the belief and perception of the Vietnamese people that they were safe in their own homes. This idea, or feeling of safety was the sine qua non without which there was no ‘pacification purpose’ or potential gain simply from providing the humanitarian assistance that the indigenous government had never provided.” The people needed to believe that they “at least would be protected.” LtCol William R. Corson, Comments on draft, dtd 30Jan95 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Corson Comments.

***As in most aspects of the pacification campaign, there are varying views of its impact in the local hamlets and villages. William D. Ehrhart, a Marine veteran who served as an enlisted intelligence specialist with the 1st Battalion, 1st Marines in 1967 and early 1968 and participated in County Fairs, wrote, “my experience was that ‘County Fairs’ worked much better in the telling than in the doing; that is, the theory sounded good, but the reality fell far short of the theory.”

*See also the discussion in Chapter 1 on the “inkblor” concept. While the link to the Caribbean experience is rather indirect, General Lewis W. Walt, who commanded III MAF in 1965, observed that he was taught the fundamentals of his profession “from men who had fought Sandino in Nicaragua or Charlemagne in Haiti.” Still, as others have pointed out, most Marine officers who served in Vietnam were much junior to Walt and obtained most of their training on counter-insurgency in U.S. Army Schools based on doctrine articulated by the British from their experience in Malaya and adopted by the Army. For the Walt quote and the development of III MAF pacification in 1965, see Shulimson and Johnson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1965*, pp. 133–46. The quote is on p. 133.

Perhaps the most innovative and unique of the Marine pacification programs was Combined Action. Growing out of the security needs of the Marine battalion at Phu Bai in the summer of 1965, the Marines integrated the local Vietnamese militia units, the Popular Forces, with a 14-man Marine squad.* First called a Joint Action Company, then changed to Combined Action Company, and finally, to avoid unfavorable connotations in Vietnamese by the acronym CAC, the program became known as the Combined Action Program or CAP. CAP also stood for Combined Action Platoon, the basic tactical unit. By the end of 1967, the Marines had formed 79 platoons organized administratively into 14 companies and three Combined Action groups (CAGs). As Ambassador Robert W. Komer, who in 1967 was General Westmoreland's deputy for pacification, later wrote that the Combined Action Program was the "only sustained experiment with encadrement in our entire Vietnam experience."¹

III MAF was also the first of the MACV commands to develop a systematic measurement of security and other aspects of pacification in its area of operations. Beginning in February 1966, it required subordinate units to submit a monthly analysis of the degree of pacification in each village in its area of operations. Based on supposedly objective quantitative elements, the report gave a numerical grade which could be roughly translated into a qualitative value and provide some basis for analysis. This program later served as the model for the MACV country-wide Hamlet Evaluation System (HES), which used letters rather than numerals for grading purposes. District advisors filled out the HES reports while the military unit completed the III MAF forms. At the end of 1967, both systems were in use in I Corps. Obviously, as one Army historian observed, all such reports and documents were prepared "by Americans for American eyes and ears . . . [and] we don't know really what the Vietnamese thought." Still, as a senior operations analyst concluded, these reports contained



Photo is from the Abel Collection
Marine LCpl Edward J. Byrne, part of a Marine civic action team from the Force Logistics Command, shares a soft drink with a small friend at a refugee orphanage near Da Nang. The team was on a visit to the orphanage to distribute clothing donated from the United States.

"critical patterns" that permitted analysis as long as one did not focus on any specific element.^{2**}

*William D. Ehrhart, Comments on draft, dtd 24Oct94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Ehrhart Comments. In his somewhat fictionalized biographical account of his experience in Vietnam, Ehrhart describes a County Fair operation. See William D. Ehrhart, *Vietnam-Perkasie, A Combat Marine Memoir* (Jefferson & London: McFarland, 1983), pp. 31–38. Lieutenant General Victor H. Krulak, in early 1968 the Commanding General, Fleet Marine Force Pacific, (CGFMFPac), observed recently about Marine pacification accomplishments, "truthfully, our performance, although much the best, was spotty, because of ignorance, operational pressure, or shortage of means." LtGen Victor H. Krulak, Comments on draft, dtd 31Oct94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Krulak Comments.

**While allowing that there was an element of ad hoc growth of the Combined Action Program due to local security needs, Lieutenant Colonel Corson argued that the basic drive behind the program was the perception of Marine leaders such as General Wallace M. Greene, Jr., the Marine Corps Commandant, and Lieutenant General Victor H. Krulak, Commanding General, Fleet Marine Force Pacific, and Lieutenant General Lewis W. Walt, the III MAF commander, and their emphasis upon the population and pacification in contrast to the MACV large unit strategy. Corson Comments. Despite the refined statistical analysis, many would still agree with Lieutenant Colonel Corson who wrote that "anecdotal evidence" in the villages was "far more accurate than spurious statistics." According to Corson, pacification could not be "expressed as a linear function, nor could it be frozen in time . . ." Corson Comments. Lieutenant General Krulak wrote that the Combined Action platoons knew what was going on in the villages in contrast to the various system evaluation processes. Krulak Comments.



Department of Defense (USMC) A191442

Navy Lt Runas Powers, Jr., battalion surgeon of BLT 2/4 (with the stethoscope), bandages a baby's head with the assistance of an unidentified Navy corpsman, as the mother holds the child. Medical assistance was an important factor in Marine civic action.

III MAF also made extensive use of psychological warfare. By 1967, the Marine command had two specialized Army units attached to it, the 29th Civil Affairs Company and the 7th Psychological Warfare Battalion. With elements of these units, Marine line companies and battalions would employ both air and ground loudspeakers as well as leaflets to influence both the civilian population and the enemy. Specialized South Vietnamese units, such as Armed Propaganda Teams and drama teams, would present and act out themes in the countryside illustrating that the American forces were present to assist the government in making a better life for the individual Vietnamese villager.³

At the same time, both the Vietnamese and Marines addressed their message to the Viet Cong and the North Vietnamese to surrender under a special "Chieu Hoi" (Open Arms) amnesty program, which had been in effect since the early 1960s. The enemy troops that



Photo is from the Abel Collection

During a County Fair operation, a Vietnamese elder watches enthralled at a magic presentation. County Fairs were cordon and search operations with psychological overtones.

turned themselves in were called Hoi Chanh (ralliers). III MAF in early 1966 had started a pilot program using the Hoi Chanh. Taking selected and carefully screened former VC, and providing both language and tactical training, the Marines then assigned them to Marine infantry battalions. The Marines employed these former enemy, nicknamed "Kit Carson Scouts," much as the cavalry units in the old American West used Indian scouts. They were to warn the American units against likely ambushes and to locate hidden enemy stores and marshaling areas. By the end of 1967, III MAF had 132 Kit Carson Scouts attached to Marine units. The 3d Marine Division had hopes of assigning at least one scout to every Marine infantry company in 1968.

By the summer of 1966, both Lieutenant General Lewis W. Walt, then the III MAF commander, and Lieutenant General Victor H. Krulak, the FMFPac commander, became concerned about the cultural

shock caused by the sudden influx of large American combat forces upon both the Vietnamese peasant and the young American Marine. In order to recognize the extent of the problem, the Marine command undertook sample attitudinal surveys among both Marines and the South Vietnamese villagers. A Navy chaplain, Lieutenant Commander Richard McGonigal, who also held a master's degree in sociology, conducted the first opinion survey in September 1966, using two percent of the III MAF personnel and a much smaller percentage of the local civilian populace.⁴

The first findings among the American troops were not surprising. McGonigal discovered nearly 60 percent of the Marines held relatively low opinions about the South Vietnamese. Only 43 percent of the sample stated that they held a positive feeling toward the local populace. Still even the negative reactions among the Americans revealed an ambivalence rather than an intense dislike of the villagers. Among the CAP units, however, possibly as would be expected, the Marines tested much more affirmatively.

Perhaps more surprisingly, the South Vietnamese, if the survey were accurate, showed a relatively positive view toward the Marines. More than 70 percent indicated that they personally liked the Americans. On the other hand, over 40 percent perceived hostility towards them from the U.S. troops.

Chaplain McGonigal refined his testing procedures and conducted two more surveys, the last in June 1967, which more or less confirmed the earlier ones. With this impetus, III MAF initiated a "personal response" program down to the battalion level. Each command at either the G-5 or S-5 level appointed a Personal Response officer, very often the chaplain, whose responsibility was to teach the troops the local customs and culture, largely through group discussions and class instruction. As could be expected, the program met with mixed results. As the FMFPac chaplain, Navy Captain John H. Craven, later observed, he had to walk a "fine line between Marine officers on one hand, who questioned the need for any such project, and chaplains on the other hand, who felt that chaplains should have nothing to do with the project."⁵

*Colonel James L. Black, Jr., who as a lieutenant colonel was the III MAF G-5 for Civil Affairs in 1968, commented that the 29th Civil Affairs Company should have had the responsibility for the Personal Response Program rather than the Chaplains. Col James L. Black, Jr., Comments on draft, n.d. [Nov94] (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter James Black Comments. William D. Ehrhart, who served in Vietnam with the 1st Marines from mid-summer 1967 until February 1968 and has written extensively upon his experience in Vietnam and that of

With its large commitment to the pacification campaign, III MAF also implemented the first Corps-wide coordination effort involving not only III MAF and the Vietnamese authorities, but also the various U.S. civilian assistance programs. As early as August 1965, III MAF and the U.S. civilian operations mission for I Corps formed the I Corps Joint Coordinating Council (ICJCC), an interagency clearing committee to direct both the civilian and military civic action programs in the Corps area. With permanent representation, the council soon began meeting on a regular basis. Before long, General Hoang Xuan Lam, the I Corps commander, also assigned a representative to the committee. By the end of 1967, ICJCC had several subordinate subcommittees and had even extended down to the provincial and district level. General Cushman had made his deputy III MAF commander, Major General Raymond L. Murray, his personal representative to the council.⁵

Despite recognizing the initiatives of the Marines relative to pacification, General Westmoreland, the MACV commander, was unhappy about the emphasis of the Marine Corps. He believed that the Marines, with their concentration on the security of the hamlets, were ignoring the enemy regular forces operating outside of the Marine areas of operations. While supporting civic action on the part of American troops, the MACV commander was concerned about incidents with the civilian population. He desired to place the main responsibility for pacification upon the ARVN forces.⁶

In February 1966, at the Honolulu Conference, which included the leaders of the Vietnamese government and the United States, the emphasis was upon pacification. Still, the conference was not a repudiation of Westmoreland's large unit strategy. He won his point that the main responsibility for pacification and protection of the people would lie with the ARVN forces.⁷

While the Honolulu Conference called for a renewal and reemphasis upon pacification, the reality was largely rhetorical. The actual gains in pacification were fairly modest. The South Vietnamese did expand their Revolutionary Development (RD)

other enlisted Marines, observed that in the several months between the institution of the program and his departure that he "never heard of, let alone participated in, any such program." Ehrhart Comments.

Program* and increased the number of Revolutionary Development teams in targeted hamlets and villages. Actually, the government had hoped to place about 300 of these specially trained pacification teams in the countryside by the end of 1966. It succeeded in achieving only about a third of that goal. While by the end of 1967 the number of RD cadre numbered over 32,000, they had one of the largest attrition rates of all the forces in Vietnam. The overall attrition rate among the cadre was 32 percent per year with a desertion rate of 21 percent.⁸

Unsatisfied with the progress and coordination in Vietnam among the various component civilian agencies within the U.S. mission in Saigon, the Johnson administration initiated an entirely new approach. One of the chief architects was Presidential advisor Robert W. Komer. Nicknamed "the blowtorch" by former Ambassador Henry Cabot Lodge, Komer had the support of the new Ambassador to Vietnam, Ellsworth Bunker. An articulate and forceful man, Komer convinced President Johnson and General Westmoreland to place the formal American pacification effort under the U.S. military chain of command in Vietnam.⁹

In May 1967, the former Office of Civil Operations under the direct control of the American Embassy became Civil Operations and Revolutionary Development Support (CORDS) under MACV with Robert Komer as its head. With the rank of Ambassador, Komer was Westmoreland's deputy for pacification. According to the MACV commander, he assigned

*Here too, much of the change was rhetorical. While changing the name of their pacification program from Rural Reconstruction to Revolutionary Development in English, they retained the old name for the program in Vietnamese. The Revolutionary Development Ministry was headed by Vietnamese General Nguyen Duc Thang. Later, he assumed the title, Commissioner General for Revolutionary Development, and additional responsibility as Assistant to the Chief, Joint General Staff for Territorial Affairs and Pacification. These additional duties provided him with authority in both civilian and military aspects of pacification and jurisdiction over the Popular and Regional Forces.

The heart of the Revolutionary Development Program was the so-called Revolutionary Development cadre or teams. Started under a pilot program by the CIA in late 1964, the U.S. had assisted the Vietnamese in training at Vung Tau some 16,000 Vietnamese pacification cadre by 1966, which were then formed into what were called Political Action Teams. These teams consisted of approximately 40 of these anti-Communist indoctrinated cadre, who like the Communist guerrillas dressed in black pajamas. After Honolulu, the teams were renamed Revolutionary Development Teams, but still retained their Vietnamese designation *Can Bo*. See Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1966*, pp. 254–55, and Neil Sheehan, *A Bright Shining Lie, John Paul Vann and America in South Vietnam* (New York: Random House, 1988), p. 608.

Army Major General George Forsythe to Komer as his assistant "to keep Komer out of my hair." Still, while describing Komer as "volatile" and "abrasive," Westmoreland agreed he "was the man for the job."¹⁰

For his part, Komer had a clear idea what changes he wanted to make. He believed that for too long there had been no unified management structure concerned with pacification. He argued that the solution was "to require the U.S. and ARVN military to take on most of the pacification job." Up to this time, it was his opinion that when the U.S. entered the war in Vietnam, "we further 'Americanized' it—on an even grander scale—by playing out our military repertoire." He perceived Westmoreland's search and destroy and attrition strategy as a natural response of an American commander "against an elusive enemy who could not be brought to decisive battle in a classic military style." In so doing, however, Komer contended that both the Vietnamese and Americans had neglected the only means of attaining their goal—the establishment of local security and the extension of government administration into the countryside. He wanted to place more resources in civilian administration, the Revolutionary Development cadre and program, and to build up local defense forces, especially the Popular and Regional Forces. Under CORDS, Komer formed unified U.S. civilian-military teams that operated in all 250 districts and 44 provinces. Later, he would write that not until CORDS was formed, "did a major sustained pacification effort begin to take place."¹¹

Still, in many respects, CORDS carried forward what was already in place. Beginning in 1966, the South Vietnamese and their American advisors had established the basis for a nation-wide pacification plan. While not developing an overall plan for 1967, they together with the Revolutionary Development Ministry designated four National Priority areas and developed the guidelines for Revolutionary Development. Each province was to develop its own plan. The 1967 pacification plan, then, if it could be called such, consisted of the aggregate of the 44 provincial plans.¹²

In reviewing the progress of Revolutionary Development during 1967, the CORDS planners determined that the so-called designated National Priority Areas and 26 priority provinces "did not produce demonstrable progress." According to the CORDS'

**Lieutenant General Krulak observed that from his perspective at FMFPac, "at bottom, Westy [Westmoreland] did not believe in pacification. He created CORDS to decentralize the worries. He didn't care for Komer, and vice versa." Krulak Comments.

point of view, blame for the slowness of RD largely lay in the “inefficiencies” of the respective South Vietnamese ministries. The Americans asserted that the “most serious—and telling—flaw was the conspicuous shortage of good Vietnamese leadership.” In CORDS, the Americans began a systematic collection of dossiers on “incompetent or venal” province and district chiefs. Komer later claimed that the agency had a “respectable batting average” in placing pressure on the Vietnamese government to remove the worst offenders.¹³

The CORDS leadership convinced the South Vietnamese that a new tactic was necessary. They decided that there was a need to “concentrate resources in carefully chosen areas which met criteria for current progress plus the capacity to achieve greater results with more resources.” Planners selected only a few priority provinces and priority areas based upon “their relative importance to the overall pacification effort.” The emphasis was to be upon III and IV Corps. In fact, in I Corps, only Quang Ngai became a designated priority province where a 50 percent increase in pacification resources would be made. The authors of the MACV 1967 history claimed that the Combined Campaign Plan for 1968 contained “the first fully integrated treatment of pacification within the framework of a campaign plan.”¹⁴

In Washington, Marine Corps leaders wondered about the new priorities and whether the III MAF pacification effort in Vietnam was to receive even less support. In October 1967, General Wallace M. Greene, Jr., then Commandant of the Marine Corps, voiced his concerns to Lieutenant General Krulak at FMFPac headquarters in Honolulu. He observed that the omission of I Corps provinces with the exception of Quang Ngai “has an ironic twist in view of the historic fact that only in the III MAF area of responsibility has the target of pacification, civic action, and Revolutionary Development been accorded primary emphasis from the outset of U.S. major involvement in Vietnam.”¹⁵

General Krulak tried to assuage the Commandant’s concerns. He observed that the reason for the change in priority was that I Corps had become “the battleground and that RD has the best chance for success in areas most remote from the battle.” He mentioned that Ambassador Komer had conveyed this idea to him during recent discussions. Krulak then stated that, although I Corps was to have only one priority province, this was misleading. There was not to be any diminution of the pacification effort in the Corps area, and, in fact, there was to be an increase in Revolutionary Development resources for the coming year. He observed that under the 1968 plan, I Corps was to

receive a 20 percent increase in the number of RD teams and the number of hamlets and villages to be developed. Moreover, the Corps would receive a 49 percent increase in funds over the previous year and could request additional monies if required.¹⁶


Krulak then compared the degree of pacification resources in I Corps, both presently available and those reserved for 1968, with those for the other Corps areas. He noted that under the 1968 plan, I Corps was allotted an average of 33 Revolutionary Development teams per province, the highest number in all the Corps areas. The next closest, IV Corps, was to average only 19 teams per province. In actual funds, I Corps was to receive 100 million piasters, only slightly less than II and IV Corps, which were to get 104 million and 103 million piasters respectively, and more than III Corps.¹⁷

The FMFPac commander then discussed the actual Revolutionary Development plans for I Corps. General Lam, the I Corps commander, had just requested from the South Vietnamese Joint General Staff 31 additional Regional Forces companies, 21 of which would have specific pacification missions. Furthermore, Lam planned to assign two additional ARVN regular battalions to support the Revolutionary Development campaign. This would mean that 16 out of the 28 ARVN battalions assigned to the Corps sector would be in support of Revolutionary Development.¹⁸

He then detailed the reasons for the selection of Quang Ngai Province as the priority province: “relative population density, economic potential in terms of rice and salt production, remoteness of the NVA threat . . . , and because it is contiguous to the northernmost II Corps Priority Province of Binh Dinh.” Krulak then speculated about the real reason for the choice of Quang Ngai. He believed that “the RD planners were mesmerized by the thought of a continuous line of priority provinces along the coast, without jeopardizing the stated concept that priorities rank from south to north.”¹⁹

Despite all the verbiage, Krulak saw little difference between 1967 and 1968 for I Corps, relative to the emphasis upon pacification. He related, for example, that Quang Nam Province was authorized 38 Revolutionary Development teams, more than 23 of the 26 so-called priority provinces. It also received more pacification funds than another 16 priority provinces in other Corps sectors. He concluded: “In the final analysis, the priority listing will not result in degradation of the RD effort in I Corps.” Instead, he believed that the “increased emphasis in RD in Quang Nam, Quang Ngai, and Thua Thien should enhance the chances of RD success

PACIFICATION PROGRESS IN III MAF AREAS JANUARY 1967-JANUARY 1968



From Operations of US Marine Forces Vietnam 1968.

in 1968 . . .” This would occur “without the heat of the spotlight, absent because of the lack of priority status which exists only in a concept, not in practice.”²⁰

By the end of 1967, progress in pacification in both I Corps and country-wide was very much in the eye of the beholder. According to the latest HES ratings more than 60 percent of the population country-wide lived in relatively secure areas. In I Corps, III MAF reported that more than half of the people in that sector lived in “secure hamlets.” Both of these figures, nevertheless, needed to be taken with several grains of salt. Thomas Thayer, a senior Defense Department analyst, later wrote that there were several factors that may have caused the increase. These included the fact that the secure population included urban regions, refugees, and not the least, “optimistic evaluation of programs.” The statistics also underestimated the strength of the VC control in Communist-dominated hamlets. Given all that, Thayer believed that the extension of allied protection into the countryside accounted for most of the hamlet security gains.²¹

Other factors at the Saigon level reinforced this initial optimism. According to the MACV historians, the momentum of 1967 progress “gave hope to all concerned that a workable solution to the problem of pacification had at last evolved.” CORDS officials spoke about “Project Takeoff, a management tool designed to bring maximum pacification assets to bear on the most important problems.”²²

The MACV intelligence estimate also gave impetus to the belief that the war was finally going the allies’ way. In their analysis of enemy strength in the second half of 1967, MACV intelligence officers began to talk about enemy casualties reaching the “crossover point,” where the gaps left in enemy strength could not be filled by new replacements and recruits. Westmoreland then approved a controversial decision to omit from the MACV order of battle two whole classes of so-called Communist irregulars: Self Defense Forces and the VC infrastructure. This reduced the estimated total number of guerrillas, irregulars, and cadre from 114,348 to 81,300. All of the 81,300 irregulars carried in the proposed new MACV estimate were under the category of guerrillas. Under the classification spaces for Self Defense Forces and VC infrastructure were two footnotes. According to the MACV rationale, “the self-defense forces provide a base for recruitment as well as for political and logistical support, but are not a fighting force comparable to the guerrilla.” While acknowledging that local VC hamlet self-defenses “cause some casualties and damage, they do not represent a contin-

ual or dependable force and do not form a valid part of the enemy’s military force.” Relative to the enemy infrastructure, “the political cadre (infrastructure) has no military function.”²³


As could be expected, the proposed revised MACV order of battle caused a furor among the various intelligence agencies, especially the CIA. In an eventual compromise, essentially everyone agreed to disagree. The new estimates carried the MACV changes, but with the footnotes explaining that Self Defense Force and VC figures were not included in the new figures. MACV HES estimates, however, continued to show an enemy guerrilla force of about 155,000 rather than the 81,000 published by the MACV-J2 or intelligence section. Furthermore, MACV through CORDS supported the newly initiated CIA-sponsored Phung Hoang (All Seeing Bird) or “Phoenix” program as it was known in English, aimed at the elimination of high-ranking VC cadre.^{24*}

At the end of 1967, despite some feeling of optimism, there were continuing doubts about progress in pacification both in I Corps and the country at large. From both American and South Vietnamese sources came indications of increased enemy offensive intentions. This was especially true in I Corps where the allies expected another large enemy push in the north. At Da Nang, also, there were reports of a major enemy attack on the base and the number of enemy small unit actions had increased.**

*Although later alleged to be an assassination campaign, the stated purpose of the Phung Hoang was “to enlist and coordinate the efforts of local leaders police and paramilitary groups to identify and dismantle the subversive apparatus.” Based upon the newly created District Intelligence Operational Coordinating Committees, consisting of police and village and hamlet officials, the idea was to target by name and arrest the local enemy ranking cadre, employing force if necessary. Various Vietnamese agencies carried out the actual campaign, including the national police, military security teams, armed propaganda teams, Census Grievance cadre, RD cadre, and an especially CIA-trained group called Provincial Reconnaissance Units (PRU). Colonel Black, who was responsible for III MAF civil affairs, recalled that because of its classification, not even the III MAF staff was “in the know” on the program, but that the staff “thrived on rumor about Phoenix.” James Black Comments. Major Donald E. Milone, who commanded the 3d MP Battalion in 1968, related that the program “failed to coordinate its activities” with Marine units, especially the Combined Action platoons: “No one knew what was happening in a certain village.” Maj Donald E. Milone, Comments on draft, n.d. [Dec94] (Vietnam Comment File). Lieutenant Colonel Corson, who headed the Combined Action Program in 1967, considered Phoenix “a bounty program . . . with little regard . . . for ‘guilt’ or ‘innocence.’” He stated that he reached an understanding that the Phoenix teams would keep away from the Combined Action hamlets. Corson Comments.

**See Chapters 1 and 6.

CIVILIAN LOSSES DURING TET IN I CTZ AND HUE



From Operations of US Marine Forces Vietnam 1968.

The Tet Offensives and Operation Recovery

Initially, the enemy Tet offensive was a tremendous setback for both the Marine and country-wide pacification program. With the attacks on the major cities of Vietnam and especially the one-month battle for Hue, the enemy added an entire new dimension to the war. The enemy attacks during the holiday period resulted in an enormous increase of new refugees, ranging from estimates of 750,000 to over a million, with nearly 170,000 in I Corps and, of that number, about 75,000 from the city of Hue. In February 1968, III MAF reported that the number of enemy defectors was the lowest in five months. According to pacification reports, before Tet, the allies claimed 5,331 out of 12,000 hamlets under government control. The number cited after Tet was 4,472, a loss of 859. By April 1968, Ambassador Komer related that the total of hamlets then under government control had risen slightly, reaching 4,559, a gain of some 87 hamlets "back in the fold." Despite the tremendous onslaught of the enemy, the ARVN had not defected and the South Vietnamese government apparatus had not collapsed.²⁵

After the first attacks and initial surprise, the South Vietnamese government launched Operation Recovery. At the urging of U.S. pacification officials, President Thieu created, with American participation and support, a high-level task force "to direct and coordinate"

civilian relief activities. Thieu temporarily placed Vice President Ky in charge of the South Vietnamese government endeavor while Ambassador Komer directed the U.S. effort. Both men set up subordinate complementary organizations on the corps, province, and district levels, whose mission was four fold: to provide immediate assistance to the refugees, to get the cities functioning once more, to open lines of communication so the economy could function, and to reestablish order. According to MACV, the major innovation in the project was the "provision of cash and commodities to the people so that they themselves could rebuild." In actuality, III MAF had employed this same concept as the basis for its civic action program since 1965, but with fewer resources.²⁶

In I Corps under Operation Recovery, the South Vietnamese apparatus authorized a 57 million piaster (\$485,000.00) budget for a three-month period. The first aim was to provide for food, reconstruction of homes, and some compensation to survivors of those civilians killed and to the wounded as a result of the fighting. In Hue, each displaced person was entitled to 10,000 piasters (\$85.00), 20 sheets of roofing, and 10 bags of cement to begin to rebuild. By the end of March, more than 830 families received reconstruction material and all the displaced received a temporary relief payment. For the most part, the initial phase of the rebuilding of the city had been completed. Relief workers brought in 4,100 tons of rice to feed the peo-

ple, work groups buried more than 6,000 bodies killed in the battle for the city, and other work gangs cleared the debris and rubble from the streets. Municipal employees had returned both water and electricity to "satisfactory operation condition." U.S. and South Vietnamese munitions disposal specialists had disarmed or otherwise disposed of unexploded ordnance. Work had started on the second and third phases, the repair of public buildings and the reconstruction of private homes.²⁷

Elsewhere in I Corps, the South Vietnamese also had made some inroads on the damage caused by enemy assaults. Outside of Hue, displaced people were entitled to a somewhat lesser sum, 5,000 piasters (\$42.00), but the same amount of roofing and cement to rebuild their homes. By the end of March, more than 1,400 families received all or part of their settlement. III MAF units had provided over 1,000,000 meals to civilians, nearly double the usual amount of foodstuffs provided under civic action programs. Relief workers distributed more than 21,000 tons of rice in the Corps' five provinces. Corps officials

had also taken steps to eliminate abuses and some of the most ineffective leaders in local government. They had dismissed one province chief, two district chiefs, and two village chiefs.

Despite an impressive start, Operation Recovery soon bogged down upon the unusual demands put upon the overburdened and inefficient South Vietnamese administrative apparatus. While acknowledging that the government had begun reconstruction, resettlement, and economic revival programs, American observers reported that by April the strains were beginning to show. They charged: "There was a critical decline in effectiveness when the program should have been gathering even greater momentum." Local officials had overspent their budgets and projects came to a standstill.²⁸

Under Operation Recovery, the country also made some starts on mobilization of the populace. Vice President Ky authorized the establishment of special Self-Defense Groups in urban areas so they could defend themselves against any further incursions by the Communists. The idea was to distribute arms to the people

South Vietnamese civilian refugees gather in a park near Hue University as the fighting continued in the city. In Operation Recovery, the South Vietnamese attempted to help the displaced residents to rebuild their homes.

Photo is from the Abel Collection



so that they would be able to provide some form of organized protection to their neighborhood or local community to supplement the territorial forces. The Self-Defense forces were divided into two groups: one combat and the other support. Further divided into three groups, the support forces consisted of youth, women, and elders. Membership was voluntary and open to all citizens seven years of age or above. The new mobilization law required all youths between 16 and 17 and men between 38–50 to serve in the combat Peoples Self Defense Corps. Within each of the combat forces were to be specially trained personnel, organized into 35-man teams, each man being armed.²⁹

Like the rest of Operation Recovery, after much fanfare and formation and drilling of units, the program lacked cohesion. While a wide variety of units were organized, they received little direction, training, or weapons. American CORDS officials observed aimless drift and almost no coordination. By the end of June, according to the MACV history, "the population was, in effect, ahead of the government in terms of its willingness to participate actively in self-defense."³⁰

The second wave of the Tet offensive in May gave a new impetus to Operation Recovery in both reconstruction and the mobilization of the population. As North Vietnamese officials met formally with the Americans in Paris for the first time, these negotiations reinforced the new sense of urgency. Ambassador Komer later wrote that the South Vietnamese government's "realization that a far greater effort on its part would be required to survive finally led to


actual national manpower mobilization, extensive training programs for local officials, a major acceleration for pacification efforts, several economic reforms and the like."³¹

At this point President Thieu called a meeting of his Corps commanders and expressed his unhappiness. He told them in "no uncertain terms that whatever the anomaly involved in exercising authority, recovery was not to wither on the vine." By July, according to American officials, the reconstruction of the rural economy in I, II, and III Corps had reached pre-Tet levels.³²

The South Vietnamese president also took the initiative relative to the Self-Defense Corps. In July, he placed the program directly under his prime minister, who formed a National Peoples Self Defense Committee chaired by himself. By the end of the year, some 1,000,000 people were members of such groups and nearly half of them had received training. The government had distributed some 173,000 weapons. In I Corps, for example, at the end of October, nearly 106,000 of the civilian population had joined the Self Defense Corps with 16 percent armed. At the end of the year, the number had increased to 225,162 with 10 percent of them armed.³³

Operation Recovery itself came to an end in October with the claim of the government that it had accomplished its basic mission, the return of security and extension of public services to the level enjoyed prior to the offensives. The third enemy offensive by this time had petered out and wreaked far less damage than the earlier attacks. The October Hamlet Evalua-

PEOPLE'S SELF-DEFENSE ORGANIZATION - I CTZ



From Operations of US Marine Forces Vietnam 1968.

tion figures showed 69.8 percent of the population country-wide living in generally secure areas, a record high exceeding that of the pre-Tet period. According to American observers, the improvement was country-wide and reflected in all four Corps areas. The general mobilization had not only created the Self Defense Corps, but had improved the caliber of the Regional and Popular Forces, most of whom were now equipped with American M16 rifles. In all Corps areas, pushed by the central government, provincial and district chiefs "slowly began to increase their pacification efforts."³⁴

The results were equally impressive in I Corps. Although the enemy attacks near Da Nang in August had caused some diminishment in Revolutionary Development, according to the American statistics, both the security and the economy picked up in the following months. From the onset in mid-February of Operation Recovery until its end in October, the Corps provincial and local governments had spent in excess of \$500,000.00 to reestablish "normalcy to the lives of victimized civilians in I CTZ." The government had resettled more than 152,000 or 98 percent of the temporary refugees. Through the funds provided for the purpose, local officials had given more than 131,000 bags of cement and 276,000 sheets of roofing tin for the rebuilding of homes. In addition, the relief groups had distributed nearly 50,000 tons of rice and grain to the devastated areas and medical workers inoculated approximately 500,000 civilians against contagious disease under the program. Yet, as one observer cautioned, these quantitative figures, which he referred to as a "wonderful fertility," did not necessarily measure the qualitative aspects of the war.³⁵

While not formally under Operation Recovery, one of the more notable accomplishments during the period was the effort to reopen the national railroad in I Corps from Da Nang to Hue. Part of a combined U.S.-South Vietnamese plan to have unhampered railroad traffic from the capital of Saigon in the south to Dong Ha in the north by the end of 1969, the idea was to work simultaneously on two important sections, the 103 kilometer Da Nang-Hue link and the 375 kilometer segment from Saigon to the I Corps/II Corps border. While the latter had priority, the planners called for the Da Nang-Hue portion to be completed by the end of February 1969.³⁶

Despite rail communications between Da Nang and Hue having been cut by the VC in 1964, by 1967, the allies had three trains a week running, but requiring armed escort and subject to frequent delays and sabo-

tage incidents. The enemy Tet offensive disrupted even this small traffic. In May 1968, MACV ordered III MAF in coordination with the Commanding General I Corps and the Vietnamese National Railroad System (VNRS) to "restore to operational condition the railroad from Da Nang to Hue when required security forces are available." On 19 June, General Cushman issued a combined plan to carry out the mission. Navy Seabees were to repair four long-span bridges in the rugged terrain north of Da Nang while Army engineers cleared debris and mines from a vital tunnel north of the Esso depot of Lien Chieu. Two South Vietnamese VNRS work crews would make the repairs of the roadbed and the track, one working south from Hue and the other north from Da Nang. They were to make their junction at Phu Loc in Thua Thien Province. The 101st Airborne Division and 1st Marine Division were responsible for general protection of the workers in their respective TAORs, while RF and PF troops reinforced by a VNRS security battalion provided close-in security.³⁷

Starting work on 15 July, the work crews made rapid progress. By 10 October, they had completed repairs of track over half of the distance, 63 kilometers. In the 101st Airborne sector, the crew had reached the Truoi River Bridge while the southern crew had completed restoration in the 1st Marine Division area. As of 10 October, there had been no incidents of sabotage to hamper the work. By the end of November, the northern crew had reached a position about seven miles north of Phu Loc. While the VC blew a bridge just east of Phu Loc, the Seabees immediately started their repairs which were completed before Christmas. This left at the end of the year only 12 kilometers of track to be restored. The project was nearly two months ahead of schedule. As a III MAF report observed, completion of the railroad link would be "a tangible sign of return to normalcy." Thus, country-wide, a MACV historian concluded about Operation Recovery, "efficiency was often lacking but the overall GVN performance in reestablishing over a million refugees and renewing urban viability was one of the bright spots of 1968."³⁸

III MAF and Pacification

During 1968, there was to be little of the debate between the MACV search and destroy strategy of attrition and the emphasis on pacification that marked the Marine stance toward the war. There were of course several reasons for this, not the least of which were the Tet offensive and the Mini-Tets in May and September.

At that time there was no difficulty in finding either the NVA or VC. As Ambassador Komer of CORDS later observed, the attrition strategy appeared to work during the offensives because the enemy "abandoned his hit and run strategy" and more or less met the allies on their own terms. Through at least the first nine months of 1968, pacification took a back seat until the Communists apparently reverted to their concept of protracted war at the end of the year.³⁹

Still, there were other reasons for the lack of contention between MACV and III MAF over strategy and emphasis on pacification. With the establishment of the Marine base at Khe Sanh and the beginning of the building of the barrier along the DMZ in 1967, the depletion of Marine troop strength from the populated coastal areas, especially around Da Nang and Chu Lai, dashed any hopes that the Marines may have had to push a strong population control strategy. Even the commitment of the Army's Americal Division to I Corps in 1967 did not provide III MAF with the density of troop strength it required, especially in the Da Nang area. General Cushman, the III MAF commander, later commented that "the threat in the north . . . drained the resources from pacification. I would say it prevented us from doing more pacification."⁴⁰

Personality also was a consideration. While General Cushman professed to support the pacification concepts of General Walt, he was less the crusader and evangelical believer than his predecessor. According to Major General Norman J. Anderson, the 1st MAW commander, from his perspective, "there was a lessening of emphasis upon the population during the period I was in the III MAF area. I think that General Cushman was very skeptical of that idea."* To be fair to the III MAF commander, in 1968, there were several issues that competed for his attention, not the least of which were Khe Sanh, the Tet Offensive including the battle for Hue, the insertion of Army units under his command, the establishment of MACV Forward later to become XXIV Corps, and Single Manager.⁴¹

*General Earl E. Anderson, who as a brigadier general served as the III MAF Chief of Staff, disagreed with Major General Norman Anderson, and contended that General Cushman supported Marine pacification efforts especially the Combined Action Program, "even though III MAF had to contribute quite a bit of infantry to the program, he thought that it was well worth the effort." Gen Earl E. Anderson, Comments on draft, dtd 18Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter E. E. Anderson Comments. Lieutenant General Krulak on the other hand agreed with Major General Norman Anderson that General Cushman was "more skeptical" about the possibility of pacification than General Walt. Krulak Comments.

Another factor that played a role in lessening tension over pacification with MACV was the expanding role that CORDS began to play in pacification. With the advent of CORDS in May 1967, Henry Koren, an experienced foreign service officer and diplomat, became the CORDS chief in I Corps. With the CORDS organization now part of the military chain of command, Koren reported directly to Cushman as well as through the CORDS administrative network. According to the III MAF commander, Koren served as "my advisor so to speak—staff officer [on pacification] . . . he was always at briefings every morning and worked right in with us." Under Koren, there was a CORDS advisor in each of the five provinces who worked directly with the South Vietnamese province chief in support of the local Revolutionary Development program. Cushman described the I Corps CORDS organization as relatively effective: responsible for logistic and policy support of Revolutionary Development, "it went side by side" with the III MAF Combined Action program and "you could get down to province capitals with supplies and so on and advice."⁴²

This cooperation in support of Revolutionary Development continued for the most part with Koren's successor, another civilian, C. T. Cross, through 1968, although questions remained about coordination on the local level, especially with the Combined Action Program. The CORDS organization in I Corps reflected the new intermixture of the military and U.S. civilians in the pacification program. For example, in October 1968, the New Life Development program, Revolutionary Development, Psychological Operations, Public Safety, and Refugees were all run by civilians. The Assistant Deputy for CORDS, L. D. Puckett, was also a civilian. U.S. Army Lieutenant Colonel H. W. Naushuetz, the commanding officer of the 29th Civil Affairs Company, and U.S. Army Major R. D. Becker, who headed the Chieu Hoi advisory office, both came under the I Corps CORDS organization. Of the U.S. five province senior advisors, three were military and two were civilian.⁴³

While the CORDS organization may have been a combination of both military and civilian personnel, the new structure actually enhanced General Cushman's authority in I Corps. As the I Corps Senior Advisor together with his responsibility as Commanding General, III MAF, Cushman already controlled all the U.S. military forces in the Corps sector. Now with the CORDS organization under him, he combined in his person both the U.S. military and pacification responsibilities for the northern five provinces.

As a manifestation of this added stature, the III MAF commander ended some of the redundancies in the Marine pacification program. After the Tet offensive postponed the monthly meeting of the I Corps Joint Coordinating Council, he, together with General Lam, abolished the organization in March on the basis that its missions and functions "have basically been assumed by the committees and sub-committees of Project Recovery, under the chairmanship of the CG, I Corps." Finally at the end of the year, General Cushman terminated the FMFPac village evaluation system in I Corps as duplicative and not as accurate as the MACV hamlet evaluation system. As Colonel Ross R. Miner, 1st Marine Division G-5 officer, explained, the FMFPac system was only effective as long as the reporting unit remained in a specific area of operations. As far as the division was concerned, with "these [U.S.] units moving in and moving out . . . the whole report is fallacious." On the other hand, the CORDS district advisor, who

was responsible for making the hamlet evaluation system, was in a much better position to give an accurate assessment.⁴⁴


For the most part, outside of the Combined Action and Personal Response programs, the main focus of the III MAF Marine units relative to pacification was on civic action and psychological operations. As part of this latter effort, the Marine command, augmented by the Army's 7th Psychological Operations Battalion, placed a high priority on sophisticated and not so sophisticated communication techniques to get their message to the targeted audiences. For example, after Tet, III MAF made a special effort together with CORDS personnel to reestablish local radio, TV, and newspaper service in Hue. According to III MAF, "special efforts to reestablish these medias were immediately undertaken and the problem solved." Local officials appeared on both television and radio "to make the people aware of what the GVN was doing to allevi-

A member of the psychological operations team from SLF Bravo throws out leaflets explaining to the local population why the Marine units were operating in the sector. This was part of an overall psychological operation campaign aimed at various audiences, including the enemy.

Photo courtesy of Col Warren A. Butcher USMC (Ret)



I CTZ CHIEU HOI RETURNEES - 1968



From Operations of US Marine Forces Vietnam 1968.

ate the critical situation." In radio broadcasts and propaganda flyers, the command countered a prevailing VC rumor campaign that the U.S. would support a coalition government.⁴⁵

Through the year, the III MAF psychological warfare experts mounted a three-pronged campaign to exploit VC/NVA atrocities during Tet, to publicize to enemy soldiers and possible civilian sympathizers the Chieu Hoi or South Vietnamese amnesty program, and to "encourage nationalism" among the civilians throughout the Corps area. They accomplished this through aerial loudspeaker broadcasts and distribution of leaflets by both ground and air means. During March, the first month of the effort, they distributed over 268 million propaganda leaflets and made more than a 1,000 aerial and ground broadcasts. By the end of December, the number of leaflets distributed per month reached over 280 million and nearly 3,000 aerial loudspeaker broadcasts were made. At that time, the 3d Marine Division experimented with firing artillery "leaflet-loaded rounds" at known enemy positions which, after solving some initial fusing and packing problems, proved feasible.⁴⁶


While impossible to measure directly the success of the psychological warfare campaign, the increasing numbers of Chieu Hoi and Kit Carson volunteers indicated that enemy troops were well aware that there were steps they could take to return or come over to the government side. Despite a dip from 250

defectors in January 1968 to only 66 in February, the number of Hoi Chanh in I Corps at the end of the year reached 3,118, exceeding the total for 1967 by 759. The Kit Carson Scouts showed an even more impressive expansion, increasing from 132 in 1967 to 476 in 1968. In December 1968, 102 served with the 1st Marine Division, 106 with the 3d Marine Division, 153 with the 101st Airborne Division, and 115 with the Americal Division. Another 22 former VC or NVA were undergoing training in the various division Kit Carson schools.⁴⁷

In February, after two of the scouts were identified as "suspected penetration agents for the VC," III MAF improved and augmented its initial screening and also provided "for continuous evaluation and observation of individual KCS." Still, by the end of the year, the Marines credited their Kit Carson Scouts with apprehending 851 suspects and killing 312 of the enemy. They also helped the American units uncover some 720 enemy caves, tunnels, and caches. More importantly, the scouts discovered more than 1,300 explosive devices, many set as boobytraps (surprise firing devices) to catch the unwary. As Major General Donn J. Robertson later stated about the entire program: "Every time you got a few Chieu Hois and could convert them into Kit Carson Scouts where they could give you some assistance that was a plus that could save the lives of Marines."⁴⁸

For 1968, III MAF civic action had much the same gradations as the overall pacification effort.

KIT CARSON SCOUT (KCS) PROGRAM: 1968



From Operations of US Marine Forces Vietnam 1968.

The enemy Tet offensive hampered many civic action projects as the allies turned most of their effort into repulsing the Communist attacks. For example, in February 1968, the only increase in civic action was in two categories, the number of pounds of food distributed and number of persons fed, nearly double in both cases over the previous month. The obvious reason for that expansion was the pressing need to feed those displaced by the Communist onslaught. During the next two months there was a steady growth in all the civic action classifications. Again there were dips in May

and September during the Mini-Tets and a final push in the last quarter of the year.^{49*}

Most civic action largely consisted of programs that had a quick impact on the local populace such as the distribution of clothes, food, and soap to local vil-

*Colonel James R. Black, Jr., who was the III MAF G-5 officer and responsible for the coordination of civic action among his duties, recalled that when he first arrived in September 1967, "it was difficult to comprehend what the G-5 role really was, particularly after the III MAF had a Deputy for CORDS. It was difficult for me to find out who I was really working for, except [Brigadier General] E. E. Anderson [the III MAF Chief of Staff] made it quite clear, and that provided me with the impetus to overcome all personal and professional objections . . ." James Black Comments.

lagers; medical assistance patrols; and assisting with various construction efforts. The Marines, nevertheless, also supported some long-term projects. In January 1968, the 3d Marine Division in Thua Thien Province near Phu Bai sponsored 15 experimental fields devoted to the cultivation of improved strains of rice. With the assistance of local CORDS officials and the South Vietnamese Ministry of Land Reform and Agriculture, the division civic action team had introduced a higher yield rice developed in the Philippines, called "IR-8," which the Ministry immediately relabeled Thon Nong 8 (literally meaning "God of Agriculture" in Vietnamese). According to the Marine division account, "the psychological impact of attaching a Vietnamese name to an improved rice variety may have considerable influence upon its acceptance by the farmers of Vietnam."⁵⁰

The 1st Marine Division also had similar projects. In January, it sponsored four schools and two breeding farms, and assisted in the building of five wells, two dispensaries, two maternity hospitals, and one Buddhist temple. Just prior to Tet, the Marines at Da Nang had overseen the giving away of more than 16,000 toys to children in the area. The 7th Engineer Battalion at the Da Nang base had one of the most active civic action programs. It sponsored a soil brick factory in its cantonment which provided affordable building material for local civilian projects approved by the village, district, and provincial councils. Employing about 25 workers and eight simple hand block presses, the factory could produce 1,760 bricks daily. While somewhat curtailed by Tet, these enterprises continued through the rest of the year.⁵¹

From the beginning, the civic action effort was larger in the 1st Marine Division sector, which included in the Da Nang area one of the richest farming and heaviest populated regions in all of South Vietnam. This disparity between the two divisions grew during the year as the 1st Marine Division took over the responsibilities of the 3d Division in Thua Thien Province. In the last two months of the year, the 1st Marine Division had completed 56 civic action projects. In December, the division was working with local authorities and villagers in the building of 2 schools, a dispensary, a market place, and 2 wells, as well as sponsoring 15 agricultural plots and 2 pig projects. In the 7th Engineer Battalion, for example, the engineers had begun an agricultural education program on improved farming techniques for the local villagers and introduced stronger types of produce seeds to be used on an experimental basis.⁵²

While assigned to the less populated Quang Tri Province, the 3d Marine Division made a significant contribution to the Marine civic action projects. The division rented some 50 rice threshing machines to local farmers in Quang Tri who had the option of purchasing them. To demonstrate the advantages of the machine, the civic action officer sponsored a threshing contest in one hamlet between a water buffalo and the machine. The machine threshed about twice the amount of rice as the animal. In May, 10 of the farmers bought threshers. Both the rental and purchase proceeds went into the 3d Marine Division civic action fund.⁵³

While introducing mobile helicopter and firebase tactics into the 3d Marine Division, Major General Raymond G. Davis was proud of the civic action exploits of the division. After reviewing his accomplishments as division commander in the spring of 1969, Davis remarked on his efforts in Cam Lo and joint efforts with the 2d ARVN Regiment. The ARVN and Marines conducted a series of cordon and search "County Fair" operations which succeeded in identifying the local VC infrastructure in coastal Quang Tri Province. With the defeat of the NVA divisions in the north, according to Davis, the division could concentrate on pacification and civic action.⁵⁴

Lieutenant Colonel Bryon T. Chen's 2d Battalion, 3d Marines with its Companies F and H played a large role in the Cam Lo Campaign. In Cam Lo District, Captain Donald R. Myers who commanded Company H remembered, "I had squads or platoons in nearly every hamlet along the Cam Lo River . . . [and that] we even had the RFs go on patrol with us across the . . . River. They hadn't done that in years." In nearby Huong Hoa District, First Lieutenant Justin M. Martin's Company F adopted similar tactics. Operating in the villages of Mai Loc and Doc Kin, the company supported a Civilian Irregular Defense Group (CIDG) operating with the U.S. Special Forces and the 220th Regional Forces Company. According to Martin, he had two bosses, "I not only have to report to my colonel but also an [U.S.] Army major," the District Advisor. While somewhat critical of his South Vietnamese Regional Force counterpart, who ran his operations from a small cafe in Mai Loc, he believed "we have given the Vietnamese some muscle that they have not had in this area." Both Myers and Martin viewed the pacification campaign as a welcome change of pace from the war of maneuver against the North Vietnamese regular units. Myers observed "we made an impact, but it was not noted in the number of body



Photo Courtesy of LtCol Justin M. Martin USMC (Ret)

Photograph presents a street view of the village of Mai Loc in Huong Hoa District, Quang Tri Province. Company F, 2d Battalion, 3d Marines operated in the village together with a Regional Force Company whose commander made his headquarters in a cafe on this street.

counts. What did not happen is a better indication of our success. Incidents dramatically went down while we operated and trained the RFs.”⁵⁵

Yet, one of the most ambitious of the division civic action projects, the establishment of a children’s hospital in Quang Tri, proved how ephemeral such undertakings could be. With much fanfare and publicity, the division announced in August the scheduled opening of the 30-bed Dong Ha facility of what was planned eventually to be the “3d Marine Division Memorial Children’s Hospital” dedicated as a “lasting memorial to 3d Marine Division Marines and Sailors killed in action in Vietnam.” In addition to providing medical care for children, the hospital was to be a training center for Vietnamese medical personnel and serve as a symbol of American and Marine concern for the Vietnamese people. According to the division plans, the Marines were to finance the facility from troop donations, Marine Corps Reserve Civic Action funds, and by fund raising appeals to community and veterans organizations in the United States. The estimated cost of the finished modern hospital complex was \$75,000 which was to be located in the Quang Tri Combat

Base. Despite the high hopes and auspicious beginning, the hospital never expanded beyond the small Dong Ha facility. When the division left Quang Tri Province and Vietnam in 1969, the hospital remained largely on the drawing boards except for six unfinished buildings. With the assistance of III MAF, the South Vietnamese turned these into a combination of clinic, orphanage, and dormitory, a far cry from the initial ambitious plans. As Colonel Clifford J. Peabody, the III MAF civil affairs officer in 1970, later commented, “a project which was outstanding in its humanitarian ideal of providing help . . . has proved to be a real albatross in the long run.”^{56*}

Like much of the pacification effort, the effectiveness of the III MAF civic action program was difficult to determine. It often challenged the best in many

*Colonel William E. Kerrigan, who served as the G-5 of the 3d Marine Division in the latter part of 1968, observed that “although never operated as a Children’s Hospital, one wing became an infirmary and several were used as youth hostels for high school students who lived in areas too remote to be able to commute to schools in Quang Tri City.” Col William E. Kerrigan, Comments on draft, dtd 14Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File).

Marine enlisted men and officers, but also could bring out the worst. Captain Merrill L. Bartlett, a former Marine intelligence officer, remembered one regimental S-5 officer "already 'in his cups' by late afternoon," ordering the scores of Vietnamese civilians employed on the base, into a formation. According to Bartlett, the Marine officer "would then parade the lines with a club, looking for contraband. Finding something like a package of C-ration cigarettes, he would assault the luckless person with the club. My last memory of this officer is . . . seeing him passed out in a mud puddle in front of his hooch on New Year's Eve." On the other hand, Charles R. Anderson, a former Marine lieutenant assigned to the 3d MP Battalion at Da Nang during the latter part of 1968, described his battalion's S-5 officer as one who "wore his commission better than most who carried one" and who had volunteered for the S-5 job with the hopes of transferring into a combat unit. After a brief time in his new position, "he soon became seriously interested in the Vietnamese people and forgot about going into the bush." According to Anderson, despite cynicism on the part of other officers in the battalion, "those in S-5 labored on, determined to show the Vietnamese that America was trying to do things other than burning and killing."⁵⁷

Homicide in the Countryside

In a sense, the civic action program was part of the larger effort to win the so-called "hearts and minds" of the local populace, but this called for a special interaction between different and often alien cultures. For example, the deployment of the Korean Marine Brigade from the relatively unpopulated Chu Lai area into the Da Nang sector in January 1968 caused a deterioration of relations with the local villagers. According to General Cushman, he never really had control of the Koreans. Cushman stated our relationship was "operational guidance . . . [and] they didn't do a damn thing unless they felt like it." Cushman's deputy, Major General Rathvon McC. Tompkins,* observed that the Vietnamese feared the Koreans more than anyone else and Cushman later confirmed that the South Vietnamese "people don't like them." According to the III MAF commander, General Lam, the South Vietnamese I Corps commander "hates their guts . . . He smiles, he's polite, but he'd just as soon they'd go the hell

home or some other Corps area." Tompkins later related that if the Korean Marines received fire "or think they'd get fired on from a village . . . they'd divert from their march and go over and completely level the village . . . It would be a lesson to them." Cushman concurred with Tompkins, remarking several years afterwards, "we had a big problem with atrocities attributed to them which I sent on down to Saigon." According to the III MAF commander, "I don't know how that ever came out . . . I doubt if anything ever came out of it." He stated the Koreans "of course denied it, so I don't know exactly what went on. I had some heart to heart talks with them, but I didn't really get anywhere."^{58**}

Of course, incidents with the local population were not confined only to Korean or to ARVN troops. In March 1968, in the hamlet of My Lai in Quang Ngai Province, a platoon from the Army's Company C, Task Force Barker, 11th Light Infantry Brigade, Americal Division, led by 1st Lieutenant William L. Calley, murdered over 120 villagers including old men, women, and children.^{***} It would be nearly a year later before the details of the massacre surfaced. A Department of the Army special board, headed by Army Lieutenant General William R. Peers, discovered that the 11th Brigade and Americal Division held only perfunctory investigations into the killings and failed to report any suspicions through the chain of command to either III MAF or U.S. Army, Vietnam. When asked about My Lai several years later, General Cushman answered, "the administrative chain to which these reports had to be made in no way went through III MAF. It went from [Major General Samuel] Koster [the Americal Division commander] to [Lieutenant General Bruce] Palmer, the Army [deputy] component

**According to Igor Bobrowsky, who served with Combined Action Platoon Delta 2 in the Thanh Quit sector, this incident occurred in the nearby Phong Ni hamlets "when the Koreans made their way north from Dien Ban to relieve our units." He wrote it was "a very serious incident of that particular type (even *we* [italics in original] felt it was above & beyond acceptable bounds)." Igor Bobrowsky, Comments on draft, n.d. [Jan95] (Vietnam Comment Files). General E. E. Anderson, then the III MAF Chief of Staff, remembered that the incident occurred on 12 February 1968, "and a very close hold confidential investigation was held by a III MAF investigating officer. Since the ROK Marine brigade was not a subordinate of III MAF, the investigation was limited. It was completed and typed by my stenographer and hand carried to MACV in an "Eyes Only" sealed envelope on April 16, 1968. Rather revealing photographs were enclosed. A few weeks later, the package was returned to my office without any comment whatsoever." E.E. Anderson Comments.

***See also Chapter 13.

*MajGen Tompkins was the 3d Marine Division commander until 21 May when he relieved MajGen William J. Van Ryzin as Deputy Commander, III MAF. See Chapter 15.

commander in Vietnam. It was an Army chain, and I had nothing to do with it.”^{59*}

While nothing as horrendous or on the scale of My Lai, the Marines had their own incidents with the local populace as well. Obviously, when the battlefield was the village or the rice paddy, civilian casualties occurred, wittingly or unwittingly. While cognizant of the difficult circumstances, the Marine command attempted to hold Marine units to the highest standard. General Cushman remembered that while there were a number of atrocities, “we tried them by court-martial.” He related that, in most instances, they usually involved only a few victims and Marines and “we really came down on them . . .”⁶⁰

From 1965–1973, Marine or Navy court-martials convicted 27 Marines of the murder of noncombatant South Vietnamese. Additionally another 16 were convicted of rape and another 18 of assault “with intent to commit murder, rape, or indecent assault.” Another 15 Marines were found guilty of manslaughter and one of attempted murder. The most notorious Marine court-martial of 1968 involved seven men from a squad of the 1st Battalion, 27th Marines. Accused of participating in the execution style murder of five Vietnamese men on 5 and 6 May, the seven were brought to trial and five of them convicted within five months of the incident.^{61**}

Obviously, while convictions provide some basis for judging the effectiveness of the Marine discipline system, as one Marine lawyer/historian, Lieutenant Colonel Gary D. Solis, wrote: “Acquittals can be as revealing as sentences imposed, because acquittals may indicate the reluctance of a court to convict.” In an analysis of the 43 Marines brought up on murder charges of South Vietnamese civilians, Solis observed

that 16 Marines, or 37 percent, “were acquitted or had their charges judicially dismissed.” He compared this favorably with the ratio of homicide case acquittals in U.S. District Courts, which for 1969 was 33 percent. Still, in examining the sentences served by the 27 Marines convicted of murdering South Vietnamese noncombatants, he observed that the average incarceration was less than five years.^{62***}

Despite the best efforts of the Marine command to punish all individuals that may have been guilty of crimes against the local populace, there were deviations. As Lieutenant Colonel Solis would later maintain “there clearly were far fewer prosecutions than there were grave breaches of the law of war.” Much depended upon individual unit leadership and command sensitivity to the needs and predicament of the local civilian population. While never condoned and often condemned by the senior Marine command, there emerged among some troops and perhaps some commanders what was called the “‘mere gook’ rule.” For some Marines, this permitted the “killing of Vietnamese—regardless of age, sex, or combatant status—because ‘after all they’re only gooks,’ a derogatory nickname for an Oriental which was carried over from the Korean War.” As Major W. Hays Parks, in 1968 the 1st Marine Division Chief Trial Counsel, wrote eight years later, while describing the so-called rule as “an unfair distorted description of military attitudes and conduct . . . [but acknowledged that] it was not altogether false, and was a key factor in most of the serious incidents reported.” Lieutenant Colonel Solis in his history of military justice in Vietnam observed that certain Marine defense counsels were aware of this attitude and often tried to use it to their advantage. He described the efforts of one counsel to include senior enlisted men on the court-martial panel, quoting the lawyer to the effect that they “would not be particularly disturbed about the death of another ‘gook’ . . . my hypothesis proved correct.”⁶³

As Major Parks pointed out the “mere gook rule” was not original with U.S. troops in Vietnam nor for that matter Korea.^{64****} He quotes the American writer Ambrose Bierce writing in the 1860s, “The soldier

*General E. E. Anderson observed that while true that III MAF was out of the administrative chain of command for the My Lai investigation, he was “later questioned by members of the Peers Commission about the subject as I had the responsibility, as Chief of Staff of III MAF, of releasing our nightly operations reports. I pointed out to the questioners that the operations report by the Americal Division for the period when the My Lai incident occurred contained nothing that would trigger any suspicion.” E. E. Anderson Comments.

**The Marines later established a Combined Action Platoon in the hamlet where the incident took place. Andrew Lewandowski, who commanded this platoon, recalled that he took over this platoon in November 1968, but “did not learn of this incident until I sat in a doctor’s office in Mt. Penn, Pa” the following year and read an account of the atrocity in *Look Magazine*. According to Lewandowski, if he had known about the situation at the time, he would have altered somewhat his civic action program in the hamlet. Andrew Lewandowski, Comments on draft, dtd 30Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File).

***Colonel W. Hays Parks, a former Marine lawyer and who has written extensively on the subject, denied, however, “that time served for murder of a Vietnamese was less than time served for a similar crime in the U.S. against a non-Vietnamese victim . . .” Col W. Hays Parks, Comments on draft, dtd 6Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File).

****According to LtCol Solis, Marines used the term gook in reference to Nicaraguans during the Marine intervention there in the 1920s. Solis, *Trial by Fire*, p. 138.

never becomes wholly familiar with the conception of his foes as men like himself; he cannot divest himself of the feeling that they are another order of beings, differently conditioned, in an environment not altogether of the earth." This obviously reinforced Chaplain McGonigal's observation that it was important that the individual Marine view the individual South Vietnamese peasant as a "*full-fledged human being*."^{64*}

This, of course, was much easier said than done. As strong a supporter of the Marine pacification program as Major General Murray, the III MAF deputy commander, remarked, "I'd visit villages where the village chiefs and the villagers themselves would give every appearance . . . that we were just the greatest people in the world," but there also remained in the back of his mind the fugitive thought "who in this crowd of people would lead us to believe that they love us . . . [but] actually were ready to slit our throats, the first chance they would get." Obviously, the young Marine who took sniper fire from a village or witnessed a comrade either killed or horrendously wounded by an enemy boobytrap or mine set by these same villagers had his doubts about the friendliness of the local population. The attempt to convince him otherwise would take some doing.^{65**}

*Michael E. Peterson, a former Combined Action Marine and who has published a book on the Combined Action Program, questioned "how could any Marine view the South Vietnamese peasant as a *full-fledged human being* . . . when, from the very beginning . . . we were fed "Luke the Gook" from Boot Camp onward? The enlightenment of the writers of the *Small Wars Manual*, Lew Walt, Victor Krulak, and other pacification commanders simply could not offset the condescension, at best, or vicious . . . racism, at worst—of American commanders and soldiers toward the Vietnamese. And, given the Vietnamese tradition of xenophobia, a single negative act was multiplied manifold in their eyes; and across the country by many thousands of Americans." Michael E. Peterson, Comments on draft, dtd 10Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File).

**In a letter to his parents in 1968, William R. Black, Jr., then a second lieutenant, wrote about some of the contradictory emotions pulling at the Marines as they fought the war in the villages. He wrote about his platoon taking heavy sniper fire in a hamlet. Black permitted some of the men to throw hand grenades into family bunkers before entering them because he "felt the whole place was unfriendly and that enemy were probably hiding in the family bomb shelters." The troops saw "very few civilians," but suddenly they heard a child cry. A family had been in one of the shelters. While sniper fire continued against his forward platoons, he directed his Navy Corpsman, "Doc, do what you can for them *immediately*, [emphasis in original] we can't leave you here." According to Black, this was a "sore spot among many of our troops that the corpsmen spend their medicine and energy helping the VN civilians." He quoted one of his men saying "Damn, man! This is a *war!* [emphasis in the original] We can't go hold'n up for no gook civilians!" The corpsman reported that the civilian wounds were superficial and the troops moved on. 2dLt William R. Black, Jr., ltr to parents, dtd 20-1Apr68, Encl, William R. Black, Jr., Comments on draft, dtd 4Jan95 (Vietnam Comment File).

Changing Attitudes

Such attitudes were a disturbing factor to the Marine command and lay behind the continuing efforts of the Marine Corps Personal Response Program. The Marine Corps pacification program depended upon the troops understanding the complexity of the situation they faced. While it might be too much to expect all Marines to like the Vietnamese, the command undertook extensive efforts to ensure that the Marines respected the rights and lives of the villagers who depended upon their protection.

Working against the perception on the part of some Marines and even some commanders that it was a "chaplain's program" or a "do-gooder concept," Personal Response officers tried to bring relevance to their message. Each Marine infantry platoon commander received a Personal Response notebook, a 53-page booklet, with examples and suggestions for further discussion with the Marines under him. For example, it offered the case where a CAP Marine by holding hands with a local girl destroyed the existing good relationship within the hamlet between the Marines and the villagers. In a graphic paragraph, the pamphlet observed:

Put it this way. If a foreigner squatted down on a street corner in Chicago and crapped in the gutter we would be offended. Most of us would hardly notice it, however, if a Vietnamese man walked down the street holding hands with an American girl. Here it is just the other way around—only worse. Holding hands with a Vietnamese girl in public is labeling all their women as prostitutes.⁶⁶

Of course, the effectiveness of the pamphlet depended upon the initiative of the individual platoon commander and the command interest of his seniors. Each division, the wing, the Force Logistic Command, and Naval Support Activity had Personal Response contact teams. Each team consisted of a commissioned officer and a senior noncommissioned officer who were responsible for the conduct of schools and orientation in their respective commands.

The emphasis was upon formal and informal instruction. For example, in January 1968, the 3d Marine Division contact team held a two-day division Personal Response course for Personal Response officers at lower echelons. Personal contact teams gave field lectures and held discussion groups with seven infantry battalions which numbered over 970 Marines in attendance. The division teams provided instruction at the Combined Action Group school, the 3d Recon-

naissance Battalion indoctrination Course, and the Division staff NCO leadership course. For the month, the division reported that 104 officers, 139 staff NCOs, and 931 other enlisted men (a total of 1174 personnel) listened to 37 hours of formal school presentations and 24 hours of field lectures relative to Personal Response.⁶⁷

All of the commands would accumulate similar statistics through the rest of the year. Furthermore, the III MAF Personal Response office issued a monthly flyer called "Spice," which was to add "seasoning to presentations," while another periodical called "Viewpoints" was to depict a "'happening' in American-Vietnamese Relations." At the end of September, III MAF placed its Personal Response program under the III MAF Assistant Chief of Staff (Plans) rather than the G-5 Division, Civic Action. This, however, made little difference for the program since all the subordinate commands retained their Personal Response officers and teams in their G-5 or S-5 civic action sections.⁶⁸

Again the question remains, how much difference did the entire effort make? While any conclusion would be conjecture, the evidence implies the effect was positive. In a presentation for General Walt in October 1968, who was then the Assistant Commandant of the Marine Corps, the briefer stated that the 3d Marine Division credited the Personal Response training "as a major factor in the reduction of that command's serious incident rate by more than one-fourth over the past 12 months." He observed that the 1st Marine Division reported an 11 percent decrease in non-operational serious incidents in the past year and also attributed this to its Personal Response efforts. Later in a debriefing at FMFPac, Major General Tompkins, the former 3d Marine Division commander and Deputy CG III MAF, commented that while difficult to assess the effectiveness of Personal Response, he believed the entire effort worthwhile and brought forth unexpected benefits in the form of intelligence about enemy units and infrastructure in the local communities.⁶⁹

Despite the promulgation of all the various directives and the distribution of materials, their impact was uneven. Major Parks later concluded that most serious incidents involved men from units in which:

those directives had not been re-promulgated or implemented. . . . A command which implemented these directives, in which the commander knew what his subordinate units were doing and in which an intolerance of misconduct was manifest, seldom suffered either in the accomplishment of its mission or from serious incidents. Fortunately this was the rule rather than the exception.⁷⁰

In the final analysis, while the Personal Response officer provided assistance and direction, the program's success depended upon the effectiveness of the individual commander, down to the platoon level, to support the policy. As one Marine historian wrote, the best that could be said about the Personal Response program was that the Marines "never gave up the effort to maintain a measure of humanity and compassion in the conduct of an often savage war . . . [but] probably dislike and distrust, tempered by a wary tolerance dictated by self-interest, were the dominant sentiments" on the part of both the Marines and the local populace.⁷¹

The Boys Next Door: The Combined Action Program

Relationships between Marines and the villagers were most important in the Marine Corps Combined Action Program. While Chaplain McGonigal found attitudes among Combined Action (CAP) Marines more positive than troops in line units, still there was reason for concern even in this supposedly show-case pacification program. As McGonigal later stated, one of the problems of the CAPs was that you had "people with little maturity" and "we got a lot of shitbirds."⁷²

During 1967, the program had expanded, but not without difficulty. One matter of concern was the lack of support from some infantry regimental or battalion commanders, who still retained operational control of the individual Combined Action Marines in their sectors. In February 1967, to provide more direct command influence over the program, Lieutenant General Walt, then the III MAF commander, assigned Lieutenant Colonel William R. Corson as the Combined Action Company officer in a newly created billet in the G-3 section. Colorful and charismatic, but lacking formal command over the Combined Action Marines, Corson gave structure to the program. He established guidelines, formed a school at Da Nang, provided some initial screening of applicants, and obtained approval of a table of organization for the CAPs. By the end of May, Corson had formed a Combined Action Group headquarters at Da Nang with administrative responsibility over the various Combined Action Companies.⁷³

In June 1967, after succeeding General Walt as Commanding General III MAF, General Cushman placed the Combined Action Program under his deputy, Major General Herman Nickerson, the former commander of the 1st Marine Division. As 1st Division commander at Da Nang, Nickerson was an enthu-



Department of Defense (USMC) A192361

LtGen Herman Nickerson, Deputy Chief of Staff for Manpower at HQMC, on a visit to Vietnam, talks with South Vietnam Popular Force troops, part of CAP 1-3-5. The Combined Action Program was placed under Gen Nickerson when he was Deputy Commander, III MAF. Col Edward F. Danowitz, the Director, CAP (wearing glasses), is to the left and behind Gen Nickerson.

siastic supporter of the Marine pacification program, including Combined Action. General Nickerson also knew Corson in that the latter “was my tank battalion commander . . . and did a magnificent job of relating to the people . . .” With confidence in Corson, Nickerson gave him a new title, III MAF Deputy Director for Combined Action, and delegated authority over the program to him. By July, Corson formed two new Combined Action Groups and III MAF distributed a formal standard operating procedure (SOP) that defined the structure, mission, and command relations of the program.* Once and for all, III MAF assumed direct operational control of the CAPs with line units out of the chain of command, except for occasional combat support and coordination. The 1st CAG, based at Chu Lai, was responsible for Marine Combined Action operations in the southern two provinces,

Quang Tin and Quang Ngai. Similarly, the 2d CAG at Da Nang controlled the CAPs in Quang Nam Province, and the 3d CAG at Phu Bai, the CAPs in the two northern provinces, Quang Tri and Thua Thien.⁷⁴

Command relations with the Vietnamese were a little more blurred. The Combined Action Marines did not have operational control of the Popular Force platoons with whom they worked. Instead the relationship was one of coordination and advice. Supposedly the South Vietnamese platoon leader answered to the local district chief, and it was the responsibility of the commanders of the CAGs and CACOs to coordinate with the South Vietnamese provincial and district officials relative to the CAPs. The Marine Combined Action platoon squad leader, in effect, was an advisor to the platoon leader. He could not command the South Vietnamese, but only offer suggestions and advice. Obviously, much depended upon the personal relationship between the individual Marines and the South Vietnamese Popular Force troops for the effectiveness of the program.

The finding of the ideal and idealistic Marines to run such a program would take some doing and by

*Lieutenant Colonel Corson wrote that “the SOP was totally illegal in that only the CMC can create a new organization. However, with General Nickerson’s support we did it, no matter the legality.” He mentioned that the changes took off very quickly despite the protests of several regimental commanders. Corson Comments.

November, the program had floundered. Generals Walt and Nickerson, who had both strongly pushed the program, had left. In August, Corson also had departed and a few months later, very much disillusioned, wrote a bitter and biting indictment of American strategy in the war.* His handpicked successor, Lieutenant Colonel Francis R. Hittinger, Jr., was killed by a mine explosion in the Da Nang area of operations. Instead of the 114 Cap units that were supposed to be in place at the end of the year, the Marines only had 79.⁷⁵

According to Lieutenant Colonel Byron F. Brady, he met on Thanksgiving Day 1967 with Major General Raymond L. Murray, the new III MAF deputy commander, who offered him the position of III MAF Deputy Director for Combined Action.** In contrast to the flamboyant Corson, the relatively staid Brady was more traditional in his approach. Joining the Marine Corps in 1938 as a private, he received a commission during World War II. Called back to active duty during Korea, Brady remained in the Corps as a career officer. While knowing very little about the Combined Action Program, Brady immediately began to read what was available about the concept. He was particularly impressed with Commander McGonigal's evalua-

tion of the program and the importance of the relationship between the Marines and the Vietnamese Popular Force troops and the villagers. Concerned about what he considered the degradation of the quality in the training of Marines now coming to Vietnam, Brady established as his first priority the recruiting of good men for the program.⁷⁶

By this time the growing demands and limitations on Marine manpower would have its effect upon the Combined Action Program. An exchange of messages among the Commandant, General Wallace M. Greene, Jr., Lieutenant General Krulak at FMFPac, and General Cushman at III MAF highlighted this concern. As early as August 1967, General Krulak observed to the Commandant that he had directed General Cushman "to proceed with CAP activations out of his present resources to the extent possible, although realism prompts the conclusion that he may not be able to do much." As the year came to a close these manpower constraints became even tighter.⁷⁷

Even more disconcerting for the Marine Corps was the possible loss of CORDS support for the program, specifically by Ambassador Komer. General Westmoreland always had some skepticism about the Combined Action Program. Although calling the concept "ingenious," he also wrote, "I simply had not enough numbers to put a squad of Americans in every village and hamlet . . ." Apparently Komer had come to much the same opinion. While asking for an evaluation of the program by CORDS personnel at Da Nang, in early December 1967, Komer canceled a Combined Action briefing by Lieutenant Colonel Brady at an orientation course for Joint U.S. Public Affairs Officers. According to a MACV official at the session, CORDS had concluded that "the Combined Action Program is too expensive to continue." On 5 December, in a message to the Commandant, General Krulak recalled that in a conversation that he had with Komer "some time ago," the latter "spoke with enthusiasm about the idea but said because of its broad interface with civilian affairs, that the program probably ought to be under CORDS." The FMFPac commander believed that the whole matter was one of turf: "It could be, having met no success in the endeavor to take it over, that he [Komer] is now committed to abolishing the program."⁷⁸

As would be expected, Ambassador Komer had a completely different recollection of the events than General Krulak. According to Komer several years later, he remembered that when he asked "Wally Greene and Krulak for more people for the CAPs, their answer was,

*Corson's book *The Betrayal* was published in July 1968, although the draft was completed by April. Corson in his comments stated that he did not start writing until mid-March 1968. In the book, he condemned both the Johnson Administration and MACV, including Ambassador Komer and General Westmoreland, for their direction of the war and in particular for neglecting the "other war" or pacification. He praised, however, both Marine Generals Walt and Krulak for their efforts, and in particular, the Combined Action Program, although presenting an exaggerated and idealized version of the successes of the program. There was some talk about official reprimands and possible court-martial of Corson because he failed to submit the manuscript for review, according to Department of Defense regulations, prior to publication. It was decided that such a course of action would only give undue publicity to the book. In his comments, Corson stated that a copy of his unedited galley proofs was stolen from a safe in his office. He claimed that his application for retirement to the Secretary of the Navy was first approved then rescinded upon basis that he had violated some Department of Defense administrative rule. According to Corson, his lawyer obtained a writ for the Secretaries of the Navy and of Defense to show cause for the revocation of his retirement, and only after the matter had reached the President was the decision made in his favor. See LtCol William R. Corson file, Biog Files, RefSec, MCHC and Corson Comments. Corson dedicated the book "To the hearts and minds of the CAP Marines, both living and dead." See also LtCol William R. Corson, *The Betrayal* (New York: W. W. Norton & Co, 1968).

**Lieutenant Colonel Brady noted that when he first took over the billet, he only loosely controlled the Combined Action Groups, but that it was "later established as a command billet . . ." LtCol Byron F. Brady, Comments on draft, dtd 30Oct94 (Vietnam Comment File).



Department of Defense (USMC) Photo A422186
Marine Cpl Gilbert J. Davis, a member of the CAP Mobile Training Team, trains two South Vietnamese Popular Force troops. MACV pressed III MAF to form Mobile Assistance Teams to supplement the Combined Action platoons.

Bob we haven't enough people to keep our . . . Marine forces going—we are really people poor." The CORDS chief explained that the CAPs performed well, but the program demanded an "enormous requirement for American infantry which we did not have."⁷⁹

In any event, on 7 January 1968, Ambassador Komer met with the new Marine Corps Commandant, General Leonard F. Chapman, Jr., who was in Saigon on an information gathering visit. In the meeting, Komer acknowledged that the Combined Action program had value and was proving effective, but that "it was very expensive in manpower . . . [and] is too slow a program to accomplish the pacification ends at an early date." He believed that the Marines should reduce the size of their squads in the hamlets to eight-man teams and experiment with more mobile techniques. Komer especially pushed the newly created MACV program of Mobile Assistance Teams consisting of a five-man team including an ARVN officer, an American officer, and three American veteran combat enlisted men that would move from one Popular Force platoon in a province to another, to teach basic infantry tactics to the Vietnamese militia. General Chapman

remained noncommittal, but promised "to monitor the program and insure that the maximum value is gained from the personnel committed."⁸⁰

From a III MAF perspective, the Marines remained skeptical about the motives of MACV. Although the only true similarity between the MACV Mobile Assistance Teams and that of the CAPs was that they both worked with the Popular Forces, General Westmoreland would later insist that the MACV teams were an adaptation of the CAP concept.* In April 1968, to ward off possible Saigon tampering with the program, General Cushman and Lieutenant Colonel Brady eventually established Mobile Training Teams (MTT) in the CAP program. These teams, which consisted of regular Combined Action Marine squads, were assigned to a non-CAP Popular Forces platoon for about a two-week period, and would provide a crash-training course in infantry tactics. The teams would then move on to another such Popular Force platoon in the same province and repeat the process. Brigadier General Earl E. Anderson, the III MAF Chief of Staff, would later state that it was the III MAF belief that Komer wanted to "absorb the CAPs into the RF/PF structure . . . controlled by CORDS," but that General Cushman "resisted this, and he felt that by coming up with some new idea . . . he would get more mileage out of the CAP program and forestall any attempt on the part of Komer and other people at MACV to destroy the CAP program." Ambassador Komer, nevertheless, would later contend, "I was a big fan of the CAPs."⁸¹

On 30 January 1968, just before Tet, III MAF submitted a revised Table of Organization for the Combined Action Program to reflect the actual command structure. The old tables still retained the authority of the individual battalion and division commanders over the Combined Action Companies. General Cushman objected and declared that since June 1967, control resided with the respective Combined Action Groups. With the redeployment of 1st Marine Division infantry battalions to Phu Bai from Da Nang, the situation in both sectors had become fluid. New units in new TAORs were unfamiliar with the Combined Action Marines, and III MAF worried that the CAPs were vulnerable to enemy attack. Cushman wrote in a letter to General Chapman that, because of the need for close coordination and liaison with the South Vietnamese authorities relative to the CAPs, there was a

*In his comments, Lieutenant General Krulak called the Mobile Assistance Team concept "worthless." Krulak Comments.



Photo courtesy of Igor Bobrowsky

Members of CAP Delta-1 are on patrol near the hamlet of Thanh Quit south of Da Nang. One CAP member, Igor Bobrowsky, remembered that prior to Tet the local populace began "making coffins."

need for "unity of command" on the Marine side. He continued that, with the "increased mobility of infantry units, it is impractical for the infantry unit commander to effect continued and close liaison with Vietnamese officials." This had to be handled through the Combined Action structure itself, pointing out for example, that the 3d CAG at Phu Bai had units in both the 1st and 3d Marine Division area of operations. Earlier, in a telephone conversation with FMFPac, General Cushman observed that he was conducting a study to find the best way to use the CAPs.⁸²

The Tet offensive, however, in January and February 1968, would have more effect upon the changes made in the Combined Action program than the jurisdictional battles with CORDS and MACV and out of date tables of organization. Even before Tet, there were strong indications that things were different. Combined Action Platoons, both near Da Nang and Phu Loc, increasingly came under attack.* One CAP Marine, Igor Bobrowsky, assigned to one of the ham-

lets of Thanh Quit below Da Nang, remembered, "it was just that the intensity of what was going on kept on increasing, increasing, increasing." He observed the contacts with the VC became "increasingly more frequent and stronger ripples turning into waves around us" Sources of intelligence had dried up but in a macabre way villagers provided an indication that something big was about to occur: "As we'd walk through some place, people were making coffins." Bobrowsky recalled thinking: "Who died? Was . . . there a plague?" The people "were just getting a jump start on the burials to come . . .," but before the Marines realized the import of the situation, "the shit hit the fan, but it wasn't . . . all at once. It was just that suddenly we found ourselves totally isolated . . ."⁸³

In any event according to a Department of Defense analysis, from 1 November 1967 through 31 January 1968, nearly half or 49 percent of enemy initiated attacks in I Corps occurred against the CAPs. In February the percentage dropped to 38 percent. According to the report, "It is significant that this period of high activity against the CAPs coincides with the buildup and attack phases of the Tet offensive."⁸⁴

*See Chapters 6, 7, and 8 for description of the attacks on the CAPs during this period and during Tet.

After the heavy fighting during and after Tet had died down, III MAF reexamined the entire Combined Action structure. Colonel Harold L. Oppenheimer, a Marine reservist on active duty, who was on special assignment to III MAF, prepared a study on the program for General Cushman. Oppenheimer basically called for more centralization of the Combined Action command organization and the consolidation of units into more defensible units.⁸⁵

More importantly, however, Lieutenant Colonel Brady, the III MAF Deputy Director for Combined Action, completed his own report on the program. While aware of Oppenheimer's study, he depended more upon the initiatives of his CAG commanders, especially the 3d CAG commander at Phu Bai, Lieutenant Colonel Robert J. Keller. Since the fall of 1967, Keller had advocated less of a "fortified village" concept for the CAP defenses and more of a combat capability based upon night patrols and ambushes. After the overrunning of CAPs Hotel 5, 6, and 7* in the Phu Loc sector in January, Keller decided to reform these units into mobile CAPs. While assigned to a general village sector consisting of several hamlets, the mobile CAP had no specific base, but moved from hamlet to hamlet. According to Brady, the restructured CAPs had some success "in combat situations." He talked the concept over with Keller and then made a personal staff study.⁸⁶

From his analysis of the situation, Lieutenant Colonel Brady noted that the preliminary evidence would indicate that the mobile CAPs sustained fewer casualties in relation to VC KIA than the CAPs in fixed positions. Still Brady noted that both types of Combined Action units had their advantages. The Compound CAPs were better geared to provide civic action and to obtain intelligence from the villagers. On the other hand, the mobile CAPs formed better relations with their Vietnamese Regional Force and Popular Force counterparts since they were "both living at the same level." At this point, Brady suggested that when III MAF form new Mobile CAPs that they be in the same vicinity of a compound CAP. According to Brady, this would insure that there would be a safe haven for the mobile units. In June, General Cushman concurred with Brady's recommendations.⁸⁷

Following Tet, there were other changes in the Combined Action Program besides the establishment of the Mobile CAPs and the Mobile Training Teams. In April, III MAF changed the designations of all of the

CAPs to numbers. Until that time, the Combined Action Platoons had been identified by a combination of letters and numbers. All of the Combined Action Companies carried letter identifiers, similar to infantry and artillery companies and batteries. The platoons then carried the letter plus a number. For example, the Combined Action Company at Phu Loc was CACO H or Hotel and the individual platoons under the control of CACO H were known as H or Hotel 1 through 8. This made for some confusion as there was no systematic way to identify which platoon or company belonged to a specific Combined Action Group. Under the new system, the Combined Action Companies took the number of the CAG they belonged to while the platoons in turn took the numbers of both the CAG and CACO plus an additional number. For example, CAP 3-2-1 would stand for the 1st Combined Action platoon, of the 2d Combined Action Company, of the 3d Combined Action Group.⁸⁸

Concerned about the results of a survey of CAP Marines following Tet by Lieutenant Commander McGonigal that several experienced a sense of betrayal on the part of the PFs and some of the villagers for not warning them, Lieutenant Colonel Brady continued with both the efforts to systemize the program and to raise the standards for Marines to enter the Combined Action platoons. On 18 April, III MAF issued a Force Bulletin outlining the Combined Action Program and urging "commanders to actively recruit highly qualified personnel as volunteers for duty with the Combined Action Program." It remarked upon the need that every member of a CAP "must be a potential leader, who through professional capability, personal example, courage and dedication can foster the respect of Vietnamese Nationals and lead small unit combined forces in combat." Signed by Major General William J. Van Ryzin, who had relieved General Murray as III MAF deputy commander, the bulletin "requested that command interest be directed towards the recruiting of volunteers and the final selection of personnel . . ." It ended on the high note that the "recruiting of one highly qualified individual is repaid at least three fold in terms of military combat potential alone . . ."⁸⁹

In June, III MAF followed up the bulletin with a new Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for the Combined Action Program. While canceling the SOP of the previous year, it reconfirmed many of the basic tenets of the Combined Action Program. It continued the integration of a Marine squad plus a corpsman with the Popular Forces platoon and the command structure through III MAF exercised by the Director, Combined

*See Chapter 6 for a description of the fighting in Hotel 6.

Action Program, and the Combined Action Groups. Again, the new SOP emphasized that the command relationship between the Marines and the PFs was on a “coordination and cooperation basis. The USMC squad leader does not command the PF element of the platoon, nor does the PF platoon leader command the Marines.” While the new SOP did not stipulate that new Combined Action platoons should be mobile, it emphasized that the “CAP compound is to be an administrative and logistical headquarters for the platoon and is not meant to be a citadel.”⁹⁰

Perhaps the most significant aspect of the new order was the codification of the standards for CAP volunteers. These were divided into two groups—one for lance corporals and below and the other for non-commissioned officers. For regular enlisted men, the criteria included a minimum of six months remaining on their current tour in Vietnam; to be true volunteers “and motivated to live and work with the Vietnamese people”; to be recommended by their commanding officer and to be a “mature, motivated Marine”; to have had “no non-judicial punishment within the past three months”; and to have had no court-martials during the past year. The selection process preferred high school graduates and those with an infantry military occupational specialty. It limited volunteers to those Marines who had less than two Purple Hearts on their current Vietnam tour. Noncommissioned officers were not only to meet the above standards but in addition were to have had combat experience, “demonstrated a high quality of leadership,” and to be deemed “highly qualified for promotion.” While waivers were permitted for “highly motivated” personnel recommended “with enthusiasm,” these personnel still had to appear before the CAP screening board before any waiver would be granted. All commanders were to maintain rosters of qualified personnel for Combined Action and were to fill quotas for the program from that list. Although not specifically specified in the SOP, it would be assumed that all volunteers had to be approved by the Screening Board.⁹¹

With the restructuring of the Combined Action Program, there was also a growth in the number of Combined Action platoons and groups. From 79 platoons in January, the number increased to 85 in May, and reached 93 in July. On 20 July, III MAF activated the 4th Combined Action Group in Quang Tri Province. By the end of the month with four CAGs, the Marines assigned to the program totaled 38 officers and 1,913 enlisted men, not including 104 Navy corpsmen with the platoons.⁹²

The establishment of the 4th CAG in Quang Tri was not a unanimous decision. Colonel Richard B. Smith, who commanded the 9th Marines until 13 July, objected to the establishment of CAP units in the DMZ sector. Colonel Alexander L. Michaux, who had also just completed his tour as the 3d Marine Division G-3, had his doubts, declaring “we don’t have too much use for the CAPs.”* Despite these reservations, the 3d Marine Division commander, Major General Davis, believed the Combined Action concept could contribute to the pacification effort in his sector.⁹³

With the support of the 3d Division commander, III MAF transferred Lieutenant Colonel John E. Greenwood, Jr., from command of the 1st Battalion, 27th Marines, to take over the new CAG. On 9 August, the 4th CAG commander submitted a plan that called for the establishment of one new Combined Action Company and six new Combined Action platoons. While III MAF approved the request except for one platoon, there was a delay of several weeks until the South Vietnamese gave their consent. Finally on 30 September, Lieutenant Colonel Brady informed Greenwood that General Lam concurred. In the interim, the 4th CAG commander took advantage of this interval to organize the new volunteers into platoons and provide them with training. While the delay caused some inconvenience, it resulted, according to Greenwood, in the Marines being better prepared for their assignment. By the end of October, with the activation of the new units, the 4th CAG consisted of three companies, 12 Combined Action platoons, and 2 mobile training platoons. Of the 12 CAPS in Quang Tri, 8 were mobile.⁹⁴

*Colonel Robert J. Keller, who commanded the 3d CAG in 1968, recalled that he earlier briefed General Krulak, CGFMFPac, and recommended that a 4th CAG be formed which would take over responsibility for the area north of Hue including those CAPs in Quang Tri Province. While General Krulak, according to Keller, appeared enthusiastic, the Army’s 1st Air Cavalry Division “did not agree and preferred that Marines not operate in their TAOR.” Keller also remembered that Colonels Michaux’ and Smith’s objections were “longstanding” and that he was well aware of them. He believed the two officers failed “to recognize the fighting [qualities] as well as pacification aspects of the CAPs.” Col Robert J. Keller, Comments on draft, dtd 2Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File). Both Colonel Smith and Michaux reiterated their doubts about the Combined Action Program in their comments. Colonel Michaux wrote, “I can empathize with those Marines involved in the Pacification Program. However, from the standpoint of the one with the combat units, the two programs [the war against the regular NVA units in the DMZ sector and CAP] appear contradictory.” Col Alexander L. Michaux, Comments on draft, dtd 4Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File). See Chapter 7 for Smith’s objections to the CAPs.

COMBINED ACTION PROGRAM EXPANSION-1967-1968

1ST CAG

UNITS	1967	1968
Group HQ	1	1
Company HQ	3	3
Platoons	15	21
Mobile Training Teams	-	2
STRENGTHS		
USMC	213	364
USN	19	34
RVN	334	664

2D CAG

UNITS	1967	1968
Group HQ	1	1
Company HQ	7	8
Platoons	40	38
Mobile Training Teams	-	1
STRENGTHS		
USMC	633	571
USN	42	41
RVN	1,070	1,043

3D CAG

UNITS	1967	1968
Group HQ	1	1
Company HQ	2	5
Platoons	15	31
Mobile Training Teams	-	2
STRENGTHS		
USMC	296	546
USN	18	28
RVN	378	809

4TH CAG

UNITS	1967	1968
Group HQ	-	1
Company HQ	2*	3
Platoons	9*	12
Mobile Training Teams	-	2
STRENGTHS		
USMC	123	282
USN	9	19
RVN	229	540

* Includes three CAPs and one company headquarters deactivated at Khe Sanh.

From Operations of US Marine Forces Vietnam 1968.

By December, the four Combined Action Groups together totaled 19 Combined Action Companies, 102 Combined Action Platoons, and 7 Mobile Training Teams. During the course of the year, III MAF had activated one Combined Action Group, six companies, 28 Combined Action platoons, and all seven of the Mobile Training Teams. At the same time, one company and five CAPs had been deactivated. According to FMFPac, the number of Marines assigned to the program had increased by nearly 500 over 1967, from 1,265 in December 1967 to 1,763 in December 1968. Almost all of the 28 new CAPs were mobile rather than fixed and a large percentage of the compound CAPs were to be transformed into mobile ones. For example, according to the 2d CAG at Da Nang, 75 percent of its CAPs were eventually to become mobile. By the end of December, 13 of its 39 CAPs, or about 37 percent, were already in the mobile status.⁹⁵

Despite the growth and expansion of the Combined Action Program, many questions remained unresolved. MACV, CORDS, and even some of the Army units in I Corps still kept the Combined Action Program at arm's length. While individual CORDS provincial and district officials looked sympathetically on the program, a III MAF staff officer in a briefing for General Walt, the Assistant Commandant, referred to the CAP concept as an "I Corps exclusive." In personal letters, Brigadier General Anderson, the III MAF Chief of Staff, wrote that U.S. Army Lieutenant General Richard G. Stilwell, the XXIV Commander in northern I Corps, had been "very vociferous to his staff with respect to the CAP Program . . . [and later] voiced strong objections to having them [Combined Action Platoons] placed along the LOCs [lines of communication]." Because of that attitude, III MAF decided not to activate several CAP units between Hue and Quang Tri. According to Anderson, General Cushman agreed since he believed "to put them in an area where they're not wanted, especially when you have to rely on the U.S. unit in the area for supporting fires and reinforcement when under ground attack, would not be wise and that we can better use them elsewhere." The III MAF commander several years later observed, "we had

a basic philosophical difference with the Army on it [Combined Action]. We kept on with it."^{96*}

Lieutenant Colonel Brady, the Combined Action Director, declared that as far as he was concerned, the relationship with both MACV and CORDS was "very poor." He later related the frustration that he experienced in attempting to ensure a coordinated U.S. pacification effort in the countryside. Brady had convinced General Cushman in July, as the Senior U.S. Advisor to I Corps and General Lam, to issue an order that called upon each of the Corps province senior advisors to chair a monthly conference for that purpose. At the conference would be representatives of CORDs, military advisors, and III MAF units including Army units attached to the Marine command, and the Combined Action Group commander. The province senior advisor would then forward through all three channels—CORDS, advisory, and III MAF—a "conference report (to include minority opinions on items of controversy) to CG III MAF" Upon the strong objection, however, of the senior CORDS official, III MAF canceled the order and issued a new one. The new order only stipulated that "province senior advisors may at their discretion convene combined meetings of appropriate military and civilian personnel to discuss and coordinate pacification within their respective provinces." No specific mention was made of the Combined Action Group commander.^{97**}

Even in I Corps, the effectiveness of many of the reforms, especially that of screening and training of new volunteers, remains a matter of conjecture. Despite questionnaires, Combined Action Schools, and screening boards, much depended upon circumstances and events. The questionnaires consisted of little more than 20 questions which largely dealt with the volunteer's attitudes. While statistical data remains elusive, anecdotal evidence in the form of oral history interviews would imply that both the initial screening and training of Marines for the program was often haphazard. Lieutenant Colonel Brady, for example, remembered that the school at Da Nang could last anywhere from two weeks to two months, "depending on personnel requirements in the field." Igor Bobrowsky recalled only very vaguely receiving any indoctrination training, but later wrote "there *was* a 'school' at 2d

*According to General Anderson, there was a difference of attitude among Army units in I Corps towards the Combined Action Program. For example, he wrote that the 1st Air Cavalry Division had "no use for the CAPs" while he had heard that the "101st Airborne Division thinks quite highly of the CAPs and will take any that they can get." BGen E. E. Anderson ltr to LtGen W. J. Van Ryzin, dtd 11Sep68, Encl, E. E. Anderson Comments. Throughout this period, Combined Action Platoons remained assigned in the U.S. Army Americal Division area of operations.

**General Earl E. Anderson, who as the III MAF chief of staff, believed that the problem with CORDS extended beyond I Corps. In a contemporary letter, he wrote: "We still have problems with Komer in Saigon. He is adamant about the CAP Program and wants it placed under the CORDS advisory effort." BGen E. E. Anderson to LtGen W. J. Van Ryzin, dtd 16Oct68, Encl, E. E. Anderson Comments.

CAG. It *did* give instructions on everything from Vietnamese culture to small unit tactics, ambushes, recon, artillery, communications . . . I did not participate in any of it to any extent.”⁹⁸

The selection process was also different for various Marines. Bobrowsky, for example, stated he had little choice and was selected for the program by his company commander. He recollected that his captain told him that it would only be a 30-day assignment, and perhaps was the reason he did not go to the CAG school. It was, however, a permanent transfer. The captain later wrote Bobrowsky, explaining, “I had to pick someone who I felt was . . . a responsible person who knew how to . . . work a small unit . . .” Bobrowsky’s commanding officer, at least, made an attempt to send good men to the CAPs rather than “stick em with anybody.”⁹⁹

This was not always the case. Eugene H. Ferguson, an 18-year old corporal and high school dropout, after completing a Vietnamese language course in the United States, arrived in Vietnam in early 1968. Despite his language capability, Ferguson was assigned directly to a Marine infantry line battalion. Outside of being used to check on the veracity of the Kit Carson Scout with his unit, Ferguson functioned like any newly assigned Marine squad leader. About a month after Ferguson was in-country, the North Vietnamese ambushed his squad which was on a “Sparrow Hawk” mission to assist another Marine unit. Except for his radioman, Ferguson lost all of his squad, either dead or wounded, in the clash. Although physically unscathed, Ferguson went into a deep depression: “I just couldn’t seem to get into the hang of what everybody else was doing.” At that point, Ferguson recalled his company commander called him in and asked, “If I wanted to go into CAG. I didn’t know what it was or where it was or who was doing what and I said ‘sure.’ I need to get out of here.” Ferguson suspected “they [his unit leaders] were anticipating trouble from me and shipped me out to CAG.” After a two-week familiarization course at the 3d CAG School at Phu Bai in April, the young corporal became a member of a Combined Action platoon.¹⁰⁰

The only thing that can be said of both the Bobrowsky and Ferguson cases were that they illustrated the variegated backgrounds and motives for entering the CAP Marines. Bobrowsky was the son of immigrant Ukrainian parents and was born in a repatriation camp in Europe after World War II with ambitions to attain a commission. Ferguson was the son of a retired 20-year Navy veteran and enlisted in the Marine Corps because his father hated Marines.



Photo courtesy of Col Edward F. Danowitz, USMC (Ret)
Col Edward F. Danowitz, Director, III MAF Combined Action Program, presents a certificate and an award (a pair of Marine combat boots) to the outstanding Popular Force graduate of the Combined Action school at the Combined Action Group headquarters. Col Danowitz assumed command of the program in October 1968.

Sergeant Andrew Lewandowski, a career Marine with a Japanese wife and a veteran of the Khe Sanh siege, volunteered for the CAPs in October, 1968, because he claimed he wanted to help the people. At the same time, he admitted he was having difficulties with both his platoon lieutenant and sergeant. If there was one common factor that all three commented upon in their initial screening process was their attitude towards the Vietnamese people. Lewandowski remembered appearing before a CAP screening board headed by Colonel Edward F. Danowitz, who, in October, had replaced Lieutenant Colonel Brady as Director of the Combined Action program.* To put the Marine sergeant at ease, Danowitz spoke a few phrases in Polish to Lewandowski.

*Colonel Danowitz commented that upon his arrival at III MAF on 1 October, General Cushman assigned him as the Director of the Combined Action Program, stating “he wished to have a senior colonel at that post, citing his support for the program and wishing to get better cooperation from the Vietnamese, particularly General Lam.” According to Danowitz, Cushman and Lam agreed to weekly meetings “to coordinate the program.” Colonel Danowitz believed this was a good idea, “but was never fully implemented. My counterpart seldom appeared for scheduled meetings and passed on problems to other officers for resolution. . . . [where there should have been] cooperation and coordination there was little or none.” Danowitz remained as the CAP Director until April 1969, when he assumed command of a Marine regiment. Col Edward F. Danowitz, Comments on draft, dtd 27Oct94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Danowitz Comments.

ki after he learned that the latter understood the language. The concern, however, of the board, according to Lewandowski was his feeling toward Orientals in general and the Vietnamese in particular.¹⁰¹

A former CAP Marine, Edward F. Palm, who retained serious reservations about the entire program, observed that in his perfunctory interview in July 1967, the concern of the interviewer was his attitude towards the Vietnamese. Unlike the three previous CAP members listed above, Palm had no combat experience. He had served his first six months in Vietnam as a supply clerk in what he described as a "prosaic, humdrum routine." To break loose from this stultifying job, Palm volunteered for the Combined Action Program. The only qualification for the program, according to Palm, "was the enthusiastic recommendation of my commanding officer, who was probably only too glad to get a disaffected and unmotivated supply clerk off his roles." Like Ferguson, Palm attended the 3d CAG School for a brief two-week period and learned some fundamentals of squad tactics and how to call in artillery. The exposure to both the Vietnamese language and the society's mores was rudimentary at best.¹⁰²

The Combined Action mission was a daunting one for even the most motivated of Marines, and especially for young Marines. With the best of intentions, the Combined Action schools could only provide a modicum of knowledge about South Vietnamese customs, let alone language training. Even ideal CAPs outlined by Chaplain McGonigal in his interim report would have had difficulties adjusting to the conditions of an alien society at war with itself in the countryside. Lieutenant Colonel Brady half seriously stated that the qualification for a good CAP leader was a "tough Marine sergeant, who has a PhD in social anthropology." Obviously the young Marine lance corporals, cor-

porals, and sergeants hardly met that criteria.^{103*}

How well did these young Marines do then in bridging the gap between them and the villagers and the PFs? Again there is no hard evidence except for the anecdotal. Citing the example in his own CAP, Edward Palm later wrote: "The cultural gulf was just unbridgeable out in the countryside." He observed "our PFs eventually refused to patrol with us [and] I never really knew any of the PFs I worked and lived with." On the other hand, another young CAP, James DuGuid, recalled that when, in December 1967, told that he was going home, he replied "but I am home." According to DuGuid, "I felt more love from those people in my village than I had ever prior to Vietnam. I took that back with me."^{104**}

Other Marines had different experiences. According to Bobrowsky, his exposure to the village helped him to understand the complexity of the Vietnamese countryside. As a Marine in a line unit, he was only interested if the villagers were hostile or not, otherwise they were neutral. As a CAP Marine, he came to understand that there were all kinds of interrelationships that extended from family to village. While on relatively friendly terms with the villagers, the members of his CAP knew they were outsiders. Bobrowsky tells about his patrol sometime after Tet 1968 coming upon an old woman burying two North Vietnamese soldiers. Half-jokingly, Bobrowsky asked the woman if she would do the same for them. The woman laughed and pointed to the PFs with the Marines and said she would bury them, but "No, the Americans I'd just have to throw them in the river."¹⁰⁵

*Arliss Willhite, who served in the same CAP unit as DuGuid, wrote that he "felt a real kinship to the people and a loyalty to my ville. I lived in Ngoc Ngot for 15 months. Longer than I had lived at a single location in my life. . . . To me CAP was Vietnamization in reverse. . . . I didn't let anybody mess with the people, steal chickens, burn hootches or shoot at Buffalo. I'm still more Vietnamese than American. I was watching out for the people on my block." Willhite stated that he was not typical of most of the Marines in his hamlet. He recalled that he was teased by some of his comrades, asking him if he was "going to start voting?" Arliss Willhite, Comments on draft, dtd 28Sep94 (Vietnam Comment File). Former Sergeant John J. Balanco was another CAP Marine who identified very closely with the local population, in his case the Bru tribesmen that he served with in CAP Oscar in Khe Sanh village. Recalling in his memoirs the fate of the Bru refugees including the CAP members who were denied entry into the American base at Khe Sanh, Balanco wrote: "These were the people we were fighting with and for. Now we were abandoning them? It gave me an outraged and hopeless feeling that has never left my heart or soul." John J. Balanco, "Abandoned, Reflections of a Khe Sanh Vet," ms, Encl, Balanco, Comments on draft, dtd 15Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File). See Chapter 14 for the description of the overrunning of Khe Sanh village and the aftermath.

*As a former Marine officer, now an Army historian, Charles R. Anderson, observed, "all Marines in the infantry were ill-prepared to serve in CAP, since their training before arrival in Vietnam was combat-oriented." Charles R. Anderson, Comments on draft, n.d. [Dec 1994] (Vietnam Comment File). Colonel Danowitz stated that he insisted on obtaining the best available men. He noted that when he took over in October 1968, that he was unimpressed with the "volunteers" being sent from both the 1st and 3d Marine Divisions. He stated that he had good relations with both division commanders and "immediately, the word went out to the regiments and a board was formed at each headquarters where the G-1 'culled' men sent in from the field and only the better ones came to our final selection board." While acknowledging that some "misfits" slipped through the selection process, he noted a decided improvement in the quality of the Marines in the program. Danowitz Comments.

In remarking about the quality of the Marines in his CAP and their qualifications, Bobrowsky remarked that about half had probably been “pressganged” into the unit much the same way he had. At the same time, they worked well together and “I saw them as being guys who knew what they were doing.” Most of the Marines had little language training, but had picked up “rudimentary Vietnamese and fortunately, the Vietnamese picked up a lot more rudimentary English.” Ferguson, who was fluent in Vietnamese, stated that the situation was similar in the CAP platoons that he served in. He estimated that about 50 percent of the Marines in his first platoon were qualified for their role, while the other “fifty percent were just trying to get away from a bad situation they were in before.” One of the Marines in Bobrowsky’s platoon, Lance Corporal Tom Harvey, was an exception to the above. Somewhat older than the other CAP Marines, a college graduate and a civil engineer, Harvey had enlisted in the Marines rather than be drafted into the Army. After serving in an engineer battalion, he volunteered for the CAPs. Having some facility with languages, Harvey had taught himself rudimentary Vietnamese.¹⁰⁶

Despite anomalies like Harvey, Ferguson, and DuGuid, who had some degree of fluency, most CAP Marines had relatively little Vietnamese language skills. As a former South Vietnamese officer, Lam Ha, who served as a liaison officer with the CAPs, later wrote, the “language barrier was a vital problem” with the program. Without being able to converse with the people or the PFs, it was almost next to impossible for the Marines to have anything but a superficial knowledge of the people they were to protect.^{107*}

Notwithstanding all of these obstacles, there was some statistical evidence that the CAPs were effective. Although based upon American military reports and the hamlet evaluation system, these analyses were completed at the MACV and at the DOD levels, two agencies which at best had shown only lukewarm support for the program. According to periodic reports from January through November 1968, prepared by the Southeast Asia Office of the Assistant Secretary of


Defense for System Analysis, hamlets with Combined Action platoons assigned to them showed that they fared markedly better during and after the enemy’s Tet offensive than hamlets without them. According to the HES ratings, there was about a 30 percent difference between the security ratings of the CAP hamlets and those without the platoons after Tet. In their November report, the DOD analysts concluded that “the CAP concept may provide a useful way to upgrade security in the short run and to ensure that application of massive allied firepower does not hurt pacification efforts.”¹⁰⁸

Still, many questions remained. One was the transformation from the stationary or compound CAP to the mobile CAPs. Some former Combined Action Marines including Lieutenant Colonel Corson criticized the change as altering the entire concept of the program. They suggested that instead of providing protection for the hamlets, the CAPs in effect became guerrillas themselves. In their view, the CAPs “had to maintain a demonstrably visible presence in commitment to the hamlet. It had to be an *alternative* to the guerrilla, as well as a tactic *against* the guerrilla.” Others rejected that argument, stating that the compounds were usually outside of the hamlets and, moreover, they were sitting targets for the VC and NVA. Almost all of the Marines agreed that going to the mobile concept probably resulted in fewer casualties. Tom Harvey, who served in both, later wrote: “I think nearly everyone interested in the matter now recognized the advantages of the mobile CAP as opposed to those bound to fixed bases or compounds.” Taking a middle ground, Michael Peterson argued that there was room for the two different approaches depending on the area. During 1968, both continued to coexist.¹⁰⁹

In their November 1968 report, while in general praising the Combined Action Program, the DOD analysts also pointed out some of the basic weaknesses of the program. Although not accepting the Komer and Westmoreland argument that one needed to place a Combined Action platoon in every hamlet in Vietnam, the analysts showed that the Marines had not met even their more modest goals. Two of the original objectives of the Combined Action program in 1968 were to obtain three effective Popular Force members for every Marine and to improve the PFs to the extent where the Marines could begin to phase out of the program. According to the DOD report, in November 1968 there was a ratio of 1.4 PFs per Marine and that the prevailing trend was downwards. Even more to the point, the Marines were taking about twice the num-

* Lieutenant Colonel Brady, the CAP Director until October 1968 wrote that “Because of the importance of cross cultural communication an ongoing language program was instituted in mid-1968.” Brady Comments. Each CAP Marine was also provided with a phrase book “designed primarily for use in the Combined Action Program.” It contained such phrases such as “100% alert tonight . . .” to make immediate contact with the PF members of the CAP. The book was also designed for independent study of both English and Vietnamese by the Marines and the Vietnamese. *Vietnamese/English Phrase Book*, n.d., Encl, Brady Comments.

COMBINED ACTION UNIT ACTIVITY



From Operations of US Marine Forces Vietnam 1968.

ber of casualties as the PFs. Moreover, the report concluded, "in over three years of operations no evidence exists that U.S. Marines have been able to withdraw from a CAP solely because their Vietnamese counterparts were able to take over."^{110*}

How successful were the CAPs? Much depended on the effectiveness of the individual CAP. To a large extent, most improved the security within the hamlets and the village. Some even won the begrudging loyalty and perhaps even affection of the villagers. But few were able to attain the loyalty of the people to the Government of South Vietnam. When asked about the relationship between his Combined Action unit and villagers, on one hand, and with the South Vietnamese authorities, on the other, Igor Bobrowsky answered, "the fewer the better." Michael Peterson remarked upon the unique elan of the CAPs "although it was a maverick, gone-bam-

boo, anti-brass, kind of spirit." Lawrence A. Yates wrote in his analysis of the program: "There were good and bad, successful and unsuccessful CAP platoons. Accomplishments varied depending on such factors as time, place and personnel, not to mention a host of other variables that were beyond the control of the CAP Marines."¹¹¹

One former Defense Analyst, Francis J. "Bing" West, the author of several studies on CAP, wrote that in his opinion the "essential problem" with the program was the "lack of a warfighting strategy" at both MACV and III MAF:

Without a strategy, there was no yardstick for measuring the amount of resources dedicated to Mission X vs Mission Y. So the CAP was seen as a drain of Marine manpower. It, in fact, saved manpower.

He believed that the Marine TAORs should have consisted of "overlapping CAP patrol areas" with the Marine regular battalions making up a central reserve. Instead, according to West, "the CAP was treated as an interesting tactical study in sociology; its strategic cost-effectiveness was overlooked both by III MAF and by MACV."¹¹²

*Lieutenant Colonel Brady took exception to the assertion that the CAPs had not succeeded in turning over any hamlets to the RFs. He stated that in the five CAP units that were deactivated during the year, the Marines were "reassigned to other CAPs leaving trained PFs on their own." Brady Comments.

The Accelerated Pacification Plan

With the petering out of the last phase of the enemy "Tet" offensive from August into October, the allies began to take the offensive in pacification operations. Claiming that they had reached the goals of Operation Recovery, MACV, CORDS, and the South Vietnamese inaugurated a new campaign, called *Le Loi* in Vietnamese and the Acceleration Pacification Campaign in English. The campaign was to last from November through January 1969. Country-wide it had five objectives: to upgrade at least 1,000 contested villages to relatively secure ratings on the Hamlet Evaluation Scale; to disrupt the Viet Cong command and control system by identifying and capturing if possible 3,000 members of the infrastructure for the next three months; to set a goal of 5,000 Hoi Chanh a month under the Chieu Hoi Program; to continue the organization and arming of the South Vietnamese Self Defense units; and finally to mount a propaganda campaign to the effect that the Government of Vietnam "has seized the initiative and is moving rapidly toward the end of the war." Each Corps area was given its quota in this multi-faceted effort.¹¹³

By the end of the year, the Accelerated Pacification Campaign was in high gear in I Corps. Both the 1st Marine and 3d Marine Divisions as well as the Army and ARVN regular units had launched supporting operations using cordon and County Fair techniques to eradicate both enemy regular units and the guerrilla

infrastructure in their assigned areas.* In each of the categories of the campaign, the allies had made substantial progress. During November and December, the allied forces had entered all of the 140 hamlets targeted in I Corps. According to Marine Corps measurements the number of government controlled hamlets had risen from 47 on 31 October to 116 on 31 December. A corresponding decrease had occurred both in contested and Viet Cong-controlled hamlets. By 31 December, the number of contested hamlets fell from 73 on 31 October to 46 on 31 December while Viet Cong-controlled hamlets fell from 48 on 31 October to six on 31 December. In other categories of the campaign in I Corps similar progress was shown. For the year, 3,118 former VC had come over to the government side as Hoi Chanh, 4,000 VC infrastructure were "neutralized" under the Phoenix program, close to 225,000 civilians were organized in Peoples Self Defense Organization, and nearly 70 percent of the population of I Corps lived in what was considered secure areas. Enemy-initiated attacks in December fell to the lowest level in over two years. In an obvious change of strategy, probably because of the heavy casualty rate suffered in their various offensives, the Communists reverted to a low-level war. Despite this seeming progress and some guarded optimism on the part of the allies, the enemy remained a formidable foe.¹¹⁴

*See Chapters 21 and 22 and especially the description of Operation Meade River in Chapter 21.

Outside of III MAF: The Special Landing Forces, Marine Advisors, and Others

*The 9th MAB and the SLFs—Sub-Unit 1, 1st Air and Naval Gunfire Liaison Company (ANGLICO)
Embassy Marines—Individual Marines in Saigon and Elsewhere in Vietnam—Advisors to the Vietnamese Marine Corps*

The 9th MAB and the SLFs

In January 1968, Brigadier General Jacob E. Glick commanded the 9th Marine Amphibious Brigade, the Fleet Marine Force component of the Seventh Fleet, with its headquarters on Okinawa which controlled all Marine forces in the Western Pacific outside of Hawaii and Vietnam. At this time, the MAB contained nearly 8,000 men with nearly half assigned to the two Seventh Fleet Special Landing Forces (SLF) Alpha and Bravo.* The two SLFs each consisted of a Marine infantry battalion, supported by a helicopter squadron and reinforced by small detachments of artillery, tanks, engineers, and other specialized units, totaling about 2,000 men embarked upon the ships of a Navy amphibious ready group (ARG).¹

At the beginning of the year, SLF Alpha, commanded by Colonel John A. Conway, had just returned control of BLT 1/3, its infantry battalion, to III MAF. The former SLF battalion had come ashore during November, operated with the 9th Marines in Operation Kentucky, and was about to take over part of the Operation Osceola sector near Quang Tri from the 2d Battalion, 4th Marines. On 4 January, the latter battalion, under the command of Lieutenant Colonel William Weise, in turn, embarked in the ships of the amphibious ready group, Seventh Fleet Task Group 76.4, which included the USS *Cleveland* (LSD 7), USS *Comstock* (LSD 19), and USS *Wexford County* (LST 1168), and the helicopter aircraft carrier the *Iwo Jima* (LPH 2) with HMM-361, under Lieutenant Colonel Daniel M. Wilson, on board as the SLF aircraft contingent. Two days later the entire SLF in its amphibious shipping set sail for the Philippines. About a week later, 14 January, Colonel Bruce F. Meyers assumed command of the reconstituted SLF Alpha.²

*The other components of the 9th MAB were the 26th Marines (Rear) headquarters, a communications support company, and a provisional service battalion on Okinawa as well as MAG-15 with squadrons at both Iwakuni, Japan and on Okinawa. Although the 26th Marines (Forward) and its three infantry battalions together with its attached artillery, the 1st Battalion, 13th Marines, were in Vietnam under the operational control of III MAF, these units remained under the administrative control of the 9th MAB.

SLF Bravo, commanded by Colonel Maynard W. Schmidt, in the meantime, consisting of BLT 3/1 (Lieutenant Colonel Max McQuown) and HMM-262** (Lieutenant Colonel Melvin J. Steinberg), had just completed Operation Badger Tooth. Reembarking on board its amphibious shipping of Navy Task Group 76.5 on 3 January, the SLF deployed to Da Nang where both the battalion and squadron underwent a one week rehabilitation period. On 10 January, HMM-165, under Lieutenant Colonel Richard E. Romine, replaced HMM-262 on board the *Valley Forge* (LPH 8) and the ARG/SLF once more put to sea, remaining off the coast of Quang Tri Province for possible insertion into the Cua Viet sector.^{3***}

Operation Badger Tooth had been a bloody experience for BLT 3/1 and raised some questions about the effectiveness of the SLF and the future employment of Seventh Fleet Marine amphibious forces. In Badger Tooth, BLT 3/1 had operated in the "Street Without Joy" coastal region east of Route 1 in southern Quang Tri Province for about a week from 26 December 1967 until 2 January 1968. After moving through the hamlet of Thom Tham Khe on the 26th, the battalion made another sweep of the area the following day. This time the Marines ran into a well-sprung ambush. Calling the coastal hamlet "literally a defensive bastion," Lieutenant Colonel McQuown in 24 hours sustained 48 Marines killed and 86 wounded. According to their body count, the Marines accounted for 31 enemy dead. By 28 December, the NVA had slipped away and Marines of the SLF began to close out the operation.^{4****}

**Because of the shortage of CH-46 aircraft, a small detachment of HMM-262, HMM-262 Alpha, under Major David I. Althoff, remained embarked on board the *Valley Forge* LPH 8, from 24 November until 4 January, when the detachment was deactivated.

***The other ships of the amphibious task group included the USS *Navarro* (APA 215), USS *Alamo* (LSD 33), USS *Whetstone* (LSD 27), and *Vernon County* (LST 1161).

****In his comments, Colonel McQuown wrote that ARVN forces later found in a draw north and west of Thom Tham Ke the bodies of over 100 North Vietnamese from the 166th NVA Battalion. This count was not included in the report of the action nor in the investigation that followed. Col Max McQuown, Comments on draft, dtd 22Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter McQuown Comments.



Both photos are from the Abel Collection

Top, a Navy corpsman from Company K, BLT 3/1 runs across an open paddy carrying a litter to assist a wounded Marine during Operation Badger Tooth. Below, Marines from BLT 3/1 search a hamlet in the same operation. During Badger Tooth, the BLT suffered 48 dead and 86 wounded, which resulted in an investigation.



Following the end of the operation, higher headquarters wanted to know the reasons for the Marine battalion suffering such heavy casualties. As Brigadier General Glick later stated, "any time that something like that happened, there was a lot of pressure all the way from the White House down of 'what happened.'" On 30 December, General Glick ordered a full investigation of the matter. The investigating officer, Lieutenant Colonel George H. Benskin, Jr., visited the village the next day and began taking testimony from various commanders and staff officers, including Lieutenant Colonel McQuown, the BLT 3/1 company commanders, and the SLF Bravo intelligence officer or S-2. Completing his fact-finding mission on 2 January, Lieutenant Colonel Benskin sent his preliminary findings three days later to General Glick. In this initial report, Benskin emphasized the strength of the enemy positions with "fields of fire" permitting them to "neutralize efforts of all attacking units except Company K when supported by tanks." The enemy had withheld its fire "on all fronts until attacking units were drawn into the killing zones." According to all accounts, the terrain together with the village defenses combined in the favor of the enemy "in every respect."^{5*}

On 15 January, General Glick forwarded the complete report to Lieutenant General Krulak, Commanding General, Fleet Marine Force Pacific. In his covering message, General Glick observed: "I purposely did not make a recommendation in the investigation report concerning replacement of the BLT commander

because of the channels which the report may go through and the possible political implications of relief of commanders concerned." In that message and in a interview over 20 years after the incident, he insisted that Lieutenant Colonel McQuown "was an exceptionally good battalion commander." He also observed in the interview that BLT 3/1 "was not the first unit that ran into trouble in that 'Street Without Joy.'" Glick's main concern was that MACV would use the casualties sustained by BLT 3/1 as "justification for reopening the entire question of command relations for SLF/ARG operations." He believed that "any relief of the BLT commander at this time might add weight to any implications that serious deficiencies do exist in present arrangement." According to Glick, "the tactical decisions made in Badger Tooth were in no way dictated by the command arrangements in effect."^{6**}

Despite the 9th MAB commander's attempt to separate the investigation of Badger Tooth from the subject of general amphibious command relations, there was to be a reexamination of the entire subject. While representatives of MACV, III MAF, FMFPac, PacFlt, and Seventh Fleet had worked out an agreement to streamline the procedures for SLF operations in Vietnam during the spring of 1966, some friction between the in-country and the amphibious commands, especially the 9th MAB, continued to exist. Lieutenant General Krulak the FMFPac commander in October 1967 outlined the various perspectives on the SLF in a long extended message. According to the FMFPac commander, "MACV would like to see Ninth MAB units in-country continually . . . he pretty much sees them as so many battalions, helo squadrons . . . etc." From what Krulak called a "parochial Marine Corps view" the best system would be to maintain the SLFs as a separate organization, but "employed in a manner completely responsive to the will of CG III MAF . . ." While sympathizing and identifying himself with this latter viewpoint, Krulak believed in the necessity of intra-theater rotation of Vietnam-based units between the SLF and rehabilitation for a brief period on Okinawa. He also insisted that "some accommodation with the Navy as essential to preserve our use of the amphibious shipping. . . ." According to the FMFPac commander, unless the Marines worked "hand and glove with them, the Navy

*Colonel McQuown stated that he reported to General Glick after he reembarked upon the Seventh Fleet Amphibious Ready Group shipping and made several observations. He pointed out that the AOA [amphibious objective area] was not a free fire zone and that Company L followed the rules of engagement "to the letter." He noted that when the company was 25 meters from the village, "the lead elements of Lima Company were blown away. This was, in part, a major cause of the heavy casualties of this fight." McQuown related that he had "opposed Operation Badger Tooth from the onset because it was ill conceived and tactically unsound. It failed to use any of BLT 3/1's Task Organization, except the LVT's that would have enabled the BLT to conduct a sustained operation ashore." Furthermore the village was "occupied and defended by a major NVA force. The village had been turned into a well concealed, skillfully constructed—almost impregnable defensive position that withstood heavy air strikes and naval gunfire. To conquer the defenders was an extremely difficult task made more difficult because the BLT landed without its key supporting elements—the tanks, Ontos, artillery, and heavy mortars." According to McQuown, "Badger Tooth was an SLF operation in name only because SLF Marines were involved. In reality it was a water-borne/helicopter landing of a 'bare bones' *unsupported* [emphasis in the original] Marine infantry battalion moving 8 to 10 miles from the waters edge to objectives that lacked even a shred of intelligence to justify the operation." McQuown Comments.

**Colonel Maynard W. Schmidt, the SLF Bravo commander through February 1968, wrote that at the time he did "not realize that Operation Badger Tooth caused that much attention at the higher echelons." Colonel Maynard W. Schmidt, Comments on draft, n.d. [1994] (Vietnam Comment File).

is going to take the amphibious shipping away, and either move it out of the theater or join forces with the Army . . .” Krulak, nevertheless, recognized that there were circumstances where either one or both of the SLFs would have to be committed to an in-country operation for an extended period of time.⁷

In a sense, General Cushman, the III MAF commander, found himself betwixt and between. He answered to both Generals Krulak, the FMFPac commander, and to Westmoreland, the MACV commander. Both of these commanders had differing but equally valid concerns about the SLF. In answer to Krulak’s message, Cushman attempted to explain his predicament. While agreeing in principle with the FMFPac commander’s desire to retain the rotation between in-country forces and Okinawa via the SLF, Cushman declared that at that time the situation in Vietnam was so “fluid and dynamic that I cannot at present in good conscience recommend to Westy [Westmoreland] the resumption of intra-theater BLT rotation to and from Okinawa.” He then suggested an alternative that Krulak had suggested in his message—namely that the SLFs refit out of the U.S. naval base at Subic Bay in the Philippines. According to the III MAF commander, he would hope that “the issue and turn-in at Subic could be so expedited as to permit a short but concentrated amphib[ious] training period . . .” Both Westmoreland and Krulak eventually concurred in this policy.⁸

The matter of amphibious command relations was not only a dispute between Marines and Navy on one side and MACV and the Army on the other, but also caused division within Marine Corps circles. Marine commanders in III MAF shared to a certain extent some of the same opinions as their Army counterparts

*Colonel Warren A. Butcher, who relieved Col Schmidt in command of SLF Bravo, wrote that the Marines had anticipated the decision to make Subic Bay the main base for the refitting of the SLF. He noted that “sections of 9th MAB under G-4 cognizance were sent to Subic to contact opposite numbers early on. When the directive came out of FMFPac, we had a completed plan. I had never seen Service troops in operation before, at least to the extent they were used in the rehabs at Subic.” He noted that the first group there did a “masterful job.” According to Butcher, General Krulak complimented the group “for doing in 10 days at Subic, what it had taken 6 weeks to do on Okinawa.” Butcher stated that the Service troops accomplished their technical inspections by first identifying units to be “retrograded. They started in country, continued aboard ship enroute to Subic, and finished at Subic Bay. Flood lights were set up for around the clock operations. Even though the first BLT was pulled out earlier than expected, the completion percentage was in the high nineties, and the BLT reembarked with all equipment in near new condition.” Col Warren A. Butcher, Comments on draft, dtd 5Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File).

and MACV about the SLF. They saw the Seventh Fleet forces largely as a reinforcement for their own forces in Vietnam. With control of the air and landing areas, in-country commanders believed there was little need for many of the amphibious doctrinal procedures relative to amphibious operational area and command.⁹ The Navy and the Marine amphibious commanders, on the other hand, regarded the SLFs as the Seventh Fleet or Western Pacific reserve force. While ready to reinforce the forces in Vietnam when needed, they also looked to other possible crises areas in the Pacific. They feared any dilution of their authority might result in the loss of the amphibious forces to the Seventh Fleet for other Pacific contingencies.⁹

Major General Rathvon McC. Tompkins, the 3d Marine Division commander, later recalled when General Westmoreland, the MACV commander, “was screaming his head off for more troops, there were at least two battalions of well-trained Marines who were floating around on the ships.” According to Tompkins “simply from an operational point of view . . . Better to have two battalions ashore than two battalions floating around, looking at each other.” One of Tompkin’s staff officers, Colonel Alexander L. Michaux carped that the SLF landings were largely administrative and designating them as amphibious was “a joke.” According to Michaux, its only purpose was to give the Navy amphibious commander control of the operation for a day.^{10**}

Even while critical of the employment of the SLF, General Tompkins maintained that if one looked beyond Vietnam, the Navy was “well advised to have the two battalions not under the operational control [of MACV].” Both Generals Cushman, the III MAF commander, and Major General Donn J. Robertson, the 1st Marine Division commander, viewed the SLF capability positively. Robertson declared that the “SLF gave us

**Colonel George F. Warren, who served in 1968 as the executive officer of BLT 2/4, wrote, “in-country commanders had a propensity for breaking up the SLF into its component parts (air/ground) and then further breaking up the BLT into its component parts (combat, combat support and combat service support units). Ultimately the SLF was reconstituted into a single entity and loaded back aboard . . . [Navy] shipping. One can imagine the movement of operational control between commanders in such a situation and the administrative time and effort that was consumed during SLF operations, to say nothing about the confusion such movement generated.” Col George F. Warren, Comments on draft, dtd 28Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File).

***Colonel Butcher, the former SLF Bravo commander, conceded the point that most SLF landings were administrative but denies the assertion that the purpose of the landings was to give the Navy amphibious commander control of the operation for a day. Butcher Comments.

a flexibility . . . It added that extra punch that we often needed." Cushman agreed, professing that "It was just like having another couple of battalions."¹¹

In mid-December 1967, Cushman reemphasized to his division commanders that "first and foremost" he wanted the "ARG/SLF used in an amphibious role in accordance with current doctrine for amphibious operations." He reminded both commanders that the SLFs were available to III MAF "for employment against time sensitive targets." Not only did he want the SLF operations to be "in consonance with our amphibious doctrine," but that they "be based on best III MAF intelligence estimates."¹²

Concurrent with this Marine emphasis about the employment of the SLF, General Westmoreland's MACV staff was involved in contingency planning for a possible amphibious landing north of the DMZ. With a possible 30,000 enemy in the objective area, the planning for Operation Durango City, the codename for the proposed amphibious assault, by necessity involved both Army and Marine ground forces as well as support from the Seventh Air Force. In this planning effort, General William W. Momyer, the Seventh Air Force commander, raised the subject of air control in the objective area. While the chances of approval of the Operation Durango City plan or any amphibious operation in the north was dubious at best, any discussion over command relations was serious business, especially at a time when the whole question of single manager of air in South Vietnam was about to surface.^{13*}

Thus, in this general context, General Westmoreland wanted another look at the entire subject of the SLF and the results of the Badger Tooth operation only added fuel to this desire. In mid-January, the MACV commander expressed his doubts to Admiral Sharp, CinCPac, and proposed that changes be made. The Pacific commander agreed with Westmoreland that there was justifiable concern over Badger Tooth and was willing to consider transfer of operational control of the ashore forces from the amphibious task force commander at an earlier time in an SLF amphibious operation. Sharp also mentioned that he was thinking about the possibility of basing one of the SLFs ashore as a permanent element of III MAF. While maintaining "that present command relations for the conduct of

amphibious operations in South Vietnam are valid," he stated that he had asked Vice Admiral John J. Hyland, Commander in Chief, Pacific Fleet, to conduct a broad-based study of SLF operations in Vietnam.¹⁴

While CinCPacFlt established a study group with representatives from both the Marine and Navy amphibious forces, the whole question about the SLF would be overtaken by events. While the study group reasserted the validity of the basic command and control system for the SLF then in effect, it would, essentially permit ComUSMACV "to prescribe virtually every important aspect of the employment of amphibious forces, from specifying the mission to delineating the characteristics of the amphibious objective area." By the time, the study came out both SLF BLTs were ashore.¹⁵

With the massing of enemy forces in the north followed by the Tet offensive, the SLF battalions, for all intents and purposes, became part of III MAF for the next few months. In mid-January, both SLFs were in an alert status off the coast of northern I Corps. On 22 January, SLF Alpha's BLT 2/4 initiated Operation Ballistic Armor in which the unit relieved the 1st Battalion, 9th Marines at Camp Evans which in turn deployed to Khe Sanh. Upon itself being relieved by elements of the 1st Air Cavalry Division four days later, the BLT reembarked upon its amphibious shipping. The following day in Operation Fortress Attack, the BLT went ashore near the C-2 combat base, coming under the operational control of the 9th Marines.** In the meantime, the SLF Bravo battalion conducted

**Colonel Bruce F. Meyers, the commander of SLF Alpha, recalled his concerns about the irregularity and departure from normal amphibious doctrine during this period. He wrote that on 26 Jan "op con was passed back to me (CTG 79.4) at noon and we had all elements of BLT 2/4 back aboard our shipping in five hours and 15 minutes (261830)." He was then directed to land his tank and amtrac platoons at the mouth of the Cua Viet at the request of III MAF. On 27 January, BLT 2/4 began Operation Fortress Attack in the 9th Marines operational area and he passed operational control to the 9th Marines at 1500. Meyers declared that he "recognized the exigency of the threat in the Tet offensive, and our immediate response and accommodation to that threat . . ." As the SLF commander, he "was worried that Gen. Westmoreland would pick up on this usage out of our traditional 'amphibious' role . . . It was obvious to both my [Navy] ARG [Amphibious Ready Group] counterpart . . . [and to Meyers] that both Adm. Sharp and Gen. Krulak were both worried about this same aspect of the use of the ARG/SLF . . ." At his debriefing at FMFPac, Meyers referred to "grave reservations and possible implications for the future of the Marine Corps role as a result of what I believed at the time to be bordering on a misuse of the ARG/SLF. In the end, we accomplished what the ground commanders needed—an immediate 'fire brigade' response to a perceived serious threat. In retrospect, it was probably the wisest response to the situation that we could have achieved." Col Bruce F. Meyers, Comments on draft dtd 20Feb95 (Vietnam Comment File).

*General Cushman stated that the planning for an amphibious operation "never went anywhere . . . it was just another plan sticking up . . . They wanted to have one up to date, just in case, you know, got lucky or somebody else got to be President or some damn thing." (Cushman intvw, 1982, p. 46.) See Chapters 23 and 24 for discussion of the Single Manager controversy.

Operation Badger Catch in the Cua Viet sector from 23–26 January. Badger Catch became Operation Saline and then Operation Napoleon/Saline. Until June, both SLF battalions remained ashore in the DMZ sector, often transferring from one operational area to another. In effect, both BLTs functioned as any other infantry battalion of the 3d Marine Division in the north.*

By June, the situation in the DMZ had clarified to the extent that both ComUSMACV, now General Abrams, and General Cushman believed that it was time for the SLFs to be reconstituted. A member of General Cushman's staff, Colonel Franklin L. Smith related that III MAF wanted them back on ship: "Once you get people . . . Nobody wants to leave them go." Complicating the situation was the attitude of the Seventh Fleet amphibious commander, Commander Task Force 76, whom Smith believed had been intimidated by the Operation Badger Tooth experience. According to Smith, "Badger Tooth scared the hell out of the guy. . . . As soon as the battalion goes ashore, he wants to dump it."^{16**}

Despite the various reservations, in early June 1968, BLT 3/1, now under Lieutenant Colonel Daniel J. Quick, and HMM-164, under Lieutenant Colonel Robert F. Rick, reconstituted SLF Bravo, under Colonel Warren A. Butcher, and reembarked upon the TG 76.5 (ARG) amphibious shipping.^{***} From 7–14 June, BLT 3/1 conducted Operation Swift Saber in Elephant Valley, a known VC infiltration route just northwest of Da Nang, under the operational control of the

1st Marine Division. At the end of the operation, in which the Marines encountered only slight resistance, the 2d Battalion, 7th Marines, under Lieutenant Colonel Charles E. Mueller, and HMM-265, under Lieutenant Colonel Roy J. Edwards, relieved BLT 3/1 and HMM-164 respectively as the infantry and helicopter components of SLF Bravo.^{17****}

Taking a respite, the newly reconstituted SLF Bravo departed for the new SLF training and rehabilitation encampment at Subic Bay in the Philippines. After a brief stay at Subic, the SLF Bravo units returned to Vietnam for a one-week operation, Eager Yankee, lasting from 9–16 July, in Thua Thien Province near Phu Loc. Operating in support of Task Force X-Ray's Operation Houston, the SLF Marines reported killing 9 of the enemy and captured 6 prisoners while sustaining casualties of 8 dead and 34 wounded. On 16 July, BLT 2/7 joined the 5th Marines in Operation Houston and on 22 July reembarked on its amphibious shipping. After reembarking, BLT 2/7 landed the following day at Da Nang in Operation Swift Play which lasted from 23–24 July in the Go Noi Island area.^{*****} On the 25th, the 27th Marines assumed operational control of the BLT which would remain in the Hoi An sector through October.¹⁸

In the meantime, BLT 2/4, the SLF Alpha battalion, remained in the DMZ sector as part of Operation Lancaster II.^{*****} On 13 August, BLT 2/26 relieved the 2d Battalion, 4th Marines as the SLF Alpha infantry component. This was largely a paper transfer. The SLF BLT

*See Chapters 7, 13, and 15 for the description of the fighting and the activities of the SLF BLTs in the north during this period. Colonel McQuown, the former commander of BLT 3/1, wrote that the two "SLFs should have been tremendous assets for III MAF. However, in order to realize their full potential the III MAF Command would have had to insist that the using command select objectives based on hard intelligence, and just as important, follow the Marine Corps Amphibious Doctrine. Properly employed, the SLFs could have responded rapidly to requests from the 1st and 3d Divisions and would have been the '911' forces during the Vietnam War." He believed, however, they were "seldom employed with sound tactics . . ." and that the 3d Marine Division in particular "had a myopic view of the use of the SLFs." He, nevertheless, granted that his BLT's operations in the Cua Viet sector in January and February were a "profitable use of a potent fighting force." McQuown Comments.

**Colonel Butcher, the SLF Bravo Commander, agreed with Colonel Smith about the attitude of the amphibious task force commander. Butcher wrote that while in the "sea cabin of CTF 76 (who was a deep-selected, 'frocked' rear admiral with expertise in the nuclear field), . . . [Butcher] was told the conditions under which the landing force would 'chop' ashore . . . Basically, the Admiral's idea was to toss the ball ashore as soon as the helicopters went 'feet dry.'" Butcher Comments.

***The ships of TG 76.5 now consisted of the USS *Valley Forge* (LPH 8), *Vancouver* (LPD 2), *Thomaston* (LSD 28), and *Washburn* (AKA 108).

****In July, USS *Tripoli* (LPH 10) replaced the *Valley Forge* as the helicopter carrier of TG 76.5. On 1 September 1968, HMM-165, under Lieutenant Colonel George L. Patrick, relieved HMM-265 as the SLF Bravo helicopter squadron. On 28 December 1968, HMM-164, now under Lieutenant Colonel Richard T. Trundy, once again became the SLF squadron in place of HMM-165.

*****See Chapter 17.

*****HMM-363, commanded by Major James L. Harrison, relieved HMM-362 on 6 September 1968 as the helicopter squadron for SLF Alpha. Lieutenant Colonel Walter H. Shauer, Jr., who commanded HMM-362 during this period, noted that "we were fragged to support our BLT 2/4 ashore, and other division units . . ." With its maintenance support on board ship, the squadron was "able to achieve maximum aircraft availability each day averaging over 20 H-34s available for frags. During our SLF A tenure we flew over 46,000 sorties, and set the record on board the LPH 5 *Princeton* for the most shipboard carrier landings, 285 in a 24-hour period and supported 25 major operations." LtCol Walter H. Shauer, Jr., Comments on draft, dtd 1Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File). Colonel Warren A. Butcher, the SLF Bravo commander, wrote about the advantages for the Marine Corps to have the helicopters on board ship as the SLF squadrons "benefitted from the more sterile conditions on board the LPH and, from, what the squadron commanders told me, a more responsive supply system." Butcher Comments.



Both photos are from the Abel Collection

Above, Boeing Vertol CH-46 Sea Knight helicopters from HMM-165 take off from the Phu Bai Airstrip to relieve HMM-265 on board the USS Tripoli (LPH 10). HMM-165 became the SLF Bravo helicopter squadron. In bottom photo, a Sikorsky UH-34D Sea Horse from HMM-362, the SLF Alpha helicopter squadron on board the USS Princeton (LPH 5), lands Marines from BLT 2/4 in a LZ near Camp Carroll.



had been assigned to the 1st Marines in the Cua Viet sector and returned there in Operation Proud Hunter after a brief period of amphibious training. On the 28th, in Operation Swift Pursuit, the BLT established a new area of operations in the Mai Loc sector in the Operation Lancaster II TAOR. Into October, the battalion essentially operated under the 3d Marines as another infantry battalion in the Lancaster II sector.¹⁹

Thus by mid-October, the situation with the SLFs had almost reverted to the situation that existed until June. Both SLF infantry battalions had been operating for an extended period with III MAF units ashore. The main difference was that one was attached to the 3d Division and the other to the 1st Marine Division. BLT 2/26, which was still operating in the 3d Marine Division sector, was slated for rehabilitation training in the SLF base at Subic Bay. Concurrently, MACV had directed III MAF to undertake an expanded pacification campaign while at the same time increasing operations against the enemy base areas and main force units. III MAF wanted to use the SLFs in this campaign in "swift short duration operations . . . principally in cordon and search operations to root out and eliminate the VC infrastructure." The principle target areas were to be the Batangan Peninsula just south of Chu Lai and the Barrier Island sector south of Hoi An. At this point, III MAF consulted with the commander of the 9th MAB, Brigadier General John E. Williams,* who on the basis of these proposed new operations decided to cancel the BLT 2/26 rehabilitation trip to the Philippines.²⁰

The SLF Alpha ARG which had embarked BLT 2/26 on 19 October at Quang Tri began to steam for Da Nang.** On 25 October, the BLT landed at Da Nang in Operation Eager Hunter. The following day, the BLT came under the operational control of the 1st Marines and conducted Operation Garrard Bay until mid-November in the coastal hamlets between Marble Mountain and Dien Ban. On 20 November, the BLT joined the 1st Marines Operation Meade River in the "Dodge City" sector north of the Go Noi Island area in the Da Nang TAOR.^{21***}

*Brigadier General Williams relieved Brigadier General William C. Chip as CG 9th MAB on 12 August 1968. Brigadier General Chip had relieved Brigadier General Glick on 20 January 1968 when the latter became 3d Marine Division assistant division commander.

**Amphibious Ready Group Alpha (TG 76.4) now consisted of the USS *Princeton* (LPH 5), USS *Dubuque* (LPD 8), USS *Oak Hill* (LSD 7), and USS *Windham County* (LST 1170).

***See Chapter 21 for discussion of the *Le Loi* campaign and Operation Meade River.



Photo is from the Abel Collection
Marines of BLT 2/26 waded through streams in the Barrier Island area southeast of Hoi An. The BLT, the SLF Alpha battalion, is conducting Operation Valiant Hunt.

In the meantime the SLF Bravo battalion, BLT 2/7 remained also under the operational control of the 1st Marines until early November when it embarked upon its amphibious shipping.**** III MAF and the ARG/SLF Bravo commanders had planned to mount their first of the new amphibious cordon and search operations on the Batangan Peninsula. While liaison officers from the amphibious task group met with the Americal Division at Chu Lai, General Cushman and his staff decided that a similar operation on the Barrier Island would prove more lucrative. Landing on the coast southeast of Hoi An, just below the Cua Dai River, on 10 November, BLT 2/7 carried out the new operation, called Daring Endeavor, for the next seven days. Although supposed to extend the operation to the south, the battalion encountered significant opposition in the original area. Using cordon and search techniques, the Marines reported killing 39 of the

****The 1st Marines relieved the 27th Marines in the Da Nang area of operations when the latter regiment redeployed to the United States. See Chapter 21. Amphibious Ready Group Bravo (TG 76.5) now consisted of the USS *Merrick* (AKA 97), USS *Monticello* (LSD 35), USS *Ogden* (LPD 5), USS *Tripoli* (LPH 10), and *Seminole* (AKA 104).

enemy and captured 30 POWs, at a cost of 1 Marine dead and 36 wounded. The BLT conducted no civic action because the population in the area was "considered to be hostile and hard line psy ops [psychological operations] was used." According to the amphibious task group commander, the operation demonstrated the SLF ability "to temporarily deny enemy forces the use of their territory, while destroying their fortification and supplies, was fully realized." On 20 November, BLT 2/7 returned to the operational control of the 1st Marines and prepared to relieve the SLF Bravo battalion, BLT 2/26, in Operation Meade River.²²

On 8 December, BLT 2/26 reembarked upon ARG/SLF Alpha shipping "conducting rehabilitation and training for future operations."* One week later, on 15 December, the BLT initiated SLF Alpha Operation Valiant Hunt. Remaining under the operational control of the SLF Alpha commander, now Colonel John F. McMahon, the BLT conducted a cordon and search in the southern Barrier Island sector just south of the earlier Daring Endeavor area of operations. Operation Valiant Hunt lasted until 5 January 1969. Lieutenant Colonel William F. Sparks, the battalion commander, observed that "Operation Valiant Hunt was the first time the BLT was responsible for conducting a total cordon operation. In this respect, the operation was a good 'training exercise' . . . However, there were no significant problems or enemy techniques encountered."²³

As the year ended, the SLF battalions were in much the same situation as the year had begun. One battalion was bringing a separate operation to a close while the other was ashore attached to a Marine division. In fact the 3d Battalion, 26th Marines was about to relieve BLT 2/7 as the infantry component of SLF Bravo. Even more important, many of the issues over the use of the SLF had not fully been settled. Even as late as July 1969, the 9th MAB operations officer, Colonel Clyde W. Hunter, would remark that the MAB staff believed that the "divisions were using the SLFs improperly, actually ginning up operations just to get them ashore and tie them down to a TAOR, or into some kind of operation, that had no connection to their mission as an SLF"²⁴

Still, as 1969 was about to begin, Brigadier General Williams, the 9th MAB commander, was about to embark on board amphibious shipping as Commanding General, Task Force 79, to help oversee one of the largest amphibious operations of the Vietnam War. In Operation Bold Mariner, both SLFs of the 9th MAB would land on the Batangan Peninsula under the command of Brigadier General Wilson. While beginning in this spectacular fashion, the SLFs for the remainder of 1969 would follow much the same pattern as that of 1968. For 1969, there would be 14 SLF operations as compared to 13 in 1968, and 25 in 1967. By the end of 1969, the SLFs had become a moot question for operations in South Vietnam. With the reduction of forces in Vietnam, the SLF could only be committed with the specific permission of the JCS.²⁵

*Sub-Unit 1, 1st Air and Naval Gunfire
Liaison Company (ANGLICO)*

In Vietnam, there was another Marine-Navy connection with both the Seventh Fleet and the in-country forces. Sub-Unit 1, 1st ANGLICO was a Fleet Marine Force, Pacific separate organization whose primary mission was to call in U.S. naval gunfire from ships offshore or Marine and Navy air in support of allied or other U.S. Service forces. In Vietnam, Sub-Unit 1 remained outside of the regular Marine chain of command and under the direct operational control of MACV in Saigon. At the beginning of 1968, Lieutenant Colonel Carlton D. Goodiel, Jr., the unit commander, maintained his headquarters in Saigon, but kept detachments in each of the Corps areas with the largest in I Corps.

In January 1968, the I Corps Liaison Naval Gunfire Team, headed by Navy Lieutenant Commander Philip B. Hatch, Jr., was at Da Nang and provided direct liaison with the South Vietnamese I Corps military establishment.** Under his control were two shore fire parties, one at Hue with the 1st ARVN Division and a smaller one at Quang Ngai with the 2d ARVN Division. Navy Lieutenant Robert A. Keeling headed the naval gunfire liaison team with the U.S. Army Americal Division with four shore fire parties attached to Army units at both Chu Lai and Duc Pho. At this time, the largest ANGLICO detachment in I Corps, and for that fact in the country, commanded by Marine Major Enos S. Olin, was

*On 7 December, HMM-362, now under Lieutenant Colonel Jack E. Schlarp, embarked upon the USS *Okinawa* (LPH 3) relieved HMM-363, as the SLF Alpha helicopter squadron. Lieutenant Colonel Schlarp recalled that while embarked "we conducted assault landings, put our BLT ashore, supported them completely, evacuated the wounded and extracted them at the completion of the operation." LtCol Jack E. Schlarp, Comments on draft, dtd 21Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File). The other ships of ARG Alpha (TG 76.4) were the USS *Duluth* (LPD 6), USS *Fort Marion* (LSD 22), USS *Winslow* (AKA 94).

**No ANGLICO detachments or teams were assigned to Marine units of III MAF since Marine units maintained in their FSCC and DASC organizations the ability to call in their own naval gunfire and air support.

with the 2d ROK Marine Brigade at Hoi An. One of the few detachments in Vietnam with an air control party, Olin had under him over 70 enlisted Marines and usually nine officers, eight Marines and one Navy lieutenant (j.g.).²⁶

While smaller and more scattered, Lieutenant Colonel Goodiel's command maintained similar naval gunfire liaison teams in the other corps areas of South Vietnam. In II Corps, Navy Lieutenant William L. Vandiver maintained the headquarters of his naval gunfire liaison team at Nha Trang with five shore fire control parties under his control. Further south, from the III Corps Naval Gunfire Liaison Team headquarters at Bien Hoa, Navy Lieutenant Dale W. Lucas controlled three shore fire control parties in the Corps area. In IV Corps, Marine Captain Ronald K. Roth, commander of the naval gunfire liaison team headquartered at Can Tho in the Mekong Delta, had two fire control parties, one at Ben Tre and the other at Tra Vinh. All told in January, ANGLICO Sub-Unit 1 numbered more than 230 personnel, both Marine and Navy, including about 35 at the Saigon headquarters.²⁷

During Tet, one of the most significant contributions of the ANGLICO teams was in the battle for Hue. At the outbreak of the attack on Hue on 31 January, the naval gunfire spot team attached to the 1st ARVN Division under Navy Lieutenant (j.g.) Marvin L. Warkentin, like the rest of the U.S. advisors, remained isolated from their units at the MACV compound in the southern sector of the city and had all they could do to repel the enemy attack on the compound itself. In a short time, however, with the arrival of the reinforcing Marine forces in the city, the team resumed its primary mission.²⁸

Through 13 February, all of the naval gunfire was employed against suspected enemy lines of communications outside of the city. Beginning on 14 February, with the initial onslaught of the enemy forces in the city contained north of the Perfume River and the NVA units cleared out south of the river, the naval gunfire support then shifted to targets in the Citadel north of the river with the exception of the former Imperial palace and its grounds. Because of the heavy cloud cover and other hampering weather conditions, the ships depended upon Warkentin's team for ground spotting. On the basis of military necessity and with the permission of the 1st Marines' commander, Colonel Stanley S. Hughes, who had operational control of the Marine forces in the city, the spotting team occupied a hotel which had been the headquarters in Hue of the

International Control Commission* and supposedly neutral ground. The building, however, provided the best view of the targets. For the first two days, the team directed the fires of the cruiser USS *Providence* (CLG 6) and the destroyer *Manley* (DD 940) against first the Citadel walls, and then on the 17th, against specific enemy strongholds in the old city. After the 17th, the Seventh Fleet gunships during the remainder of Operation Hue City turned their attention once more to harassing and interdiction fires.** According to interrogations of captured enemy troops in the Hue fighting, the naval gunfire inflicted many casualties "and had an extremely demoralizing effect."²⁹

Elsewhere in Vietnam during Tet, in II Corps, naval gunfire contributed to the defeat of the VC attacks against the cities. According to ANGLICO reports at Nha Trang, prior coordination planning with the installation defense command there permitted Navy Lieutenant Vandiver to call upon the destroyer USS *Mansfield* (DD 728), which was in the harbor, to provide counter-rocket and counter-mortar fires and to interdict avenues of approach to the city. Further south in the II Corps sector at Phan Thiet on 3 February, the naval gunfire liaison spot team there attached to the U.S. Army's 3d Battalion, 506th Regiment, 101st Airborne Division directed defensive fires from the destroyer USS *Frank E. Evans* (DD 754) into the city against the attacking 840th VC Battalion. The following day, the ANGLICO team adjusted the fires within 100 meters of friendly troops. In its after-action report, the team observed that the enemy troops "became disorganized, fled the area, and was soon driven out of the city by ARVN forces." Later in the month, the team once more called upon the *Evans* and another destroyer, the USS *Pritchett* (DD 561), to frustrate a renewed VC assault on Phan Thiet.³⁰

Following Tet, naval gunfire continued to play a large role especially in I Corps with its large buildup of forces especially in the north beginning even before Tet. By mid-March 1968, III MAF contained in the northern two provinces of I Corps one Marine division,

*The International Control Commission was created by the Geneva Agreement of 1954 to ensure the provisions of that treaty. It consisted of Polish, Indian, and Canadian members. Although by this time, the Commission was unable to enforce anything, it still retained facilities and personnel in both North and South Vietnam. See also Chapter 10.

**Two other destroyers and the cruisers *Canberra* (CAG 2) and the *Newport News* (CA 148) supported Operation Hue City. See CinCPacFlt, "Pacific Area Naval Operations Review," Feb 68 p. 29, (OAB, NHD).

elements of a second, and two Army divisions, and in addition a new command structure. Although subordinate to III MAF, Provisional Corps, Vietnam, commanded by Army Lieutenant General William B. Rosson, had operational control of U.S. forces in Quang Tri Province and Thua Thien Provinces including the 3d Marine Division, the 1st Air Cavalry Division, and the 101st Airborne Division.*

These changes in command relationships and the arrival of the new Army divisions in northern I Corps had an effect upon the ANGLICO organization in the corps sector. Since 26 January, Marine First Lieutenant Pasquale J. Morocco headed the ANGLICO fire control party with the 1st Air Cavalry Division at Camp Evans. Prior to the establishment of Provisional Corps, Lieutenant Hatch, the I Corps Naval Gunfire Liaison officer, also doubled as the MACV (Forward) Liaison officer when that command was temporarily installed at Phu Bai under General Creighton W. Abrams in early February. He remained in that dual capacity until 10 March when Provisional (Prov) Corps came into existence and General Abrams returned to Saigon. On 16 March, Navy Lieutenant Dale W. Lucas became the Provisional Corps Naval Gunfire Liaison officer. At about the same time, Navy Lieutenant Warkentin transferred from Hue to Camp Eagle outside of Phu Bai to head the shore fire control party attached to the 101st Airborne Division. On 23 April, ANGLICO spotters called in a Marine close air strike in support of the 101st marking "the first time" during the war that non-Air Force personnel controlled a close air support mission for the division.³¹

Throughout the period from February through June 1968, the tempo of naval gunfire support increased throughout Vietnam with the bulk going to support U.S. and allied forces in I Corps. For example, in February, Navy ships off the coast of South Vietnam fired more than 94,000 rounds. Of this total, ANGLICO teams in I Corps controlled missions firing nearly 18,000 of those rounds, which did not include the missions fired in support of the 3d Marine Division along the DMZ. By June, while somewhat reduced from February, the U.S. Seventh Fleet fired more than 79,000 rounds in support of all forces, with ANGLICO in I Corps controlling missions which provided

over 18,000 of those rounds. Again, the figures for I Corps did not include the missions fired in support of the two Marine Divisions in the corps sector. For the first half of 1968, Navy gunfire support exceeded that of the entire previous year.³²

In perhaps the largest demonstration of joint supporting arms of the war, Operation Thor in July 1968, naval gunfire ships and naval air played a large role in the aerial, ground, and ship bombardment of the North Vietnamese batteries in the Cap Mui Lay sector of the DMZ. Although Provisional Corps exercised command and coordination, Navy Lieutenant Dale W. Lucas, the Prov Corps ANGLICO naval gunfire liaison officer, and his team at the Dong Ha forward headquarters, processed all naval gunfire and then passed the direction to the 3d Marine Division naval gunfire section for action. All told, for the first seven days of July, nine gunships (three cruisers and six destroyers) fired over 19,000 rounds of 5-inch, 6-inch, and 8-inch ammunition against the enemy gun positions. In addition, Navy aircraft from four carriers flew 512 sorties and dropped 812 tons of ordnance upon the NVA positions. According to aerial photography and observation, the joint bombardment created extensive damage and hampered for the time being the NVA artillery support and coastal defense ability in the Cap Mui Lay area.^{33**}

About this time, the Navy prepared to add a powerful new arsenal to its naval gunfire capability, the recently refurbished battleship *New Jersey* (BB 62) with its 16-inch guns. On 16 July, I Corps and Prov Corps ANGLICO liaison teams participated in a targeting planning conference for the ship which was to arrive off the waters of Vietnam at the end of September. On 30 September, the battleship fired its first observed mission against NVA positions in the DMZ which "was spotted by an ANGLICO spotter flying in a Marine TA-4F from MAG 11" According to the ground data assessment (GDA), the *New Jersey's* big guns silenced 1 antiaircraft site, destroyed 1 truck and 4 bunkers, and caused 11 secondary explosions. During her first month off the coast of Vietnam, the warship steamed back and forth between I and II Corps and off the coast of the DMZ. Through the end

*The Prov Corps command did not include the 1st Marine Division Task Force X-Ray which operated in Phu Loc District and the Hai Van area of Thua Thien Province. In August 1968, Provisional Corps became XXIV Corps. For the changes in the military structure in I Corps, see Chapter 13.

**See Chapter 26 for a detailed account for Operation Thor. The Navy ships that took part in the operation were the cruisers *Boston* (CAG 1), *Providence* (CLG 6), and *St. Paul* (CA 73); the destroyers *Banner* (DD 807), *Boyd* (DD 544), *Cochrane* (DDG 21), *Turner Joy* (DD 951), *O'Brien* (DD 725), and *Henry B. Wilson* (DDG 7); and the carriers *Bon Homme Richard* (CVA 31), *Constellation* (CVA 64), *Ticonderoga* (CVA 14), and *America* (CVA 66).

of the year, the *New Jersey*, in the words of one Navy report, moved from one offshore position to another, "wreaking havoc on the enemy wherever she employed her might." For ANGLICO and Lieutenant Colonel Frederick K. Purdum, who relieved Lieutenant Colonel Goodiel as the commander of the Sub-Unit in August, the battleship provided a convincing argument to allied and Army commands of the capabilities and uses of naval gunfire and the services of ANGLICO naval gunfire liaison teams.³⁴

By the end of the year, the ANGLICO Sub-Unit 1 in Vietnam was somewhat smaller than in January, but it had become more self-sufficient. Until November, although its headquarters was in Saigon, it drew its supplies from III MAF at Da Nang. With approval of the Commandant of the Marine Corps, the Sub-Unit obtained its own supply account and more importantly through an inter-Service agreement, it was now able to obtain common item supplies from the U.S. Army 1st Logistic Command in South Vietnam. By the end of the year, the Sub-Unit contained 217 personnel, but had expanded its operations in IV Corps. While the final six months did not require the extensive naval gunfire support of the first half, this support was more dispersed and employed more evenly in all four Corps areas.

Embassy Marines

Another special Marine detachment in Vietnam was the Marine Embassy guard. In 1968, although somewhat larger than the usual Embassy security guard, the Saigon detachment performed much the same missions as their counterparts elsewhere: protected classified material and U.S. government officials and property, especially the Ambassador and the Embassy. From 1965 through 1967, the detachment in Saigon had shown only sporadic growth. While more than doubling in 1966, it had remained the same size for over a year and was subordinate to Company C, Security Guard Battalion (State Department) headquartered in the Philippines capital, Manila. In January 1968, the detachment consisted of one officer, Captain Robert J. O'Brien, and 67 enlisted men.³⁵

Until the Tet offensive in January 1968, except for increased security watch, the war had largely bypassed the Marines assigned to the Saigon Embassy. On the afternoon of 30 January, however, a State Department security officer met with Captain O'Brien and informed him about the possibility of a VC attack that evening or sometime during Tet in the Saigon area. The Marine captain immediately increased the alert

status and put a second man on all one-man posts. He also placed a rooftop watch on the Embassy's chancery building and assigned two men to the Norodom compound next to the Embassy compound. That night he and one of his sergeants visited all of the posts, finding nothing out of the ordinary, and about 0130 on the 31st, returned to Marine House, which doubled as the headquarters and barracks for the guard. O'Brien then stretched out on a sofa and gave orders to wake him in time so he could make another tour at 0300.³⁶

The Viet Cong disrupted the captain's schedule. At 0245, a group of approximately 20 members of the VC C-10 Battalion armed with satchel charges, automatic weapons, and grenades, blew a hole in the wall surrounding the Embassy compound near the northeast gate. The two U.S. Army Military Police (MPs) from the 716th Military Police Battalion raised the alarm, but were gunned down by the intruders. Two more MPs in a jeep patrol tried to come to the assistance of their comrades, but also died in a burst of machine gun fire.³⁷

At the time this occurred, Sergeant Ronald W. Harper, one of the three Marines posted in the Embassy Chancery building, was visiting and drinking coffee with the Marines in the guard shack by the Norodom compound. He suddenly looked up and saw a strange Vietnamese and then heard rocket and machine gun fire. Harper made a dash back to the chancery, finding the main entrance door still unlocked. He found Corporal George B. Zahuranic at the front receptionist desk on the telephone calling for help. Sergeant Harper immediately locked the door and then ran to the armory inside the building to obtain additional weapons.³⁸

At that point, the VC fired several B-40 rockets at the front entrance. The rockets knocked out the windows behind the steel bars and penetrated the door, but failed to unlock it or force it open. Although knocked to the ground by the initial blast, Harper was unhurt. Corporal Zahuranic was not as fortunate—he was hit by a piece of metal and was bleeding profusely from the right side of his head and ear. Sergeant Harper provided what first aid he could for Zahuranic and then answered the phone from another post. He relayed the information about the wounded Zahuranic and pressed upon his caller the urgency of the situation.

On the roof of the Chancery was Sergeant Rudy A. Soto, armed with a shotgun. Like Harper, Soto witnessed the VC blasting their way into the Embassy courtyard. He tried to take the VC troops under fire, but his weapon jammed. Sergeant Soto had a radio

with him, but was unable to contact either Harper or Zahuranic and presumed that the VC had entered the building. He then radioed Marine House and informed them of the situation as he knew it.

By this time, Captain O'Brien was on his way. The duty noncommissioned officer at Marine House had awakened him with the news about the attack almost as soon as it had occurred. The Marine captain with Sergeant Richard G. Frattarelli, his driver, jumped into the sedan that had a radio and departed for the Embassy, some five blocks away. Three other Marines, two sergeants and a corporal, followed in a jeep. Reaching a South Vietnamese police check point about a block away from the Embassy and hearing that the VC were still there, O'Brien decided that the Marines should leave the two vehicles at the police station.³⁹

Covering the rest of the distance to the Embassy compound by foot, O'Brien and his small entourage arrived at the northeast gate unseen by any of the VC attackers. He called out to the MPs who were supposed to be there, but instead of the Americans, he saw five or six of the VC who still had their backs to the Marines. Captain O'Brien remembered being, "momentarily stunned by the abrupt . . . confrontation with the VC," but quickly recovered, ordering the one Marine with the Beretta submachine gun to open fire. As the Beretta gave a long burst, the other Marines shot their .38-caliber pistols at the enemy inside the gate. A sudden automatic weapons fusillade forced O'Brien and his men to take cover behind the compound wall and some nearby trees.

At this point, Captain O'Brien directed Sergeant Frattarelli to return to the sedan and radio Marine House for reinforcements. Frattarelli ran down the street about a half a block, when some frightened South Vietnamese police opened up upon him. The Marine sergeant took cover in an entrance way and "called out American" and the police let him through. Reaching the radio, he requested the additional men and ammunition and then retraced his route back to O'Brien.⁴⁰

Back at Marine House, Gunnery Sergeant Allen Morrison had taken charge of the situation there. Although not in contact with Captain O'Brien until Frattarelli had radioed him, Morrison had communicated with both Sergeant Soto and the Marine sergeant with the Ambassador. The Ambassador was safe and had moved from his residence to the house of one of the Embassy security officers. According to Morrison, the Ambassador had delegated the defense of the Embassy

to him in that he not been able to reach anyone else. Even before hearing from Sergeant Frattarelli, Gunnery Sergeant Morrison had sent a reaction team consisting of Staff Sergeant Leroy J. Banks and five other Marines in a vehicle to the Embassy.⁴¹

On the way, U.S. Army MPs stopped the Marines about 300 yards from the Embassy compound and told Staff Sergeant Banks to take his men out of the area as the VC were attacking. Banks told the MPs that they were Embassy Marines and "our job and orders were to get to the Embassy and save it." The Marine staff sergeant then directed his men to leave their vehicle and the team went the rest of the way on foot reaching the Norodom building, housing the Consulate and other U.S. government offices, on the southwest side of the Embassy. Banks' Marines then tried to maneuver north using the compound wall to find an entrance into the Embassy compound itself. They almost reached the police station where the first group had left their vehicles, but like Sergeant Frattarelli, came under fire from the edgy Vietnamese policemen. Unable to advance any further, Banks led his men back to the Norodom Building and joined the Marine guards already there.⁴²

In the meantime, at the northeast end of the Embassy, Captain O'Brien and his group placed as much fire upon the VC inside the compound as best they could. They tried unsuccessfully to shoot off the locks of one of the gates. Joined by six MPs about 0330, the Marines continued to lay down a base of fire and two of the MPs took positions in a nearby building. The Marine captain also told Sergeant Frattarelli to return to the sedan and radio for more assistance and weapons. The Vietnamese police again shot at Frattarelli, who once more yelled out that he was an American, but "this time it didn't work, they just kept firing." While taking up new positions, O'Brien and his Marines would remain out of radio contact until daylight.⁴³

At the Norodom, Staff Sergeant Banks positioned his men in defensive positions and placed several on the roof where they could fire down on the VC in the compound. Banks and a small group made an unsuccessful attempt to enter the Embassy compound through the Norodom gate, but were forced to fall back as the VC had all the gates covered with automatic weapons. Although reinforced by an Army MP lieutenant with seven MPs under him, the Americans with a few M16s, three Beretta submachine guns, and .38 caliber pistols, were badly outgunned by the VC armed with machine guns, rocket launchers, and

grenades. Unlike Captain O'Brien, however, Sergeant Banks was able to maintain radio contact with Gunnery Sergeant Morrison in Marine House and remain in telephone communication with Sergeant Harper inside the Embassy. After consulting with Gunnery Sergeant Morrison and Harper, Banks and the Army lieutenant thought it best to wait until daylight and more reinforcements before making any further moves. With American MPs and Marines surrounding the Embassy and the continuing harassing fire, the VC had little chance to escape and no prospect of reaching the Chancery itself.⁴⁴

The waiting until daylight proved to be a sound tactic. At about 0630, a U.S. Army helicopter alighted on the Chancery roof and evacuated both Sergeant Soto and the wounded Corporal Zahuranic. Shortly afterward, Captain O'Brien was able to reach his radio and radioed Gunnery Sergeant Morrison for additional weapons and a few more men, both of which were forthcoming. With the additional reinforcements and with strong covering fires, the Marines and MPs by 0730 finally forced their way into the compound from both over the northeast wall and through the Norodom compound gate. The VC only offered a desultory resistance and took what refuge they could. At 0800, another Army helicopter landed troops from Company C, 502d Infantry, 101st Airborne Division on the roof of the Chancery. All that was left was the moping up. At 0900, Captain O'Brien grouped his Marines together and made a floor to floor sweep of the Chancery to make sure none of the attackers had somehow taken refuge there. It would be another two hours before the building would be clear. The Marine captain estimated that there were about 200 people swarming around the Embassy grounds and the building itself including "reporters, writers, cameramen, MPs, 101st Airborne troops, and civilians." People were "taking pictures, asking questions, and picking up anything in sight, everything was up for grabs." Finally by late morning, the crowd had thinned out and the Marines had effected some "semblance of order." From the onset of the attack until the last Viet Cong was killed by retired Army Colonel George Jacobsen, the Mission Coordinator for the Embassy, in his house on the grounds, was about seven hours. Most of the VC attackers were dead except for two prisoners and the Americans suffered casualties of five dead and five wounded. One of the dead, Corporal James C. Marshall who had been killed by a sniper bullet while on the roof of the Norodom Building, and five of the wounded were Marines.

While one of the most dramatic events of the Communist Tet offensive, especially considering the play it received upon American television, the attack on the Embassy was in reality a sideshow. The attack had failed miserably, and the attackers never reached the Chancery building, but largely milled about in the compound until finally killed or taken prisoner. Despite its futility, the assault on the Embassy compound provided a propaganda coup for the enemy and pointed out the need for further security at the Embassy. By the end of the year, the Marine Security Guard had expanded by 39 men with plans to form the detachment into a separate company. On 1 February 1969, the Saigon detachment became Company E, Marine Security Guard Battalion (State Dept).⁴⁵

Individual Marines in Saigon and Elsewhere in Vietnam

At the beginning of the year, outside of I Corps and mostly stationed in Saigon were some 200 individual Marines almost evenly divided between officers and enlisted men. Most were assigned to the MACV headquarters staff, but others served on the MACV radio and television staff, with the Studies and Observation Group (SOG), and other special groups. On the MACV staff, the senior officer was Brigadier General John R. Chaisson, who as MACV Deputy J-3 for Operations, ran the MACV Combat Operations Center, and developed a very close relationship with General Westmoreland, the MACV commander. To a certain extent, Chaisson became Westmoreland's informal advisor on Marine matters. A frank, outspoken officer, Chaisson was perhaps best remembered for his press conference on 3 February 1968, when he admitted that the Viet Cong had surprised the MACV command with the intensity and coordination of the Tet offensive.⁴⁶

In mid-1968, Marine Brigadier John N. McLaughlin relieved Chaisson in the same capacity. By the end of November, for whatever reason, there was some reduction in the Marines assigned to MACV, now consisting of 77 officers and 53 enlisted men.⁴⁷

In I Corps, there was another group of Marines who served individually as advisors under MACV to South Vietnamese Army units. In late 1967, 20 Marine officers and 23 enlisted men served in that capacity. Another 129 Marine enlisted men provided security to the I Corps Advisory Group at Da Nang. By the end of 1968, the total number of Marine advisors was 27, 15 officers and 12 enlisted men. The enlisted Marines for security were no longer needed.⁴⁸

Advisors to the Vietnamese Marine Corps

The largest Marine advisory effort was with the South Vietnamese Marine Corps. Beginning with one U.S. Marine advisor in early 1955, the U.S. Marine Advisory Unit (MAU) to the South Vietnamese Marine Corps had by January 1968 grown to an authorized strength of 33 personnel consisting of 27 Marine officers, a Navy doctor, four enlisted Marines and a Navy corpsman. Commanded by Colonel Richard L. Michael, Jr., who held the title, Senior Marine Advisor, the MAU was part of the Naval Advisory Group in the U.S. MACV advisory organization. In Saigon, Michael maintained a small headquarters which consisted of the Assistant Senior Marine Advisor, Lieutenant Colonel Glenn W. Rodney, and a small administrative staff. The rest served in the field with the deployed units of the Vietnamese Marine Corps.⁴⁹

Outside of the supply, ordnance, artillery, and amphibious specialist officers, the remaining 16 U.S. Marine advisors served with the two South Vietnamese Marine task force headquarters or the six infantry battalions. Each task force was allotted a U.S. Marine major and captain as an advisor and assistant advisor. A U.S. Marine captain and first lieutenant were assigned to each of the battalions as the advisor and assistant advisor to the commander. For the individual Marine infantry individual advisor, it meant a continued "nomadic lonely life." As one Marine officer wrote it was not "unusual for a Marine advisor to report aboard; undergo in-processing of two or three days; and join a deployed unit not to return to the Advisory Unit for months at a time." A senior advisor to one of the Vietnamese battalions, Captain Jerry I. Simpson, commented that while serving with the Vietnamese he subsisted "on the same rations" as the Vietnamese Marines and would not see any Americans, including his assistant advisor, "for several days at a time."⁵⁰

As could be expected, the South Vietnamese Marine Corps attempted to pattern itself after the U.S. Marine Corps model. It consisted of a Lieutenant General Commandant and a small central headquarters in Saigon, two combat task forces, Task Force Alpha and Task Force Bravo, six infantry battalions, an artillery battalion, an Amphibious Support Battalion, and a training center. Most of the Vietnamese Marine field officers and many of the company grade officers had attended at least the U.S. Marine Corps Basic School at Quantico, Virginia. A few of the more senior officers also graduated from the more advanced U.S. Amphibious Warfare School at the U.S. Marine base. By Janu-

ary 1968, the Vietnamese Marines numbered over 7,300 men and prided itself like its sister service in the United States on its elan and its reputation as one of the country's elite fighting force.⁵¹

Despite the similarities between the two Marine Corps, there were important differences. While its officers and some of its enlisted men had received amphibious warfare training, the South Vietnamese Marine Corps actually participated in very few amphibious operations. Having its origins in the Vietnamese commando and riverine companies under the French, the Vietnamese Marine Corps at first operated much in the French tradition after its establishment in 1954. In fact until May 1955, a French officer remained in command of the Vietnamese Marines. With the growing American influence, the Vietnamese Marine organization tended to reflect the U.S. Marine Corps with a growing emphasis upon the amphibious mission. Still, from the very beginning of their existence, the Vietnamese Marines were committed to campaigns against the Viet Cong. While still continuing riverine operations, especially in the MeKong Delta and in the Rung Sat sector south of Saigon, there was little call for assaults across a defended beach.⁵²

The basic advantage that the Vietnamese Marines offered was their national character. Recruited from the nation at large, rather than from any one region as most of the South Vietnamese Army divisions were, they could be deployed anywhere in Vietnam when the situation demanded. Together with other specialist units such as the South Vietnamese rangers and airborne, the Vietnamese Marines formed the National General Reserve. Operating directly under the South Vietnamese Joint General Staff (JGS), these units became in effect fire brigades to rush to the most urgent hot spots and put out the flames. In one sense, the most important quality of the Vietnamese Marines was their demonstrated loyalty over time to the central government and the Joint General Staff.⁵³

Given the dominance of the Vietnamese military in the central government, no South Vietnamese military organization could be entirely divorced from internal politics. In the coup against then-President Diem in 1963, Vietnamese Marines played a decisive role in toppling the regime. While the Vietnamese Commandant, Le Nguyen Khang, did not take an active part in bringing down the government, he was aware of the plot and took no action to prevent it. Following the coup, Khang became the South Vietnamese military attache in the Philippines, but in three months he once more resumed his duties as Commandant of the Viet-



Both photos are courtesy of Col Talman C. Budd, USMC (Ret)

Above, U.S. Marine advisors to Vietnamese Marine Task Force Alpha in 1968 are from left: Capt Thomas B. Bagley, Jr., Assistant Advisor, TF Alpha; 1stLt Larry S. MacFarlane, Assistant Advisor, 1st Bn, VNMC; Capt Ronald D. Ray, Assistant Advisor, TF Alpha; 1stLt Louis Garcia, Senior Advisor, 1st Bn, VNMC; an unidentified U.S. Marine warrant officer; and Maj Talman C. Budd, Senior Advisor, TF Alpha. Below is the main gate to the South Vietnamese Marine headquarters in Saigon. The Vietnamese Marine Corps symbol is clearly visible on the sign above the gate.



name Marine Corps. In 1966, Khang and his Marines sided with the central government against the "Struggle Movement" in I Corps and helped to subdue those ARVN units loyal to the former I Corps commander, Lieutenant General Nguyen Chanh Thi.⁵⁴

By January 1968, Khang, now a lieutenant general, not only was Commandant of the Vietnamese Marine Corps, but according to his count, wore as many as six different "hats." In addition to his Marine Corps command, he was the Commander of the Capital Military District which included the city of Saigon and its immediate vicinity; he was the commanding general of the South Vietnamese III Corps Military Tactical Zone; and also was a member of the National Leadership Council, which "in effect ruled the country." Moreover, as III Corps commander, he was the "governor-delegate for administration" or III Corps administrator, and as commander of the Capital Military District, he was the military governor of Saigon. Despite these various responsibilities, Khang considered that his "main job was still command of the Marines."⁵⁵

While Khang still held overall control of the Vietnamese Marine Corps, he relied on his assistant and chief of staff, Colonel Bui Thi Lan for the day to day running of the headquarters. The two task force commanders, for the most part, had direct operational control of the infantry battalions. In January 1968 prior to Tet, Task Force Alpha consisting of two infantry battalions and an artillery battalion was committed to the Bong Son area in II Corps, encountering only light and sporadic resistance. The other task force, TF Bravo, also with two battalions, was attached to the 7th ARVN Division in the IV Corps sector. Of the remaining two Marine infantry battalions, one remained under the direct control of the Capital Military District just outside of Saigon and the other had retired to its base camp at Vung Tau.⁵⁶

This all changed in the early morning hours of 31 January, when the Viet Cong and the North Vietnamese Army launched their country-wide Tet offensive. In Saigon, Viet Cong sappers had entered the Embassy compound while other Communist units struck the Vietnamese Joint General Staff headquarters, the adjoining Tan Son Nhut airbase, and other military bases on the outskirts of the city. After the

initial surprise, mixed U.S. and South Vietnamese forces in and around the city regrouped and began the counterattack.⁵⁷

The Vietnamese Marines quickly became enmeshed in the fighting. At the outset of the enemy offensive the only Marine unit anywhere near Saigon was the 3d Battalion, attached to the Capital Military Command, but committed to an operation several thousand meters west of the city. When the South Vietnamese Joint General Staff began to realize the intensity of the enemy effort, they immediately called upon the Marine units to reinforce the ARVN units already in Saigon. At 0430 on the 31st, the South Vietnamese Joint General Staff alerted the 4th Battalion, which was "more or less [in] a standdown" at its base camp at Vung Tau for air movement into Tan Son Nhut Airport on the outskirts of the city.⁵⁸ Because of ground fog and enemy ground fire near Tan Son Nhut, the aircraft carrying the Marines did not land until 0930. After an initial briefing, the battalion then moved to reinforce the Joint General headquarters south of the airbase. Although killing a reported 20 Viet Cong but sustaining 9 wounded, the battalion was unable to close with the enemy out of concern of "inflicting excessive civilian casualties." Engaging in a desultory fire fight until 1430 with Communist troops who had penetrated the JGS compound, the battalion received orders to move north in the Gia Dinh sector of Saigon to relieve the ARVN Phu Dong armored base that was under attack.⁵⁹

The battalion arrived at its destination, 4,000 meters north of its previous position, about 1630. It immediately mounted a two-company assault, supported by ARVN tanks, and two U.S. helicopter gunships providing limited air support against the ARVN compound, now held by an estimated NVA battalion. The enemy commander warned the Marines that his troops would kill the South Vietnamese civilian dependents, being held as hostage. After the supporting tanks in the lead "blew a large opening" in the surrounding wall, the Vietnamese Marines entered the armored compound headquarters "with machine guns blazing" and found the charred bodies of the dependents heaped in a large pile. Among the dead were the wife and eight children of the base commander, an ARVN lieutenant colonel, who also had been murdered. With enemy forces still in strength in the sector, darkness coming on, and the inability to provide continuing air support, the South Vietnamese JGS ordered the battalion commander to withdraw to more defensible positions. For the day, the battalion had sustained

*Lieutenant Colonel John J. Hainsworth, who as a captain served as an assistant battalion advisor to the Vietnamese Marines, noted that "many of these VNMC Battalion assignments were politically sensitive and motivated within the VNMC hierarchy and the Joint General Staff." LtCol John J. Hainsworth, Comments on draft, dtd 12Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Hainsworth Comments.

casualties of 7 dead and 52 wounded and claimed to have killed 100 of the enemy.⁶⁰

In the meantime, the JGS had brought Task Force Bravo headquarters and the 1st and 2d Battalions into Saigon. At 1500, the 1st Battalion began arriving in an improvised helicopter landing zone inside the Joint General Staff headquarters compound from Cai Lay in IV Corps. With the completion of the helilift a half-hour later, the battalion occupied the positions vacated by the 4th Battalion when it deployed north to relieve the armored base. U.S. C-130 transport aircraft brought the task force headquarters and the 2d Battalion into Tan Son Nhut Airport with the last elements landing at 1930. The task force headquarters and the 2d Battalion then joined the 1st Battalion near the Joint General Staff compound.⁶¹

While making his overnight command post outside of the JGS compound, the Marine task force commander

received new orders for the next day. He was to turn over operational control of his 2d Battalion to a nearby South Vietnamese airborne commander and then move with the 1st Battalion to the positions of the 4th Battalion near the armor base. After taking command of the 4th Battalion, the task force, once more, was to reassume the attack.⁶²

On the morning of 1 February, however, the North Vietnamese launched a counterattack on the Vietnamese forces near the armored compound. The NVA overran a neighboring ARVN artillery base, but the Vietnamese Marine forces in defensive positions, supported by air repulsed the enemy in fighting which even involved "some hand to hand combat." The two battalions of Task Force Bravo then mounted their own offensive. In heavy seesaw fighting that lasted until 3 February, the Vietnamese Marines finally cleared the sector. The costs, however, had been heavy on both sides. For the three days, the Vietnamese

Vietnamese Marines are seen with a Viet Cong prisoner in the streets of Saigon during the Tet offensive. In one of the most memorable scenes of the war, captured by Associated Press photographer Eddie Adams, a few minutes after this scene South Vietnamese National Police Chief BGen Nguyen Ngoc Loan, would personally execute the prisoner.

Photo courtesy of Col John W. Ripley, USMC (Ret)



Marines suffered casualties of 17 dead and 88 wounded and reported over 220 enemy dead. In the fighting, three of the U.S. Marine advisors were among the wounded. These included both the senior and assistant advisors of the 4th Battalion, Major William P. Eshelman and Captain John J. Hainsworth, and the senior advisor to the 1st Battalion, Captain Jerry I. Simpson. All three of the Americans recovered from their wounds although only Major Eshelman returned to his battalion.^{63*}

Beginning on 3 February, the South Vietnamese Joint General Staff began its official counteroffensive in Saigon, codenamed Operation *Tran Hung Dao*, and General Cao Van Vien, Chief of the Joint General Staff, took personal command. According to the plan, Vien divided Saigon into five zones and gave them letter designations A through E. He later added a sixth zone, Zone F, in the outlying southern suburbs that became the responsibility of U.S. forces. South Vietnamese Airborne, Army, police, and Ranger units were given Zones A, C, D, and E to clear. Task Force Bravo assumed control of Zone B, containing the Gia Dinh sector which included the northeastern part of the city and its suburbs. The 2d Battalion remained under the operational control of the Capital Military Command going wherever it was needed until 18 February when it rejoined Task Force Bravo.⁶⁴

Task Force Bravo remained committed to Operation *Tran Hung Do* in the Gia Dinh sector until the operation came to an end on 11 March. While action flared up occasionally during this period, by 7 February, the Vietnamese forces supported by U.S. forces had broken the back of the enemy offensive. Never fewer than two battalions, more often with three, Task Force Bravo and the individual Marine battalions in the operation reported over 700 of the enemy dead, captured 54, and detained over 2,000 suspects. They recovered 44 crew-served and 241 individual Communist weapons. The cost to the Marines was also high, 49 dead and 227 wounded.⁶⁵

*Lieutenant Colonel Simpson remembered that the enemy could have used "the 105mm howitzers in the artillery compound . . . to shell the entire Ton Son Nhut area." He recalled that in the enemy attack on the artillery compound, the Vietnamese Marine 1st Battalion closely coordinated fires with the only American in the artillery compound, a U.S. Army major. The ARVN artillerymen lowered their howitzers "to 0 elevation and were firing point blank at the VC." The resulting shelling hit a gasoline station north of the 1st Battalion and provided "excellent illumination of the entire area." LtCol Jerry I. Simpson, Comments on draft, dtd 10Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File). Lieutenant Colonel Hainsworth noted that he had just joined the 4th Battalion. Hainsworth Comments.

While Task Force Bravo and at least one other Vietnamese Marine infantry battalion attached to the Capital Military Command remained in Saigon, Task Force Alpha deployed to Hue and took part in the retaking of the Citadel in that city. From its initial commitment to II Corps, at the start of Tet, Task Force Alpha and its battalions had returned to Saigon to be in position to reinforce Task Force Bravo if needed. After losing operational control of two of its battalions, on 9 February, the task force headquarters and the 1st Battalion departed Tan Son Nhut Airport by air for Phu Bai. By 14 February, the initial units were reinforced by two more battalions, the 4th and 5th. After some initial misunderstandings, the commander of the 1st ARVN Division, General Ngo Quang Truong, assigned Task Force Alpha to clearing the western Citadel. Taking part in some of the heaviest fighting in the war, Task Force A remained under the operational control of the 1st ARVN Division and in Hue or its environs until 27 March when it relieved Task Force Bravo in Saigon. In the fighting for Hue, the Vietnamese Marine task force sustained casualties of nearly 90 dead and 350 wounded.** All told, for the period 30 January through 27 March which included the battles for both Saigon and Hue, Vietnamese Marines reported killing over 1,300 of the Communists and captured another 82 while detaining nearly 2,000 suspects. The entire VNMC suffered 128 killed, 588 wounded, and 1 missing in action.⁶⁶

For the rest of the year, the two Vietnamese Marine task forces and individual battalions would be committed to combat situations without hardly any reprieve. While encountering little of the ferocity of Tet during most of the remaining months, the intensity of the fighting that flared up in Saigon again in May and June for the Vietnamese Marines almost matched that for the earlier period. For the entire year, including Tet, the Vietnamese Marine Corps conducted 196 battalion-size operations or larger which resulted in 2,761 reported enemy killed, 352 prisoners, and 1,150 captured weapons. While on operations 98 percent of the time, the Marines sustained losses of 369 killed, 1,651 wounded, and 4 missing in action. According to Lieutenant Colonel James T. Breckinridge, who relieved Lieutenant Colonel Rodney in April, "the Vietnamese Marine Corps is the best unit in RVN for the amount of money spent to support it. If these Marines are

**For description of the battle for Hue City see Chapters 9–12.

properly employed and supported and given a target, they can and have outperformed other RVNAF ground units.”⁶⁷

Despite such praise, the Vietnamese Marines had come under significant criticism during the course of the year. Frustrated at what he considered the slow progress of Task Force Alpha in the Hue Citadel, General Creighton W. Abrams, then Deputy ComUSMACV, radioed General Westmoreland that he was considering recommending to the South Vietnamese Joint General Staff the dissolution of the Vietnamese Marine Corps. In perhaps an even more delicate political situation for the Vietnamese Marines Corps, General Khang, the Vietnamese Marine Commandant, resigned all of his positions in June except his command of the Marine Corps after an American helicopter gunship accidentally hit a friendly position, killing several supporters of Vice President Nguyen Cao Ky. While Khang had been identified as a supporter of Ky, he claimed that Ky and his supporters blamed him for the incident.



Above, a Vietnamese Marine lieutenant artillery forward observer calls for a fire mission during street fighting in Saigon during the Tet offensive. Below, Marine infantrymen supported by armor advance in Saigon fighting. Note that the lead Marine has his gas mask open and ready to put on.

Both Photos are courtesy of Col John W. Ripley, USMC (Ret)





Photo courtesy of Col Talman C. Budd, USMC (Ret)

A Vietnamese tank protects the Vietnamese TF Alpha command post in Gia Dinh in renewed fighting in Saigon during May 1968.

According to Khang, to avoid all suspicion, he retained only his post as Marine Corps Commandant. Lieutenant Colonel Breckinridge observed that there apparently was a rumor campaign to discredit Khang in October, claiming that he was about to bring in Marine battalions into Saigon to topple the government. By the end of the year, however, Khang accompanied President Thieu on a ceremonial trip to IV Corps. Breckinridge interpreted this fact to show that Khang was not in disfavor.⁶⁸

While the U.S. Marine advisors for the most part respected their Vietnamese counterparts and the fighting qualities of the Vietnamese Marine, they also recognized several of the shortcomings of the Vietnamese organization. According to Breckinridge, who reviewed all of the American advisor after action and monthly reports, there was a constant theme of lack of staff work and refusal of commanders to delegate authority, lack of tactical coordination, poor employment of mortars, and poor caliber of the noncommissioned officers. During the battle for Hue, for example, the 1st Battalion was heavily engaged for two days while the “two other battalions of the task force watched the fighting from a distance of about one kilometer.” The Marine advisor to the battalion attributed some of the heavy losses of the

Marines during the fighting on the failure of the task force commander “to commit all or part of his watching idle battalions.”^{69*}

Despite such obvious weakness on the part of the Vietnamese Marines, Breckinridge, who was serving his second tour in Vietnam, the first being in 1955 with the first advisory group, also saw much improvement. The Vietnamese took several steps to improve both tactics and leadership. The Marines opened up a school for noncommissioned officers and a school for the use of mortars. In March 1968, after a review of the entire organization with the Joint General Staff, MACV agreed to support the transformation of the Marine Corps into a Marine light division. In October the Vietnamese Marine Brigade officially became the Vietnamese Marine Corps division consisting of two brigades. With the potential of continued growth and an earned combat reputation, the Vietnamese Marine Corps had become an even more integral part of the Vietnamese General Reserve.⁷⁰

*Colonel Breckinridge noted in his comments that his “after-tour report was a compilation of many such reports submitted by previous advisors and was an attempt to assist both advisors and Vietnamese. Areas wherein . . . [it] was reported that the VNMC made mistakes, in many cases, are the same areas that Americans would also have fallen short.” Col James T. Breckinridge, Comments on draft, dtd 1Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File).

1968: An Overview

The year 1968 had been a momentous one in the Vietnam War, possibly the defining year, for the U.S. effort in that conflict, including the Marine Corps role. As the year began, III MAF, the Marine Corps command in Vietnam, had one of its two Marine divisions, the 3d, strung out along the eastern DMZ in largely fixed positions tied to the strong point obstacle system (SPOS) or barrier. While pressing the 3d Marine Division forces in eastern Quang Tri, the North Vietnamese succeeded in isolating the Marine regiment, the 26th Marines, at Khe Sanh in northwestern I CTZ, near the Laotian border. The enemy had cut Route 9, the main east-west land artery, and forced the Marines to rely entirely upon air for resupply. Even in southern I Corps, there were portents of growing enemy strength. The newly formed U.S. Army 23d or Americal Division continued to engage NVA and VC forces. Furthermore, U.S. commanders obtained intelligence that the *2d NVA Division* planned attacks aimed at both the fire support bases of the 3d Brigade, 1st Air Cavalry Division in the Que Son Valley and the 1st Marine Division positions in the Da Nang sector.

As with most aspects of the Vietnam War, the message was mixed. Together with the intelligence about the expansion of the war, there were continuing rumors about new peace initiatives by the North Vietnamese. Earlier, MACV published intelligence estimates that claimed enemy total strength had declined. Moreover, General William C. Westmoreland, the MACV commander, in November 1967, had proclaimed that the end of the war was in sight and issued directives calling for a full offensive by allied forces on all fronts. According to American pacification measurements, more and more villages were supposedly under allied control. In I Corps, for example, at the end of December, III MAF reported about 55 percent of the population living in so-called secure areas.*

Yet as January progressed, MACV and III MAF focused more and more upon the north. The buildup of enemy forces around Khe Sanh could no longer be denied. Originally planning deep penetration operations into enemy base areas in the Do Xa and A Shau areas in I Corps, General Westmoreland decided

instead to reinforce the Marine forces in the north with two more Army divisions, the 1st Air Cavalry and 101st Airborne. The MACV commander expected the enemy major thrust either to be directly across the DMZ, or more likely at Khe Sanh, while launching diversionary attacks throughout South Vietnam.

III MAF also prepared for the onslaught, with its focus also on the north. Beginning in December 1967, Lieutenant General Robert E. Cushman, the MAF commander, directed the 1st Marine Division at Da Nang to take over the 3d Marine Division area of operations in Thua Thien Province. In a massive relocation of units between the two Marine Divisions during December and January, appropriately called Operation Checkers, the 1st Marine Division assumed responsibility for the Phu Loc area and established its Task Force X-Ray at Phu Bai, as well. The increasing enemy strength around Khe Sanh in mid-January forced the 3d Marine Division to reinforce the garrison with yet another battalion. With the arrival of the 1st Air Cavalry Division in northern I Corps and the establishment of its base area at Camp Evans, about the same time, made the original Checkers plans obsolete. All eyes were now on Khe Sanh.

As General Westmoreland prepared for what he thought would be the decisive battle of the war, his relationship with the Marine command had grown rather tenuous. From the beginning of the commitment of Marine forces to Vietnam, there had been differences between the MACV approach and that of the Marine. From the start, the Marines emphasized pacification and population control while the MACV commander had stressed the large unit war against the VC and NVA regular units. The commitment of large Marine forces to the barrier project along the DMZ also had placed a strain upon the relationship. Although irreverently referred to as the "McNamara Wall," Westmoreland fully backed the venture and believed the Marines to be dragging their feet. Finally there was the subject of Khe Sanh, itself. Only under MACV pressure did III MAF garrison the isolated outpost in the first place and Westmoreland was concerned that the Marines tended to underestimate the threat to the base. Given these circumstances and what he considered Marine inflexibility about control of its own avia-

*See Chapter 1.

tion, the MACV commander gave some consideration about a change in command relations in the north. He finally decided, as a half measure, to establish a MACV (Forward) headquarters at Phu Bai under his deputy, General Creighton W. Abrams, and prepared the way for single management of Marine air under his deputy for air, Air Force General William Momyer.

While, on 21 January 1968, the Communists initiated a massive bombardment on Khe Sanh, their main offensive thrust was not the Marine base nor the DMZ forces, but the cities and lines of communication throughout South Vietnam from the MeKong Delta in the south to Quang Tri City in the north. Khe Sanh would remain under siege from 21 January until early April. Although making several strong probes, overrunning the Special Forces at Lang Vei, and maintaining large troop formations around the base, the North Vietnamese never launched a full-fledged ground assault against Khe Sanh. Speculation and controversy still dominate the discussion about the siege and the motivation of the North Vietnamese. Did the enemy hope for a replay of Dien Bien Phu, its successful campaign against the French in 1954, or merely use Khe Sanh as a feint for his Tet offensive? Given the number of troop resources that the enemy placed around Khe Sanh and the pounding they absorbed from artillery and air, there can be no doubt that the North Vietnamese would have taken the base, if they could have done so. On the other hand, there was a limit on the price they were willing to pay, and in all probability, Khe Sanh was only one objective among many. The Communists hoped and possibly believed that their Tet offensive would bring about a true people's revolution against the South Vietnamese regime, resulting in the defection of the ARVN and the fall of the government.

Arguably, however, the Communists may never have realistically expected their Tet offensive to cause an uprising throughout South Vietnam and probably had in mind a more limited and attainable goal. A case could be made that at least in I Corps, their main objective was not Khe Sanh, but Hue. They perhaps hoped that the capture of Hue would result in the defection of the South Vietnamese forces and the loss of other population centers in the two northern provinces of South Vietnam. Such a result would have cut the allied lines of communication and left the 3d Marine Division suspended without support in the northern regions bordering the DMZ and Laos. This would have left the Communists in a strong position for obtaining their own terms. Given both the

resources that the North Vietnamese put into the battle and the tenacity with which they fought, it was obvious that the Hue campaign was a major component of the entire Tet offensive. According to an enemy account, the North Vietnamese military command in planning the offensive took into consideration that the U.S. and South Vietnamese had concentrated their forces in the north, expecting an attack along Route 9. It viewed Hue as the weak link in the allied defenses in the northern two provinces.

The battle for Hue was a relatively near thing. Only the failure of the North Vietnamese to overrun the *Mang Ca* and MACV compounds permitted the allies to retain a toehold in both the Citadel and the new city. With the holding of these two positions, the Americans and South Vietnamese were able to bring in reinforcements to mount a counteroffensive. Even then, if the enemy had blown the An Cuu Bridge across Route 1 on the first day, the Marines would not have been able to send in their initial battalions and supplies into the city. If the enemy had made a stronger effort to cut both the water and land lines of communications, the outcome of the struggle for Hue would have been less predictable. The Marine rapid response and quick adaptability to street fighting together with the fact that the South Vietnamese forces did not defect permitted the allied forces to attain the upper hand. Fortunately, the 1st Air Cavalry Division had arrived in northern I Corps prior to Tet and was eventually able to commit four battalions to the battle. By the end of February, the allies controlled Hue.

With the securing of the city of Hue, the enemy's countrywide Tet offensive had about spent itself. While the enemy offensive failed, public opinion polls in the United States revealed a continuing disillusionment upon the part of the American public. President Johnson also decided upon a change of course. On 31 March, he announced his decision not to stand for reelection, to restrict the bombing campaign over North Vietnam, and to authorize only a limited reinforcement of American troops to Vietnam.

Notwithstanding the mood in Washington and ready to begin his counter-offensive, General Westmoreland altered again his command arrangements in I Corps. On 10 March, he disestablished his MACV (Forward) Headquarters. He replaced it with Provisional Corps, later XXIV Corps, whose commander, an Army lieutenant general, was directly subordinate to III MAF. At the same time, however, General Westmoreland designated the Seventh Air Force commander, as "single manager for air" and gave him "mission

direction" over Marine fixed-wing aircraft. Despite Marine Corps protests, Westmoreland's order prevailed. While obtaining major modifications to the ruling, Marine air in Vietnam would operate under the single manager system to the end of the U.S. involvement in Vietnam.

With the end of the enemy offensive, the allies planned to breakout from Khe Sanh. While North Vietnamese ground forces did not follow up on their Lang Vei attack, they incessantly probed the hill outposts and perimeter. Employing innovative air tactics, Marine and Air Force transport and helicopter pilots kept the base supplied. Finally on 14 April, the U.S. 1st Cavalry Division reinforced by a Marine regiment relieved the base. On 14 April, the 77-day "siege" of Khe Sanh was over.

The North Vietnamese were far from defeated, however, and in early May launched their "mini-Tet offensive." Except for increased fighting in the capital city of Saigon and the heavy fighting in the eastern DMZ sector, the North Vietnamese May offensive was largely limited to attacks by fire at allied bases and acts of terrorism in the hamlets and villages. In I Corps, the major attempt was to cut the supply lines in the DMZ sector which led to the very bloody fighting at Dai Do and around Dong Ha. The result again, however, was the defeat of the North Vietnamese forces.

By mid-1968, the allied forces were on the offensive throughout I Corps. General Abrams had succeeded General Westmoreland as Commander, USMACV. Unlike Westmoreland, Abrams had little or no commitment to either keeping a garrison at Khe

Sanh or to the barrier. The closing out of the base at Khe Sanh in July 1968 permitted the 3d Marine Division under Major General Raymond G. Davis to launch a series of mobile firebase operations ranging the length and breadth of the northern border area. Long neglected, the barrier concept was officially abandoned in October.

In the late summer of 1968, the Communists launched another "mini-Tet" offensive, but were again bloodily repulsed. By the end of 1968, both the 3d Marine and 1st Marine Divisions were conducting large mobile operations. After a standstill for most of the year, Marine measurements of pacification showed progress in regaining the countryside. In December, enemy-initiated attacks fell to the lowest level in over two years.

Still, no one was about to predict victory and the Communists were far from defeated. The various "Tet" offensives had provided a benchmark for both sides, forcing both to reassess their strategies. After the last "mini-Tet," the North Vietnamese and Viet Cong scaled down their large-unit war, probably out of both weakness and the expectation that the Americans would eventually withdraw. While Tet was a military setback for the Communist forces with the decimation of the Viet Cong and many of their political cadre in the South, the American government, people, and military establishment also realized that there was a limit to American participation in the war. As Marine Lieutenant General John R. Chaisson, later stated, the Marine Corps "had adopted from 1969 on, the idea that we were in the postwar period."¹

Notes

PART I Pre-Tet 1968

CHAPTER 1 A PUZZLING WAR

Unless otherwise noted the material in this chapter is derived from MilHistBr, Office of the Secretary, Joint Staff MACV, Command History, 1967, hereafter MACV ComdHist, 1967; MilHistBr, Office of the Secretary, Joint Staff MACV, Command History, 1968, hereafter MACV ComdHist, 1968; HQMC, Status of Forces, Dec67–Jan68; FMFPac, Marine Operations in the Republic of Vietnam, hereafter FMFPac, MarOpsV with specific month; CGFMFPac, Pacific Operations, tab F, General Officers Symposium Book, 1967, hereafter CGFMFPac, Pacific Opns; III MAF ComdCs, Dec67–Jan68; LtGen John R. Chaisson Papers (Hoover Institution on War, Peace and Revolution, Stanford University), hereafter Chaisson Papers; Assessment and Strategy Files (Indochina Archives, Institute of East Asian Studies, University of California, Berkeley), hereafter A&S Files, Indochina Archives; LtGen Victor H. Krulak, *First to Fight, An Inside View of the U.S. Marine Corps* (Annapolis, Maryland: Naval Institute Press, 1984), hereafter Krulak, *First to Fight*; Adm Ulysses S. Grant Sharp, USN, CinCPac, and Gen William C. Westmoreland, USA, ComUSMACV, *Report on the War in Vietnam (As of 30 Jun 1968)* (Washington: GPO, 1968), hereafter Sharp and Westmoreland, *Report on the War*; Gen William C. Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports* (Garden City, New York: Doubleday & Co, Inc., 1976), hereafter Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*; Edward J. Marolda, *By Sea, Air, and Land, An Illustrated History of the U.S. Navy and the War in Southeast Asia* (Washington: Naval Historical Center, 1994), hereafter Marolda, *By Sea, Air, and Land*; Jack Shulimson and Maj Charles M. Johnson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, The Landing and the Buildup*, (Washington: Hist&MusDiv, HQMC, 1978), hereafter Shulimson and Johnson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1965*; Jack Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, An Expanding War, 1966* (Washington: Hist&MusDiv, HQMC 1982), hereafter, Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1966*; Maj Gary F. Telfer, LtCol Lane Rogers, and Victor K. Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, Fighting the North Vietnamese Army, 1967* (Washington: Hist&MusDiv, HQMC, 1984), hereafter Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*; BGen Edwin H. Simmons, "Marine Corps Operations in Vietnam, 1968," *Naval Review, 1970* (Annapolis, Maryland: U.S. Naval Institute, 1970), pp. 290–320, hereafter Simmons, "Marine Operations, 1968."

III MAF in January 1968

1. HQMC, Status of Forces, Dec67–Jan68. See also III MAF ComdC, Jan68; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Dec67–Jan68; and MACV ComdHist, 1967, pp. 127–8.
2. III MAF ComdC, Jan68; MACV ComdHist, 1967, pp. 127–8.
3. Gen Robert E. Cushman, Jr. Biographical File (Ref Sec, MCHC).

MACV and Command Relations

4. MACV ComdHist, 1967, p. 124; Sharp and Westmoreland, *Report on the War*, pp. ii–iii, 79, 156, 291–4; Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, passim.

5. MACV ComdHist, 1968, p. 248.
6. *Ibid.*, pp. 238, 345; MACV ComdHist, 1967, pp. 156–7; HQMC, Status of Forces, Dec67–Jan68.
7. Gen William C. Westmoreland USA (Ret.) intvw, dtd 4Apr83, p. 11 (Oral HistColl, MCHC); MACV ComdHist, 1968, pp. 248, 443, 475–77; MACV ComdHist, 1967, p. 9.
8. MACV ComdHist, 1967, p. 124. For relations between FMFPac and III MAF, and between III MAF and Seventh Air Force, see the previous volumes in this series, Shulimson and Johnson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1965*; Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1966*; and Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, passim. See also BGen John R. Chaisson ltrs to his wife, dtd 6Sep67, 30Sep67, and 14Nov67 (Chaisson Papers).
9. MACV ComdHist, 1967, p. 167; For command relations between Army and Navy Florilla Group see MajGen William B. Fulton, *Riverine Operations 1966–69*, Vietnam Studies (Washington: Dept of the Army, 1973), pp 85–8.
10. MACV ComdHist 1967, p. 6; MACV ComdHist 1968, pp. 217, 222–23.
11. Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, p. 127. See also MACV ComdHist 1968, pp. 7 and 22–3.
12. Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, p. 240.
13. Gen William C. Westmoreland, USA, Comments on Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1966*, dtd 28Jul78 (Vietnam Comment File).
14. Willard Webb, JCS Historical Office, in *Vietnam Historians Workshop, Plenary Session, 9 May 1983* (Washington: Hist&MusDiv, n.d.[1984]), p. 12.

South Vietnam and I Corps

15. The preceding paragraphs of this section were largely drawn from Stanley Karnow, *Vietnam, A History* (New York: The Viking Press, 1983), pp. 213–311, 386, 444–452, hereafter Karnow, *Vietnam*; Col Dave R. Palmer, *Summons of the Trumpet*, (San Rafael, California: Presidio Press, 1978), pp. 1–48, hereafter Palmer, *Summons of the Trumpet*; and the previous volumes in this series: Capt Robert H. Whitlow, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1954–64, The Advisory and Combat Assistance Era* (Washington, D.C.: Hist&Mus Div, HQMC, 1977); Shulimson and Johnson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1965*; Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1966*; Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, passim.
16. MACV ComdHist, 1968, pp. 142–3, 270, 300–01, 335, 341; HQMC, Status of Forces, Dec67–Jan68. There is a slight discrepancy between the figures in the command history and the Status of Forces. The text uses the figures in the Status of Forces as more representative of the period at the beginning of 1968. For the strength of the Navy, see Marolda, *By Sea, Air, and Land*, p. 216. For the powers of the Military Council, see Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, p. 219.
17. MACV ComdHist, 1968, pp. 275, 300–01.
18. CGFMFPac, Pacific Opns, pp. 10–11.
19. MACV ComdHist 1968, p. 376; HQMC, Status of Forces, Dec67–Jan68.
20. Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1966*, pp. 73–91.

21. MACV ComdHist, 1968, pp 378–80; HQMC, Status of Forces, Dec67; Simmons, "Marine Operations, 1968," p. 293.
22. Ibid.; III MAF msg to CG1stMarDiv, dtd 26Dec67, Encl 27, 1st MarDiv, ComdC, Dec67.
23. Gen Robert E. Cushman intvw, Nov 1, 1982, (OralHist Coll, MCHC, Washington, D.C.), pp. 8–9, hereafter Cushman Intvw, 1982.
24. 3d MarDiv, III MAF Campaign Plan Review, Working Paper, n.d., Subj: Command Relations with 1st ARVN Division, Encl 78, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Pt II, Supporting Documents, Dec67.

The Enemy

Additional Sources for this section are: Douglas Pike, "The Other Side," in Peter Braestrup, ed., *Vietnam as History, Ten Years After the Paris Peace Accords* (Washington; D.C.: University Press of America, 1984), pp. 71–7, hereafter Pike, "The Other Side;" Robert Pringle, "NVA Order of Battle" ms, hereafter Pringle, Order of Battle;" David Fulgham, "If MACV Hasn't Said It, DIA Won't Say It;" ms; hereafter Fulgham, "If MACV Hasn't Said It"; Gen William C. Westmoreland, News Conference Folder, dtd 26Jan1982.

25. Pike, "The Other Side," p. 73; Television France, Transcript of Intvw with General Giap, "La Peste Ho Chi Minh" [The Ho Chi Minh Trail], 18Feb83, "The 10,000 Day Lie," *The Washington Times*, dtd 16Mar83, p. 11. See also Karnow, *Vietnam*, pp. 257–9.
26. MACV ComdHist 1967, pp. 15–7; MACV ComdHist, 1968, pp. 60–7, 96, 103–4, 108; Pike, "The Other Side," pp. 73–4; Pringle, "NVA Order of Battle."
27. Ibid.
28. MACV, Briefing on Enemy Order of Battle, dtd 23Nov67 (A&S Files, Indochina Archives), MACV ComdHist, 1967, pp.27–8; CIA, Special National Intelligence Estimate, "Capabilities of the Vietnamese Communists for Fighting in South Vietnam," dtd 13Nov67 (Westmoreland News Conference Folder, 1982); Pringle, "NVA Order of Battle;" Fulgham, "If MACV Didn't Say It."
29. Gen William C. Westmoreland, Press Statement, dtd 26Jan1982 (Westmoreland News Conference Folder, 1982).
30. MACV Briefing, Nov67; MACV ComdHist, 1967, pp. 2 and 11; Pringle, "NVA Order of Battle"; Fulgham, "If MACV Didn't Say It."
31. MACV ComdHist, 1967, pp. 2 and 11.
32. Vo Nguyen Giap, *Big Victory, Great Task* (New York: Frederick A. Praeger, 1968), pp. 18–19.
33. War Experiences Recapitulation Committee of the High-Level Military Institute, *The Anti-U.S. Resistance War for National Salvation*, trans by Foreign Broadcast Information Service (Hanoi: People's Army Publishing Houses, 1980) [Joint Publications Research Service No. 80968, dtd 3Jun82], pp. 100–01. For speculation about North Vietnamese internal differences, see Pike, "The Other Side"; P.J. Honey, "The Offensive, Hanoi's Change of Strategy," clipping from *China News Analysis*, dtd 22Mar68 and V. Zorza, "Hints from Hanoi," Clipping *Washington Post*, dtd 10Oct68 (A&S Files, Indochina Archives); Donald Oberdorfer, *Tet!* (Doubleday & Company, Inc, 1971), pp. 42–46, hereafter Oberdorfer, *Tet!*; Palmer, *Summons of the Trumpet*, 163–7; MACV ComdHist 1967, p. 74.
34. MACV ComdHist, 1967, pp. 75, 98; Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, pp. 132–39; Palmer, *Summons of the Trumpet*, pp. 167–9; Oberdorfer, *Tet!*, pp. 107–8; Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, pp. 236–9.
35. Capt Moyers S. Shore III, *The Battle for Khe Sanh* (Washington: Hist&Mus Div, HQMC, 1969), pp. 26–7, hereafter Shore, *Khe Sanh*.

36. MACV ComdHist, 1968, pp. 128–9.
37. Krulak, *First to Fight*, p. 217.

Focus on the North

38. Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1966*, pp. 139–98; Telfer, Rogers, Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, passim.
39. Shulimson, op. cit., pp. 314–18; Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, op. cit., pp. 86–94; LtGen Louis Metzger, "Memoir," ms, pp. 57–114.
40. This and the following paragraph are derived from: *The Pentagon Papers, The Defense Department History of United States Decisionmaking on Vietnam, The Senator Gravel Edition*, 4 vols (Boston: Beacon Press, 1975), vol 4., pp. 285–89 hereafter, *The Pentagon Papers, Gravel Edition*; MACV ComdHist, 1967, pp. 143–49; Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, pp. 227–230; Lyndon B. Johnson, *The Vantage Point, Perspectives of the Presidency, 1963–1969* (New York: Holt, Rinehart, & Winston, 1971), pp. 369–71, hereafter Johnson, *The Vantage Point*.
41. Additional source for this paragraph is III MAF ComdC, Dec67.

MACV vis à vis Marines

Additional sources for this section are: Gen Wallace M. Greene, Personal Notes and Related material Pertaining to United States and Marine Corps Participation in Operations in Vietnam Binder, Gen Wallace M. Greene, Jr., Personal Papers Collection, MCHC, hereafter Operations in Vietnam Binder, Greene Papers; Victor H. Krulak Papers, hereafter Krulak Papers; Cushman intvw, 1982; MajGen Raymond L. Murray Intvw, 27Jun75 (OralHist Coll, MCHC, Washington, D.C.), hereafter Murray intvw; Senior Officers Debriefing Program, U.S. Army, Military Institute, Carlisle Bks, Pa., hereafter Senior Officers Debriefing, Carlisle.

42. For examples of General Greene's views see: CMC, Memorandum For the Record, dtd 22Jul65, Subj: Record of Conference on Southeast Asia held at White House and CMC, Memorandum for the Record, dtd 7Nov66, Subj: I Corps Estimate "Force Requirements and Long Range Estimates for I Corps, RVN" in Operations in Vietnam Binder, Greene Papers. For examples of General Krulak's views see: "A Strategic Appraisal" Dec65, Box 4, Krulak Papers; CGFMFPac, Pacific Opns; Krulak, *The First to Fight*, pp. 186 and 197–203. See also Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1966*, pp. 11–14; Jack Shulimson and Maj Edward F. Wells, "First In, First Out, The Marine Experience in Vietnam, 1965–1971," *Marine Corps Gazette*, Jan 84, pp. 36–46.
43. General Greene is quoted in Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1966*, p. 14. See various CMC, Memorandum for the Record in Operations in Vietnam Binder, Greene Papers relative to his efforts via the Joint Chiefs and even the President to present the Marine Corps perspective. For General Krulak, see Krulak ltr to Hon Robert S. McNamara, dtd 11Nov65, Box 4, Krulak Papers and Krulak, *First to Fight*, p. 186. Interestingly enough, former Secretary McNamara makes no mention of this letter or these conversations with Krulak in his memoir, Robert S. McNamara with Brian VanDeMark, *In Retrospect, The Tragedy and Lessons of Vietnam* (New York: Time Books, Random House, Inc., 1995), passim.
44. Cushman Intvw, 1982, p. 63.
45. CGFMFPac, Pacific Opns, pp. 5–7; MACV ComdHist, 1967, pp. 319–20.
46. LtGen Victor H. Krulak, Comments on draft, dtd 31Oct1994 (Vietnam comment File), hereafter Krulak Comments.
47. MACV ComdHist, 1967, pp. 319–20.
48. Quoted in Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1966*, p. 75; III MAF ComdC, Jan68.

49. Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, p. 166.
50. Cushman Intvw, 1982, pp. 43–44.
51. *Ibid.*, pp. 13–16.
52. MajGen Rathvon McC Tompkins intvw, 1973, pp. 50–1 (OralHist Coll, MCHC, Washington, D.C.), hereafter Tompkins Intvw.
53. Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, p. 342.
54. Murray Intvw, pp. 32, 45. See also MajGen Raymond L. Murray, Comments on draft, dtd 10Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File).
55. *Ibid.*, pp. 48–9. See also Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam*, 1967, pp. 229–31; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Dec67; III MAF ComdC, Dec67.
56. Tompkins Intvw, p. 88.
57. Conversations between LtGen William R. Peers, USA, and LtCol Jim Breen, USA, and LtCol Charlie Moore, USA, 13Apr77, pp. 77–8, 81, and Conversations between Gen Harold K. Johnson, USA, and LtCol Rupert F. Glover, USA, v. 2, intvw No. 9, p. 16 (Senior Officers Debriefing, Carlisle).
58. Cushman Intvw, 1982, pp. 38–9.
59. CGFMFPac, Pacific Opns, p. 12.
60. Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, pp. 166–7. See also BGen John R. Chaisson ltrs to wife, dtd 29–30 Nov67 (Chaisson Papers).
61. Cushman Intvw, 1982 pp. 64–5.
62. BGen John R. Chaisson, Diary, entries for 26–28 Jan68 (Chaisson Papers). For relationship between Cushman and Westmoreland, see Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, p. 342; Cushman Intvw, 1982, passim. For description of III MAF staff see Tompkins Intvw, pp. 71–3, 77, 87; Murray Intvw, pp. 15–16.
- An Ambivalent Outlook**
63. Quoted in Karnow, *Vietnam*, p. 514. See also Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, pp. 230–2; Johnson, *The Vantage Point*, p. 376.
64. MACV ComdHist, 1967, pp. 340–5.
65. *Ibid.*; MACV ComdHist 1968, pp. 13–7, 20–3, 781–2, 788.
66. *Ibid.*, 1968, pp. 23–4.
67. Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, p. 314. See also MACV ComdHist, 1968, 773–4; Murray Intvw, pp. 55–6.
68. MACV ComdHist, 1967, pp. 152–3, Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam*, 1967, pp. 120 and 139; 3d MarDiv FragO 62–67, dtd 29Dec67, Encl 13, Plans and Orders, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Dec67; 1st MarDiv, ComdC, Dec67; Simmons, “Marine Operations, 1968,” p. 292.
69. 3d MarDiv and 1st MarDiv, ComdCs, Dec67.
70. III MAF ComdC, Dec67.
71. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan68, p. 46; Status of Forces, Dec67.
72. MACV ComdHist, 1968, p. 375. See also III MAF Cease Fire Msg Folder.
73. Johnson, *Vantage Point*, p. 379.
74. MACV ComdHist, 1968, pp 881–3. See also 1st MarDiv, PerIntRpt No. 1–68, dtd 8Jan68, tab A, 1st MarDiv ComdC, Jan68.
75. III MAF ComdC, Dec67.
76. “Dissemination of Order for the General Offensive and the General Uprising,” Trans of enemy document, dtd 12Nov67 (A&S Files, Indochina Archives). See also MACV ComdHist, 1968, pp. 881–3.
77. Gen Harold K. Johnson, USA, ltr to LtGen Fred C. Weyand, USA, dtd 17Jan68 (19Dec–4Jan68 SEA Trip Folder, Box 45, H. K. Johnson Papers, U.S. Army, Military History Institute, Carlisle Bks, Pa), here-

after Johnson Papers, Carlisle.

78. Gen Harold K. Johnson, USA, to MajGen R. G. Fergusson, USA, dtd 11Jan68 (Personal Correspondence File, 1968, Johnson Papers, Carlisle).

79. Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, p. 316.

CHAPTER 2 THE 3D MARINE DIVISION AND THE BARRIER

Unless otherwise noted the material in this chapter is derived from MACV ComdHist, 1967; MACV ComdHist 1968; HQMC Msg Files; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan1967–Feb 68; CGFMFPac, Pacific Opns; III MAF ComdCs, Dec67–Jan68; 3d MarDiv ComdCs, Nov67–Jan68; Vietnam Comment Files, MCHC; Tompkins Intvw; Murray Intvw; Gen William C. Westmoreland Papers, Center of Military History, hereafter Westmoreland Papers; Sharp and Westmoreland, *Report on the War*; Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*; Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam*, 1966; Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam*, 1967; Simmons, “Marine Operations, 1968.”

The 3d Marine Division in the DMZ

1. CGFMFPac msgs to CGIIIMAF, dtd 23 and 27Sep67 (HQMC Msg File).
2. CGFMFPac, Pacific Opns, Tab F, p. 15.
3. CGFMFPac msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 23Sep67 (HQMC Msg File).
4. CGIIIMAF msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 27Oct67 and CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 14Oct67 (HQMC Msg File). See also General Entry, Historical Summary, 27Dec67–31Jan68, vol. 28 (Westmoreland Papers).
5. Quoted in CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 12Oct67 (HQMC Msg File).
6. CGFMFPac msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 23Nov67 (HQMC Msg File).
7. MACV J–00, Memo for the Record, dtd 18Dec67, History File, vol. 26 (Westmoreland Papers).
8. MACV, C/S Action Memo, Subj: CIIB Meeting, 16Dec67, dtd 17Dec67, History File, vol. 27 (Westmoreland Papers).
9. Simmons, “Marine Operations, 1968,” pp 292–3. III MAF, Movement Timetable, TF X-Ray Units, n.d., 3dMarDiv Msgs, Jan68.
10. HQMC, MajGen Rathvon McC. Tompkins, Biographical File, Jul71 (Ref Sec, MCHC).
11. Col James R. Stockman, Comments on draft, Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, “U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967,” dtd 27Jun81 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Stockman Comments, 1981.
12. *Ibid.*
13. Simmons, “Marine Operations, 1968,” p. 294.
14. *Ibid.*
15. Tompkins Intvw, pp. 15–16. See also LtCol Lane Rogers and Jack Shulimson, Memo for the Record, Subj: Conversation with MajGen Rathvon McC. Tompkins, 7Sep76, dtd 15Sep76 (Vietnam Comment File).
16. Stockman Comments, 1981, and Col James R. Stockman, Comments on draft chapter, dtd 14Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File).

The Barrier

Additional sources for this section are: III MAF Dyemarker Msg File 1967–Feb68, hereafter Dyemarker File; MajGen Louis Metzger ltr to CGFMFPac, Subj: Debrief, dtd 22Jan68, hereafter Metzger Debrief; LtGen Louis Metzger, “Memoir,” ms, hereafter Metzger Memoir; Murray Intvw; Gen Wallace M. Greene Papers (Personal Papers Section,

MCHC), hereafter Greene Papers; Samuel T. Williams and Edward G. Lansdale Collections (Hoover Institution on War, Peace, and Revolution, Stanford University), hereafter either Williams or Lansdale Collection; Bruce Palmer, Jr., *The Twenty-Five Year War, America's Military Role in Vietnam* (Lexington, Ky: University of Kentucky Press, 1984), hereafter Palmer, *The Twenty-Five Year War*.

17. Samuel T. Williams ltr to Richard Stilwell, dtd 31Dec68, Box 19 (Williams Collection).
18. Edward G. Lansdale ltr to Robert W. Komer, dtd 31May71 (Lansdale Collection).
19. Palmer, *The Twenty-Five Year War*, p. 40
20. ComUSMACV msg to DCPG, dtd 25Sep66, as quoted in Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1966*, p. 316.
21. LtGen Lewis W. Walt ltr to LtGen H. W. Buse, Jr., dtd 29 Dec66, as quoted in *Ibid.*, p. 318.
22. Briefing Paper, Practice Nine Requirements Plan of 26Nov66 and Briefing Paper, Practice Nine Requirements Plan of 26Jan67, as quoted in *Ibid.*, pp. 318–19.
23. ComUSMACV msg to CinCPac, dtd 19Apr67, as quoted in Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, p. 89.
24. CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 30Apr67 (HQMC Msg File). See also Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, p. 89.
25. III MAF OPlan 11–67, dtd 18Jun67. See also Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, p. 91.
26. *Ibid.*, p. 92. For the Metzger quote, see LtGen Louis Metzger, Comments on draft chapter, dtd 17Oct94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Metzger Comments.
27. CGFMFPac msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 13Jun67 (HQMC Msg File).
28. Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, p. 91.
29. CGFMFPac msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 30Jul67 (HQMC Msg File).
30. CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 30Jul67 (HQMC Msg File).
31. III MAF, Dyemarker Phase I Brief, dtd 10Aug67, Encl 6, CMC Trip Report 3–12 Aug 67 (Greene Papers).
32. CGIIIMAF msg to ComUSMACV, dtd 16Aug67 (Dyemarker File).
33. CGIIIMAF handwritten comments on CG1stMarDiv msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 1Sep67 (Dyemarker File).
34. CGIIIMAF msg to ComUSMACV, dtd 5Sep67 (Dyemarker File).
35. CGIIIMAF msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 8Sep67; CGIIIMAF msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 14Sep67; CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 14Sep67 (HQMC Msg File). See also Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, p. 93.
36. III MAF OPlan 12–67, dtd 12 Sep 67 in III MAF OPPlans, 1967. See also Telfer, Rogers and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, p. 93.
37. Metzger Memoir, pp. 105–7.
38. ComUSMACV msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 22Oct67 (Dyemarker File).
39. CGIIIMAF msg to CG3dMarDiv, dtd 22Oct67 (Dyemarker File).
40. III MAF OPlan, dtd 24Nov67.
41. Murray Intvw, pp. 5–15, 58. See Metzger Comments relative to the sandbag problem.
42. 3d MarDiv, Quarterly Review . . . of III MAF Campaign Plan 1–67, dtd 5Jan68, Logistics Operations, Encl 8, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jan68; ComUSMACV msg to CinCPac, dtd 26Jan68 (HQMC Msg File); 3d MarDiv ComdC, Dec67, p. 40; MajGen Louis Metzger, Observations on Dyemarker, dtd 10Jan68, Encl 2, Metzger Debrief, hereafter Metzger Observations.
43. Historical Summary, Entry 26Dec67, vol. 27 (Westmoreland Papers).
44. ComUSMACV msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 29Dec67 (HQMC Msg File).
45. Tompkins Intvw, p. 44.
46. Murray Intvw, pp. 58–9. See also Historical Summary, Entry for 14Jan68, vol. 28 (Westmoreland Papers).
47. Metzger Observations and Metzger Comments.
48. 3d MarDiv OpO 61–67, dtd 31Dec67, Encl 10, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Dec67.
49. 9th Mar OpO 2–68, dtd 22Jan68, Tab E, 9th Mar ComdC, Jan68.
50. See Maj Justice M. Chambers intvw, 25Apr85 (OralHistColl, MCHC). Major Chambers as a first lieutenant was the 9th Marines Dyemarker officer.
51. Metzger Observations.
52. ComUSMACV msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 15Jan68 (HQMC Msg File); ComUSMACV msg to CinCPac, dtd 20Jan68 in Historical File, vol. 28 (Westmoreland Papers).
53. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jan68, p. 16.
54. CGIIIMAF msg to ComUSMACV, dtd 20Jan68 (HQMC Msg File).
55. Cushman Intvw, pp. 13–16.
56. Tompkins Intvw, pp. 12–14.

CHAPTER 3

THE WAR IN THE DMZ SECTOR

Unless otherwise noted the material in this chapter is derived from MACV ComdHist, 1967; MACV ComdHist, 1968; HQMC Msg Files; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Dec1967–Feb 68; CGFMFPac, Pacific Opns; III MAF ComdCs, Dec67–Jan68; 3d MarDiv ComdCs, Nov67–Jan68; Vietnam Comment Files, (MCHC); Tompkins Intvw; Murray Intvw; Westmoreland Papers; Sharp and Westmoreland, *Report on the War*; Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*; Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1966*; Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*; Simmons, "Marine Operations, 1968."

The NVA in the DMZ Sector

Additional sources for this section are: FMFPac, "Estimate of the Enemy Situation, DMZ Area, 1Jan68," n.d. [Jan68] (MCHC), hereafter FMFPac, "Enemy Estimate, DMZ"; Pringle, "NVA Order of Battle"; Metzger Debrief; Metzger Memoir.

1. MACV ComdHist, 1967, pp. 18 and 70; Pringle, "NVA Order of Battle", pp. 10–12; FMFPac, "Enemy Estimate, DMZ," pp. 16–8.
2. FMFPac, "Enemy Estimate, DMZ," pp. 16–8; III MAF ComdC, Dec67, p. 23.
3. *Ibid.*
4. *Ibid.* See also III MAF G–2, Periodic Intelligence Report No. 2–68, dtd 15Jan68 (III MAF PerInt Rpts) and 9th Mar IntSum No. 61, dtd 2Jan68, tab b, 9th Mar ComdC, Jan68.
5. FMFPac, "Enemy Estimate, DMZ," pp. 16–8.
6. *Ibid.*, pp. 22–4, 55, 83.
7. *Ibid.*, pp. 22–4; Murray Intvw, p. 47; Metzger Debrief.
8. FMFPac, "Enemy Estimate, DMZ," pp. 22–24, 81; Metzger Debrief.
9. FMFPac, "Enemy Estimate, DMZ," pp. 22–29; Metzger Debrief.

10. Gen William C. Westmoreland, Entry 16Dec67, Historical Summary, 29 Nov–16Dec67 (Westmoreland Papers, CMH); Metzger Memoir, pp. 94–96; 9th Mar IntSum No. 65, dtd 6Jan68, 9th Mar ComdC, Jan 68, tab b.
11. FMFPac, "Enemy Estimate, DMZ," pp. 38–40.
12. *Ibid.*, pp. 9–11, 38–41.
13. *Ibid.*, pp. 39–41.
14. *Ibid.* pp. 40–1; Capt Moyers S. Shore II, *The Battle for Khe Sanh* (Washington, D.C.: Hist Br, G–3 Div, HQMC, 1969), p. 29.
15. FMFPac, "Enemy Estimate, DMZ," pp. 55, 66–7; Gen William C. Westmoreland, General Entry, 27 Dec67–31Jan68, Historical Summary (Westmoreland Papers, CMH); Gen William C. Westmoreland intvw, 4Apr83, p. 14 (OralHist Coll, MCHC, Washington, D.C.), hereafter Westmoreland Intvw.
16. FMFPac, "Enemy Estimate, DMZ," *passim*.
17. *Ibid.*, pp. 42–3.
18. *Ibid.*, pp. 43–4.
19. *Ibid.*, pp. 56–7.
20. *Ibid.*, pp. 66–68; CGIIIMAF msg to ComUSMACV, dtd 13Jan68 (HQMC Msg File, III MAF Outgoing, Dec67–Feb68); G–2 III MAF PerIntRpt No. 2–68, dtd 15Jan68 (III MAF PerIntRpts, 1968); Westmoreland msg to Sharp and Wheeler, dtd 15Jan68 (v. 28, Historical File, 27Dec67–31Jan68, Westmoreland Papers).

Operation Napoleon

21. MACV ComdHist, 1968, v. 1, pp. 371, 374. See also 3d MarDiv, Rules of Engagement, an. J, Letter of Instruction (LOI), 1–67, dtd 11Feb67 in 3d MarDiv ComdC, Dec67, tab 3–1, Supporting Documents.
22. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Dec67, p. 98; VAdm Edwin B. Hooper, *Mobility, Support Endurance, A Story of Naval Operational Logistics in the Vietnam War, 1965–1968* (Washington, D.C.: NHD, 1972), pp. 119–22.
23. 1st AmTrac Bn ComdCs, Apr, May, and Nov 67; Rogers, Telfer, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, pp. 142.
24. 1st AmTrac Bn, ComdCs, Nov–Dec 67; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Dec 67, p. 21; Working Papers, input to III MAF Campaign Plan Quarterly Review, n.d. [Dec67], Encl 78, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Dec67; Rogers, Telfer, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, pp. 142, 145–47.
25. 1st AmTrac Bn ComdC, Jan68; 3d MarDiv Task Organization, dtd 31Dec67, tab 3–2, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Dec67.
26. 1st AmTrac Bn ComdC, Jan68; 3d MarDiv Task Organization, dtd 7Jan68, Encl 13, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jan 68.
27. Quote from letter to mother in Ron Asher, Comments on draft, dtd 10Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File). See also 1st AmTrac Bn ComdC, Jan68; CG3d MarDiv msg to 1st AmTrac Bn, dtd 6Jan68, 3d MarDiv Msg File.
28. 1st AmTrac Bn ComdC, Jan68.
29. *Ibid.*

Kentucky Operations and the Barrier

- Additional sources for this section are: Col Richard B. Smith intvw, Jul68, Tape 3041 (OralHistColl, MCHC, Washington, D.C.), hereafter Smith Intvw; Maj Justice M. Chambers intvw, 25Apr85 (OralHistColl, MCHC), hereafter Chambers Intvw; Col Richard B. Smith, "Leatherneck Square" *Marine Corps Gazette*, Aug69, pp. 34–42, hereafter Smith. "Leatherneck Square."
30. CG 3d MarDiv rpt to CG III MAF, Subj: Quarterly Review . . . of III MAF Campaign Plan 1–67, dtd 5Jan68, Encl 8, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Dec67, hereafter 3d MarDiv, Quarterly Review; CG 3d MarDiv msg to CG III MAF, dtd 4Oct67, HQMC Msg File, III MAF Incoming; 9th Mar Sit Rep, Opn Kentucky, No. 257, dtd 3Jan68, tab A, 9th Mar ComdC, Jan68; Smith, "Leatherneck Square," p.36.
 31. Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, p. 142; CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 1Nov67, HQMC Msg File.
 32. 9th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 3d MarDiv Sit Rep No. 271, Opn Kentucky, dtd 7Jan68 (III MAF Jnl and Msg File); Smith, "Leatherneck Square," p. 36.
 33. Smith Intvw; Chambers Intvw.
 34. Smith, "Leatherneck Square," p. 36; 2/1 ComdC, Jan68.
 35. Chambers Intvw.
 36. Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, p. 94; Smith, "Leatherneck Square," p.39.
 37. 2/1 ComdC, Jan68, p. II–4; "Marines Direct Artillery at Retreating NVA Flag," *Sea Tiger*, dtd 19Jan68, p. 9.
 38. 2/1 ComdC, Jan68, p. II–4.
 39. 9th Mar ComdC, Jan68, p. III–1; 9th Mar SitRep No. 260b, dtd 5Jan68, in *Ibid.*; 2/1 ComdC, Jan68, p. II–4 and attached S–3 Jnl.
 40. 2/1 ComdC, Jan68, p. II–4 and attached S–3 Jnl; 3d MarDiv SitRep No. 285, dtd 11Jan68, Opn Kentucky (III MAF Jnl & Msg File); 9th Mar SitRep No. 283, dtd 11Jan68, tab A, 9th Mar ComdC, Jan68.
 41. III MAF SitRep No. 301, Opn Kentucky, dtd 15Jan68; 3d MarDiv SitRep No. 301, Opn Kentucky, dtd 15Jan68; and 3d MarDiv msg to III MAF, dtd 15Jan68 (all in III MAF Jnl and Msg File). See also 2/1 S–3 Jnl entries for 14–15Jan68 in 2/1 ComdC, Jan68.
 42. Col Billy R. Duncan, Comments on draft, dtd 15Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File).
 43. LtGen Louis Metzger, Comments on draft, dtd 17Oct94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Metzger Comments.
 44. Chambers Intvw; 1/4 ComdC, Jan68, p. 13; Smith, "Leatherneck Square," p. 36.
 45. 1/4 ComdC, Jan68; 3d MarDiv SitRep No. 282, dtd 10Jan68, Opn Kentucky (III MAF Jnl & Msg File); 3d Recon Bn ComdC, Jan68.
 46. For this and the following paragraph see Smith Intvw; 1/4 ComdC, Jan68.
 47. In addition to the sources listed in the preceding note, some reviewers of the draft manuscript remarked on the difficulties and shortcomings of the Marine fortification effort. See Metzger Comments; Col John C. Studt, Comments on draft, dtd 22Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File); Maj Gary E. Todd, Comments on draft, dtd 28Oct94 (Vietnam Comment File) as cited in Chapter 1.
 48. See various msgs, 24–29 Dec67, Opn Kentucky (III MAF Jnl & Msg File) and 2/9 ComdC, Jan68.
 49. 2/9 ComdC, Jan68.

50. Smith, "Leatherneck Square," p. 38; various msgs, 24–29 Dec67, Opn Kentucky (III MAF Jnl & Msg File); 3/3 ComdC, Jan68.
51. Smith, "Leatherneck Square," pp. 38–9; 3/3 ComdC, Jan68.
52. 3/3 ComdC, Jan68.
53. 3/3 ComdC, Jan 68; 9th Mar SitReps, tab A, 9th Mar ComdC, Jan68; various msgs, 1–19 Jan68, Opn Kentucky (III MAF msg and Jnl File); 2/12 ComdC, Jan68; Col Robert C. Needham, Comments on draft, dtd 7Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File).
54. 3/4 ComdC, Jan68; LtCol Lee R. Bendell intvw, 16Jan68, Tape 2617 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Bendell intvw.
55. Bendell intvw.
56. Col Lee R. Bendell, Comments on draft, n.d. [Nov94] (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Bendell Comments.
57. 3d MarDiv SitRep No. 239, dtd 30Dec67, Kentucky Msg File; 3/4 ComdC, Dec67.
58. Bendell intvw; 3/4 ComdC, Dec67, pp. 14–5; 3/4 Newsletter, Dec67, Encl, 3/4 ComdC, Dec67.
59. 3/4 ComdC, Dec67, pp. 14–5.
60. For this and the following two paragraphs, see Ibid. and Bendell intvw. See also 3d MarDiv SitReps, Nos. 243–44 and SpotRpt No. 115 (Kentucky Msg File).
61. Additional source for this paragraph is 1st MAW Press Release, dtd 8Jan68 in 1st MAW Information Services, Press Releases, App. 6, 1st MAW ComdC, Jan68.
62. Ibid.
63. Bendell intvw.
64. Ibid.; 3/4 ComdC, Dec67; FMFPac, Computerized Award Readout, 1965–1973.
65. 9th Mar SitRep, Opn Kentucky, No. 263, 6Jan68, tab A, 9th Mar ComdC, Jan68.
66. 3/4 ComdC, Jan68; 9th Mar ComdC, Jan68, p. III–1 and 9th Mar SitReps No. 269 and 270, Opn Kentucky, drd 7Jan68, tab A, 9th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 3d MarDiv SitRep No. 272 and SpotRep No. 5, dtd 8Jan68 (Kentucky Msg File); Bendell intvw.
67. Bendell intvw and 3/4 ComdC, Jan68.
68. Bendell intvw; 3/4 ComdC, Jan68; 9th Mar ComdC, Jan68, p. III–1 and 9th Mar SitReps No. 269 and 270, Opn Kentucky, dtd 7Jan68, tab A, 9th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 3d MarDiv SitRep No. 272 and SpotRep No. 5, dtd 8Jan68 (Kentucky Msg File)
69. 1st MAW Press Release, dtd 16Jan68 in 1st MAW Information Services, Press Releases, App. 6, 1st MAW ComdC, Jan68.
70. Bendell intvw; 3/4 ComdC, Jan68.
71. 3d MarDiv msg to III MAF, dtd 8Jan68 (Kentucky Msg File); Bendell Intvw.
72. This and the following two paragraphs are based upon: Bendell intvw; Capt Raymond W. Kalm, Jr., et al. intvw, 16Jan68, Tape 2618 (Oral HistColl, MCHC, Washington, D.C.), hereafter Kalm et al. intvw.
73. Bendell Comments.
74. Kalm et al. intvw.
75. 3/4 ComdC, Jan68, 9th Mar SitReps, Operation Kentucky, tab A, 9th Mar ComdC, Jan68.
76. Bendell Comments; Bendell intvw; Kalm et al. intvw.
77. 3/4 ComdC, Jan68 and Bendell Comments.

Operation Lancaster and Heavy Fighting in Mid-January

78. 3d Mar, AAR, Operation Lancaster, dtd 18Feb68, Encl 3d Mar ComdC, Feb68, hereafter 3d Mar, AAR, Opn Lancaster; 3/9 *Gazette*, Battalion Newsletter, n.d. [Dec67–Jan68], Encl, Col Gorton C. Cook, Comments on draft, dtd 30Nov68, hereafter Cook Comments. See also III MAF msg to MACV, dtd 2Jan68 (III MAF Jnl & Msg File, Operation Lancaster).
79. 3d Mar, AAR, Opn Lancaster.
80. 3d Mar AAR, Opn Lancaster; 3d Mar ComdCs, Dec67 and Jan68; 3d Mar msg to 3d MarDiv, dtd 4Jan68, pt IV, 3d Mar ComdC, Jan68.
81. 3d Mar Staff Jnls, pt IV, 3d Mar ComdC, Jan68 and 3d MarDiv SpotReps, dtd 12–13Jan68 and III MAF SitRep No. 292, dtd 13Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File, Operation Lancaster).
82. For this and the next four paragraphs see: 3d Mar AAR, Opn Lancaster; 3d Mar ComdC, Jan68; 3d Mar IntSum No. 13, dtd 13Jan68, Pt IV, 3d Mar ComdC, Jan68; 3d MarDiv SitReps and Spot Rpts to III MAF and III MAF msgs to MACV, dtd 13–15Jan68 (III MAF Jnl & Msg File, Operation Lancaster); Cook Comments.
83. 3d Mar AAR, Opn Lancaster; 3d MarDiv msgs to III MAF and III MAF msgs to MACV, dtd 16–17 Feb68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File, Operation Lancaster); 3d Mar, Staff Jnl, Pt IV, 3d Mar ComdC, Jan68.
84. 9th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 3/4 ComdC, Jan68; Bendell Comments; Col Kenneth L. Christy, Comments on draft, dtd 8Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Christy Comments.
85. Christy ltr to Michael Madden, dtd 4Dec88, Encl to Christy Comments, hereafter Christy ltr, Dec88.
86. The description of the ambush of Company L in this and the following paragraphs is derived largely from 9th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 9th Mar IntSum No. 80, dtd 21 Jan68, tab B, 9th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 9th Mar SitRep No. 313, Operation Kentucky, tab A, 9th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 3/4 ComdC, Jan68; 3d MarDiv msgs and spot rpts to III MAF, dtd 18 Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File, Operation Kentucky). See also Michael John Madden Statement, dtd 19Jun90, Encl, Bendell Comments, hereafter Madden Statement, Jun90 and Christy ltr, Dec88.
87. Christy ltr, Dec88.
88. Christy ltr, Dec88; Madden Statement, Jun90
89. Christy ltr, Dec88.
90. 9th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 3/4 ComdC, Jan68; Bendell Comments.
91. 3d Mar, AAR Opn Lancaster; III MAF SitRep No. 322, dtd 20Jan68 (III MAF Jnl & Msg File, Operation Kentucky); 1st AmTrac Bn ComdC, Jan68.

CHAPTER 4 KHE SANH: BUILDING UP

Unless otherwise noted the material in this chapter is derived from FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jul67–Jan68; III MAF ComdCs, Jul67–Jan68; 3d MarDiv ComdCs, Jul67–Jan68; 26th Marines ComdCs, Jul67–Jan68; Khe Sanh Monograph Comment File; Khe Sanh Correspondence File; Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*; Ray W. Stubbe, "Khe Sanh: Valley of Decision" ms, 1987 (Copy in Personal Papers Collection, MCHC), hereafter Stubbe, "Khe Sanh"; John Prados and Ray W. Stubbe, *Valley of Decision, The Siege of Khe Sanh* (Boston: Houghton Mifflin Co., 1991), hereafter Prados and Stubbe, *Valley of Decision*; Shore, *Khe Sanh*. Paul D. Stevens, ed., *The Navy Cross, Vietnam, Citations of Awards to Men of the United States Navy and the United States Marine Corps, 1964–1973*, (Forest Ranch, CA: Sharp and Dunnigan, 1987).

The Battlefield

1. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jun67, p. 34; Mr. Thomas J. Steward, conversation with author, 27Jun88.
2. Joann L. Shrock, et al., *Minority Groups in the Republic of Vietnam* (Washington, DC: The American University, 1966), pp. 56–57.
3. Ibid.

The Early Days

4. LtGen Victor H. Krulak, *First to Fight, An Inside View of the U.S. Marine Corps* (Annapolis: U.S. Naval Institute, 1984), p. 205, hereafter Krulak, *First to Fight*.
5. Prados and Stubbe, *Valley of Decision*, pp. 22–23; Stubbe, “Khe Sanh,” p. 31; Khe Sanh Area Report, n.d., Encl, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jan67; LCdr Ray W. Stubbe, Comments on draft, dtd 23Oct94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Stubbe Comments.
6. Capt Robert K. Whitlow, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam: The Advisory and Combat Assistance Era, 1954–1964* (Washington: Hist&MusDiv, HQMC, 1977) p. 139; Prados and Stubbe, *Valley of Decision*, pp. 19–21. See also Stubbe Comments.
7. LtGen John R. Chaisson intvw, 3Apr72 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), pp. 371–372. See also Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1966*, pp. 141–43.
8. Col Thomas M. Horne intvw, 17–19Jan67, Tape 384 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
9. Prados and Stubbe, *Valley of Decision*, pp. 75, 185–86; Col Bruce B. G. Clarke, USA, Comments on draft, n.d. [Apr95] (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Clarke Comments.
10. Stubbe, “Khe Sanh,” p. 349; Prados and Stubbe, *Valley of Decision*, p. 71.
11. Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, p. 45.

Protecting the Investment

12. Stubbe Comments. See also Clarke Comments; LtCol Harper L. Bohr, Jr., Comments on draft, dtd 2Nov1994 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Bohr Comments; Prados and Stubbe, *Valley of Decision*, pp. 152–55; Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, p. 129.

The Isolation of Khe Sanh

13. Stubbe, “Khe Sanh,” p. 48; LtGen John A. Chaisson intvw, 3Apr72, pp. 370–74 (Oral HistColl, MCHC); AC/S G–4 memo to AC/S G–3, 4Jul67, Subj: Planning Conference, Encl, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jul67.
14. LtCol James B. Wilkinson, Comments on “The Battle for Khe Sanh,” 19Dec68 (Khe Sanh monograph Comment File, MCHC).
15. Ibid.
16. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Oct67, p. 85; George L. MacGarrigle, historian, CMH, Comments on draft, dtd 5Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter MacGarrigle Comments.
17. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Nov67, p. 45.
18. 1/26 ComdC, Nov67, p. 4.
19. Stubbe, “Khe Sanh,” p. 388.
20. Ibid. p. 408.
21. 26th Mar ComdC, Nov67, p. 7.
22. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan68, pp. 8–9; 26th Mar ComdC, Dec67, p. 3; “Intel Estimate of Situation in the Area Between Khe Sanh and Ca Lu,” Encl, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Dec67; Robert Pisor, *The End of the Line* (New York: W. W. Norton & Co., 1982), p. 17.
23. MajGen Rathvon McC. Tompkins, Comments on draft, dtd 19Nov69, Maj Thomas Donnelly and Capt Moyers S. Shore II, “Ho Chi

Minh’s Gamble,” in LtCol Ralph F. Moody, et al., “Marines in Vietnam, 1954–68,” 8 pts (ms, MCHC, Washington, D.C., 1971), pt VI (Vietnam Comment Files, MCHC); Tompkins Intvw, 13Apr73, p. 20.

24. LtCol Harry L. Alderman, Comments on “The Battle for Khe Sanh,” n.d. (Khe Sanh Monograph Comment File, MCHC); 1/26 ComdC, Dec67, pp. 3 and 5.
25. Operation Scotland SitReps No. 252 and 254, Encl, 26th Mar ComdC, Jan68; Stubbe “Khe Sanh,” p. 462; 26th Mar ComdC, Jan68, p. 5.
26. Maj Harper L. Bohr, Jr., Comments on “The Battle for Khe Sanh,” 18Dec68 (Khe Sanh Monograph Comment File, MCHC).
27. For discussion relative to the XM–3 and other intelligence efforts see Maj Gary E. Todd, Comments on draft, dtd 28Oct94 (Vietnam Comment File) and Stubbe Comments.

The Decision to Hold

28. CG FMFPac msg to CG III MAF, dtd 8Jan68 (Reel No. 1, HQMC Msg File); Gen Westmoreland msg to Adm Sharp, dtd 21Jan68 (File No. MAC 00992, Westmoreland Papers).
29. W. Scott Thompson and Col Donaldson D. Frizzell, USAF, eds., *The Lessons of Vietnam* (New York: Crane, Russak and Co., 1977), pp. 137–138, 183.
30. CG FMFPac msg to CG III MAF, dtd 11Jan68 (Reel No. 6, HQMC Msg File). Note: This source is a message which contains a verbatim quote of the Wheeler to Westmoreland message mentioned in the text. See also LtGen Victor H. Krulak, Comments on draft, dtd 31Oct94 (Vietnam Comment File) and Krulak, *First to Fight*, pp. 215–16, and Westmoreland, *A Soldier’s Report*, p. 336 which note the Washington obsession with Khe Sanh.
31. Khe Sanh Area Report, n.d., Encl, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jan67.
32. Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, pp. 337–338; Prados and Stubbe, *Valley of Decision*, pp. 350–51. Westmoreland journal entry of 11Feb68 is quoted in Prados and Stubbe, *Valley of Decision*, p. 351.
33. Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, pp. 337–338. It is interesting that General Krulak makes almost the identical case in his book. See Krulak, *The First to Fight*, pp. 215–16. For the account of Dien Bien Phu, see: Bernard B. Fall, *Hell in a Very Small Place* (Philadelphia: J.B. Lippincott Co., 1966), pp. 101–102; Jules Roy, *The Battle of Dienbienphu* (New York: Harper and Row, 1965), pp. 149, 162.
34. LtGen Robert E. Cushman intvw, 1Nov82, p. 89 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Cushman Intvw; Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, p. 335. For Gen Westmoreland’s and the MACV staff’s concerns about Marine defenses at Khe Sanh, see LtGen Philip B. Davidson, *Vietnam at War, The History: 1946–1975* (Novato, CA: Presidio Press, 1988), pp. 555–556, hereafter Davidson, *Vietnam at War*.
35. Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, p. 336.
36. Gen Westmoreland msg to Adm Sharp, dtd 15Jan68 (File No. MAC 00686, in Westmoreland Papers); Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, pp. 171–2; MacGarrigle Comments.
37. Gen William C. Westmoreland ltr to Gen Leonard F. Chapman, Jr., dtd 21Apr69 (Khe Sanh Correspondence File, MCHC).
38. LtGen Robert E. Cushman, Comments on “The Battle for Khe Sanh,” 26Dec68 (Khe Sanh Monograph Comment File); Cushman Intvw, pp. 22–23.
39. CG FMFPac msg to CINCPAC, dtd 13Jan68 (Reel No. 4, HQMC Msg File, MCHC).
40. Krulak, *First to Fight*, p. 218.
41. LtGen Victor H. Krulak intvw, 22Jun70, p. 8 (Oral HistColl, MCHC). See also Krulak, *First to Fight*, pp. 218–19.

42. Davidson, *Vietnam at War*, pp. 553–54.

43. "Recapitulation of the Spring-Summer 1968—Khe Sanh-Route 9 Campaign Rear Service Operations," compiled by the General Directorate for Rear Services in February 1969, in People's Army of Vietnam, General Directorate for Rear Services, *Rear Service Operations-Khe Sanh-Route 9 Campaign, Spring Summer 1968* (Hanoi, 1988). Copy and translation provided by Mr. Robert DeStatte.

The Stage is Set

44. Stubbe, "Khe Sanh," p. 469.

45. CG III MAF msg to CG 3d MarDiv, dtd 13Jan68 (Reel No. 4, HQMC Msg File).

46. Stubbe, "Khe Sanh," p. 475; Lt Ray W. Stubbe, USN, Diary, 13Jan68 (Personal Papers Coll, MCHC).

47. 3d MarDiv SitRep No. 299 for Opn Scotland in 3d MarDiv SitReps, Jan68; 26th Mar ComdC, Jan68, pt. III.

48. 2/26 ComdC, Jan68, p. 2; Tompkins Intvw; 2/26 Journal for 14–15Jan68, Ser. Nos. 404 and 404A, in 2/26 ComdC, Jan68.

49. 26th Mar ComdC, Jan68, p. 3.

50. CG III MAF msg to CG 3d MarDiv, dtd 16Jan68 (HQMC Message Files, III MAF Outgoing, Dec67–Feb68).

51. LtCol Frederick J. McEwan, Comments on draft, dtd 7Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File).

52. Ibid.; William J. O'Connor, Comments on draft, dtd 29Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File).

53. Harry F. Fromme, Comments on draft, dtd 27Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File).

54. PFC Leonard E. Newton award citation, n.d. (Decorations and Medals Branch, HQMC, Washington, D.C.).

55. 26th Mar SitRep Nos. 319 and 320 for Opn Scotland, dtd 19Jan68, Encl, 26th Mar ComdC, Jan68.

56. Col William H. Dabney intvw, 20May82, p. 63 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Dabney Intvw. See also Col William H. Dabney, Comments on draft, n.d. [Dec94] (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Dabney Comments.

57. 26th Mar SitRep No. 320 for Operation Scotland, dtd 19Jan68, Encl, 26th Mar ComdC, Jan68; Items of Significant Interest (ISI), dtd 21Jan68 (HQMC Command Center File (MCCC), MCHC).

Sortie to Hill 881 North

58. Maj Matthew P. Caulfield Comments on "The Battle for Khe Sanh," 4Jan69, p. 8, in "Khe Sanh: Transcriptions of Oral History" (Archives Section, MCHC), hereafter Caulfield Comments. [Maj Caulfield's comments were taped and are available on Tape 6157, Oral HistColl, MCHC]; Col William H. Dabney intvw, 20May82, p. 63 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Dabney Intvw.

59. 26th Mar SitRep Nos. 322 and 323 for Opn Scotland, Encl, 26th Mar ComdC, Jan68, pt. IV. See also Dabney Comments.

60. Caulfield Comments, pp. 8–9; 26th Mar SitRep No. 322 for Opn Scotland, op. cit.; Dabney Comments.

61. Caulfield Comments, p. 8; Stevens, ed., *The Navy Cross*, pp. 319–320.

62. Dabney intvw, p. 63; Shore, *The Battle for Khe Sanh*, p. 39.

The Enemy Plan Unfolds

63. LtCol James B. Wilkinson, Comments on "The Battle for Khe Sanh," 19Dec68 and Maj Kenneth W. Pipes, Comments on "The Battle for Khe Sanh," n.d. (Khe Sanh Monograph Comment File).

64. Tompkins Intvw.

CHAPTER 5 THE 3D DIVISION WAR IN SOUTHERN QUANG TRI AND NORTHERN THUA THIEN, OPERATIONS OSCEOLA AND NEOSHO

Protecting The Quang Tri Base, Operation Osceola, 1–20 January 1968

1. Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, pp. 139–42.

2. Ibid. pp. 94, 119–20, 139–42.

3. Biographical Files (Ref Sec, MCHC); 1st Mar, CAAR, Operation Osceola, n.d., Tab K, 1st Mar ComdC, Jan68, hereafter 1st Mar CAAR, Opn Osceola.

4. 1st Mar CAAR, Opn Osceola.

5. Ibid.

6. Ibid.; 2/4 ComdC, Dec67.

7. "Youth Alerts Marines," *Sea Tiger*, 12Jan68.

8. 1st MAR CAAR, Opn Osceola; 1st Mar SitReps 286–291, 31Dec67–1Jan68, tab H, 1st Mar ComdC, Jan68.

9. 1st Mar ComdC, Jan68, p. II–C–4 and 1st Mar SitRep Osceola, No. 305, dtd 5Jan, tab H, 1st Mar ComdC, Jan68.

10. 1st Mar CAAR, Opn Osceola; MCCC, Items of Significant Interest, dtd 6Jan68.

11. 1st Mar ComdC, Jan68, p. II–C–4.

12. 1st Mar SitReps No. 326 and 328, dtd 11Jan68, tab H, 1st Mar ComdC, Jan68.

13. 1st Mar SitRep No. 339, dtd 14Jan68 and 1st Mar CAAR, Opn Osceola.

14. 1/1 ComdC, Jan 68, p. 1–II–3; 1st Mar CAAR, Opn Osceola.

15. III MAF ComdC, Jan68, p. 10; 1st Mar CAAR, Opn Osceola.

16. 1st Mar SitRep No. 340, dtd 14Jan68, tab H, 1st Mar ComdC, Jan68.

17. 1/1 ComdC, Jan68, p. 1–II–3.

18. Ibid., pp. 1–II–3–I–II–4.

19. 1st Mar CAAR, Opn Osceola, pp. 40–1.

20. 1st Mar FragO 1–68, dtd 6Jan68, tab d, 1st Mar ComdC, Jan68; 1st Mar ComdC, Jan68, pp. II–C–2 and II–C–5–II–C–6; 1st Mar CAAR, Opn Osceola.

21. 1st Mar CAAR, Opn Osceola; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Dec67, pp. 20–1; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jan68, p. 18.

Operation Neosho and Operations in the CoBi–Thanh Tan, 1–20 January 1968

22. Shulimson, *An Expanding War, 1966*, pp. 69, 143–44, 150–56, 198, 224–26; Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *Fighting the North Vietnamese, 1967*, pp. 142 and 168.

23. 4th Mar ComdC, Nov 67; 4th Mar, CAAR, Opn Neosho, 1Nov67–20Jan68, dtd 7Apr68, Encl 4th Mar ComdC, Jan68, hereafter 4th Mar CAAR, Opn Neosho; 4th Mar, CAAR, Opn Granite, 25 Oct–6 Nov 67, dtd 17Dec67, tab K, 4th Mar ComdC, Nov 67, hereafter 4th Mar CAAR, Opn Granite.

24. Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *Fighting the North Vietnamese, 1967*, pp. 173–74; 4th Mar CAAR, Opn Granite; Ron Asher, Comments on draft, dtd 10Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File).

25. 4th Mar ComdCs, Nov–Dec 67; 4th Mar CAAR, Opn Neosho; 4th Mar SitReps, Opn Neosho, Nov–Dec67, III MAF Jnl&Msg File, Opn Neosho.

26. 4th Mar ComdCs, Nov–Dec 67; 4th Mar CAAR, Opn Neosho; CG 3dMarDiv msg to CG III MAF, dtd 29Dec67, Encl 79, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Dec67; Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *Fighting the North Vietnamese, 1967*, pp. 176–79.
27. CG 3dMarDiv msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 29Dec67.
28. Col William L. Dick, Comments on draft, dtd 1Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Dick Comments.
29. 4th Mar CAAR, Opn Neosho; 1/9 ComdC, Jan68; Col John F. Mitchell, Comments on draft, dtd 5Jan95 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Mitchell Comments.
30. 3d Recon Bn, Patrol Report, 550–67, dtd 4Jan68, encl 3, 3d Recon Bn ComdC, Jan68, hereafter 3d Recon Bn, Patrol Report, 550–67, dtd 4Jan68.
31. Ibid.
32. Ibid.
33. Sgt Ray Wilkinson, "Ambush Survivors Journey to Safety," *Sea Tiger*, Jan 19, 1968, p. 1, hereafter Wilkinson, "Ambush Survivors"; 3d MarDiv COC telecon to III MAF COC, dtd 2Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File).
34. 3d Recon Bn, Patrol Report, 550–67, dtd 4Jan68 and Wilkinson, "Ambush Survivors," p. 1.
35. Ibid. and III MAF SitRep, No. 254, Opn Neosho, dtd 3Jan68 (III MAF Jnl & Msg File).
36. 1/9 AAR, Opn Neosho, dtd 4Mar68, 1/9 ComdC, Jan68, hereafter 1/9 AAR Opn Neosho; Mitchell Comments; Dick Comments.
37. 1/9 AAR Opn Neosho; 3d Recon Bn, Patrol Report, 550–67, dtd 4Jan68.
38. 1/9 AAR, Opn Neosho.
39. 4th Mar CAAR, Opn Neosho; 1/9 ComdC, Jan68; III MAF ComdC, Jan68.
40. 4th Mar CAAR, Opn Neosho; Col William Dick debriefing at FMFPac, 21Jul68, Tape 3036 (Oral HistColl, MCHC, Washington, D.C.). For enemy casualties in the 4th Marines sector, see also MSgt Dennis R. Johnson memo to Col William Dick, dtd 17Nov94, Encl, Dick Comments. Sgt Johnson served with the Marine 15th Interrogation Translation Team (ITT) assigned to Camp Evans and gives the number of confirmed enemy dead in Neosho as 78 rather than 77, and lists enemy casualties in Operations Cove and Foster.
- Operation Checkers
41. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jan68.
42. 1st Mar, 3d Mar, and 4th Mar ComdCs, Jan68.

CHAPTER 6 HEAVY FIGHTING AND REDEPLOYMENT, THE WAR IN CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN I CORPS

Unless otherwise noted the sources in this chapter are derived from MACV ComdHist, 1968; HQMC Msg File; HQMC, Status of Forces, 1967–68; FMFPac, MarOpsV, 1967–68; III MAF ComdCs, Dec67–Jan68; 1st MarDiv ComdCs, Dec67–Jan68; Westmoreland Papers, CMH; Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*.

A Time of Transition

1. CMH Intvw Notes with BGen Samuel W. Koster, USA (Ret), dtd 26Aug88 (Working Folder, Americal Division), hereafter Koster Intvw notes; Gen Robert E. Cushman intvw, 1 Nov 82 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), pp. 29 and 37, hereafter Cushman Intvw, Nov82.
2. Cushman Intvw, Nov82, pp. 10–11; Koster Intvw notes; III MAF msg to 1st MarDiv, dtd 24Dec67, Encl 22, 1st MarDiv ComdC, Dec67; LtGen Donn J. Robertson intvw, 1973–76 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), pp. 14–6, hereafter Robertson Intvw; LtGen Robert E. Cushman debriefing at FMFPac, 31Mar69, Tape 4058 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), pp. 465–66, hereafter Cushman Intvw, Mar69.
3. Cushman Intvw, Nov82, p. 8.
4. CG III MAF msg to ComUSMACV, dtd 21Oct67 (HQMC Msg File, III MAF Outgoing); HQMC, Status of Forces, Dec 67, p. 35–1. Lieutenant Colonel Bradley is quoted in Jeffrey J. Clarke, *Advice and Support: The Final Years, 1965–1973* (Washington, D.C.: CMH, 1988), pp. 334–35, hereafter Clarke, *The Final Years*.
5. HQMC, Status of Forces, Dec 67, p. 35–1; Koster Intvw notes; Cushman Intvw, Nov 82, p. 8
6. LtCol Byron F. Brady intvw, 12Oct86 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), pp. 1–5; III MAF ComdC, Dec67, p. 6; Resume of Telecon, dtd 10Jan68, Subj: opcon CAP ((III MAF Special Rpt to FMFPac Folder); FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan68, p. 47; Michael E. Peterson, *The Combined Action Platoons, The U.S. Marines' Other War in Vietnam* (New York: Praeger, 1989), pp. 47, 50, and 56; Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, p. 191; Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1966*, p. 240.
7. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Nov67, pp. 30–1.
8. FMFPac, MarOpsV, May68, p. 32; 1st Mar Div, Commanders AAR, Tet Offensive, 29 Jan–14 Feb68, dtd 25May68 (1st MarDiv Admin Files), p. 14, hereafter 1st MarDiv AAR Tet Offensive.
9. 1st MarDiv AAR, Tet Offensive, p. 14; George MacGarrigle, "The 2d North Vietnamese Division," ms, pp. 32–4 (Working Papers, Americal Division), hereafter MacGarrigle, "The 2d North Vietnamese Division."
10. MacGarrigle, "The 2d North Vietnamese Division," ms, pp. 32–4; III MAF ComdC, Dec67, p. 22.
11. LtCol John F. J. Kelly intvw, 23 May 1968, Tape 2760 (Oral HistColl, MCHC); III MAF ComdC, Dec67, p. 22.
- The Da Nang TAOR
12. LtGen Donn J. Robertson, USMC, Biographical File (RefSec, MCHC).
13. Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, pp. 109, 222–23.
14. Ibid.; MajGen Raymond L. Murray intvw, 1975 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), pp. 46–7, hereafter, Murray Intvw.
15. Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, p. 109; Cushman Intvw, Nov82, pp. 50–60; Robertson Intvw, pp. 9–11.
16. 1st MarDiv Periodic IntRpt No. 1–68, dtd 8Jan68, tab A, 1st MarDiv ComdC, Jan68.
- Operation Auburn: Searching the Go Noi
17. Ibid.; 1st MarDiv Periodic IntRpt No. 3–68, dtd 22Jan68, tab A, 1st MarDiv ComdC, Jan68; III MAF ComdC, Dec67, p. 23; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Jan68, p. 15; Robertson Intvw, p. 29.
18. 1st MarDiv Periodic IntRpt No. 1–68, dtd 8Jan68, tab A, 1st MarDiv ComdC, Jan68. For the 1965 activities of the R–20, see Shulimson and Johnson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1965*, p. 129.
19. Col William K. Rockey, Comments on draft, dtd 10Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File).
20. 5th Mar Frag O 74–67, Opn Auburn, dtd 25 Dec 67, in App B, 5th Mar ComdC, Dec67.
21. Ibid. and 1st MarDiv msg to III MAF, dtd 26Dec67 (III MAF Jnl & Msg File, Opn Auburn).

22. 3d Bn, 5th Mar CAAR, Opn Auburn, dtd 5Jan68, Encl, 3/5 ComdC, Jan68, hereafter 3/5 CAAR Auburn; "Australian Captain Heads Marine Company," *Sea Tiger*, 5Jan68, pp. 1 and 9; Isherwood COC telecon to III MAF COC, dtd 28Dec67 and 1st MarDiv COC msg to III MAF COC, dtd 29Dec67 (III MAF Jnl & Msg File, Opn Auburn).
23. 3/5 CAAR, Opn Auburn; 1st MarDiv COC msg to III MAF COC, dtd 29Dec67 and III MAF Spot Rpt to MACV, dtd 28Dec67 (III MAF Jnl & Msg File, Opn Auburn).
24. 3/5 CAAR, Opn Auburn; 1st MarDiv COC msg to III MAF COC (III MAF Jnl and Msg File).
25. Ibid. and "Being First Hurts," *Sea Tiger*, 26Jan68, p. 9.
26. 3/5 CAAR, Opn Auburn; 1st MarDiv COC msg to III MAF COC (III MAF Jnl and Msg File); "Sure Shot Marine Logs 'Lucky Run,'" *Sea Tiger*, 19Jan68, p. 5.
27. 3/5 CAAR, Opn Auburn; 1st MarDiv COC msg to III MAF COC, 29Dec67 (III MAF Jnl and Msg File); 2/5 CAAR, Opn Auburn, dtd 5Jan68, App B, 2/5 ComdC, Jan68, hereafter 2/5 CAAR, Opn Auburn. The 3/5 AAR and 1st MarDiv msg do not break down Marine casualties by company for 28 December 1967; the 2/5 CAAR, on the other hand, mentions the bodies of nine Marines from the action on the 28th recovered in the objective area the following day. Those bodies could only have been from Company E, 2d Battalion, 3d Marines.
28. 5th Mar FragO No. 75-67, Opn Auburn, dtd 28Dec67 in App B, 5th Mar ComdC, Dec67.
29. Ibid.
30. Ibid.
31. 3/5 CAAR, Opn Auburn and 2/5 CAAR, Opn Auburn.
32. 2/5 CAAR, Opn Auburn.
33. 1st MarDiv to III MAF and info to 5th Mar, dtd 29Dec67 and 1st MarDiv to 5th Mar, dtd 30Dec67 (III MAF Jnl & Msg File, Opn Auburn); 5th Mar FragO No. 76-67, Opn Auburn, dtd 30Dec67 in App B, 5th Mar ComdC, Dec67.
34. 3/5 CAAR, Opn Auburn.
35. Ibid. and 2/5 CAAR, Opn Auburn.
36. 3/5 CAAR, Opn Auburn; 1st MarDiv PerIntRpts, tab A, 1st MarDiv ComdC, Jan68; 1st MarDiv AAR, Tet Offensive, p. 16; MajGen Robert D. Bohn intvw, Apr-Jun, 89 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), p. 213, hereafter Bohn Intvw.

A Busy Night at Da Nang

37. The following sources pertain to this and the following three paragraphs: 1st MarDiv PerIntRpt, dtd 8Jan68, tab A, 1st MarDiv ComdC, Jan68 and 7th Mar SitRep No. 265, dtd 3Jan68, tab C, 7th Mar ComdC, Jan68.
38. Additional source for this and the following two paragraphs are: 7th Mar Jnl, tab A, 7th Mar ComdC, Jan68.
39. Col W. J. Davis, *Tet Marine, An Autobiography* (San Diego, CA, 1987), p. 103, Encl to Col William J. Davis, Comments on draft, dtd 2Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Davis, *Tet Marine*.
40. Additional sources for this and the following paragraph are: 1st Tank Bn SitRep No. 3, dtd 3Jan68, 1st Tank Bn, ComdC, Jan68; 1st MarDiv msg to III MAF, dtd 2Jan68 in III MAF Folder, Rocket Attack, 2-3 Jan 1968.
41. Additional sources for this paragraph are: 1st MarDiv, ComdC, Jan68, p. 25; 2/5 ComdC, Jan68; I Corps TOC telecon to III MAF COC, dtd 3Jan68 in III MAF Folder, Rocket Attack 2-3 Jan68.
42. The sources for this and the following paragraph are: 7th Mar SitRep No. 265, dtd 3Jan68, tab C, 7th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 7th Mar Jnl, 2-3 Jan68, tab A, 7th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 11th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 1st Tank Bn ComdC, Jan68; III MAF to FMFPac, dtd 4Jan68 in III MAF Special Report to FMFPac Folder; 1st MarDiv to III MAF, Narrative Summary of Events of Rocket Attack, [3]Jan68 and miscellaneous handwritten notes in III MAF Folder, Rocket Attack 2-3 Jan68; 1st MarDiv PerIntRpt, dtd 8Jan68, tab A, 1st MarDiv ComdC, Jan68.
43. Additional source for this paragraph is MacGarrigle, "The 2d North Vietnamese Division," ms, p. 37.

Continuing Heavy Fighting and Increasing Uncertainty

44. MacGarrigle, "The 2d North Vietnamese Division," ms, p. 43 and III MAF ComdC, Jan68.
45. MacGarrigle, "The 2d North Vietnamese Division," ms, pp. 34-6.
46. Additional sources for this and the following paragraph are: Ibid.; III MAF msg to subordinate units, dtd 2Jan68, Encl 2, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jan68; III MAF ComdC, Jan68.
47. Additional sources for this paragraph are: CGIIIMAF msg to FMFPac, dtd 4Jan68 (III MAF Special Rpt to FMFPac Folder); F. Clifton Berry, Jr., *Air Cav, The Illustrated History of the Vietnam War* (Bantam Books: New York, New York, 1988), pp. 100-02.
48. MacGarrigle, "The 2d North Vietnamese Division," ms, pp. 38, 41-2.
49. Ibid., pp. 40-1.
50. Ibid.; [Major General Samuel B. Koster, USA,], "Americal Division, 1967-1968," n.d. (Working Folder, Americal Division); III MAF to FMFPac msgs, 4-13Jan 68 (III MAF Special Rpt to FMFPac Folder); and III MAF Sit Reps, 4-13Jan68 (III MAF Jnl & Msg File, Opn Wheeler/Wallowa).

Phu Loc Operations

51. 1/5 ComdCs, Dec67 and Jan 68.
52. 1st MarDiv Periodic IntRpt No. 1-68, dtd 8Jan68, tab A, 1st MarDiv ComdC, Jan68; Intelligence Annex, 5th Mar OPlan 3-67, dtd 14Dec67, App 1, tab I, 1/5 ComdC, Dec67; III MAF ComdC, Dec67, p. 22.
53. James Duguid intvw, 14 Oct 1984 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Duguid Intvw; 1st MarDiv Periodic IntRpt No. 1-68, dtd 8Jan68; III MAF ComdC, Dec67, p. 22.
54. 1/5 ComdC, Jan68, p. 3-2.
55. Ibid., p. 3-3.
56. Ibid., pp. 3-2-3-4.
57. 1st MarDiv PerIntRpt No. 1-68, dtd 8Jan68, tab A, 1st MarDiv ComdC, Jan68; Arliss Willhite and Thomas Krusewski intvw, 13Oct84 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Willhite and Krusewski Intvw, Oct84.
58. 1/5 ComdC, Jan68, pp. 3-4, 3-5; 5th Mar ComdC, Jan68, pp. 3-2, 3-3; 1st MarDiv PerIntRpt No. 1-68, 8Jan68; IIIMAF to FMFPac, dtd 7Jan68 (III MAF Special Rpt to FMFPac Folder); III MAF PerIntRpt No. 2-68, dtd 15Jan68 (III MAF PerIntRpts, 1968).
59. Cpl Arliss Willhite et al., intvw, 15Aug68, Tape 2276 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Willhite Intvw, Aug68; Willhite and Krusewski Intvw, Oct84.
60. Willhite Intvw, Aug68; Willhite and Krusewski Intvw, Oct84.
61. Willhite Intvw, Aug68; Willhite and Krusewski Intvw, Oct84; 1st MarDiv Periodic IntRpt No. 1-68, dtd 8Jan68.
62. Willhite Intvw, Aug68; Willhite and Krusewski Intvw, Oct84; 1st MarDiv Periodic IntRpt No. 1-68, dtd 8Jan68; IIIMAF to FMFPac, dtd 7Jan68 (III MAF Special Rpt to FMFPac Folder).
63. 1/5 ComdC, Jan68.

64. *Ibid.*; III MAF PerIntRpt No. 2–68, 15Jan68; 1st MarDiv PerIntRpt No. 1–68, 8Jan68; IIIMAF to FMFPac, dtd 7Jan68 (III MAF Special Rpt to FMFPac Folder).

65. 1/5 ComdC, Jan68; IIIMAF msg to FMFPac, dtd 11–15Jan68 (III MAF Special Rpt to FMFPac Folder).

The Formation and Deployment of Task Force X-Ray

66. "History of Task Force X-Ray," n.d., Attachment to Col A. J. Poillon, comments on Maj Thomas Donnelly and Capt Moyers S. Shore II, "Ho Chi Minh's Gamble," in LtCol Ralph F. Moody, et al., "Marines in Vietnam, 1954–68," 8 pts (ms, MCHC, 1971), pt VI, dtd 30Oct69 (Vietnam Comment Files), hereafter "History of Task Force X-Ray," Poillon Comments; CG 1st MarDiv msg to CG TF X-Ray, dtd 3Dec67, Encl 57 and 1st MarDiv OpO 309–68, 18Dec67, Encl 45, 1st MarDiv ComdC, Dec67, hereafter 1st MarDiv OpO 309–68, 18Dec67.

67. 1st MarDiv OpO 309–68, 18Dec67.

68. *Ibid.*; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Dec67, p. 18; CG 1st MarDiv msg to CG 3d MarDiv, dtd 15Dec 67, Encl 58, and dtd 23Dec67, Encl 63, 1st MarDiv ComdC, Dec67; CG 3d MarDiv msg to CG 1st MarDiv, n.d., Encl 44, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Dec67; LtCol A. Van Winkle et al. intvw, 25Jan68, Tape 2478 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Van Winkle Intvw; 1/5 ComdC, Dec67.

69. 1st MarDiv FragO 4–68, 11Jan68, 3d MarDiv Messages, Jan 1968.

70. III MAF COC, Resume of telecon, 15Jan68 in III MAF Special Report to FMFPac, Jan68; 5th Mar ComdC, Jan68; TF X-Ray ComdC, 13–31Jan68.

71. Bohn Intvw, Apr89 and May89, pp. 272–3, 278.

72. Bohn Intvw, pp. 274–78; SSgt Donald O. Taylor in LtCol A. Van Winkle et al. Intvw.

73. Bohn Intvw, pp. 274–8, 308; LtCol Joseph W. Malcolm debriefing at FMFPac, 28Oct68, Tape 3453, (Oral HistColl, MCHC); LtCol James C. Hecker in LtCol A. Van Winkle et al. Intvw.

74. Task Force X-Ray OpO 301–68, 12Jan68, Encl 36, 1st MarDiv, ComdC, Jan68.

75. CG 3d MarDiv msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 4Oct67, HQMC Msg File, III MAF, Incoming; Cushman intvw, Nov 82, p. 8; HQMC, Status of Forces, Dec 1967–Jan68, pp. 31–1; Clarke, *The Final Years*, p. 334; Col Hoang Ngoc Lung, *The General Offensives of 1968–69*, Indochina Monographs, (Washington, D.C.: CMH, 1981), p. 78.

The Cavalry Arrives

76. MACV ComdHist, 1968, v. 1, pp. 23–4; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Dec67, p. 16; 4th Marines, CAAR, Opn Cumberland, dtd 11Oct67 (4th Marines Miscellaneous Reports); Cushman Intvws, Nov82 and Mar68, pp. 25 and 490; Murray Intvw, pp. 55–6; CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 8Dec67, HQMC Msg File.

77. MACV ComdHist, 1968, v. 1, pp. 23–4; Entry for 16Dec67, Historical Summary, 29Nov67–16Dec67, and HQMACV C/S Action Memo, No 67–178, subj: CIIB Meeting, 16 Dec 67, dtd 17 Dec 67, v. 22, History File, Westmoreland Papers, CMH; CGIIIMAF msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 16Dec67, HQMC Msg File; MajGen John J. Tolson intvw, 24Jun68, 14th MHD (Copy in CMH), hereafter Tolson Intvw.

78. Tolson Intvw; Westmoreland msg to Sharp and Wheeler, dtd 12 and 15Jan68, Backchannel Messages and C/S Memo No. 68, dtd 14Jan68, v.28, History File, 22Dec67–31Jan68, Westmoreland Papers.

79. Westmoreland msg to Sharp and Wheeler, 15Jan68 and Entry for

17Jan68, Historical Summary, v. 28, History File, Westmoreland Papers.

80. See for the York II planing CGIIIMAF msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 26 and 28Dec67, 3 and 12Jan68, and CGFMFPac msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 6Jan68 (HQMC Msg File). For the Niagara planning and General Westmoreland's concerns see ComUSMACV msg to CinCPac dtd 21Jan68 and Entry for 19Jan68, Summary, v. 28, History File, 27Dec67–31Jan68, Westmoreland Papers.

81. Westmoreland entry for 19Jan68, Historical Summary; CinCPac msg to ComUSMACV, dtd 19Jan68, Back Channel Messages, Westmoreland Papers.

82. Tolson Intvw; III MAF to FMFPac, 23Jan68 (Special FMFPac Rpt Folder).

83. Tolson Intvw.

84. *Ibid.*; III MAF ComdC, Jan68; BGen Herbert L. Beckington, Comments, dtd 25Oct69 in BGen Edwin H. Simmons, Comment Files on May 1970 *Naval Review* article.

The Changed Situation in the North

85. 1st Mar ComdC, Jan68; 1st Mar, CAAR Opn Neosho II, dtd 22Feb68, tab J, 1st Mar ComdC, Jan 68; CGIIIMAF, CG 3d MarDiv, CTF76.4, CTF 79.4, and BLT 2/4, exchange of msgs, 3d MarDiv, Messages, Jan68.

86. 1st Mar ComdC, Jan68; 1st Mar, CAAR Opn Neosho II, dtd 22Feb68, tab J, 1st Mar ComdC, Jan 68; 1st Mar OpO 3–68, dtd 26Jan68, tab G, 1st Mar ComdC, Jan68.

87. 1st Mar ComdC, Jan68; 1st Mar OpO 3–68, dtd 26Jan68, tab g, 1st Mar ComdC, Jan68; CG 1st MarDiv msg to CGTFX-Ray, dtd 24Jan68, Encl 20, 1st MarDiv ComdC, Jan68; Bohn Intvw, pp. 279–80.

88. 5th Mar ComdC, Jan68 and 1/5 ComdC, Jan68.

89. 5th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 5th Mar OpO 301–68, dtd 18 Jan68, Encl 17 and 5th Mar FragO 7–68, dtd 25Jan68, Encl 52, 5th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 2/5 ComdC, Jan68.

90. TF X-Ray ComdC, Jan68; "History of Task Force X-Ray," Poillon Comments.

91. Historical Summary, General Entry, 27Dec67–31Jan68, Entry for 22Jan68, History File, v. 28, Westmoreland Papers; Westmoreland msg to Wheeler, dtd 22Jan68 and Westmoreland msg to Sharp and Wheeler, dtd 26Jan68, Westmoreland Messages, Westmoreland Papers; John R. Chaisson, entries for 26–28 Jan68, Diary, Chaisson Papers; Cushman Intvw, Mar69, pp. 459–60 and 465–66.

92. CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 27Jan68 and CGIIIMAF msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 27Jan68 (HQMC Msg File); Abrams msg to Cushman, dtd 31Jan68, Creighton B. Abrams Papers, CMH; MACV msg to III MAF, dtd 3Feb68 (III MAF Incoming Msgs); Cushman FMFPac debriefing; Maj Miles D. Waldron and Spec 5 Richard W. Beavers, XXIV Corps, "The Critical Year, 1968," pp. 4–5 (CMH); LtGen Willard Pearson, USA, *The War in the Northern Provinces, 1966–1968*, Vietnam Studies (Washington, D.C. Dept of the Army, 1975); Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, p. 345.

PART II The Tet Offensive

CHAPTER 7 THE ENEMY OFFENSIVE IN THE DMZ AND SOUTHERN QUANG TRI, 20 JANUARY–8 FEBRUARY

Unless otherwise noted the material in this chapter is derived from: FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan and Feb68; Maj Thomas Donnelly and Capt Moyers S. Shore II, "Ho Chi Minh's Gamble," in LtCol Ralph F. Moody, et al., "Marines in Vietnam, 1954–68," 8 pts (ms, MCHC, Washington, D.C., 1971), pt VI, hereafter Donnelly and Shore, "Ho Chi Minh's Gamble"; III MAF ComdCs, Jan and Feb68; 3d MarDiv ComdCs, Jan and Feb68; HQMC Msg File; III MAF Jnl & Msg File; Vietnam Comment Files; A&S Files, Indochina Archives; *Sea Tiger*, Jan–Feb68; Sharp and Westmoreland, *Report on the War*; Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*; LtGen Willard Pearson, USA, *The War in the Northern Provinces, 1966–1968*, Vietnam Studies (Washington, D.C. Dept of the Army, 1975), hereafter Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*; Col Hoang Ngoc Lung, ARVN, *The General Offensives of 1968–69*, Indochina Monographs (Washington, D.C., CMH, 1981), hereafter Lung, *The General Offensives*; LtCol Pham Van Son, ARVN, et al., *The Viet Cong Tet Offensive, 1968* (Saigon, RVN: Joint General Staff, RVNAF, 1968), hereafter Pham Van Son, *Tet Offensive*.

The Cua Viet is Threatened

Other sources for this section are: 1st AmTrac Bn ComdC, Jan68; 3/1 ComdC, Jan68; BLT 3/1 CAAR, Operation Badger Catch, dtd 14Mar68, Encl, 3/1 ComdC, Feb68, hereafter 3/1 CAAR, Operation Badger Catch.

1. 1st AmTrac Bn ComdC, Jan68.
2. Ronald R. Asher, Comments on draft, dtd 10Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File).
3. This and the following paragraph are based on the following sources: 1st AmTrac Bn ComdC, Jan68; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jan68; III MAF ComdC, Jan68.
4. See also MCCC, Items of Significant Interest, dtd 22Jan68 and HQMC, G–3, Point Paper, Status of WestPac Units, dtd 23Jan68, HQMC Point Papers, Jan–Jun68.
5. 1st AmTrac Bn ComdC, Jan68.
6. Ibid.
7. CGIIIMAF msg to CG3dMarDiv, dtd 22Jan68 and also CGIIIMAF msg to ComUSMACV, dtd 22Jan68 (HQMC Msg File, III MAF Outgoing, Dec67–Feb68).
8. CGIIIMAF msg to CG3dMarDiv, dtd 5Jan68 and ComSeventhFlt to CTG 76.5, dtd 15Jan68, Encls 5 and 7, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jan68.
9. CGIIIMAF msg to CG3dMarDiv, dtd 17Jan68 and CGIIIMAF msgs to CG3dMarDiv and ComUSMACV, dtd 22Jan68 (HQMC Msg Files, III MAF Outgoing, Dec67–Jan68).
10. CG3dMarDiv msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 23Jan68, Encl, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jan68; 1st AmTrac Bn ComdC, Jan68; 3/1 CAAR, Operation Badger Catch; "Operation: Badger Catch/Saline/Napoleon-Saline," *BLT 3/1, Newsletter Battalion Landing Team 3/1 RVN, Dec 67–Jun68*, Apr 1988, p. 6.
11. 3dMarDiv COC msg to IIIMAFCO, dtd 23Jan68, Encl 29, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jan68.

12. CGIIIMAF msg to CTG 76.5, dtd 24Jan68, Encl 42, and CTG 79.5, SitRep, Opn Badger Tooth, dtd 2Jan68, Encl 87, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jan68.

Adjustment of Forces in Southern Quang Tri Province

Other sources for this section are: 3d Mar ComdC, Jan68; 3d Mar AAR, Opn Osceola II, dtd 20Mar68, 3d Mar Miscellaneous File, hereafter 3d Mar AAR, Opn Osceola II; 1st AirCavDiv, Operational Report Lessons Learned, for period ending 31Jan68, dtd 17Mar68 (CMH Working Papers), hereafter 1st AirCavDiv, ORLL, 31Jan68.

13. 3d Mar ComdC, Jan68; 1st Mar Operation Osceola SitRep No. 363, dtd 20Jan68, tab H, 1st Mar ComdC, Jan68.
14. 3d Mar ComdC, Jan68; 3d Mar AAR, Opn Osceola II.
15. Ibid.
16. Ibid. and III MAF ComdC, Jan68.
17. CGIIIMAF msg to ComUSMACV, dtd 22Jan68 (HQMC Msg File, III MAF Outgoing, Dec67–Feb68).
18. 1st AirCavDiv, ORLL, 31Jan68; William Ehrhart, ltr to Shulimson, dtd 2Jul91 (Vietnam Comment File).
19. 3d Mar ComdC, Jan68; 3d Mar AAR, Opn Osceola II.

Heavy Fighting Along the DMZ

Other sources for this section are: 3d MarDiv, AAR, Operation Lancaster II, dtd 9Sep69[?], Encl, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Nov68, hereafter 3d MarDiv AAR, Operation Lancaster II; 4th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 3/4 ComdC, Mar68.

20. 4th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 3d MarDiv AAR, Operation Lancaster II.
21. 4th Mar ComdC, Jan68.
22. This and the following paragraph are based on the following sources: 4th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 3/4 ComdC, Jan68; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jan68; III MAF ComdC, Jan68; 3d MarDiv AAR, Operation Lancaster II; MCCC, Items of Significant Interest, dtd 26Jan68; G–3, HQMC, Point Paper, Status of Forces in WestPac, dtd 31Jan68 (HQMC Point Papers, Jan–Jun68). For reference to Dien Bien Phu and the *320th NVA Division*, see George L. MacGarrigle, Comments on draft, dtd 5Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File).
23. This and the next two paragraphs are based on the following sources: 3d MarDiv AAR, Operation Lancaster II; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jan68.
24. Col Lee R. Bendell, Comments on draft, n.d. (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Bendell Comments.
25. Additional sources for this and the following paragraph are: 3/4 ComdC Jan68; 4th Mar ComdC, Jan68.
26. Additional source for this paragraph is: "Marines Blast 'Wall to Wall,' NVA After Ambush," *Sea Tiger*, 1Mar1968, p. 4. See also Bendell Comments.
27. 3/4 ComdC, Jan68; 3d MarDiv AAR, Operation Lancaster II.
28. 3/4 ComdC, Jan68.
29. Ibid.
30. Ibid. See also Bendell Comments.
31. Sources for this and the following three paragraphs are: 3/4 ComdC, Jan68; "Marines Blast 'Wall to Wall,' NVA After Ambush," *Sea Tiger*, 1Mar1968, p. 4. See also Maj John S. Leffen, Jr., Comments on draft, n.d. (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Leffen Comments.

32. Additional sources for this and the following two paragraphs are: 3d MarDiv AAR, Operation Lancaster II; Abdullah Hassan (Frank Craven) intvw, 2Oct86 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Hassan Tape.
33. Leffen Comments.
34. Hassan Tape.
35. 3/4 ComdC, Jan68; Bendell Comments.
36. Bendell Comments.
37. 3/4 ComdC, Jan68.
38. Ibid.
39. Sources for this and the following paragraph are: 3/4 ComdC, Jan68; 3d MarDiv AAR, Operation Lancaster II.
40. Messages quoted in 3/4 ComdC, Jan68.
41. III MAF ComdC, Jan68; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jan68; 4th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 9th Mar Int Sum, Opn Kentucky, No. 87, dtd 28Jan68, tab B 9th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 3/4 ComdC, Jan68; 3d MarDiv AAR, Operation Lancaster II.

A Lull in Leatherneck Square

Additional sources for this section are: 9th Mar ComdC, Jan–Feb68; 2/1 ComdC, Jan68; 3/4 ComdC, Jan68; 3d MarDiv Messages, Jan 1968.

42. CGIIIIMAF msg to ComUSMACV, dtd 20Jan68 (HQMC Msg File); 9th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 3/4 ComdC, Jan68; MCCC, Items of Significant Interest, dtd 22Jan68.
43. CG3dMarDiv msg to CGIIIIMAF, dtd 17Jan68, 3d MarDiv Messages, Jan 1968.
44. 9th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 2/1 ComdC, Jan68; MCCC, Items of Significant Interest, 23–25Jan68.
45. 9th Mar SitRep No. 354, Operation Kentucky, dtd 29Jan68, tab A, 9th Mar ComdC, Jan68.
46. 9th Mar Int Sum, Opn Kentucky, No. 87, dtd 28 Jan68, tab B, 9th Mar ComdC, Jan68.
47. CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 27Jan68 (HQMC Msg File); exchange of messages between CG3dMarDiv and CTG 76.4, dtd 26Jan68, Encl 116–18, 3d MarDiv Messages, Jan68.
48. Exchange of messages between CG3dMarDiv and CTG 76.4, dtd 27Jan68, Encl 126–29, 3d MarDiv ComdCs, Jan68; 9th Mar SitReps, Operation Kentucky, Nos. 347–64, dtd 27–31Jan68, tab A, 9th Mar ComdC, Jan68.
49. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jan68; 9th Mar SitRep, Operation Kentucky, No. 364, dtd 31Jan68, tab A, 9th Mar ComdC, Jan68; CG3dMarDiv to CGIIIIMAF, dtd 3Feb68, HQMC Message File, III MAF Incoming, Dec67–Feb68.

The Cua Viet Continues to Heat Up

Other sources for this section are: 1st AmTrac Bn ComdC, Jan–Feb68; 3/1 ComdCs, Jan–Feb68; 3/1 CAAR, Operation Badger Catch.

50. 1st AmTrac Bn, ComdC, Jan68; CG3dMarDiv msg to CGIII MAF, dtd 30Jan68, 3d MarDiv Messages, Jan68.
51. BLT 3/1 AAR, Badger Catch; 1st AmTrac Bn msg to CO 9th Mar and CO 12th Mar n.d., attached to S–2/3 Jnl, 1st AmTrac Bn ComdC, Jan68.
52. BLT 3/1 AAR, Badger Catch.

53. Ibid.
54. CG3dMarDiv msg to CGIIIIMAF, dtd 30Jan68, 3d MarDiv Messages, Jan68.
55. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jan68; Enemy Order of Battle, 9th Mar ComdC, Feb68; BLT 3/1 AAR, Badger Catch.
56. BLT 3/1 AAR, Badger Tooth.
57. Sources for this and the following three paragraphs are: BLT 3/1 AAR, Badger Tooth; 1st AmTrac Bn ComdC, Jan68.
58. Additional source for this and the following paragraph is 3d Mar Div ComdC, Jan68.
59. BLT 3/1 AAR, Badger Tooth.
60. Ibid.
61. Ibid; ComUSMACV msgs to III MAF, 27–29Jan68 (III MAF Cease-fire Policy Folder); Maj Gen Rathvon McC. Tompkins, Comments on BGen Edwin H. Simmons *Naval Review* Article, dtd 19Nov69, Simmons Comment Notebook, Personal Papers, MCHC; Maj John Regal, "Surprise for the 803d," reprinted from *Marine Corps Gazette*, Apr70 in *BLT 3/1, Newsletter Battalion Landing Team 3/1, RVN, Dec 67–Jun68*, Apr88, pp. 3–5, p. 3, hereafter Regal, "Surprise for the 803d."
62. BLT 3/1 AAR, Badger Catch.
63. Ibid.
64. Ibid.
65. Ibid.
66. Ibid.

The Battle For Quang Tri City

Other sources for this section are: 14th Mil Hist Det, 1st Air Cav Div, "Battle of Quang Tri, 31Jan–6Feb68," dtd 1Apr68, CMH Working Papers, hereafter 14th MilHist, "Battle of Quang Tri"; 3d Mar AAR, Opn Osceola II; Pham Van Son, *Tet Offensive*, pp. 298–300; Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*, pp. 55–6.

67. CG3dMarDiv msg to CGIIIIMAF, dtd 4Oct67, HQMC Msg File, III MAF Incoming.
68. Pham Van Son, *Tet Offensive, 1968*, pp. 298–99 and 302.
69. Ibid.
70. The sources for this and the following paragraph are: Pham Van Son, *The Tet Offensive*, pp. 298–99, 302; 14th MilHist, "Battle of Quang Tri."
71. 14th MilHist, "Battle of Quang Tri". See also, Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*, p. 55.
72. 3d Mar AAR, Opn Osceola II.
73. 14th MilHist, "Battle of Quang Tri".
74. Ibid.
75. Ibid.; Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*, p. 55.
76. Oral History intvw with Capt Michael Nawrosky (USA) and 1stSgt Robert B. Fowler (USA), n.d. [Feb68] (CMH Working Papers), hereafter Nawrosky Intvw (CMH Working Papers).
77. This and the following paragraph are based on the following sources: 14th MilHist, "Battle of Quang Tri"; Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*, pp. 55–6.
78. Additional sources for this paragraph are: Nawrosky Intvw (CMH Working Papers); Pham Van Son, *Tet Offensive*, p. 300.
79. 14th MilHist, "Battle of Quang Tri"; Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*, pp. 55–6.

Tet Aftermath Along the DMZ

Additional sources for this section are: Regal, "Surprise for the 803d," BLT 3/1 AAR, Badger Catch; 3/3 draft AAR, n.d., Anx B, 3/3 ComdC, Jan68, hereafter 3/3 draft AAR; Jeff Kelly, *DMZ Diary, A Combat Marine's Vietnam Memoir* (Jefferson, N.C.: McFarland and Company, Inc., 1991), hereafter Kelly, *DMZ Diary*.

80. The sources for this and the following three paragraphs are: BLT 3/1 AAR, Badger Catch; Regal, "Surprise for the 803d;" "'Missing' Kilo Co Kills 144 Enemy," *Sea Tiger*, dtd 23Feb68.

81. The sources for this and the following paragraph are: Regal, "Surprise for the 803d;" "'Missing' Kilo Co Kills 144 Enemy," *Sea Tiger*, dtd 23Feb68.

82. Additional source for this paragraph is BLT 3/1 AAR, Badger Catch. See also LtCol Max McQuown, Comments on draft, dtd 22Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter McQuown Comments.

83. BLT 3/1 AAR, Badger Catch; McQuown Comments; Regal, "Surprise for the 803d," p. 5.

84. Sources for this and the following two paragraphs are: 9th Mar IntSum No. 90, Operation Kentucky, dtd 31Jan68, tab B, 9th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 9th Mar ComdC, Feb68; 9th Mar SitReps and IntReps, Operation Kentucky, dtd 2-3 Feb68, tabs A and B, 9th Mar ComdC, Feb68; FMFPac, MarOpV, Jan68, p. 49.

85. Additional sources for this and the following paragraph are: "Leatherneck Unit Clobbers Enemy in Fierce Battle," *Sea Tiger*, dtd 23Feb68, p. 1 and Clipping "Cam Lo—Hub of the DMZ," *Sea Tiger*, n.d. [Feb68], Encl, Bendell Comments.

86. Col Franklin L. Smith debriefing at FMFPac [May 1968] Tape 2904 (Oral HistColl, MCHC); Col Richard B. Smith intvw, Jul68, Tape 3041 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).

87. Sources for this and the following four paragraphs are: 9th Mar ComdC, Feb68; 3/3 ComdC, Feb68; 3/3 draft AAR.

88. Additional source for this and the following two paragraphs is: Kelly, *DMZ Diary*, pp. 27-36. Quotes are from pp. 27-28.

89. Kelly, *DMZ Diary*, p. 33.

90. LtCol Otto Lehrack, Comments on draft, dtd 29Oct94 (Vietnam Comment File). See also LtCol Otto J. Lehrack, *No Shining Armor, The Marines at War in Vietnam, An Oral History* (Lawrence, Kansas: University Press of Kansas, 1992), pp. 228-29, hereafter Lehrack, *No Shining Armor*.

91. The quote is from Kelly, *DMZ Diary*, pp. 35-6. See also Lehrack, *No Shining Armor*, pp. 215-33 and 3/3 draft AAR.

92. 3d MarDiv, Lancaster 11, AAR; 3d MarDiv Periodic Intelligence Rpt, dtd 18Feb68, Encl, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Mar68.

CHAPTER 8

THE TET OFFENSIVE AT DA NANG

Unless otherwise noted the material in this chapter is derived from: MACV ComdHist, 1968; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan and Feb68; Maj Thomas Donnelly and Capt Moyers S. Shore II, "Ho Chi Minh's Gamble," in LtCol Ralph F. Moody, et al., "Marines in Vietnam, 1954-68," 8 pts (ms, MCHC, Washington, D.C., 1971), pt V1, hereafter Donnelly and Shore, "Ho Chi Minh's Gamble;" 1st Mar Div, Commanders AAR, Tet Offensive, 29 Jan-14 Feb68, dtd 25May68 (1st MarDiv Admin Files), hereafter 1st MarDiv AAR Tet; TF X-Ray ComdC, Jan68; III MAF Jnl & Msg File; Vietnam Comment Files; Sharp and

Westmoreland, *Report on the War*; Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*; Don Oberdorfer, *Tet!* (Garden City, New York: Doubleday & Co, 1971), hereafter Oberdorfer, *Tet!*; LtGen Willard Pearson, USA, *The War in the Northern Provinces, 1966-1968*, Vietnam Studies (Washington, D.C. Dept of the Army, 1975), hereafter Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*; Col Hoang Ngoc Lung, ARVN, *The General Offensives of 1968-69*, Indochina Monographs (Washington, D.C., CMH, 1981), hereafter Lung, *The General Offensives*; LtCol Pham Van Son, ARVN, et al., *The Viet Cong Tet Offensive, 1968* (Saigon, RVN: Joint General Staff, RVNAF, 1968), hereafter Pham Van Son, *Tet Offensive*.

Allied Dispositions

1. 7th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, p. 14.
2. 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, pp. 5 and 17; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Jan68, p. 35; LtGen Donn J. Robertson intvw, p. 29, 1973-76 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Robertson Intvw.
3. Col William K. Rockey, Comments on draft, dtd 10Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Rockey Comments; Igor Bobrowsky intvw, 3Dec1982, Tape Three, p. 2 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Bobrowsky Intvw, Tape Three; 2d ROK Bde SitRep No. 29, dtd 29Jan68 and I CorpsAdvGp, Da Nang msg to III MAF, dtd 29Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File); 7th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 3/5 ComdC, Jan68.
4. ComUSMACV msgs to III MAF, dtd 27-29Jan68 (III MAF Cease-fire Policy Folder).
5. Robertson Intvw, p.32.

The Enemy Plans His Offensive

6. General Entry, Historical Summary, Jan68, p. 24, v. 28, History File, 27Dec62-31Jan68 (Westmoreland Papers); 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, p. 19; 1st MarDiv PerlntRpt 3-68, dtd 22Jan68, tab A, 1st MarDiv ComdC, Jan68; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Jan68, pp. 12 and 31; Col W. J. Davis, *Tet Marine, An Autobiography* (San Diego, CA, 1987), pp. 116-18, Encl to Col William J. Davis, Comments on draft, dtd 2Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Davis, *Tet Marine*.
7. 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, p. 19; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Jan68, pp. 12 and 31; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Apr68, pp. 25-6; Davis, *Tet Marine*, pp. 117-18.
8. 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, p. 19; CWO Stuart N. Duncan intvw, n.d. [1968], Tape 2978 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
9. 1st MarDiv msg to III MAF, dtd 29Jan68 and NSA to III MAF, Resume of telecon, dtd 29Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File); 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, p. 19.
10. Rand Corporation, Tet Quang Ngai Interview, dtd 18Apr68, No. 20, Folder No. 2, Tet (A&S Files, Indochina Archives), hereafter, Quang Ngai intvw, 18Apr68, 20 (A&S Files); III MAF msg to MACV, dtd 29Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File).
11. Quang Ngai Interview, 18Apr68, 20 (A&S Files); 1st MarDiv msg to III MAF, dtd 30Jan68 and III MAF msg to I Corps TOC, dtd 31Jan68 (III MAF Jnl & Msg File); FMFPac, MarOpsV, Apr68, pp. 25-6.
12. 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, pp. 19-20; ICorpsAdvGp, Da Nang msg to III MAF, dtd 30Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File).
13. Hq, South Vietnam Liberation Army, Order of the Day of the Headquarters, All South Vietnam Liberation Armed Forces, n.d., trans (Tet 68, Folder 2, Indochina Archives).

The Attack

14. 1st MarDiv ComdC, Jan68, pp. 31-33; 1st MarDiv to III MAF, resume of telecon, dtd 29Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File).

15. Jack W. Jaunal, *Vietnam '68, Jack's Journal*, (San Francisco, CA: Denson Press, 1981) p. 39, hereafter Jaunal, *Vietnam '68*.
16. Maj Gen Raymond L. Murray intvw, 27Jun75, pp. 42-3 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
17. Robertson Intvw, pp. 32-3; 1st MP Bn to III MAF, Resume of telecon, dtd 30Jan68, 1st MarDiv msg to III MAF, dtd 30Jan68, and CO ICorpsAdvGp to III MAF, dtd 30Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File); 1st MarDiv ComdC, Jan68, pp. 31-3; 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, pp. 23-5.
18. The sources for this and the following paragraph are: 1st MarDiv msg to III MAF, dtd 30Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File); 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, pp. 25-6.
19. An additional source for this paragraph is: CG1stMarDiv msg to HqBn, 1st MarDiv, dtd 30Jan68, Encl 25, 1st MarDiv ComdC, Jan68.
20. 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, pp. 25-6; Pham Van Son, *Tet Offensive*, pp. 303-04; CO ICorpsAdvGp to III MAF, dtd 31Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File).
21. Lung, *The General Offensives of 1968-69*, pp. 47-9.
22. Ibid.; Pham Van Son, *Tet Offensive*, pp. 303-04; 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, pp. 25-6; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan68, pp. 21-5; 1st MarDiv COC, Summary of Events of Attack, dtd 30Jan68 and ICorpsAdvGp msg to III MAF, dtd 31Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File).
23. Col Twyman R. Hill, Comments on draft, dtd 29Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Hill Comments; 1st MP Bn ComdC, Jan68; 1st MarDiv COC, Summary of Events of Attack, dtd 30Jan68 and ICorpsAdvGp msg to III MAF, dtd 31Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File).
24. 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, pp. 25-6; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan68, pp. 21-5; 1st MarDiv COC, Summary of Events of Attack, dtd 30Jan68 and ICorpsAdvGp msg to III MAF, dtd 31Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File); Donald Oberdorfer, *Tet!*, pp. 125-26.
25. Pham Van Son, *Tet Offensive*, pp. 303-04; 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, pp. 25-6; 1st MP Bn ComdC, Jan68; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan68, pp. 21-5; 1st MarDiv COC, Summary of Events of Attack, dtd 30Jan68 and ICorpsAdvGp msg to III MAF, dtd 31Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File); Hill Comments.
26. The sources for this and the following two paragraphs are: 1st MarDiv COC, Summary of Events of Attack, dtd 30Jan68, and 1st MarDiv msg to III MAF, dtd 30Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File); 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, pp. 23-5; 11th Marines ComdC, Jan68; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Jan68.
27. An additional source for this paragraph is Rocky Comments.
28. Col Franklin L. Smith intvw, 10Jun68, Tape 2878 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Smith Tape; CO ICorpsAdvGp msg to III MAF, dtd 31Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File).
29. The sources for this and the following paragraph are: Pham Van Son, *Tet Offensive*, p. 305; 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, pp. 26-7. See also CO ICorpsAdvGp msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 31Jan68; 2d ROKBde to CGI-IIMAF, Resume of telecon, 30Jan68; ROKAdv to III MAF, Resume of Telecon, dtd 30Jan68; 1st MarDiv to III MAF, Resume of Telecon, dtd 30Jan68 (III MAF Jnl & Msg File).
30. Lung, *The General Offensives of 1968-69*, p. 46; Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, pp. 322-23; LtGen Philip B. Davidson, USA, *Vietnam at War, The History: 1946-1975* (Novato, CA: Presidio Press, 1988), p. 474.
31. CO ICorpsAdvGp msg to III MAF, dtd 31Jan68 and Americal Div to III MAF, Resume of Telecon, dtd 30Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File).
32. 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, pp. 24-26; CAP Hq to III MAF, resume of telecon, dtd 30Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File).
33. Jaunal, *Vietnam '8*, p. 40.
34. CAP Hq to III MAF, resume of telecon, dtd 30Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File); 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, pp. 24-26; LtCol Gene W. Bowers, Comments on draft, dtd 30May95 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Bowers Comments; Rocky Comments; John L. Gundersen, Comments on draft, dtd 9Dec94 and 11Sep96 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Gundersen Comments; Extract of 1st MarDiv COC [Combat Operations Center], 30Jan68, Encl, Gundersen Comments, hereafter Extract of 1st MarDiv COC, 30Jan68.
35. Gundersen Comments; 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, pp. 24-6; 3/5 ComdC Jan68; ITOC to III MAF, Resume of Telecon, dtd 30Jan68, 1st MarDiv msg to III MAF, dtd 31Jan68 (III MAF Jnl & Msg File).
36. Gundersen Comments; Extract of 1st MarDiv COC, 30Jan68; Bowers Comments; 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, pp. 24-6; 3/5 ComdC Jan68.
37. Gundersen Comments.
38. Bowers Comments; Gundersen Comments.
39. Bowers Comments; Rocky Comments.
40. Bowers Comments.
41. Ibid. and Rocky Comments.
42. Gundersen Comments; Bowers Comments; 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, pp. 24-6; 3dAmTrac to III MAF, Resume of Telecon, dtd 30Jan68, 1st MarDiv msg to III MAF, dtd 31Jan68 (III MAF Jnl & Msg File).
43. Gundersen Comments; Bowers Comments; Rocky Comments; 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, pp. 24-6; 3/5 ComdC Jan68; ITOC to III MAF, Resume of Telecon, dtd 30Jan68, 1st MarDiv msg to III MAF, dtd 31Jan68 (III MAF Jnl & Msg File).
44. FLC to III MAF, resume of telecon, dtd 30Jan68 and 1st MarDiv msg to III MAF, dtd 30Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File).
45. This and the following paragraph are derived from the following sources: 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, pp. 26-8, 31; 1st MarDiv to III MAF, Resumes of Telecons, dtd 30-31Jan68, and 1st MarDiv msgs to III MAF, dtd 30-31Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File).
46. 1st MarDiv msg to III MAF, dtd 31Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File).
47. ICorpsAdvGp, Da Nang msg to III MAF, dtd 30Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File); Pham Van Son, *Tet Offensive*, pp. 303-04.
48. 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, p. 28; 1st MarDiv msg to III MAF, dtd 30 Jan68; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Jan68, p. 40.
49. Pham Van Son, *Tet Offensive*, pp. 303-04.
50. This and the following paragraph are derived from the following sources: Ibid.; COC ICorpsAdvGp msg to III MAF, dtd 31Jan68; 1st MarDiv resume of telecon to III MAF, dtd 30Jan68; ROK Lia Off, Resume of telecon to III MAF, dtd 30Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File).
51. 1st MarDiv msg to III MAF, dtd 31Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File); 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, p. 29.
52. This and the next two paragraphs are based on the following sources: 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, p. 29; 1st MarDiv msg to III MAF, dtd 30Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File).
53. 1st MarDiv msg to III MAF, dtd 31Jan68; Resume of telecon from Phu Bai to III MAF, dtd 31Jan68; 1st MarDiv COC Notes, dtd 31Jan68; I TOC to III MAF, Resume of Telecon, dtd 31Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File). See also 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, pp. 19, 45-47; Pham Van Son, *Tet Offensive*, p. 306.
54. Pham Van Son, *Tet Offensive*, pp. 339-58.

The Fighting Continues

55. Col Dean Wilker, Comments on draft, dtd 18Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File).
56. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan68, p. 56; 1st MAW to III MAF, Resumes of telecon, 31Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File).
57. 1st MarDiv msg to III MAF, dtd 31Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File); 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, pp. 29-30; FMF, MarOpsV, Jan68, p. 56.
58. This and the next paragraph are based on the following sources: 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, p. 30; 1st MarDiv to III MAF, Resume of Telecon, dtd 31Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File).
59. An additional source for this paragraph is 1st FSR/FLC msg to III MAF, dtd 31Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File).
60. Pham Van Son, *Tet Offensive*, pp. 303-04.
61. 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, pp. 30-32; III MAF Jnl&Msg File; 1st MP Bn ComdC, Jan68.
62. 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, pp. 31-2; 1st MarDiv msg to III MAF, dtd 31Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File).
63. 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, p. 31; 1st MarDiv to III MAF, Resume of Telecon, dtd 31Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File).
64. I Corps to III MAF, Resumes of Telecon, dtd 31Jan68; CAG-2 to III MAF, Resume of Telecon, dtd 31Jan68; 2d ROK MarBde msg to III MAF, dtd 31Jan68; Americal Div to III MAF, Resume of Telecon, dtd 31Jan68; III MAF msg to MACV COC, dtd 31Jan68; (III MAF Jnl&Msg File).
65. 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, Jan68, p. 32.
66. Ibid.

A Brief Lull and Renewed Fighting

67. The sources for this and the next paragraph are: 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, p. 24; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Feb68, p. 17.
68. 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, p. 33.
69. Ibid., p. 20; LtCol John F. J. Kelly intvw, 23May68, Tape 2760 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
70. 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, pp. 34-5.
71. Ibid.
72. Bobrowsky intvw, Tape Three, p. 6.
73. This and the next two paragraphs are based on the following sources: 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, pp. 35-6; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Feb68.
74. An additional source for this and the next paragraph is 3/5 ComdC, Feb68.
75. 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, pp. 36-7; Robertson Intvw., pp. 32-33.
76. Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, pp. 341-2; General Entry, Historical Summary, 1-29Feb68, Folder 29 (Westmoreland Papers, CMH).
77. Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, p. 341.
78. Robertson Intvw, pp. 30-1; Cushman Intvw, Nov82, p. 29; Smith Tape.
79. Smith Tape.
80. CGIIIMAF msg to CGAmericalDiv, CG1stMarDiv, CG1stMAW, and CG2dROKMC, dtd 7Feb68, Encl 7, 1st MarDiv ComdC, Jan68.
81. The sources for this and the following six paragraphs are: 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, p. 37; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Feb68.
82. An additional source for this and the following paragraph is III MAF ComdC, Jan68.
83. An additional source for this paragraph is FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan68, pp. 28-30.

84. 1st MarDiv AAR Tet 68; III MAF ComdC, Feb68, pp. 19-20; CGIIIMAF msg to CG1stMarDiv [and other subordinate commands], dtd 11Feb68, Encl 10, 1st MarDiv ComdC, Feb68.
85. 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, p. 64; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan68, pp. 21-25; Smith Tape.
86. Document Relating to Action and Mission South of Da Nang, dtd 31Jan68, CDEC Log No. 03-1127-68 (Tet 68, Folder No. 1, Indochina Archives); 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, p. 70; Robertson Intvw., pp. 32-3.

CHAPTER 9 THE STRUGGLE FOR HUE— THE BATTLE BEGINS

Unless otherwise noted the material in this chapter is derived from: MACV ComdHist, 1968; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan and Feb68; Maj Thomas Donnelly and Capt Moyers S. Shore II, "Ho Chi Minh's Gamble," in LtCol Ralph F. Moody, et al., "Marines in Vietnam, 1954-68," 8 pts (ms, MCHC, Washington, D.C., 1971), pt VI, hereafter Donnelly and Shore, "Ho Chi Minh's Gamble"; Maj Miles D. Waldron, USA, and Specialist 5 Richard W. Beavers, USA, "Operation Hue City," 31st Mil Hist Det, Hq Prov Corps, Vietnam, Hist Study, 2-68, hereafter Waldron and Beavers, "Operation Hue City"; 1st MarDiv, Commanders AAR, Tet Offensive, 29 Jan-14 Feb68, dtd 25May68 (1st MarDiv Admin Files), hereafter 1st MarDiv AAR Tet; TF X-Ray ComdC, Jan68; TF X-Ray AAR, Opn Hue City, dtd 14Apr68, with enclosures, hereafter TF X-Ray AAR Hue City; 1st Mar CAAR, Opn Hue City, dtd 20Mar68 in TF X-Ray AAR Hue City, hereafter 1st Mar AAR Hue City; 1/1 ComdC, Jan68; III MAF Jnl & Msg File; Hue City Jnl & Msg File in III MAF Jnl & Msg File, hereafter Hue City Jnl & Msg File; Vietnam Comment Files; BGen Foster C. LaHue debriefing at FMFPac, Apr68, Tape 2932 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter LaHue debriefing; LtCol Marcus J. Gravel intvw, 17Jul73 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Gravel intvw; Lt Col Ernest C. Cheatham, Maj G. Ron Christmas, Maj Mike P. Downs, and Maj Charles L. Meadows presentation, 23Jul73 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Cheatham et al. presentation; A&S Files, Indochina Archives; Truong Sinh, "The Fight to Liberate the City of Hue During *Man Thau Tet* (1968)," translated from *Hoc Tap*, Dec 1974 in Hue Folder, Tet Box, A&S Files, Indochina Archives, hereafter Truong Sinh, "The Fight to Liberate the City of Hue"; Sharp and Westmoreland, *Report on the War*; Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*; Keith William Nolan, *Battle for Hue, Tet, 1968* (Novato, CA: Presidio Press, 1983), hereafter Nolan, *Battle for Hue*; Eric Hammel, *Fire in the Streets, The Battle for Hue, Tet 1968* (Chicago, Ill: Contemporary Books, 1991), hereafter Hammel, *Fire in the Streets*; Don Oberdorfer, *TET!* (Garden City, New York: Doubleday & Co, 1971), hereafter Oberdorfer, *TET!*; LtGen Willard Pearson, USA, *The War in the Northern Provinces, 1966-1968*, Vietnam Studies (Washington, D.C. Dept of the Army, 1975), hereafter Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*; Col Hoang Ngoc Lung, ARVN, *The General Offensives of 1968-69*, Indochina Monographs (Washington, D.C., CMH, 1981), hereafter Lung, *The General Offensives*; LtCol Pham Van Son, ARVN, et al., *The Viet Cong Tet Offensive, 1968* (Saigon, RVN: Joint General Staff, RVNAF, 1968), hereafter Pham Van Son, *Tet Offensive*.

The Two Faces of Hue

1. "The Battle for Hue," n.p., n.d., Encl 1, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Feb68; Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*, pp. 39-40; Lung, *The General Offensives*, pp. 75-77; Pham Van Son, *Tet Offensive*, pp. 248-9; Peter Braestrup, Comments on draft, n.d. [Jan95] (Vietnam Comment File).

The NVA Attack

2. Enemy OOB, Anx A, III MAF Periodic Intel Rpt, No. 2, dtd 13Jan68 (III MAF Periodic Intel Rpts); MACV ComdHist, 1968, pp. 881–3; 1st Mar AAR Hue City; 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, pp. 49–50; Waldron and Beaver, "Operation Hue City," p. 6.
3. People's Liberation Army Forces List of Military Objectives in Hue, Jan 68, trans (Hue Folder, Tet Box, A&S Files, Indochina Archives).
4. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan68, pp. 18–20 and Feb68, pp. 8–10; Cushman Intvw, Nov1982; Truong Sinh, "The Fight to Liberate the City of Hue," p. 95.
5. Truong Sinh, "The Fight to Liberate the City of Hue," p. 90.
6. Ibid. and Waldron and Beavers, "Operation Hue City," p. 6.
7. Truong Sinh, "The Fight to Liberate the City of Hue," pp. 93–4.
8. Ibid., pp. 96–7.
9. Ibid. p. 97; Lung, *The General Offensives*, p. 79; Pham Van Son, *Tet Offensive*, p. 249.
10. Ibid.; Waldron and Beaver, "Operation Hue City," pp. 7–8; 1st ARVN Div Advisory Detach, "The Battle of Hue," n.d., n.p., pp. 2–3, Encl 1, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Feb68, hereafter "The Battle of Hue" (3d MarDiv ComdC).
11. This paragraph is based on the sources listed above and Nolan, *Battle for Hue*, pp. 6–8.
12. Truong Sinh, "The Fight to Liberate the City of Hue," p. 101; 1st Mar AAR Hue City.
13. The sources for this and the following paragraph are: Waldron and Beaver, "Operation Hue City," pp. 13–4; "The Battle of Hue," pp. 3–4, (3d MarDiv ComdC); Lung, *The General Offensives*, p. 79; Pham Van Son, *Tet Offensive*, p. 249.
14. Pham Van Son, *Tet Offensive*, p. 250.

Redeployment at Phu Bai and the Marines Go to Hue

15. 1/1 ComdC, Jan68; 1st Mar Div Sit Rep No. 1, Hue City, dtd 3Feb68, Hue City Jnl & Msg File.
16. TF X-Ray ComdC, Jan68; TF X-Ray AAR Hue City; 1st Mar AAR Hue City; LaHue debriefing; "History of Task Force X-Ray," n.d., Attachment to Col A. J. Poillon, Comments on draft ms, dtd 30Oct69, Donnelly and Shore, "Ho Chi Minh's Gamble" (Vietnam Comment File).
17. 1st Mar ComdC, Jan68; 5th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 1/1 ComdC, Jan68; Col Gordon D. Batcheller, Comments on draft, dtd 10Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Batcheller Comments.
18. 5th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 2/5 ComdC, Jan68; Batcheller Comments.
19. 5th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 2/5 ComdC, Jan68.
20. 5th Mar ComdC, Jan68, and attached Jnl and SitRep files.
21. Ibid.
22. Ibid.; 2/5 ComdC, Jan68; BGen Michael P. Downs, Comments on draft, dtd 19Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Downs Comments.
23. 5th Mar ComdC, Jan68, and attached Jnl and SitRep files.
24. This and the following paragraph are based upon Ibid. and 2/5 ComdC, Jan68.
25. Additional sources for this paragraph are Downs Comments,

Dec94 and BGen Michael P. Downs, Taped Comments on draft, dtd 11Dec92 (Vietnam Comment File).

26. 1st MarDiv AAR Tet; 1st Mar ComdC, Jan68; 1/1 ComdC, Jan68; Batcheller Comments.
27. Batcheller Comments; 1st Mar ComdC, Jan68, p. III-A-4.
28. TF X-Ray AAR, pp. 9–10; III MAF Jnl and Msg File, passim., 30–31 Jan68.
29. Batcheller Comments.
30. This and the following two paragraphs are based upon: Nolan, *Battle for Hue*, pp. 12–3; Hammel, *Fire in the Streets*, 62–68; Gravel intvw; Batcheller Comments; Medal of Honor Recommendations, dtd 25May68, in Sgt Alfredo Gonzalez Biographical File (RefSec, MCHC); Paul Drew Stevens, ed., *The Navy Cross, Vietnam, Citations of Awards to Men of The United States Navy and The United States Marine Corps, 1964–1973*, (Forest Ranch, CA: Sharp & Dunnigan, 1987) pp. 27–8, 54., hereafter Stevens, ed., *The Navy Cross*.
31. Maj Ernest Cook, Comments on draft ms, dtd 20Oct69, Donnelly and Shore, "Ho Chi Minh's Gamble," (Comment File); Gravel intvw, pp. 22, 49; Cheatham et al. presentation, p. 23.
32. Gravel intvw, pp. 51–2.
33. Ibid. pp. 5–6; 1st Mar AAR Hue City; 1st MarDiv AAR Tet, p. 56.
34. 1st MarDiv COC Notes, 31Jan68 (III MAF Jnl & Msg File); LaHue debriefing; Gravel intvw, p. 5.
35. Gravel intvw, pp. 5–6.
36. Ibid., pp. 6–7; Nolan, *Battle for Hue*, p. 20.
37. 1st Mar AAR Hue City; Gravel intvw, pp. 7–8; Cheatham et al., presentation, p. 23.
38. 1st Mar AAR Hue City; 1st MarDiv COC Notes, 0001–0900, 1Feb68, III MAF Jnl & Msg File; Gravel intvw, p. 53.
39. LaHue debriefing; Westmoreland msg to Wheeler, dtd 31Jan68, Westmoreland Messages, Westmoreland Papers, CMH.

CHAPTER 10 THE STRUGGLE FOR HUE, THE SECOND PHASE

Unless otherwise noted the material in this chapter is derived from: MACV ComdHist, 1968; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan and Feb68; Donnelly and Shore, "Ho Chi Minh's Gamble"; Maj Miles D. Waldron, USA, and Spec 5 Richard W. Beavers, USA, "The Critical Year, 1968, The XXIV Corps Team," 31st Mil Hist Det, HQ, XXIV Corps, Jan69, hereafter Waldron and Beavers, "The Critical Year"; Waldron and Beavers, "Operation Hue City"; 1st MarDiv AAR Tet; TF X-Ray AAR Hue City; 1st Mar AAR Hue City; 1/1 ComdCs, Jan–Feb 68; 2/5 draft CAAR, Operation Hue City, dtd 5Mar68, hereafter 2/5 AAR Hue City; III MAF Jnl & Msg File; Hue City Jnl & Msg File; Vietnam Comment Files; Cushman Intvw, Nov82; LaHue debriefing; Gravel intvw; Cheatham et al. presentation; LtCol Ernest C. Cheatham, Jr., et al. intvw, 28Feb–4Mar68, Tape 2511, (Oral HistColl MCHC), hereafter Cheatham et al. intvw Tape 2511; A&S Files, Indochina Archives; Truong Sinh, "The Fight to Liberate the City of Hue"; Sharp and Westmoreland, *Report on the War, Westmoreland, A Soldier Reports*; Nolan, *Battle for Hue*; Hammel, *Fire in the Streets*; Oberdorfer, *TET!*; Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*; Lung, *The General Offensives*; Pham Van Son, *Tet Offensive*; Peter Braestrup, *Big Story: How the Amer-*

ican Press and Television Reported and Interpreted the Crisis of Tet 1968 in Vietnam and Washington, 2 vols. (Boulder, CO: Westview Press, 1977), hereafter Braestrup, *Big Story*.

More Reinforcements

1. Quoted in Braestrup, *Big Story*, vol. 1, pp. 294–5.
2. 1st Lt Philip M. Scherer et al. intvw, 30Apr68, Tape 2772 (Oral HistColl, MCHC); TF X-Ray AAR Hue City, p. 10.
3. 1st Mar AAR Hue City, p. 11.
4. 1st ARVN Div Advisory Det, "The Battle of Hue," n.d., n.p., Encl 1, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Feb68; Waldron and Beavers, "Operation Hue City," p. 15; Capt Denis M. Dunagan intvw, 5Mar68, Tape 2560 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
5. Cheatham et al. presentation, pp. 14 and 21 and BGen Michael P. Downs, Taped Comments on draft, dtd 11Dec92 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Downs, Taped Comments, Dec92. See also 1st Mar ComdC, Feb68 and 1st Mar AAR Hue City.
6. 1st Mar AAR Hue City, p. 12; 1st Mar ComdC, Feb68.
7. Cushman Intvw, Nov82, pp. 19–20.
8. Waldron and Beaver, "The Critical Year," pp. 14–5; Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*, pp. 44, 55–7; CG1stAirCav msg to CGTFX-Ray, dtd 1Feb68, and III MAF msg to 1st AirCav, dtd 2Feb68 (III MAF Jnl and Msg File).
9. 1st AirCav SitRep No. 33, dtd 2Feb68, hereafter 1st AirCav SitRep 33, 2Feb68, and III MAF msg to I Corps TOC, dtd 2Feb68 (III MAF Msg and Jnl File).
10. Braestrup, *Big Story*, vol. 1, p. 314. See also Peter Braestrup, Comments on draft, n.d. [Dec94–Jan95] (Vietnam Comment File).
11. Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*, p. 44; 1st AirCav, SitRep 33, 2Feb68.
12. 1st Mar AAR Hue City, p. 13; 1st MarDiv SitRep No. 1, dtd 3Feb68 (Hue City Jnl and Msg File).
13. 1st Mar AAR Hue, p. 13; LCpl M. Vernon Jr. in Cheatham et al. intvw Tape 2511.
14. TF X-Ray SitRep, Operation Hue City, dtd 2Feb68, Encl, 1st Mar ComdC, Feb68.
15. 1st Mar AAR Hue City, p. 13; Cpl George E. Minor intvw in Cheatham et al. intvw Tape 2511.
16. Cheatham et al. presentation, p. 24.
17. 1st Mar AAR Hue City, p. 14; 2/5 AAR Hue City.
18. Cheatham et al. presentation, p. 51.
19. Cheatham et al. presentation, pp. 19–20.
20. 2/5 AAR Hue City; 1st Mar AAR Hue City, p. 14; Cheatham et al. presentation, pp. 19–20.
21. III MAF SitRep No. 5, Hue City, dtd 4Feb68 (Hue City Jnl & Msg File) and 1st Mar AAR Hue, p. 15.
22. Gravel intvw, pp. 18–9.
23. Ibid., p. 30; 1st Lt Ray L. Smith ltr to Capt Gordon D. Batcheller, dtd 25Mar68, Encl to Col Gordon D. Batcheller, Comments on draft, dtd 10Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Smith ltr, Mar68.
24. Gravel intvw, p. 31; Medal of Honor Recommendations, dtd 25May1968, in Sgt Alfredo Gonzalez Biographical File (RefSec, MCHC); Smith ltr, Mar68.
25. Gravel intvw, p. 41.
26. Cheatham et al. presentation, p. 31.
27. SSgt James R. Long and Sgt Terry Cochrane in Cheatham et al. intvw Tape 2511.
28. Nolan, *Battle for Hue*, p. 50; LtCol Ralph J. Salvati, Comments on draft, n.d. [1994] (Vietnam Comment File).
29. Nolan, *Battle for Hue*, pp. 50–1; BGen Michael P. Downs, Taped Comments on draft, dtd 11Dec92 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Downs Taped Comments, Dec92; 2/5 AAR Hue City.
30. TF X-Ray AAR Hue City, p. 11; 1st Mar AAR Hue City, pp. 15 and 18; 1st MarDiv SitRep No. 7, Hue City, dtd 4Feb68 (Hue City Jnl & Msg File).
31. 1st Mar AAR Hue City, pp. 74–75.

Block by Block

32. *Sea Tiger*, 8Mar68, p. 8.
33. Cheatham et al. presentation, p. 12; Gravel intvw, p. 10.
34. Cheatham et al. presentation, p. 37.
35. Ibid., pp. 16, 20.
36. Ibid. p. 17.
37. Gravel intvw, p. 28.
38. Cheatham et al. presentation, p. 42; TF X-Ray AAR Hue City; 3d Tank Bn ComdC, Feb68; 1st Tank Bn ComdC, Feb68.
39. 1st Mar AAR Hue City, pp. 80–1; Cheatham et al. presentation, p. 43.
40. Cheatham et al. presentation, p. 44 and LtCol Cheatham in LtCol Cheatham et al. intvw Tape 2511.
41. Cheatham et al. presentation, pp. 39 and 42; Downs Taped Comments, Dec92.
42. Gravel intvw, pp. 15, 19; Smith ltr, Mar68; 1st Mar AAR Hue City, p. 18.
43. 1st Mar AAR Hue City, p. 20; 2/5 AAR Hue City.
44. 2/5 AAR Hue City.
45. Ibid. and Cpl Riley in Cheatham et al. intvw Tape 2511.
46. 2/5 AAR Hue City; Sgt G. B. Zachary and 2dLt Michael A. McNeil in LCpl Charles D. Bedford et al., intvw, 10 May 68, Tape 2673 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Bedford et al. intvw Tape 2673.
47. 2/5 AAR Hue City; PFC George Ciprio? in LtCol Cheatham et al. intvw Tape 2511.
48. "Old Glory Replaces Viet Cong Flag," *Sea Tiger*, 1 Mar 68, p. 1; Nolan, *Battle for Hue*, pp. 77–9.
49. Cheatham et al. presentation, p. 56; Gravel intvw, p. 18; Smith ltr, Mar68.
50. 2/5 AAR Hue City; 1st Mar AAR Hue City, p. 25.
51. 2/5 AAR Hue City; 1st Mar AAR Hue City, pp. 24–34.
52. 1st MarDiv SitRep No. 33, dtd 11Feb68 (Hue City Jnl and Msg File); Bedford et al. intvw Tape 2673.
53. 1st Mar AAR Hue City.

CHAPTER 11 STALEMATE IN THE OLD CITY

Unless otherwise noted the material in this chapter is derived from: MACV ComdHist, 1968; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan and Feb68; 1st ARVN Div Advisory Det, "The Battle of Hue," n.d., n.p., Encl 1, 3d MarDiv, ComdC, Feb68, hereafter, 1st ARVN Adv Det, "The Battle of Hue"; Waldron and Beavers, "Operation Hue City"; 1st MarDiv AAR Tet; TF X-Ray AAR Hue City; 1st Mar AAR Hue City; 1/5 AAR Hue City, dtd 15Mar68, hereafter 1/5 AAR Hue City; III MAF Jnl & Msg File; Hue City Jnl & Msg File; Vietnam Comment Files; A&S Files, Indochina Archives; Truong Sinh, "The Fight to Liberate the City of Hue"; Keith B. Nolan Papers, Personal Papers Collection, MCHC, hereafter Nolan Papers; U.S. Army, 14th Mil Hist Det, 1st Cav Div (AM), "The Battle of Hue, 2-26 February 1968," dtd 10Mar68 (Copy in Nolan Papers), hereafter 14th MHD, "The Battle of Hue," Mar68; MajGen John J. Tolson (USA) intvw, 24Jun68 (CMH, Washington), hereafter Tolson Intvw, Jun68; Alexander W. Wells, Jr. Papers, Personal Papers Collection, MCHC, hereafter Wells Papers, MCHC; Sharp and Westmoreland, *Report on the War*; Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*; Nolan, *Battle for Hue*; Oberdorfer, *TET!*; Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*; Lung, *The General Offensive*; Pham Van Son, *Tet Offensive*; Peter Braestrup, *Big Story*.

A Faltering Campaign

1. 1st ARVN Adv Det, "The Battle of Hue," p. 5.
2. Ibid.
3. Ibid., p. 7.
4. Ibid. pp. 6-7.
5. Ibid.; 1st Mar AAR Hue City.
6. Tolson Intvw, Jun 68, pp. 3-4.
7. 14th MHD, "The Battle of Hue," Mar68, pp. 1-2; Waldron and Beavers, "Operation Hue City," pp. 23-4.
8. In addition to the sources listed above, see also III MAF msg to MACV, dtd 4Feb68 (III MAF Msg & Jnl File, Hue City).
9. Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*, p. 44; F. Clinton Berry, Jr., *The Illustrated History of the Vietnam War—Air Cav*, (New York and Toronto: Bantam Books, 1988), pp. 110-12; 14th MHD, "The Battle of Hue," Mar68, pp. 1-2; Waldron and Beavers, "Operation Hue City," pp. 23-4.
10. 14th MHD, "The Battle of Hue," Mar68, p. 3; 1st AirCav Div SitRep No. 38, dtd 7 Feb68 (IIIMAF Msg & Jnl File); Tolson Intvw., p. 4.
11. 14th MHD, "The Battle of Hue," Mar68, p. 3; 1st AirCav, SitSum No. 38, dtd 8Feb68 (III MAF Jnl & Msg File).
12. 14th MHD, "The Battle of Hue," Mar68, p. 3.
13. 1st Mar AAR Hue City; Braestrup, *Big Story*, I, pp. 316-7.
14. CGIIIMAF msg to 1st ACD, dtd 13Feb68 (III MAF Outgoing Messages).
15. Waldron and Beaver, "The Critical Year," pp. 14-5; TF X-Ray AAR Hue City; Maj Talman C. Budd II, USMC, OSMA, NAG, AAR, Opn Hue City (Song Than 739-68), dtd 25Jul68 in SMA, MACV, AARs, 1968-69, hereafter Maj Talman C. Budd II, MAU, NAG, CAAR, Hue City, dtd 25Jul68; 1/5 FragO 6-68, dtd 9Feb68, Encl 1, 1/5 AAR Hue City.

Going into the Walled City

16. 1/5 AAR Opn Hue City; 1st Mar AAR Hue City; 1st MarDiv Hue City Sitrep No. 32, dtd 10Feb68, TF X-Ray to IIIMAF COC, Resume of Telephone Call, dtd 10Feb68, and III MAF Hue City Sitrep No. 33, dtd 10Feb68 (III MAF Jnl & Msg File). Although the 1/5 AAR does not show Company A going into Hue until 11 February, all the other sources reflect that the company was in Hue city on the afternoon of 10 February 1968.
 17. 1st FAG ComdC, Feb68, p. 5; Col Robert C. V. Hughes, Comments on draft, n.d. [1995] (Vietnam Comment File).
 18. 1st FAG ComdC, Feb68, p. 5; Alexander W. Wells, Jr., "Synopsis From Combat Report: February 1968—Vietnam," in Alexander W. Wells, Jr. Papers, MCHC, hereafter Wells, "Excerpts from Combat Report"; Alexander W. Wells, Jr., Comments on draft, dtd 3Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Wells Comments, Dec94.
 19. Wells, "Excerpts from Combat Report"; Wells Comments, Dec94.
 20. Wells Comments, Dec94.
 21. Ibid. and Wells, "Excerpts from Combat Report".
 22. Although there was no specific plan, General Truong's intentions can be inferred from the following sources: 1st Infantry Division Advisory Detachment, Advisory Team 3, CAAR, Opn NVA/VC Tet Offensive: Hue, dtd 30Mar68 (copy in Nolan Papers), hereafter 1st InfDiv, Adv Tm 3, CAAR, Hue; TF X-Ray AAR Hue City; 1st Mar AAR Hue City; Maj Talman C., Budd II, MAU, NAG, CAAR, Hue City, dtd 25Jul68; 1/5 AAR Hue City; Col Richard H. Thompson ltr to Keith B. Nolan, 16Sep80 with attached copy of briefing map (Nolan Papers), hereafter Thompson ltr, 16Sep80; Pham Van Son, *Tet Offensive*, pp. 256-59.
 23. Maj Talman C. Budd II, MAU, NAG, CAAR, Hue City, dtd 25Jul68 and SMA, MAU, NAG, 1st Endorsement on Hue City CAAR, 12Aug68.
 24. Maj Talman C. Budd II, MAU, NAG, CAAR, Hue City, dtd 25Jul68.
 25. 1/5 AAR Hue City.
 26. Thompson ltr, 16Sep80.
 27. Ibid.
 28. Ibid.
 29. Ibid.
 30. The basis of this and the following two paragraphs are: Thompson ltr, 16Sep80 and 1/5 AAR, Opn Hue City.
- ### The Fight For the Tower
31. The sources for this and the following paragraph are: Thompson ltr, 16Sep80 and 1/5 AAR, Opn Hue City.
 32. For this paragraph, see also unattributed account, "The Citadel," ms, n.d., in Harrington Folder, Nolan Papers, hereafter "The Citadel," ms.
 33. Thompson ltr, 16 Sep 80.
 34. Ibid.; 1/5 AAR, Opn Hue City; "The Citadel," ms; 1st Lt Andrew C. Delaurier, 19Apr68, Tape 2667 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
 35. The sources for this and the following paragraph are: Thompson ltr, 16Sep80; Citadel ms; 1/5 AAR, Opn Hue City.
 36. The sources for this and the following paragraph are: Nolan notes, n.d. Harrington File (Nolan Papers); Nolan, *Battle for Hue*, pp. 124-27.

37. Col Myron C. Harrington, Comments on Nolan ms, dtd 24May83, Harrington Folder, Nolan Papers.

38. *Ibid.*; 1/5 AAR, Opn Hue City; "Like John Wayne," *Sea Tiger*, dtd 22Mar68.

39. 1/5 AAR, Opn Hue City; Nolan notes, n.d. Harrington File (Nolan Papers).

Continuing the Advance

40. The sources for this and the following three paragraphs are: 1/5 AAR, Opn Hue City; "The Citadel," ms; 1st Mar AAR, Hue City.

41. An additional source for this and the following two paragraphs is: Thompson ltr, 16Sep80.

42. An additional source for this paragraph is: PFC Thomas L. Foster in Cheatham et al. intvw, Tape 2511 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).

43. Edward M. Landry ltr to author, dtd 7Dec86 and entry for 17 Feb 1968 in excerpts from diary, Edward M. Landry Papers, Personal Papers Collection, MCHC. See also TF X-Ray AAR Hue City; 1st Mar AAR Hue City; 1/5 AAR, Opn Hue City.

44. Thompson ltr, 16Sep80; "The Citadel," ms. See also 1/5 AAR, Opn Hue City.

CHAPTER 12 THE TAKING OF THE CITADEL AND AFTERMATH

Unless otherwise noted the material in this chapter is derived from: MACV ComdHist, 1968; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan and Feb68; 1st ARVN Adv Det, "The Battle of Hue"; 1st InfDiv, Adv Tm 3, CAAR, Hue; Waldron and Beavers, "Operation Hue City"; 1st MarDiv AAR Tet; TF X-Ray AAR Hue City; 1st Mar AAR Hue City; 1/5 AAR Hue City; 2/5 AAR Hue City; III MAF Jnl & Msg File; III MAF Outgoing Msgs; III MAF Incoming Msgs; Hue City Jnl & Msg File; Maj Talman C. Budd II, MAU, NAG, CAAR, Hue City, dtd 25Jul68, hereafter Budd, AAR; Vietnam Comment Files; Gravel intvw; Cheatham et al. presentation; Cheatham et al. intvw Tape 2511; A&S Files, Indochina Archives; Truong Sinh, "The Fight to Liberate the City of Hue"; Thompson ltr, 16Sep80, Nolan Papers; 14th MHD, "The Battle of Hue," Mar68; Tolson Intvw, Jun68; Wells, "Excerpts from Combat Report"; Peter Braestrup Papers, Personal Papers Collection, MCHC, hereafter Braestrup Papers; Westmoreland Papers, CMH; Gen Creighton W. Abrams Papers, CMH, hereafter Abrams Papers, CMH; Sharp and Westmoreland, *Report on the War*; Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*; Nolan, *Battle for Hue*; Oberdorfer, *TET!*; Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*; Lung, *The General Offensives*; Pham Van Son, *Tet Offensive*; Peter Braestrup, *Big Story*.

The Struggle in the Western Citadel

1. Budd, AAR and Pham Van Son, *Tet Offensive*, 257–259.
2. Budd, AAR.
3. *Ibid.*; Pham Van Son, *Tet Offensive*, pp. 262–66.
4. 1st ARVN Adv Det, "The Battle of Hue," p. 8; Waldron and Beavers, "Operation Hue City," p. 38.
5. Wells, "Excerpts from Combat Report".
6. III MAF COC msg to MACV(J2), dtd 17Feb68 (III MAF Jnl & Msg File). See also Hallmark 62 msg to Hallmark 6, Hue City SitRep, dtd 17Feb68, App 5, tab C, 1st FAG ComdC, Feb 68 and Wells, "Excerpts from Combat Report."

An Estimate of the Situation and Mounting the Offensive

7. Abrams msg to Cushman (Information to Westmoreland), dtd 16Feb68 (Abrams Papers, CMH).
 8. *Ibid.*
 9. Historical Summary and Summary of CIIB Meeting, 18Feb68, vol. 29, p. 12 and Encl 62 (Westmoreland Papers, CMH); TF X-Ray AAR Hue City.
 10. Waldron and Beavers, "Operation Hue City," pp. 42–3.
 11. Pham Van Son, *The Viet Cong Tet Offensive*, p. 267; Budd, AAR; Lung, *The General Offensives*, p.83.
 12. Thompson ltr, 16Sep80, Nolan Papers; 1/5 AAR Hue City.
 13. Clark Dougan, Stephen Weiss, and the editors of Boston Publishing Company, *The Vietnam Experience: Nineteen Sixty-Eight* (Boston, Ma: Boston Publishing Company, 1983), p. 30; Clipping, *Washington Post*, dtd 19Feb68, Braestrup Papers.
 14. Abrams msg to Cushman, info: Westmoreland, dtd 20Feb68 (Abrams Papers, CMH).
 15. Abrams msg to Tolson, dtd 20Feb68 (Abrams Papers, CMH).
 16. 14th MHD, "The Battle of Hue," Mar68, pp. 5–6; Waldron and Beavers, "Operation Hue City," pp. 43–7.
 17. Thompson ltr, 16Sep80, Nolan Papers.
 18. *Ibid.*; AP despatch, dtd 22Feb68, Clipping in Polk Folder (Nolan Papers, MCHC); 1/5 AAR Hue City. These sources are also the basis for the next two paragraphs.
 19. See also Maj Denis J. Kiely and Capt Gary L. Post intvws, 19Apr68, Tape 2551 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
 20. Budd, AAR; Abrams msg to Westmoreland, dtd 23Feb68 (Abrams Papers, CMH)
 21. Budd, AAR; 1st InfDiv, Adv Tm 3, CAAR, Hue.
 22. 1/5 AAR Hue City; Thompson ltr, 16Sep80, Nolan Papers.
- #### Closing Out Operation Hue City
23. The sources for this and the following paragraph are: 2/5 AAR Hue City; 1st MarDiv SitRep, Hue City, No. 86, dtd 24Feb68 (Hue City III MAF Jnl & Msg File).
 24. See also 2/5 S–3 Jnl, 2/5 ComdC, Feb68, hereafter 2/5 S–3 Jnl, Feb68.
 25. 2/5 AAR Hue City; 2/5 S–3 Jnl, Feb 68; LtCol Ernest C. Cheatham in Cheatham et al. intvw, Tape 2511.
 26. 2/5 AAR Hue City; 2/5 S–3 Jnl, Feb 68; Robert A. Sutlack in 1stLt P. M. Scherer intvw, 31 Apr 68, Tape 2722 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
 27. The sources for this and the following two paragraphs are: 2/5 AAR Hue City; 2/5 S–3, Feb 68.
 28. 1st Marines AAR Hue City; 2/5 AAR Hue City; 1/5 AAR Hue City; Cheatham et al. presentation, pp. 11–12 and 28–29.

A Summing Up

29. The sources for this and the following three paragraphs are: TF X-Ray AAR Hue City; 1st InfDiv, Adv Tm 3, CAAR, Hue; Budd, AAR; 14th MHD, "The Battle of Hue," Mar68; 1st Bde, 101st Airborne Div, CAAR, Opn Hue City, dtd 23Mar68, Encl 5, TF X-Ray AAR Hue City; Pham Van Son, *The Tet Offensive*, p. 271.
30. Additional source for this and the following two paragraphs are:

1stLt John R. Morse, USA, Thua Thien Sector, S-2 Order of Battle Advisor in Hue, RVN, intvw, 8Mar68 (U.S. Army, 45th Military History Detachment, Interview Enclosures Folder, Nolan Papers, MCHC).

31. Additional source for this and the following paragraph is: Rand Interview No. 28 in Interviews concerning the NLF, May 1968, Folder 2, Tet Box, A&S Files, Indochina Archives.

32. Additional source for this paragraph is: I Corps, Tactical Opns Center to III MAF, Resume of Telecon, dtd 6Feb68 (Hue City III MAF Jnl & Msg File).

33. Truong Sinh, "The Fight to Liberate the City of Hue," p. 90.

34. Truong Sinh, "The Fight to Liberate the City of Hue," pp. 105-7; Pham Van Son, *The Tet Offensive*, pp. 271-84; Westmoreland and Sharp, *Report on the War*, p. 160; Lung, *The General Offensives* p. 85; Oberdorfer, *Tet!*, pp. 232-33; Braestrup, *Big Story*, vol. 1, pp. 201-16.

35. Gravel intvw, pp. 2-3.

36. 14th MHD, "The Battle of Hue," Mar68; Tolson Intvw, Jun68, p. 5; Col Talman C. Budd II, Comments on draft, dtd 30Mar95 (Vietnam Comment File).

37. 1/5 AAR Hue City; Thompson ltr, 16Sep80, Nolan Papers.

38. The sources for this and the following paragraph are: TF X-Ray AAR Hue City; 1st Mar AAR Hue City.

39. TF X-Ray AAR Hue City.

40. 1st Mar AAR Hue City; LtCol Ernest C. Cheatham in Cheatham et al. intvw, Tape 2511.

41. 1st Marines AAR Hue City.

42. Ibid. and TF X-Ray AAR Hue City.

43. 1st Marines AAR Hue City; Maj Jack E. Walker intvw, 9 Mar 68 (U.S. Army, 45th Military History Detachment, Interview Enclosures Folder, Nolan Papers, MCHC).

44. Pham Van Son, *The Tet Offensive*, p. 281; Gravel intvw, p. 42.

45. MajGen Kerwin C/S MACV msg to BGen Anderson, C/S III MAF, dtd 27Feb68 and Gen Westmoreland ComUSMACV, msg to LtGen Cushman CGIIIMAF, dtd 28Feb68 (III MAF Incoming Messages)

46. CGIIIMAF msg to CG 1stMarDiv and CGTFX-Ray, dtd 26Feb68 (III MAF Outgoing Msgs); Gen Abrams msg to Gen Westmoreland, dtd 2Mar68 (EO Files, Abrams Papers, CMH), hereafter Abrams msg to Westmoreland, 2Mar68.

47. Abrams msg to Westmoreland, 2Mar68.

48. Ibid.

49. LCpl M. Vernon Jr. in Cheatham et al. intvw, Tape 2511; W. Roger Lansbury with Daniel F. Ring, editor, "Notes Between Two Worlds: The Diary of Roger Lansbury, 2d Battalion, 5th Marines," *The Pennsylvania Magazine of History and Biography*, Summer 1985, pp. 257-97, p. 296.

50. Gravel intvw, p. 42; Cheatham et al. presentation, pp. 57-59.

51. Clipping "18 Days Under the Bed," *Washington Post*, n.d. and clipping, "Hue Marines: Bitter as They Are Brave," *Washington Post*, dtd 20Feb68 (*Washington Post* Clipping File, Peter Braestrup Papers).

52. Budd, AAR and LtCol Joseph W. Malcolm, Jr., debriefing at FMFPac, 28Oct68, Tape 3453 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).

53. Abrams msg to Westmoreland, dtd 5Mar68 (Abrams Papers, CMH); Westmoreland msg to Abrams, dtd 26Feb68 (EO File, Abrams Papers, CMH); CG III MAF to subordinate commands, dtd 28Feb68 (III MAF Outgoing Files).

PART III After Tet, Khe Sanh, and Mini-Tet

CHAPTER 13 POST-TET IN I CORPS

Unless otherwise noted the sources in this chapter are derived from MACV ComdHist, 1968; HQMC Msg File; HQMC, Status of Forces, 1968; FMFPac, MarOpsV, 1967-1968; III MAF ComdCs, Feb-Apr68; III MAF Jnl & Msg Files; III MAF Provisional Corps Folder, hereafter PCV Folder; Waldron and Beavers, "The Critical Year"; 1st MarDiv ComdCs, Feb-Apr68; 3d MarDiv ComdCs, Feb-Apr68; Westmoreland Papers, CMH; A&S Files, Indochina Archives; Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*; Sharp and Westmoreland, *Report on the War*; Lung, *The General Offensives*; Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*.

The Immediate Ramifications of the Tet Offensive

1. Sharp and Westmoreland, *Report on the War*, p. 161; MACV ComdHist, 1968, pp. 161-62; LtGen Robert E. Cushman, Jr., III MAF Presentation, General Officers Symposium Book, July 1968, tab F, pp. 1-8, hereafter Cushman Presentation. See also FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jun68, p. 5.

2. Circular from COSVN, trans., dtd 31Jan68, in U.S. Mission, Press Release, dtd 29Mar68, A&S Files, Indochina Archives, hereafter COSVN Circular, 31Jan68; War Experiences Recapitulation Committee of the High-Level Military Institute, *The Anti-U.S. Resistance War for National Salvation*, trans by Foreign Broadcast Information Service (Hanoi: People's Army Publishing Houses, 1980) [Joint Publications Research Service No. 80968, dtd 3Jun82], p. 110; Pham Van Son, *Tet Offensive*, pp. 475-6.

3. COSVN Circular, 31Jan68; CT/AB (Unknown provincial headquarters), Directive No. 1, dtd 10Feb68; COSVN Communique, dtd 13Feb68; PLAF, 3d Special Communique, dtd 26Feb68. All in A&S Files, Indochina Archives.

4. Sharp and Westmoreland, *Report on the War*, p. 235; Lung, *The General Offensives*, pp. 39-41; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan68, pp 3-4; Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, pp. 322-23; LtGen Philip B. Davidson, USA, *Vietnam at War, The History: 1946-1975* (Novato, CA: Presidio Press, 1988), p. 474; Cushman Presentation, tab F, pp. 1-8; 58-9; BGen John R. Chaisson, ltr to wife, dtd 11Feb68 (Chaisson Papers).

5. Lyndon B. Johnson, *The Vantage Point: Perspectives of the Presidency, 1963-1969* (New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, 1968), pp. 384-5.

6. Messages quoted in Herbert Y. Schandler, *The Unmaking of a President, Lyndon Johnson and Vietnam* (Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, 1977), pp. 92-93, 95.

7. Ibid., pp. 94-5.

Readjustment in I Corps

8. FMFPac MarOpsV, Feb68, pp. 89-90, 103; 5th MarDiv, RLT 27 Deployment AAR, dtd 8May68; 27th Mar ComdC, Feb68; Cushman Intvw, Nov82, p. 80; BGen Foster C. LaHue debriefing at FMFPac, Apr68, Tape 2932 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter LaHue debriefing.

9. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Feb68, p. 104; III MAF ComdC, Feb68; Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*, pp. 58-9, 68; Waldron and Beavers, "The Critical Year," p. 19; TF X-Ray ComdC, Feb68, pt 2. Pearson states that nearly 45,000 Army reinforcements arrived in I Corps during January and February, but it is obvious that the author confused the total number of Army troops in I Corps with the number of reinforcements.

10. III MAF ComdC, Feb68; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Feb68, p. 33.
11. See charts of enemy attacks in I Corps from 1 May 1967–31 May 1968 in FMFPac, MarOpsV, May68, pp. 30–1.
12. III MAF ComdC, Feb68, pp. 13–4.
13. *Ibid.*, p. 13.
14. 1st MarDiv ComdC, Feb68 and LtCol Louis J. Bacher, Comments on draft, dtd 7May95 (Vietnam Comment File); Col Tullis T. Woodham, Jr. Comments on draft, dtd 7Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Woodham Comments.
15. 1st MarDiv ComdC, Feb68, p. 16; Col Ross R. Miner, Debriefing by FMFPac, dtd 5Sep68 (Tape No. 3068, Oral HistColl, MCHC).
16. 1st MarDiv ComdC, Feb68, p. 7; Woodham Comments.
17. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Apr68, pp. 22–3; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Feb68, p. 17–A; TF X-Ray ComdC, Feb68, pt 2.
18. III MAF ComdC, Feb68, pp. 23–4; TF X-Ray ComdC, Feb68, pt 2; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Feb68, p. 22; Col Harold L. Oppenheimer, debriefing at FMFPac [May 1968] Tape 6379 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
19. TF X-Ray ComdC, Feb68, pt 2; VAdm Edwin B. Hooper, *Mobility, Support, Endurance, A Story of Naval Operational Logistics in the Vietnam War, 1965–1968* (Washington: Naval Historical Division, 1972), pp. 117–18, hereafter Hooper, *Mobility, Support, Endurance*.
20. Col Edward L. Fossum, debriefing at FMFPac, 11Jul68, Tape 2911 (Oral HistColl, MCHC); Gen William C. Westmoreland, Historical Summary, General Entry, v. 28, Historical File, 27Dec67–31Jan68, Westmoreland Papers, CMH; Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*, pp. 58–9; Col Rex O. Dillow, Comments on draft, dtd 10Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File).
21. III MAF ComdC, Feb68, pp. 11–2; 3d MarDiv ComdC, 16–7; 3d Mar AAR Osceola II, dtd 20Mar68, Encl, 3d Mar ComdC, Mar68.
22. III MAF ComdC, Feb68, p. 22; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Feb68, pp. 15, 22, 30–31; Tompkins Intvw, p. 72.
23. Hooper, *Mobility, Support, Endurance*, p. 126; Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*, pp. 62–65.
24. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Feb68, pp. 17–8, 54; III MAF ComdC, Feb68, pp. 10–1.
25. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Feb68, pp. 17–8.
26. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Feb68, p. 18; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Feb68, p. 31;
27. Col Alexander L. Michaux debriefing at FMFPac, 18Jul68, Tape 2915 (Oral HistColl, MCHC); 3d MarDiv ComdC, Feb68, p. 17; 3d MarDiv, AAR, Lancaster II, dtd 9Sep69?, Encl, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Nov68.
28. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Feb68, p. 16; 3d MarDiv COC msg to III MAF COC, dtd 16Feb68, 3d MarDiv PerIntRpt No. 5–68, dtd 18Feb68, and 3d MarDiv Oplan 4–68, dtd 17Feb68, Encls, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Feb68.
29. The sources for this and the following paragraph are: FMFPac, MarOpsV, Feb68, pp. 29 and 65; III MAF ComdC, Feb68, p. 10; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Feb68, p. 16; 3d MarDiv PerIntRpt No. 6–68, dtd 23Feb68, Encl, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Feb68. For a detailed account of the 3d Battalion, 3d Marines action see LtCol Otto J. Lehrack, *No Shining Armor, The Marines at War in Vietnam, An Oral History* (Lawrence, Kansas: University Press of Kansas, 1992), pp. 238–40.
30. III MAF ComdC, Feb68, p. 22; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Feb68, pp. 31 and 33; Hooper, *Mobility, Support, Endurance*, p. 126; Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*, pp. 62–65; Cushman Presentation, tab F, p. 35.

Readjustments in the U.S. I Corps Command Structure

31. CMC Norebook, Visit to Vietnam, Jan68; Chaisson Diary, Jan68, Chaisson Papers; ComUSMACV msg to CinCPac, dtd 18Jan68, and CMC msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 19Jan68 (HQMC Msg File).
32. CMC msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 19Jan68 (HQMC Msg File).
33. 3d MarDiv ComdCs, Jan–Feb68; BGen Jacob Glick intvw, 20 Jun and 11Jul89, pp. 65–71 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
34. CGFMFPac msg to CG3dMarDiv, dtd 20Jan68 (HQMC Msg File); 3d MarDiv ComdCs, Jan–Feb68; 1st MarDiv ComdCs, Jan–Feb68; CGIII MAF msg to ComUSMACV, dtd 24Jan68 (HQMC Msg Files, III MAF Outgoing); MajGen Carl W. Hoffman intvw, 14Nov68, pp. 129–30 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Hoffman intvw.
35. Hoffman intvw, p. 130; CG1stBde, 101st Abn Div msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 22Jan68 and ComUSMACV msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 27Jan68 (HQMC Msg File, III MAF Incoming); CGIIIMAF msg to ComUSMACV, dtd 25Jan68 (HQMC Msg File, III MAF Outgoing).
36. LtGen Robert E. Cushman debriefing at FMFPac, 31Mar69, pp. 465–66 (Oral HistColl, MCHC); Col Franklin L. Smith debriefing at FMFPac [May 1968] Tape 2904 (Oral HistColl, MCHC); Cushman Presentation, tab F, pp. 18–9.
37. Murray Intvw., p. 58; Tompkins Intvw, pp. 82–4.
38. III MAF ComdC, Feb68; Entry for 12Feb68, Chaisson Diary and Chaisson ltrs to wife, dtd 14 and 15Feb68, (Chaisson Papers); LtGen William J. Van Ryzin intvw, 2Apr75, pp. 214–15 (Oral HistColl, MCHC); Tompkins intvw, p. 74.
39. CGIIIMAF msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 18Feb68 (III MAF Outgoing Msgs).
40. DepComUSMACV (Fwd) msg to III MAF and other commands, dtd 20Feb68 (PCV Folder).
41. ComNavForceV msg to DepComUSMACV, dtd 23 Feb68 and CGIIIMAF msg to DepComUSMACV (Fwd), dtd 23Feb68 (PCV Folder).
42. CGIIIMAF msg to ComUSMACV, dtd 28Feb68 (PCV Folder).
43. USMACV Letter of Instruction, Subj: Role and Missions of Provisional Corps, Vietnam, dtd 3Mar68 (PCV Folder); FMFPac MarOpsV, Mar68, p. 59.
44. Hoffman intvw, p. 130; MajGen Carl W. Hoffman, Comments on draft, dtd 15Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File); Smith debriefing; Cushman intvw, Mar69, pp. 465–66.

Planning for the Future

45. Cushman Presentation, tab F, pp. 35–50; Waldron and Beavers, "The Critical Year, 1968," p. 20.
46. Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*, p. 69.
47. The sources for this and the following paragraph are: III MAF Staff Study, Subj: Military Posture, Northern I Corps, 1 Sep 1968, dtd 31Mar68, p. 1 (III MAF Miscellaneous Documents). See also ComUSMACV msg to CMC, dtd 13Mar68 (III MAF Incoming Msgs, 5–14Mar68).

March Operations in the DMZ Sector

48. Sources for this and the following two paragraphs are: FMFPac, MarOpsV, Mar 68, p. 17; III MAF ComdC, p. 20; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Mar68, pp. 11–2; *BLT 3/1, Newsletter Battalion Landing Team 3/1*,

RVN, Dec 67–Jun 68, Apr 1988, p. 10; Col Max McQuown, Comments on draft, dtd 22Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File).

49. Additional sources for this paragraph are: 7th ITT, III MAF, ITT Rpts, 64– and 65–68, dtd 2 and 4Mar68 (III MAF Jnl & Msg Files), hereafter ITT Rpts, 64– and 65–68.

50. ITT Rpts, 64– and 65–68.

51. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Mar68 p. 16; III MAF ComdC, Mar68, pp. 11–2; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Mar68, p. 20.

52. III MAF ComdC, Mar68, pp. 11–2, and 22; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Mar68, p. 20.

53. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Mar68, p. 80.

54. *Ibid.*, pp. 16–8; III MAF ComdC, Mar68, pp. 11–2; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Mar68, p. 20; BGen William Weise intvw, 21Feb83 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).

55. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Mar 68, pp. 16–18; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Mar68, p. 49.

56. The sources for this and the following paragraph are: FMFPac, MarOpsV, Mar68, pp. 13–6; III MAF ComdC, Mar68, p. 13; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Mar68, p. 19; 3d MarDiv COC msg to III MAF COC, dtd 4Mar68, Encl 1, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Mar68; Lt Col Roger Zensen, Comments on draft, dtd 4Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File).

57. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Mar68, pp. 13–6; III MAF ComdC, Mar68, p. 13; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Mar68, p. 19.

58. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Mar68, p. 19; 1st Lt Daniel J. Razaluck and Sgt Ronald C. Trawick in Sgt R. C. Trawick et al. intvw, 21Mar68, Tape 2762 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).

59. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Mar68, p. 80; III MAF ComdC, Mar68, pp. 22–4; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Mar68, pp. 6, 17, 30–2, 34–6; Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*, p. 70.

60. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Mar68, pp. 17, 19–20; 3d MarDiv FragO 7–68, dtd 25Mar68, Encl 4, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Mar68.

March Operations in the Rest of I Corps

61. III MAF ComdC, Mar68, pp. 10–11 and 13; Cushman Presentation, tab F, pp. 58–9.

62. Copy of UPI News Release, dtd 16Mar68 (Courtesy of Lawrence Lichty).

63. 27th Mar ComdC, Mar68; Capt William R. Black intvw, 9Nov85 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Black intvw.

64. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Mar68, p. 51; Col William S. Fagan, Comments on draft, dtd 31Oct94 (Vietnam Comment File).

65. 1st MarDiv ComdC, Mar68, p. 18; HQMC, G–3 Point Papers, Mar68; 7th Mar ComdC, Mar68.

66. Task Force X-Ray ComdC, Mar68, pt 2.

67. *Ibid.*; 1st MarDiv ComdC, p. 18.

68. TF X-Ray ComdC, Mar68, pt 2; Waldron and Beavers, “The Critical Year,” p. 22.

69. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Mar68, pp. 19–20; III MAF ComdC, Mar68, p. 11; TF X-Ray ComdC, Mar68, pt 2.

70. TF X-Ray ComdC, Mar68, pt 2; Waldron and Beavers, “The Critical Year, 1968,” pp. 25–35; Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*, pp. 69–71.

71. 1st MarDiv ComdC, Mar68, pt 2; TF X-Ray ComdC, Mar68; Black intvw.

72. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Mar68, p. 52; TFX-Ray ComdC, Mar68, pt 2; HQMC A03H15, Point Paper, Subj: Attack on Phu Bai, 26Mar68, dtd 27Mar68 (HQMC, G–3 Point Papers).

73. TF X-Ray ComdC, Mar68, pt 2; 5th Mar Spot Rpt, dtd 31Mar68, tab N, TF X-Ray ComdC, Mar68.

74. TF X-Ray ComdC, Mar68, pt. 2.

Regaining the Initiative

75. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Apr68, pp. 6, 31, 40, 49–50; III MAF ComdC, Apr68, pp. 18–20.

76. III MAF ComdC, Apr68, p. 11; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Apr68, p. 18.

77. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Apr68, pp. 28–9 and 74–5; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Apr68, pp. 35–6.

78. LtCol John F. J. Kelly, Comments on draft, dtd 13Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Kelly Comments; TF Kelly, AAR, Opn Quick Track, dtd 16May68, Encl to Kelly Comments.

79. FMFPac, MarOpsV, May68, pp. 20–1; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Apr68, pp. 14, 18, 40; 1st MarDiv ComdC, May68, p. 40; BGen Robert D. Bohn, Comments on Simmons, “Marine Opns, Vietnam, 1968” (Simmons Comment Notebook).

80. Lahue debriefing; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Apr68, p. 4.

81. TF X-Ray ComdC, Apr68, pt. 2, p. 6; 1/27 ComdC, Apr68, pt. 2, p. 5; Black intvw; William R. Black, Jr., Comments on draft, dtd 4Jan95 and attached ltr to parents, dtd 20–21Apr68 (Vietnam Comment File).

82. TF X-Ray ComdC, Apr68, pt. 2, pp. 7–8.

83. Waldron and Beavers, “The Critical Year, 1968,” pp. 40–2, 45–6; Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*, pp. 89–90; 1st MarDiv, Anx A (Intelligence), 1st MarDiv Oplan 108–68, dtd 26Apr68, pp. A–1—A–2, Encl 9, 1st MarDiv ComdC, Apr68.

84. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Apr68, pp. 21–2, May68, pp. 3 and 20; III MAF ComdC, Apr68, p. 19; LtGen Robert E. Cushman, Jr., debriefing on A Shau Valley Operation, Da Nang, 2May68, in Cushman Transcript Book, p. 480; Waldron and Beavers, “The Critical Year, 1968,” pp. 45–53, 65–6; Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*, pp. 89–92.

85. Waldron and Beavers, “The Critical Year, 1968,” p. 48; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Apr68, pp. 4, 19; 3d MarDiv SitRep No. 1, Opn Rice, dtd 21Apr68, Encl 22, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Apr68.

86. III MAF ComdC, Apr68, p. 10; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Apr68, pp. 18 and 20; III MAF Opn Charlton SitRep, No. 17, dtd 16Apr68, Encl 21, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Apr68.

87. Maj William Dabney, “The Battle of Dong Ha,” ms, pp. 5–8; III MAF ComdC, Apr68, p. 22; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Apr68, p. 73, May, 68, pp. 8–9; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Apr68, p. 18.

88. Stanley Karnow, *Vietnam, A History* (New York, New York: Viking Press, 1983, pp. 564–66.

CHAPTER 14 THE SIEGE OF KHE SANH

Unless otherwise noted the material in this chapter is derived from: HQMC Msg Files; FMFPac, MarOpsV, May67–Apr68; III MAF

ComdC, Sep67–Apr68; 3d MarDiv ComdC, May67–Apr68; 26th Mar ComdC, Sep67–Apr68; III MAF Khe Sanh Ops File; Khe Sanh Comment File; John Prados and Ray W. Stubbe, *Valley of Decision, The Siege of Khe Sanh* (Boston: Houghton Mifflin Co., 1991), hereafter Prados and Stubbe, *Valley of Decision*; Capt Moyers S. Shore III, *The Battle for Khe Sanh* (Washington: Hist&Mus Div, HQMC, 1969), hereafter Shore, *Khe Sanh*.

Digging In

1. Gen Westmoreland msg to Gen Wheeler, dtd 12Jan68 (File No. 091/VN Jan68, CJCS Historical Files, HistDiv, JCS, Washington, D.C.); Adm Sharp msg to Gen Wheeler, dtd 14Jan68 (File No. 091/VN Jan68, CJCS Historical Files, HistDiv, JCS, Washington, D.C.).
2. CGIIIMAF msg to CG3dMarDiv, dtd 13Jan68 (Reel No. 4, HQMC Msg Files); CGIIIMAF msg to CG3dMarDiv, dtd 16Jan68 (HQMC Msg Files, III MAF Outgoing, Dec67–Feb68).
3. 1/26 ComdC, Jan68, p. 6; Peter Braestrup, Comments on draft, n.d. [Dec94–Jan95] (Vietnam Comment File).
4. CGIIIMAF msg to CG3dMarDiv, dtd 13Jan68 (Reel No. 4, HQMC Msg Files).
5. CGIIIMAF msg to DepCOMUSMACV, dtd 19Jan68 (HQMC Message File, III MAF Outgoing, Dec67–Feb68).
6. CG3dMarDiv msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 21Jan68 (3d MarDiv Messages)
7. CG3dMarDiv msg to 26th Mar, dtd 21Jan68 (3d MarDiv Messages); U.S. Congress, Senate, Committee on Armed Services, *Hearings Before the Electronic Battlefield Subcommittee of the Preparedness Investigating Subcommittee*, 91st Cong., 2d Sess., 18, 19, and 24 Nov 1970, p. 82, hereafter *Hearings Electronic Battlefield*.

Opening Moves

8. 26th Mar SitRep Opn Scotland No. 24, Encl, 26th Mar ComdC, Jan68; 26th Mar AAR, Opn Scotland, dtd 31May68, p. 3, Encl 26th Mar ComdC, Mar68; 26th Mar ComdC, Jan68, pt. III.
9. 26th Mar ComdC, Jan68, pt. III; Col William H. Dabney intvw, 20May82, p. 64 (Oral HistColl, MCHC); 26th Mar SitRep No. 326, in 26th Mar ComdC, Jan68, pt. IV.
10. Maj Matthew P. Caulfield intvw, 4Jan69, p. 10, in Khe Sanh: Transcriptions of Oral History Tapes, MCHC, hereafter Caulfield intvw.
11. Stevens, ed., *The Navy Cross*.
12. Caulfield intvw, p. 11.
13. 26th Mar ComdC, Jan68, pt. III; 3/26 ComdC, Jan68, p. 10; LtCol Harry L. Alderman intvw, 10–24Feb68, p. 21, in Khe Sanh: Transcriptions of Oral History Tapes, MCHC.

"Incoming!"

14. Maj Jerry E. Hudson, Comments on "The Battle for Khe Sanh," 2Jan69 (Khe Sanh Comment File, MCHC).
15. LtCol James B. Wilkinson, Comments on "The Battle for Khe Sanh," 19Dec68 (Khe Sanh Comment File); Bernard Nalty, *Air Power and the Fight for Khe Sanh* (Washington, D.C.: Office of Air Force History, 1973) pp. 24–25, hereafter Nalty, *Air Power*; LtCol Kenneth W. Pipes, Comments on draft, dtd 10Mar95 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Pipes Comments, 1995.
16. BGen Marshall B. Garth, Memorandum for the Record, Subj: Attack on the Khe Sanh Air Base, dtd 21Jan68 (File No. 091/VN

Jan68, JCS HistOff, Washington, D.C.); 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jan68, p. 6; 1/26 ComdC, Jan68, p. 7; Ray W. Stubbe, "Khe Sanh: Valley of Decision" (ms., Wauwatosa, WI, 1987), p. 540, hereafter Stubbe, "Khe Sanh."

17. 1stLt William L. Eberhardt intvw, 10Feb68, Tape 2535 (Oral HistColl, MCHC); William J. O'Connor, Comments on draft, dtd 29Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File).
18. LtCol James B. Wilkinson Comments, "The Battle for Khe Sanh," 19Dec68 (Khe Sanh Comment File).
19. 26th Mar SitRep Opn Scotland No. 328, Encl 26th Mar ComdC, Jan68, pt. IV.
20. 26th Mar ComdC, Jan68, Pt. III; 3/26 ComdC, Jan68, p. 4; 26th Mar SitRep Opn Scotland No. 329, Encl 26th Mar ComdC, Jan68, pt. IV.
21. MCCC Status of Forces, WestPac, 23Jan68; Stubbe, "Khe Sanh," pp. 560–561.
22. Nalty, *Air Power*, pp. 24–25; TSgt Bruce W. Pollica and TSgt Joe R. Rickey, *834th Air Division Tactical Airlift Support for Khe Sanh, 21Jan–8Apr68*, quoted in Stubbe, "Khe Sanh," pp. 567–568.

The Fall of Khe Sanh Village

- This section is based on the following sources: Col Bruce B. G. Clarke, USA, Comments on draft, n.d. [Apr95] (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Clarke Comments; Capt Bruce Clarke, untitled account, dtd Apr68, attached to Clarke Comments, hereafter Clarke Account, Apr68; John J. Balanco, Comments on draft, dtd 15Nov94 and 5Apr95 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Balanco Comments; John J. Balanco, "Abandoned, Reflections of a Khe Sanh Vet," ms, dtd 14Nov94 and 5Apr95, attached to Balanco Comments, hereafter Balanco, "Abandoned"; 26th Mar ComdC, Jan68, pt. III; Stubbe, "Khe Sanh," p. 573; Prados and Stubbe, *Valley of Decision*, pp. 255–265.
23. Prados and Stubbe, *Valley of Decision*, p. 263.
 24. Balanco, "Abandoned," p. 182.
 25. Col David E. Lownds intvw, 13Mar68, pp. 22–23, in Khe Sanh: Transcriptions of Oral History, MCHC.
 26. Balanco, "Abandoned," p. 184. See also Prados and Stubbe, *Valley of Decision*, p. 264.
 27. Clarke Comments and Clarke Account, Apr68.
 28. Balanco, "Abandoned," pp. 184–85.
- #### Reinforcement and Fighting Back
29. Nalty, *Air Power*, pp. 25–26; HMM–362 ComdC, Jan68, pt. II; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jan68, p. 43; 26th Mar SitRep Opn Scotland No. 331, Encl, 26th Mar ComdC, Jan68, pt. IV.
 30. For this and the following paragraph see Col John F. Mitchell, Comments on draft, dtd 5Jan95 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Mitchell Comments. See also FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan68, p. 10; 1/9 ComdC, Jan68, pt. II.
 31. 26th Mar SitRep Opn Scotland No. 335, Encl, 26th Mar ComdC, Jan68, pt. IV.
 32. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jan68, p. 7.
 33. 26th Mar AAR, Opn Scotland, dtd 31May68, p. 5, Encl 26th Mar ComdC, Mar68.

34. Maj Gary E. Todd, Comments on draft, dtd 28Oct94 (Vietnam Comment File) and Capt Bernard D. Cole, USN, Comments on draft, dtd 27Oct94 (Vietnam Comment File).
35. Col William H. Dabney, Comments on draft, n.d. [Dec94] (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Dabney Comments.
36. Ibid. See also Shore, *Khe Sanh*, pp. 58–9, 97–8.
37. Dabney Comments; Shore, *Khe Sanh*, p. 59.
38. 26th Mar SitReps Opn Scotland, Nos. 338, 339, Encl 26th Mar ComdC, Jan68, pt. IV; 2/26 ComdC, Jan68, pp. 7–8; S–2 Officer, 2/26 ltr to S–2 Officer, 26th Mar, no subject, dtd 25Jan68, Encl 2/26 ComdC, Jan68, pt. IV; S–2 Officer, 2/26 ltr to S–2 Officer, 26th Mar, Subj: Enemy Activity Vicinity of XD803457, dtd 26Jan68, in 2/26 ComdC, Jan68, pt. IV.
39. Prados and Stubbe, *Valley of Decision*, p. 282.
40. 26th Mar SitRep Opn Scotland No. 351, Encl 26th Mar ComdC, Jan68, pt. IV; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan68, p. 10; Gen Westmoreland msg to Adm Sharp, dtd 23Jan68 (Westmoreland Papers, CMH, Washington, D.C.); MajGen Rathvon McC. Tompkins, Comments on “The Battle for Khe Sanh,” dtd 19Nov69 (Khe Sanh Comment File); LtCol James B. Wilkinson, Comments on “The Battle for Khe Sanh,” dtd 19Dec68 (Khe Sanh Comment File).
41. 1/26 Journal for 28Jan68, Ser. No. 52, in 1/26 ComdC, Jan68, pt. IV; 1/26 Journal for 24Jan68, Ser. No. 21, in ComdC, Jan68, pt. IV.
42. 1/26 Journal for 30Jan68, Ser. No. 12, in 1/26 ComdC, Jan68, pt. IV; 1/26 Journal for 29Jan68, Ser. No. 21, in 1/26 ComdC, Jan68, pt. IV.
43. Statement of MajGen Rathvon McC. Tompkins, *Hearings Electronic Battlefield*, pp. 84–85; ComUSMACV msg to CinCPAC, dtd 23Jan68 (File No. 091/Vietnam, Jan68, pt. II, JCS HistOff).

Round Two

44. Jack Shulimson and LtCol Lane Rogers, Memorandum for the Record, Subj: Conversation With MajGen Tompkins, dtd 7Sep76 (MajGen Rathvon McC. Tompkins intvw transcript, Oral HistColl, MCHC).
45. 1/26 Journal for 29Jan68, Ser. No. 24, in 1/26 ComdC, Jan68, pt. IV.
46. 26th Mar SitRep Opn Scotland, No. 360, Encl 26th Mar ComdC, Jan68, pt. IV; 26th Mar ComdC, Jan68, p. 4.
47. Nalty, *Air Power*, p. 82.
48. Addresses by Gen Earle G. Wheeler, USA, 7Jul64 to 2Jul70, pt. II (JCS HistOff, Washington, D.C.).
49. Gen William C. Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports* (New York: Doubleday & Co., Inc., 1976), p. 337, hereafter Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*; Adm Sharp msg to Gen Wheeler, dtd 2Feb68 (File No. 091/Vietnam, JCS HistOff, Washington, D.C.)
50. This and the following paragraph are based upon: Maj Mirza M. Baig Comments on “The Battle for Khe Sanh,” dtd 23Dec68 (Khe Sanh Comment File), hereafter Baig Comments.
51. Ibid.; Capt Earle G. Breeding intvw, 8Mar68, in Khe Sanh: Transcriptions of Oral History, MCHC, hereafter Breeding intvw.
52. 26th Mar ComdC, Feb68, p.8.
53. Ibid.; Breeding intvw; 26th Mar SitRep Opn Scotland, No. 385, Encl, 26th Mar ComdC, Feb68, pt. IV.

54. Breeding intvw.

55. 26th Mar AAR, Opn Scotland, dtd 31May68, p. 3, Encl 26th Mar ComdC, Mar68; Baig Comments; 3/26 ComdC, Feb68, p. 3; 3/26 AAR Opn Scotland, dtd 15Apr68, p. 9, Encl, 3/26 ComdC, Mar68, pt. IV.

The Fall of Lang Vei

56. Gen Westmoreland msg to Adm Sharp, dtd 24Jan68, in Westmoreland Papers (CMH, Washington, D.C.).
57. 1/13 ComdC, Jan68, p. 6; Col David E. Lownds intvw, 13Mar68, in Khe Sanh: Transcriptions of Oral History, MCHC.
58. 2/26 OpO 5–68, dtd 15Mar68, Anx D (Antimechanized Plan), Encl, 2/26 ComdC, Mar68, pt. IV; Co C, 5th SFGA AAR for Battle of Lang Vei, 22Feb68, in 3d MarDiv, U.S.A. AARs, hereafter Co C, 5th SFGA AAR, Feb68; III MAF COC msg to MACV COC, dtd 7Feb68, in III MAF Khe Sanh Ops File.
59. 26th Mar AAR, Opn Scotland, dtd 31May68, p. 4, Encl, 26th Mar ComdC, Mar68, pt. IV.
60. Maj Matthew B. Caulfield intvw, 2Jan69, pp. 26–27, in Khe Sanh: Transcriptions of Oral History, MCHC; Col Franklin L. Smith intvw, 10Jun68, Tape 2878 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
61. Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, pp. 341–2; Prados and Stubbe, *Valley of Decision*, pp. 331–33; Col Jonathan F. Ladd, USA (ret) intvw, n.d. [1977?] (U.S. Army, Military History Institute, Carlisle Barracks, PA), pp. 25–30, attached to Clarke Comments; BGen John R. Chaisson ltr to his wife, dtd 8Feb68 (Chaisson Papers, Hoover Institute).
62. Prados and Stubbe, *Valley of Decision*, pp. 331–33; Co C, 5th SFGA AAR, Feb68, p. 5.
63. Gen Robert E. Cushman, Jr., intvw, 1Nov82, p. 30 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
64. LtCol Edward J. A. Castagna intvw, 13Mar68, p. 29, hereafter Castagna intvw and LtCol Harvey M. Harper intvw, 10–24Feb68, p. 6, in Khe Sanh: Transcriptions of Oral History, MCHC.

The Intensifying Battle

65. L. J. Seavy-Cioffi, “Our Victory for Alpha One,” (ms., 1986, MCHC), hereafter Seavy-Cioffi, “Alpha One.”
66. Col John F. Mitchell, Comments on “The Battle for Khe Sanh,” dtd 31Jan69 (Khe Sanh Comment File); Seavy-Cioffi, “Alpha One,” p. 23. See also Larry J. Seavy-Cioffi, Comments on draft, dtd 12Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Seavy-Cioffi Comments.
67. Seavy-Cioffi, “Alpha One,” p. 49.
68. 1/9 ComdC, Feb68, pt. III; 26th Mar ComdC, Feb68, pp. 48–49; 26th Mar SitReps Opn Scotland, Nos. 397, 398, 399, Encl 26th Mar ComdC, Feb68, pt. IV.
69. Casualty figures for this engagement vary among sources. Three Marines were initially declared missing in action, but were later added to the list of those killed. Counts of enemy dead range from 124 to “over 150.” 1/9 AAR, Opn Scotland, n.d., p. 7, Encl, 1/9 ComdC, Mar68, pt. IV; 1/9 ComdC, Feb68, p. 13; 26th Mar SitRep Opn Scotland, No. 399, Encl, 26th Mar ComdC, Feb68, pt. IV; 3d MarDiv COC msg to III MAF COC, dtd 9Feb68, in III MAF Khe Sanh Ops File; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Feb68, p. 15.
70. 1/26 ComdC, Feb68, p. 7.
71. BGen Robert P. Keller, Comments on “The Battle for Khe Sanh,”

dtd 17Dec68 (Khe Sanh Comment File), hereafter Keller Comments, Dec68.

72. Castagna intvw, p. 29.

73. Keller Comments, Dec68.

74. 1/26 ComdC, Feb68, p. 8.

75. MajGen Rathvon McC. Tompkins intvw, 26Aug68, p. 9, in Khe Sanh: Transcriptions of Oral History, MCHC.

76. Baig Comments.

77. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Feb68, p. 23; 3d MarDiv COC msg to III MAF COC, dtd 24Feb68, in III MAF Khe Sanh Ops File; 3/26 ComdC, Feb68, p. 11; Transcript, Hanoi VNA International Service English Broadcast, 24Feb68.

78. 1/26 Journal for 25Feb68, Ser. No. 47, in 1/26 ComdC, Feb68, pt. IV.

79. 1/26 Journal for 25Feb68, Ser. Nos. 49 and 51, in 1/26 ComdC, Feb68, pt. IV.

80. Author conversation with Mr. George W. Jayne, dtd 22Sep88.

81. Maj Kenneth W. Pipes, Comments on "The Battle for Khe Sanh," n.d. [1968?] (Khe Sanh Comment File), hereafter Pipes Comments, [1968?].

82. Casualty figures recorded for this action also vary, especially concerning the numbers of dead and missing. While many sources show 24 missing from the 3d Platoon, they fail to note one Marine missing from the 1st Platoon. Also, early reports which indicated only one Marine killed are often cited. 1/26 ComdC, Feb68, p. 8; Author conversation with Mr. George W. Jayne, dtd 22Sep88; Pipes Comments, [1968?]; 3d MarDiv COC msg to III MAF COC, dtd 26Feb68, in III MAF Khe Sanh Ops File. According to Prados and Stubbe, a corrected version was issued two weeks later giving the casualties as 9 dead, 25 wounded, and 19 missing. *Valley of Decision*, p. 405.

83. 1/26 Journal for 27Feb68, Ser. No. 45, in 1/26 ComdC, Feb68, pt. IV.

84. 26th Mar SitRep Opn Scotland, No. 484, Encl, 26th Mar ComdC, Feb68, pt. IV.

85. Baig Comments.

86. 26th Mar ComdC, Mar68, p. 3; 3d MarDiv COC msg to III MAF COC, dtd 2Mar68, in III MAF Khe Sanh Ops File.

87. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Mar68, pp. 18, 48. See also the following messages in III MAF Khe Sanh Ops File: 3d MarDiv COC msg to III MAF COC, dtd 2Mar68; 3d MarDiv COC msg to III MAF COC, dtd 3Mar68; 3d MarDiv COC msg to III MAF COC, dtd 4Mar68; 3d MarDiv msg to PCV, dtd 14Mar68; 3d MarDiv COC msg to III MAF COC, dtd 18Mar68; 3d MarDiv COC msg to PCV COC, dtd 19Mar68.

88. 26th Mar ComdC, Mar68, p. 7.

89. 26th Mar ComdC, Mar68, p. 11; 1/9 ComdC, Mar68, pt. III.

90. 3d MarDiv COC msg to III MAF COC, dtd 2Mar68, in III MAF Khe Sanh Ops File.

91. 3d MarDiv COC msg to III MAF COC, dtd 7Mar68, in III MAF Khe Sanh Ops File.

92. 3d MarDiv COC msg to PCV COC, dtd 15Mar68, and 3d MarDiv COC msg to PCV TOC, dtd 25Mar68, in III MAF Khe Sanh Ops File.

93. 26th Mar ComdC, Mar68, p. 6.

94. Ibid. p. 7.

95. CGProvCorpsV msg to CGIII MAF, dtd 23Mar68, and CGProvCorpsV msg to CGIII MAF, dtd 25Mar68, in III MAF Khe Sanh Ops File.

96. 3d MarDiv COC msg to PCV TOC, dtd 25Mar68, in III MAF Khe Sanh Ops File.

97. Seavy-Cioffi Comments, Mitchell Comments, 1995, and Mullins Comments. See also 1/9 ComdC, Mar68, pt. II; 3d MarDiv COC msg to PCV TOC, dtd 27Mar68, and CGPCV msg to CGIII MAF, dtd 27Mar68, in III MAF Khe Sanh Ops File.

Settling the Score

98. 1/26 AAR Opn Scotland, dtd 11May68, p. 8, Encl, 1/26 ComdC, Mar68, pt. IV; LtCol Frederick J. McEwan, Comments on draft, dtd 7Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File).

99. 1/13 ComdC, Mar68, p. 6.

100. 26th Mar ComdC, Mar68, pp. 9–10; 1/26 Journal for 30Mar68, Ser. No. 20, in 1/26 ComdC, Mar68, pt. IV; 26th Mar SitReps Opn Scotland, Nos. 602 and 603, Encl, 26th Mar ComdC, Mar68, pt. IV; 1/26 ComdC, Mar68, pp. 4–5; 26th Mar ComdC, Mar68, pp. 9–10; Prados and Stubbe, *Valley of Decision*, p. 424; Charles E. Davis III, ltr to Ray W. Stubbe, dtd 22Dec91, Encl to Pipes Comments, 1995, hereafter Davis Ltr, Dec91.

101. 1/26 ComdC, Mar68, pp. 4–5.

102. Davis ltr; Wayne Morrison ltr to LtCol Pipes, n.d. [1980's], Encl, Pipes Comments, 1995.

103. Pipes Comments, 1995; CO, 1/26 ltr to CG, 3d MarDiv, Subj: Meritorious Unit Commendation, recommendation for, case of Company B, 1st Battalion, 26th Marines, dtd 5Jun68, in Pipes Comments [1968?]; 1stLt John W. Dillon intvw, 3Jan69, Tape 3716 (Oral Hist-Coll, MCHC).

104. Casualty figures for the raid vary among reports, with some claiming 3 Marines missing, and others showing 9 dead and either 42 or 71 wounded. The figures in the text are from 1/26 AAR Opn Scotland, dtd 11May68, p. 8, in 1/26 ComdC, Mar68, pt. IV. Other sources include: 26th Mar SitReps Opn Scotland, Nos. 602 and 603, Encl, 26th Mar ComdC, Mar68, pt. IV; 1/26 ComdC, Mar68, pp. 4–5; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Mar68, p. 54; CGPCV msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 31Mar68, in III MAF Khe Sanh Ops File. The Marine artillery officer is quoted in Prados and Stubbe, *Valley of Decision*, p. 424. For General Krulak's observations, see LtGen Victor H. Krulak, *First to Fight, An Inside View of the U.S. Marine Corps* (Naval Institute Press: Annapolis, Md., 1984), p. 220.

105. 26th Mar AAR, Opn Scotland, dtd 31May68, p. 4, Encl, 26th Mar ComdC, Mar68, pt. IV. Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, p. 347.

106. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Mar68, p. 3.

107. 26th Mar AAR Opn Scotland, dtd 31May68, Encl, 26th Mar ComdC, Mar68, pt. IV.

Operation Pegasus

108. 3d MarDiv PerIntRep, dtd 15Feb68, in 3d MarDiv ComdC, Mar68, pt. IV.

109. For this and the following paragraph see: MajGen Rathvon McC. Tompkins intvw, 26Aug68, Tape 3088 (Oral HistColl, MCHC); Gen William B. Rosson, Comments on draft, dtd 27Feb95 (Vietnam Com-

ment File); LtGen John J. Tolson, *Vietnam Studies: Airmobility, 1961–1971* (Washington: Dept of the Army, 1973), p. 169, hereafter, Tolson, *Airmobility*; John R. Galvin, *Air Assault: The Development of Airmobile Warfare* (New York: Hawthorne Books, Inc., 1969), p. 307, hereafter, Galvin, *Air Assault*.

110. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Mar68, p. 17; Tolson, *Airmobility*, pp. 170–171; III MAF ComdC, Mar68, p. 25; 1st Mar ComdC, Mar68, p. II–D–2; 1st Mar AAR Opn Pegasus, dtd 3May68, p. 11, in 1st Mar ComdC, Apr68, pt. IV.

111. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Mar68, p. 53; LtGen John J. Tolson, Comments on “The Battle for Khe Sanh,” dtd 2Jan69 (Khe Sanh Comment File); Tolson, *Airmobility*, pp. 171–172.

112. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Mar68, pp. 17–18, 20; LtGen Willard Pearson, *Vietnam Studies: The War in the Northern Provinces, 1966–1968* (Washington: Dept of the Army, 1975), p. 81, hereafter, Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*; CGPCV msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 30Mar68 (III MAF Khe Sanh Ops File).

113. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Mar68, p. 55; 1/26 AAR Opn Scotland, dtd 11May68, p. 2, in 1/26 ComdC, Mar68, pt. IV; 26th Mar ComdC, Mar68, p. 10.

114. 1/26 AAR Opn Scotland, dtd 11May68, p. 3, in 1/26 ComdC, Mar68, pt. IV.

115. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Apr68, p. 7. Some sources report that Operation Pegasus numbered 18 infantry battalions, apparently overlooking the ARVN 37th Ranger Battalion at Khe Sanh.

116. 1st Mar ComdC, Apr68, p. II–C–2; Pearson, *War in the Northern Provinces*, p. 81; Tolson, *Airmobility*, p. 173; Galvin, *Air Assault*, p. 307; CGPCV msg to III MAF COC, dtd 2Apr68 (III MAF Khe Sanh Ops File); Gen Westmoreland msg to Gen Wheeler and Adm Sharp, dtd 1Apr68 (File No. MAC 04395, Westmoreland Papers, CMH, Washington, D.C.).

117. CGPCV msg to III MAF COC, dtd 2Apr68 (III MAF Khe Sanh Ops File); Galvin, *Air Assault*, p. 307; Tolson, *Airmobility*, p. 173; Pearson, *War in the Northern Provinces*, p. 81; 26th Mar ComdC, Apr68, pp. 7–9; 1st AirCavDiv AAR Opn Pegasus, dtd 11Jul68, p. 6, in 3d MarDiv U.S.A. AARs, hereafter 1st ACD AAR Opn Pegasus.

118. Friendly casualty figures for this action vary. Figures in the text are from 1/9 AAR Opn Pegasus, dtd 22May68, p. 4, in 1/9 ComdC, Apr68, pt. IV and 1/9 ComdC, Apr68, pp. 4–5. Other sources include 26th Mar ComdC, Apr68, p. 9; 26th Mar PerOpsSum Opn Pegasus, No. 5 in 26th Mar ComdC, Apr68, pt. IV; 26th Mar AAR Opn Pegasus, dtd 10May68, in 26th Mar ComdC, Apr68, pt. IV; III MAF ComdC, Apr68, pp. 11–12; Tolson, *Airmobility*, p. 175.

119. 1/9 ComdC, Apr68, p. 5; 1/9 AAR Opn Pegasus, dtd 22May68, p. 5, in 1/9 ComdC, Apr68, pt. IV, hereafter 1/9 AAR Opn Pegasus; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Apr68, p. 9. Again, casualty figures vary. One source even provides conflicting numbers on two different pages of the same document. For other reports, see 1/9 AAR Opn Pegasus, p. 3; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Mar68, p. 12; III MAF ComdC, Apr68, p. 12; CGPCV msg to III MAF COC, dtd 6Apr68 (III MAF Khe Sanh Ops File); 26th Mar AAR Opn Pegasus, dtd 10May68, p. 3, in 26th Mar ComdC, Apr68, pt. IV, hereafter 26th Mar AAR Opn Pegasus; 26th Mar ComdC, Apr68, p. 10; 26th Mar PerOpsSum Opn Pegasus, No. 6 in 26th Mar ComdC, Apr68, pt. IV.

120. The sources for this and the following paragraph are: 2/26 ComdC, Apr68, p. 3; 2/26 AAR Opn Pegasus, dtd 5May68, p. 4, in 2/26 ComdC, Apr68, pt. IV.

121. An additional source for this paragraph is: 26th Mar Opn Pegasus PerOpsSum, No. 7 in 26th Mar ComdC, Apr68, pt. IV.

122. Ibid.

123. 1st ACD AAR Opn Pegasus, p. 7.

124. CGPCV msg to III MAF COC, dtd 7Apr68 (III MAF Khe Sanh File); Tolson, *Airmobility*, p. 176.

125. 1st ACD AAR Opn Pegasus, p. 7.

126. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Apr68, p. 10; 1/9 ComdC, Apr68, p. 6; 1st ACD AAR Opn Pegasus, p. 3; 2/26 ComdC, Apr68, pp. 3–8; Pearson, *War in the Northern Provinces*, pp. 85–86; Tolson, *Airmobility*, pp. 85–87.

127. CGPCV msg to CGIII MAF, dtd 8Apr68, and CGPCV msg to III MAF COC, dtd 9Apr68 (III MAF Khe Sanh Ops File).

128. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Mar68, p. 12; Tolson, *Airmobility*, p. 177.

129. 1/9 ComdC, Apr68, p. 6.

130. CGPCV msg to CGIII MAF, dtd 10Apr68 (III MAF Khe Sanh Ops File).

131. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Mar68, p. 7; Pearson, *War in the Northern Provinces*, p. 88; Tolson, *Airmobility*, p. 178.

132. CGPCV msg to III MAF COC, dtd 12Apr68 (III MAF Khe Sanh Ops File).

133. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Apr68, p. 10.

134. LtCol John C. Studt, Comments on “The Battle for Khe Sanh,” dtd 24Dec68, in Khe Sanh Comment File, hereafter Studt Comments, Dec68; Col Bruce F. Meyers, Comments on draft, dtd 20Feb95 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Meyers Comments, Feb95.

135. LtCol John C. Studt, “Battalion in the Attack,” *Marine Corps Gazette*, July 1970, pp. 39–44; Meyers Comments, Feb95.

136. Meyers Comments, Feb95.

137. Studt Comments, Dec68; 26th Mar ComdC, Apr68, pp. 11–12; Col Bruce F. Meyers, Comments on “The Battle for Khe Sanh,” dtd 16Dec68, in Khe Sanh Comment File; III MAF ComdC, Apr68, p. 12; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Apr68, p. 10; 26th Mar AAR Opn Pegasus; CGPCV msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 15Apr68 (III MAF Khe Sanh Ops File); Pearson, *War in the Northern Provinces*, p. 87. Quote is from Meyers Comments, Feb95.

138. The sources for this and the following paragraph are: Gen Westmoreland msg to Adm Sharp, dtd 15Apr68 (File No. MAC 05008, Westmoreland Papers, CMH, Washington, D.C.); CGPCV msg to III MAF COC, dtd 14Apr68, and CG, PCV msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 15Apr68 (III MAF Khe Sanh Ops File); Pearson, *War in the Northern Provinces*, p. 88; Tolson, *Airmobility*, p. 178; Dabney Comments. Quotes in this paragraph are from Col John C. Studt, Comments on draft, dtd 22Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File).

CHAPTER 15 THE BATTLE FOR DONG HA

The major sources for this chapter are: FMFPac, MarOpsV, Apr–Jun68; III MAF ComdCs, Apr–Jun68; III MAF Jnl & Msg Files; 3d MarDiv ComdCs, Apr–Jun68; 9th Mar ComdCs, Apr–Jun68; 3d Mar ComdCs, Apr–Jun68; Maj Thomas Donnelly and Capt Moyers S. Shore II, “Ho Chi Minh’s Gamble,” in LtCol Ralph F. Moody, et al., “Marines in Vietnam, 1954–68,” 8 pts (ms, MCHC, Washington, D.C., 1971), pt VI, hereafter Donnelly and Shore, “Ho Chi Minh’s Gamble”; Waldron and Beavers, “The Critical Year”; Maj William H.

Dabney, "The Battle of Dong Ha," ms, Apr75, hereafter Dabney, "Battle of Dong Ha"; BGen William Weise intvw, 21Feb83 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Weise intvw, 21Feb83; BGen William Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," ms, 7Feb87, hereafter Weise, "Memories of Dai Do"; BGen William Weise Folder on Dai Do, hereafter Weise Folder, Dai Do; BGen Edwin H. Simmons, USMC, Comment Notebook, May 1970, *Naval Review* article, MCHC, hereafter Simmons Comment Notebook, May 1970, *Naval Review* article.

Why Dong Ha?

1. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Apr68, p. 31; Dabney, "Battle of Dong Ha," passim.
2. The sources for this and the following paragraph are: CG3dMarDiv msg to CGProvCorps, dtd 19May68, Encl 5, and 3d MarDiv, Summary Report and notes, Battle of Dong Ha, n.d., Encls 6–10, 3d MarDiv ComdC, May68, hereafter 3d MarDiv, Summary Report; Dabney, "Battle of Dong Ha," pp. 2–5. For organization of Task Force Robbie, see LtCol Karl J. Fontenot, Comments on draft, n.d. [Dec94] (Vietnam Comment File).
3. 3d Mar ComdC, Apr68, p. 27; BLT 2/4 CAAR, Operation Napoleon/Saline, dtd 4Jul68, tab H, 3d Mar ComdC, Jul68, hereafter BLT 2/4 CAAR Napoleon; Weise, "Memories of Dai Do."
4. Dabney, "Battle of Dong Ha," pp. 5–6; 3d MarDiv, Summary Report.
5. The sources for this and the following two paragraphs are: FMFPac, MarOpsV, Apr68, pp. 12–4; Dabney, "Battle of Dong Ha," pp. 5–6; 3d MarDiv, Summary Report; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Apr68, p. 19; John Randolph, "Viet Battle Pits Huge Field Units," *Los Angeles Times*, 8May68, pp. 1–2 (Clipping in Weise Folder, Dai Do), hereafter Randolph, "Viet Battle Pits Huge Field Units".
6. Additional sources for this paragraph are: Weise intvw, 21Feb83; BLT 2/4 CAAR Napoleon.
7. Dabney, "Battle of Dong Ha," pp. 12–5; 3d MarDiv, Summary Report.

The Fight For Dai Do, The First Day

8. Weise intvw, 21Feb83; BLT 2/4 CAAR Napoleon; 3d Mar ComdC, May68.
9. The sources for this and the following two paragraphs are: Weise intvw, 21Feb83; BLT 2/4 CAAR Napoleon.
10. Additional source for this and the following paragraph is: 3d MarDiv, Summary Report.
11. Additional sources for this paragraph are: 3d MarDiv ComdC, Apr68, p. 18; Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," p. 2.
12. BGen William Weise, "Sequence of Events—Battle of Dai Do," dtd 11Mar83 (Weise Folder, Dai Do); Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," p. 3; BLT 2/4 CAAR Napoleon, p. 32; 3d Mar ComdC, May68, p. 26; Weise intvw, Feb83.
13. BGen William Weise, "Sequence of Events—Battle of Dai Do," dtd 11Mar83 (Weise Folder, Dai Do); Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," p. 3; BLT 2/4 CAAR Napoleon, p. 6; Weise intvw, Feb83.
14. The sources for this and the following paragraph are: BGen William Weise, "Sequence of Events—Battle of Dai Do," dtd 11Mar83 (Weise Folder, Dai Do); Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," pp. 5–6; BLT 2/4 CAAR Napoleon, p. 32; 3d Mar ComdC, May 68, p. 26; Weise intvw, Feb83.

15. An additional source for this paragraph is: Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," pp. 6–7.

16. The sources for this and the following three paragraphs are: BGen William Weise, "Sequence of Events—Battle of Dai Do," dtd 11Mar83 (Weise Folder, Dai Do); Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," pp. 6–8; BLT 2/4 CAAR Napoleon, p. 32.

17. Additional sources for this and the following two paragraphs are: 3d Mar ComdC, May68, pp. 26–7; Weise intvw, Feb83.

18. Additional sources for this and the following paragraph are: Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," pp. 8–10; LtCol William Weise, Comments on draft ms., dtd 1Oct69, Donnelly and Shore, "Ho Chi Minh's Gamble" (Comment File), hereafter Weise Comments, 1Oct69.

19. The quote is from Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," ms., 7Feb87.

20. Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," pp. 9–10; BLT 2/4 CAAR Napoleon, p. 32.

21. The sources for this and the following three paragraphs are: Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," pp. 10–11; Weise, "Sequence of Events"; Weise Comments, 1Oct69.

22. Additional sources for this and the following two paragraphs are: 3d Mar ComdC, May68, p. 27; BLT 2/4 CAAR Napoleon, p. 32.

23. Additional sources for this and the following paragraph are: Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," p. 12; Weise intvw, Feb83.

24. 3d Mar ComdC, May68, p. 27; BLT 2/4 CAAR Napoleon, p. 32.

25. Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," p.13; Weise Comments, 1Oct69.

26. Col William H. Dabney intvw, 20May82, p. 34 (Oral HistColl, MCHC); Dabney, "Battle of Dong Ha," pp. 12–5; 3d MarDiv, Summary Report; Waldron and Beavers, "The Critical Year," pp. 57–8.

27. Randolph, "Viet Battle Pits Huge Field Units," pp. 1–2.

28. Gen William B. Rosson, USA, Comments on draft, dtd 27Feb95 (Vietnam Comment File).

29. Dabney, "Battle of Dong Ha," pp. 12–5; 3d MarDiv, Summary Report; Waldron and Beavers, "The Critical Year," p. 59; MajGen Rathvon McC. Tompkins, Comments on Simmons, "Marine Opns, Vietnam, 1968," dtd 19Nov69 (Simmons Comment Notebook, May 1970, *Naval Review* article).

The Continuing Fight For Dai Do

30. The sources for this and the following four paragraphs are: Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," p. 13–4; Weise intvw, Feb83; Weise, "Sequence of Events."

31. Additional sources for this and the following three paragraphs are: 3d Mar ComdC, May68, pp. 27–8; BLT 2/4 CAAR, Operation Napoleon, p. 33.

32. Additional sources for this and the following paragraph are: Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," p. 15; Peter Braestrup, "Daido: Marines Open Supply Line," *The Washington Post*, 8May68, pp. D-1—D-3, p. D-2 (Clipping in Weise Folder, Dai Do).

33. Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," pp. 15–6; FMFPac MarOpsV, May68, p. 64.

34. Sources for this and the following two paragraphs are: Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," pp. 17–18; Weise intvw, Feb83; BLT 2/4 CAAR, Operation Napoleon, pp. 33–4; 3d Mar ComdC, May68, pp. 28–9.

35. An additional source for this and the following paragraph is: Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," Insert 5.
36. An additional source for this paragraph is: Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," pp. 19–21.
37. Sources for this and the following paragraph are: Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," p. 13–4; Weise intvw, Feb83; Weise, "Sequence of Events," 2/4 CAAR, Operation Napoleon, pp. 33–4.
38. MGySgt James W. Rogers, Comments on draft, dtd 21Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Rogers Comments, Nov94.
39. An additional source for this paragraph is: Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," pp. 21–2.
40. The sources for this and the following 12 paragraphs are: Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," p. 23; Weise intvw, Feb83; Weise, "Sequence of Events."
41. Additional sources for this and the following 10 paragraphs are: Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," p. 24; BLT 2/4 CAAR, Operation Napoleon, pp. 34–5.
42. Prescott is quoted in Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," Insert 2.
43. Lieutenant Taylor is quoted in Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," p. 25.
44. Rogers is quoted in Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," p. 26. See also Rogers Comments, Nov94.
45. Additional source for this paragraph is Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," p. 27.
46. Additional sources for this and the following four paragraphs are: Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," pp. 28–30; Weise Comments, 1Oct69.
47. Additional source for this and the following three paragraphs are: 3d Mar ComdC, May68, p. 30. Quote is from Weise intvw, Feb83.
48. Quotes are again from Weise intvw, Feb83.
49. An additional source for this and the following paragraph is: Weise, "Memories of Dai Do," pp. 30–33. The quotes again are from Weise intvw, Feb83.
50. 3d Mar ComdC, May68, pp. 30–1; BLT 2/4 CAAR, Operation Napoleon, pp. 35–6; 1/3 ComdC, May68; Weise Comments, 1Oct69.
51. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Apr68, p. 20; III MAF ComdC, May68, p. 11; 3d Mar ComdC, May68, pp. 27–30. The Weise quotes are from Weise intvw, Feb83.

The End of the First Offensive

52. The sources for this and the following two paragraphs are: Dabney, "Battle of Dong Ha," pp. 17–8; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Apr68, pp. 18–9, May68, p. 9; Waldron and Beavers, "The Critical Year," p. 63.
53. An additional source for this and the following paragraph is: 3d Mar ComdC, May68, pp. 3–5.
54. 3d Mar ComdC, May68, pp. 5–7, and Waldron and Beavers, "The Critical Year," pp. 63–4.
55. 3d Mar ComdC, May68, pp. 5–7.
56. The sources for this and the following two paragraphs are: Dabney, "Battle of Dong Ha," pp. 19–21; 3d Mar ComdC, May68, pp. 32–3; 1/3 ComdC, May68.
57. LtCol Charles V. Jarman ltr to wife, dtd 5May68, Encl Col Charles V. Jarman, Comments on draft, dtd 12Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File).

58. Ibid.
59. 3d Mar ComdC, May68, pp. 8–9.
60. 3d MarDiv, Summary Report; Waldron and Beavers, "The Critical Year," p. 64; Dabney, "Battle of Dong Ha," pp. 23–4. The quote is from Dabney's account. Major Dabney during this period served on the 3d Marine Division staff as the Assistant G–3 officer at Dong Ha.
61. 3d Mar ComdC, May68, pp. 8–9 and 32–33.
62. III MAF ComdC, May68, p. 14; 3d MarDiv SitReps 2–4, Operation Concordia Square, dtd 9–11 May68, Encl 17–19, 3d MarDiv ComdC, May68; Waldron and Beavers, "The Critical Year," p. 64; Dabney, "Battle of Dong Ha," pp. 23–4.
63. 3d Mar ComdC, May68, p. 10.
64. 3d MarDiv SitRep No. 32, Opn Concordia Square, dtd 18May68, Encl 46, 3d MarDiv ComdC, May68; III MAF ComdC, May68, p. 14; Waldron and Beavers, "The Critical Year," p. 64; Dabney, "Battle of Dong Ha," pp. 25–8.
65. 3d MarDiv, Summary Report; Dabney, "Battle of Dong Ha," pp. 25–8. The quotes are from Dabney's account.

The Second Offensive

66. III MAF ComdC, May68, pp. 11–2; 3d MarDiv ComdC, May68, pp. 17–8; Dabney, "Battle of Dong Ha," pp. 25–8.
67. BGen Lawrence H. Caruthers, Jr., intvw with Dr. Lewis Sorley, Washington, 15Sep89 (quote courtesy of Dr. Lewis Sorley); Gen Raymond G. Davis intvw, 2Feb77, pp. 11–12 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Davis intvw, 2Feb77; BGen John R. Chaisson ltr to wife, dtd 16May68 and diary entry, 16May68 (Chaisson Papers).
68. MajGen Raymond G. Davis debriefing at FMFPac, 15Apr69, pp. 329–30 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Davis FMFPac debriefing.
69. For detailed accounts of the 8 May action see: Otto J. Lehrack, *No Shining Armor, The Marines at War in Vietnam, An Oral History* (Lawrence, KS: University Press of Kansas, 1992), pp. 269–75; Jeff Kelly, *DMZ Diary, A Combat Marine's Vietnam Memoir* (Jefferson, N.C.: McFarland and Company, Inc., 1991), pp. 80–92.
70. The general sources for this and the following four paragraphs are: CG3dMarDiv msg to CGPCV, dtd 4Jun68, Encl 7, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jun68, hereafter 3d MarDiv msg, 4Jun68; FMFPac, MarOpsV, May68, pp. 11–2; 9th Mar ComdC, May68, p. 9; Dabney, "Battle of Dong Ha," pp. 28–9. The quotes in this paragraph are from Capt Matthew G. McTiernan, Comments on draft, n.d. [Jan 1995] (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter McTiernan Comments.
71. The quote is from 9th Mar ComdC, May68, p. 9.
72. An additional source for this paragraph is: 3d Mar ComdC, May68, p. 18.
73. Additional sources for this paragraph are: 3d Mar ComdC, May68, p. 19; MajGen Raymond G. Davis intvw, 1Jan69, p. 276 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
74. The sources for this and the following paragraph are: 9th Mar ComdC, May68, p. 9; 3d MarDiv msg, 4Jun68; FMFPac, MarOpsV, May68, pp. 13–4; Dabney, "Battle of Dong Ha," pp. 30–3.
75. An additional source for this paragraph is: McTiernan Comments.
76. 9th Mar ComdC, May68, pp. 9–10; 3d MarDiv msg, 4Jun68; FMFPac, MarOpsV, May68, p. 15.
77. 3d Mar ComdC, May68, pp. 19–22; 3d MarDiv msg, 4Jun68; Dabney, "Battle of Dong Ha," pp. 30–3.

78. 3d MarDiv msg, 4Jun68.

79. Ibid; 3d Mar ComdC, May68, pp. 21, 43–4; Davis FMFPac debriefing, p. 300.

80. CG3dMarDiv msg to 3d MarDiv, dtd 22May68, tab A, 9th Mar ComdC, Jun68; Davis intvw, 2Feb77; 9th Mar ComdC, May68, pp. 3–4, 12.

81. Quote is from Jack Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, An Expanding War, 1966* (Washington: Hist&Mus Div, HQMC, 1982), p. 319.

CHAPTER 16

KHE SANH: FINAL OPERATIONS AND EVACUATION, 16 APRIL–11 JULY 1968

Unless otherwise noted, the material in this chapter is derived from: FMFPac, MarOpsV, Apr–Jul68; III MAF ComdCs, Apr–Jul68; 3d MarDiv ComdCs, Apr–Jul68; 1st Mar ComdCs, Apr–Jul68; 4th Mar ComdCs, May–Jul68; 26th Mar ComdCs, Apr–Jun68; Khe Sanh Comment File.

To Stay or Not to Stay

1. Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, p. 336.
2. Gen William C. Westmoreland, Comments on "The Battle for Khe Sanh," dtd 29Mar69, in Khe Sanh Comment File.
3. Gen William B. Rosson, USA, Comments on draft, dtd 29May95 (Vietnam Comment File).
4. Ibid.; Gen William C. Westmoreland msg to Adm Ulysses S. G. Sharp, dtd 15Apr68 (File No. MAC 05008, Westmoreland Papers, CMH, Washington, D.C.); Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, p. 348; Westmoreland, Comments on "The Battle for Khe Sanh," dtd 29Mar69, in Khe Sanh Comment File.

The 'Walking Dead'

5. 1st Mar ComdC, Apr68, p. II–C–6; BGen Jacob E. Glick intvw, 20 Jun and 11Jul89, pp. 2–3 and 70 (Oral HistColl, MCHC); BGen Jacob E. Glick, Comments on draft, dtd 25Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Glick Comments.
6. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Apr68, p. 20A; 1/26 ComdC, Apr68, p. 2; 2/26 ComdC, Apr68, p. 5; 3/26 ComdC, Apr68, p. 3; 2/1 ComdC, Apr68, pt. II; Copy of Statement of Col Bruce F. Meyers to Board for Correction of Naval and Military Records, n.d. [1968], Copy attached to Col Bruce F. Meyers, Comments on draft, dtd 20Feb95 (Vietnam Comment Files).
7. Col Walter H. Cuenin ltr to CG3dMarDiv, Subj: Informal investigation into the circumstances of a night operation conducted by the 1st Battalion, 9th Marines, 3d Marine Division, in the vicinity of Khe Sanh, Republic of Vietnam, on the night of 16–17 April 1968, including the methods used in the recovery and accounting for, casualties incurred therein, dtd 3May68, pp. 5–6, in "Informal investigation of an Opn conducted by 1/9 in the vicinity of Khe Sanh, RVN, 16–18 Apr 68," hereafter Investigation; Statement of Captain Henry D. Banks, dtd 21Apr68, in Investigation, hereafter Banks Statement.
8. Banks Statement; Testimony of LtCol John J. H. Cahill, p. 5, in Investigation, hereafter Cahill Testimony; Statement of Col Bruce F. Meyers, p. 2, in Investigation, hereafter Meyers Statement; Statement of Maj Joseph A. Donnelly, in Investigation, hereafter Donnelly Statement.
9. Investigation, pp. 6–7; Statement of LtCol John J. H. Cahill, p. 1, in Investigation, hereafter Cahill Statement.

10. Investigation, pp. 7–8; Cahill Statement, p. 1; Cahill Testimony, p. 5; Meyers Statement, p. 2.

11. Investigation, pp. 9–10; Cahill Statement, pp. 1–2; Cahill Testimony, pp. 8–9; Statement of 1stLt John K. Leblond, p. 2, in Investigation; Statement of 1stLt William C. Connelly, p. 2, in Investigation, hereafter Connelly Statement.

12. Investigation, p. 10; Cahill Statement, p. 2; Cahill Testimony, pp. 9–10; Donnelly Statement, pp. 2–3; Statement of Capt Charles B. Hartzell, in Investigation, hereafter Hartzell Statement; Statement of Capt John W. Cargile, p. 2, in Investigation, hereafter Cargile Statement.

13. Investigation, pp. 10–11; Cahill Statement, p. 2; Cahill Testimony, p. 10; Donnelly Statement, p. 3; Testimony of Maj Joseph A. Donnelly, in Investigation, p. 5, hereafter Donnelly Testimony; Banks Statement, p. 2; Hartzell Statement, p. 4, 6; Cargile Statement, p. 2.

14. Cahill Statement, p. 2; Cahill Testimony; Meyers Testimony; Donnelly Statement, p. 3; Donnelly Testimony; Hartzell Testimony; Cargile Testimony; Connelly Testimony; Statement of 2dLt Francis B. Lovely, Jr., p. 2, in Investigation, hereafter Lovely Statement; Testimony of 2dLt Francis B. Lovely, Jr., in Investigation, hereafter Lovely Testimony. The recounting of this engagement in 1/9 ComdC, Apr68, pp. 8–9, indicates that Company D "swept up and over the ridge." As far as this statement implies that Company D overran the enemy, it cannot be supported by any participant's statement or testimony included in the investigation report.

15. Investigation, pp. 13–14; Cahill Statement, p. 2; Cahill Testimony; Meyers Statement, p. 5; Meyers Testimony.

16. Investigation, p. 14; Cahill Testimony; Donnelly Testimony; Connelly Statement, p. 3; Connelly Testimony; Statement of Corporal Hubert H. Hunnicutt III, p. 5, in Investigation, hereafter Hunnicutt Statement.

17. Investigation, p. 15; Hartzell Testimony; Capt Donald F. Engel Testimony, in Investigation, hereafter Engel Testimony.

18. Investigation, p. 16; Cahill Testimony.

19. Investigation, pp. 16–17; Cahill Testimony; Meyers Statement, pp. 10–11; Meyers Supplementary Statement; Meyers Testimony; Statement of BGen Jacob E. Glick, pp. 1–2, in Investigation, hereafter Glick Statement; Donnelly Testimony; Hartzell Testimony; Connelly Statement; Connelly Testimony.

20. Hunnicutt Statement.

21. Investigation, p. 18; Hunnicutt Statement.

22. 1st Mar ComdC, Apr68, p. II–C–7; CG3dMarDiv ltr to CGIIIMAF, Subj: Informal investigation of an operation conducted by 1st Battalion, 9th Marines . . . , dtd 20May68, in "Informal investigation of an Opn conducted by 1/9 in the vicinity of Khe Sanh, RVN, 16–18 Apr 1968".

Operation Scotland II

23. BGen Jacob E. Glick intvw, 20 Jun and 11Jul89, p. 12 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).

24. 3d MarDiv OPlan 10–68, dtd 15Apr68, Opn Scotland II, in 3d MarDiv ComdC, Apr68, pt. IV; 3d MarDiv OPlan 11–68, Opn Scotland II, in 3d MarDiv ComdC, Apr68, pt. IV; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Apr68, p. 50; 26th Mar Opn Scotland II SitRep No. 6, in 26th Mar ComdC, Apr68, pt. IV; 1st Mar ComdC, Apr68, p. II–C–6–9; 1/1 Journal for 16Apr68, in 1/1 ComdC, Apr68, pt. IV; 1/1 ComdC, Apr68, p. 1–II–3; 3/4 ComdC, Apr68, p. III–3; 1/9 ComdC, Apr68, p. 3.

25. Col Robert C. V. Hughes, Comments on draft, n.d. [Jan95?] (Vietnam Comment File).
26. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Apr68, pp. 11–12; 1st Mar ComdC, Apr68, p. II–C–7, 9; 1/1 ComdC, Apr68, p. 1–II–5; 1/11 ComdC, Apr68, p. 5; 3/4 ComdC, Apr68, p. IV–5; BGen Edwin H. Simmons, “Marine Corps Operations in Vietnam, 1968,” *The Marines in Vietnam, 1954–1973* (Washington: Hist&MusDiv, HQMC, 1985), hereafter Simmons, “1968.”
27. MajGen Carl W. Hoffman, Comments on draft, dtd 15Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File); MajGen Carl W. Hoffman intvw, 14Nov68, pp. 144–45 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
28. 1st Mar ComdC, May68, p. II–E–1.
29. FMFPac, MarOpsV, May68, p. 16; 3d MarDiv ComdC, May68, pp. 56–57; 1st Mar ComdC, May68, p. II–C–3; 1st Mar SitReps Opn Scotland II, Nos. 114, 118, 119, in 1st Mar ComdC, May68, pt. IV; 2/3 ComdC, May68, pt. III.
30. FMFPac, MarOpsV, May68, p. 17; III MAF ComdC, May68, p. 10; 3d MarDiv ComdC, May68, p. 19; 1st Mar SitReps Opn Scotland, Nos. 126, 128, 131, in 1st Mar ComdC, May68, pt. IV; 1st Mar ComdC, May68, p. II–C–5; 2/1 ComdC, May68, pt. III.
31. FMFPac, MarOpsV, May68, p. 17; 1st Mar ComdC, May68, p. II–C–4.
32. 3d MarDiv ComdC, May68, p. 19; 1st Mar ComdC, May68, p. II–C–4; 1st Mar SitReps Opn Scotland II, Nos. 132, 133 in 1st Mar ComdC, May68, pt. IV; 2/3 ComdC, May68, pt. III.
33. This and the following paragraph are derived from: FMFPac, MarOpsV, May68, p. 17; III ComdC, May68, p. 10; 3d MarDiv ComdC, May68, pp. 58–59; 1st Mar ComdC, May68, p. II–C–5; 2/1 ComdC, May68, pt. II, III; 2/1 S–3 Journal for 19May68, in 2/1 ComdC, May68, pt. IV; Col Billy R. Duncan, Comments on draft, dtd 15Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Duncan Comments.
34. Duncan Comments.
35. Some sources cite initial body counts of 66 enemy killed in the ambush battle. A follow up report, however, contained in 2/1 S–3 Journal for 20May68, in 2/1 ComdC, May68, pt. IV, shows that the battalion found three additional enemy bodies the day following the ambush.
36. 2/1 S–2 Journal for 19May68, in 2/1 ComdC, May68, pt. IV.
37. Duncan Comments.
38. 1/11 ComdC, May68, p. 34.
39. III MAF PerIntRep 24–68, dtd 17Jun68, p. B–1, in III MAF PerIntReps; 1st Mar SitReps Opn Scotland II, Nos. 137, 147, in 1st Mar ComdC, May68, pt. IV; 3/9 ComdC, May68, p. 6; Simmons, “1968,” p. 118.
40. III MAF ComdC, May68, p. 10; 1st Mar SitReps Opn Scotland II, Nos. 154, 156, 159, in 1st Mar ComdC, May68, pt. IV; 2/3 ComdC, May68, pt. III.
41. 1st Mar ComdC, May68, p. II–B–2; 1st Mar SitReps Opn Scotland II, No. 168, in 1st Mar ComdC, May68, pt. IV; 2/3 ComdC, May68, pt. III.
42. 1st Mar ComdC, May68, p. II–C–5.
43. 1st Mar SitRep Opn Scotland II, No. 171 in 1st Mar ComdC, May68, pt. IV.
44. 1st Mar ComdC, May68, p. II–C–5.
45. 2/3 ComdC, May68, pt. III.
46. 2/3 ComdC, May68, pt. III. Casualty figures vary slightly for both sides among various sources. Other counts are given in FMFPac, MarOpsV, May68, p. 18; III MAF ComdC, May68, p. 10; 3d MarDiv ComdC, May68, pp. 19–20; 1st Mar ComdC, May68, pp. II–B–2, II–C–5.
47. Glick Comments.
48. 3d MarDiv FragO 19–68, in 3d MarDiv ComdC, May68, pt. IV.
49. III MAF ComdC, May68, p. 10; 1st Mar SitReps Opn Scotland II, Nos. 181, 183, in 1st Mar ComdC, May68, pt. IV; 2/3 ComdC, May68, pt. III.
50. 1st Mar ComdC, May68, p. II–C–6; 1st Mar SitReps Operation Scotland II, Nos. 181, 182, 183, in 1st Mar ComdC, May68, pt. IV; 1/1 ComdC, May68, p. 1–II–5; 2/3 ComdC, May68, pt. III. Casualties figures vary. FMFPac, MarOpsV, May68, p. 19 shows 14 Marines killed and 88 wounded, 141 NVA dead.

Operation Robin

51. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jun68, p. 7; III MAF PerIntRep 23–68, dtd 11Jun68, p. 1, in III MAF PerIntReps; III MAF PerIntRep 25–68, dtd 25Jun68, p. 2, in III MAF PerIntReps; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jun68, pp. 24–27; 1st Mar ComdC, Jun68, pt. II–B–1; 4th Mar ComdC, Jun68, p. 11.
52. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jun68, pp. 24–27.
53. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jun68, pp. 8, 64, and 73; 1st Mar ComdC, Jun68, p. II–C–2.
54. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jun68, p. 8; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jun68, p. 26; 1st Mar ComdC, Jun68, p. II–C–2; 1/1 ComdC, Jun68, p. 1–II–3.
55. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jun68, p. 8; 1st Mar ComdC, Jun68, p. II–C–2; 1/1 ComdC, Jun68, p. 1–II–5.
56. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jun68, p. 9; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jun68, pp. 26–27; 1st Mar SitRep Opn Scotland, No. 197, in 1st Mar ComdC, Jun68, pt. IV; 1st Mar ComdC, Jun68, p. II–B–1, II–C–3; 1/11 ComdC, Jun68, p. 5.
57. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jun68, pp. 24–27; 1/1 ComdC, Jun68, p. 1–II–5; 1/12 ComdC, Jun68, p. 4.
58. 1/4 ComdC, Jun68, p. 3; 2/4 ComdC, Jun68, p. 7.
59. 1st Mar ComdC, Jun68, p. II–C–4; 1/1 ComdC, Jun68, p. 1–II–3.
60. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jun68, p. 27; Some sources say “approximately 150” enemy troops. Considering the outcome of the engagement, the estimate of a battalion is probably correct.
61. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jun68, p. 6, 10; 4th Mar ComdC, Jun68, p. 6.
62. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jun68, p. 9; III MAF PerIntRep 23–68, dtd 11Jun68, p. 1, in III MAF PerIntReps; III MAF ComdC, Jun68, p. 10; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jun68, p. 27; 1/4 ComdC, Jun68, p. 3.
63. Maj Gary E. Todd, Comments on draft, dtd 28Oct94 (Vietnam Comment File).
64. III MAF PerIntRep 24–68, 17Jun68, p. 2, in III MAF PerIntReps; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jun68, p. 27; 3/9 ComdC, Jun68, p. 3; 3/9 Journal for 9–16Jun68, in 3/9 ComdC, Jun68, pt. IV; Co A, 3d Engr Bn AAR for Opn Scotland II, n.d., in 3d Engr Bn ComdC, Jun68, pt. IV.
65. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jun68, p. 10; 4th Mar OpO 5–68, in 4th Mar ComdC, Jun68, pt. IV.

66. 3/4 ComdC, Jun68, p. IV-6.
67. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jun68, pp. 11-12; 2/4 ComdC, Jun68, pp. 5, 8.
68. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jun68, p. 12; III MAF ComdC, Jun68, p. 10; III MAF PerIntRep 24-68 and 25-68, in III MAF PerIntReps; Interrogation Report of PWs Do Van Huan, Linh Xuan Duc, Trinh Van Chien, Nguyen Duc Huong and Dang Truong Vien, in III MAF PerIntRep 25-68, p. B-1, in III MAF PerIntReps; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jun68, p. 27; 4th Mar ComdC, Jun68, p. 6; 3/4 ComdC, Jun68, p. IV-8.
69. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jun68, p. 11; III MAF ComdC, Jun68, p. 10; 4th Mar ComdC, Jun68, p. 6; 1/4 ComdC, Jun68, p. 3; 1/4 Journal for 11Jun68, in 1/4 ComdC, Jun68, pt. IV; 1/12 ComdC, Jun68, p. 5; 1/12 S-3 Journal for 11Jun68, in 1/12 ComdC, Jun68, pt. IV.
70. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jun68, p. 12; III MAF ComdC, Jun68, p. 10; III MAF PerIntRep 25-68, dtd 25Jun68, p. 1, in III MAF PerIntReps; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jun68, p. 27; 4th Mar ComdC, Jun68, p. 6; 3/4 ComdC, Jun68, p. IV-9.
71. 4th Mar ComdC, Jun68, p. 6; Other sources show different numbers of NVA dead. See also 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jun68, p. 27.
72. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jun68, p. 26.
73. 2/4 ComdC, Jun68, p. 5.
74. 4th Mar ComdC, Jun68, p. 7.

Razing Khe Sanh: Operation Charlie

75. 3d MarDiv Oplan 21-68, dtd 8Jun68, in 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jun68, pt. IV; 1st Mar ComdC, Jun68, p. II-C-6.
76. 1st Mar ComdC, Jun68, p. II-C-1, II-C-6; 1/11 ComdC, Jun68, p. 6.
77. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Dec68, p. 106; 1st Mar ComdC, Jun68, p. II-E-2.
78. III MAF PerIntRep 27-68, pp. 4-5, in III MAF PerIntReps.
79. 1st Mar ComdC, Jul68, p. II-B-1; Conflicting enemy casualty figures are contained in FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jun68, pp. 15-16; III MAF ComdC, Jul68, pt. III; III MAF PerIntRep 27-68, dtd 9Jul68, p. 1, in III MAF PerIntReps.
80. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jul68, p. 18; 2/1 ComdC, Jul68, pt. III.
81. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jun68, p. 16.
82. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Dec68 Summary, p. 106; 1/11 ComdC, Jul68, p. 5.
83. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jul68, p. 19; III MAF ComdC, Jul68, pt. III; 1/1 ComdC, Jul68, p. 1-II-3, 1-II-5, 1-II-6, 1-II-9; 1/11 ComdC, Jul68, p. 5.
84. Laura Palmer, "The General, At Ease: An Interview with Westmoreland," *MHQ: The Quarterly Journal of Military History*, Autumn88, p. 33.

CHAPTER 17 MINI-TET AND ITS AFTERMATH IN SOUTHERN I CORPS

Unless otherwise noted, the material in this chapter is derived from: FMFPac, MarOpsV, May-Aug68; III MAF ComdCs, May-Aug68; 1st MarDiv ComdCs, May-Aug68; 1st MarDiv Admin Files; 1st MarDiv Journal and File, May-Aug68; 1st MarDiv SitReps,

May-Aug68; TF X-Ray ComdCs, May-Aug68; 5th Mar ComdCs, May-Aug68; 7th Mar ComdCs, May-Aug68; 26th Mar ComdCs, May-Aug68; and 27th Mar ComdCs, May-Aug68.

Going into the Go Noi

1. 3/7 ComdCs Apr-May68; 7th Mar AAR, Allen Brook, dtd 27Jun68, in 1st MarDiv Admin Files, hereafter 7th Mar AAR, Allen Brook.
2. 7th Mar AAR, Allen Brook.
3. Ibid. and FMFPac, MarOpsV, May68, p. 21.
4. 7th Mar AAR, Opn Allen Brook and FMFPac, MarOpsV, May68, p. 22.
5. 7th Mar AAR, Allen Brook.
6. Ibid. and FMFPac, MarOpsV, May68, p. 22. The quote is from cover ltr CG1stMarDiv to ComUSMACV, dtd 17Jul68, 7th Mar AAR, Opn Allen Brook.
7. 7th Mar AAR, Allen Brook; Col Roger H. Barnard, Comments on draft, dtd 13Jan95 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Barnard Comments.
8. Barnard Comments; 7th Mar AAR, Allen Brook; FMFPac, MarOpsV, May68, p. 22.
9. 7th Mar AAR, Allen Brook; Barnard Comments.
10. Barnard Comments.
11. Ibid.
12. Col Tullis J. Woodham, Jr., Comments on draft, dtd 7Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Woodham Comments; "Eleven Days in May," ms, Encl, Woodham Comments, hereafter "Eleven Days in May"; 27th Mar ComdC, May68; 3/27 ComdC, May68; 3/27 CAAR, Operation Allen Brook, dtd 11Jun68, Encl 3/27 ComdC, May68, hereafter 3/27 CAAR, Allen Brook, Jun68.
13. Woodham Comments; 3/27 CAAR, Allen Brook, Jun68.
14. CG1stMarDiv SitRep No. 42 [misnumbered], Opn Allen Brook, in 27th Mar ComdC, May68, pt. IV; 7th Mar SitRep No. 42, Opn Allen Brook, in 27th Mar ComdC, May68, pt. IV [The 7th Mar report is in the 27th Mar ComdC]; 27th Mar SitRep for Period 170001H to 172400H May68, in 27th Mar ComdC, May68, pt. IV; 3/7 ComdC, May68, pt. II; Woodham Comments; 3/27 CAAR, Allen Brook, Jun68. NVA casualty figures are from 7th Mar SitRep No. 42 and CG1stMarDiv SitReps Nos. 48 and 49, Opn Allen Brook, in 27th Mar ComdC, May68, pt. IV; See also 7th Mar AAR, Opn Allen Brook and FMFPac, MarOpsV, May68, p. 22.
15. Barnard Comments; 7th Mar AAR, Allen Brook; 3/27 CAAR, Allen Brook, Jun68.
16. This and the following paragraph are derived from these sources: Woodham Comments; 27th Mar ComdC, May68, pt. III; CG1stMarDiv SitRep No. 45, Opn Allen Brook, in 27th Mar ComdC, May68, pt. IV; 27th Mar AAR for Opn Allen Brook, dtd 18Jul68, in 1st MarDiv Admin Files, hereafter 27th Mar AAR, Allen Brook; 3/27 CAAR, Allen Brook, Jun68; FMFPac, MarOpsV, May68, p. 23.
17. This and the following paragraph are derived from: 27th Mar AAR, Allen Brook; 3/27 CAAR, Allen Brook, Jun68; FMFPac, MarOpsV, May68, p. 24.
18. An additional source for this paragraph is: LtCol Donald N. Rexroad, Comments on draft, dtd 20Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File).

19. FMFPac, MarOpsV, May68, pp. 23–24; 27th Mar ComdC, May68, pt. III; CG1stMarDiv SitReps Nos. 44 and 45, Opn Allen Brook, in 27th Mar ComdC, May68, pt. IV; 27th Mar SitReps for 180001H to 182400H May68 and 240001 to 242400H May68, in 27th Mar ComdC, May68, pt. IV; 27th Mar SitRep No. 77, Opn Allen Brook, in 27th Mar ComdC, May68, pt. IV.

20. Sources for this and the following paragraph are: 7th Mar AAR, Opn Allen Brook; FMFPac, MarOpsV, May68, pp. 23–24; 27th Mar AAR, Allen Brook.

Mini-Tet and Operation Mameluke Thrust, May 1968

21. FMFPac, MarOpsV, May68, pp. 56–58; 7th Mar ComdC, May68, p. 6.

22. CG1stMarDiv msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 9May68 (File No. 28, 1st MarDiv Journal and File for 10May68); 1st MarDiv FragO 116–68, in 1st MarDiv Journal and File for 18May68.

23. 1st MarDiv FragO 116–68, in 1st MarDiv Journal and File for 18May68.

Operation Allen Brook Continues

24. 27th Mar ComdC, May68, pt. III; 27th Mar SitReps Nos. 85 and 93 for Opn Allen Brook, in 27th Mar ComdC, May68, pt. IV; 27th Mar SitReps for periods 280001H to 282400H May68 and 300001H to 302400H May68, in 27th Mar ComdC, May68, pt. IV; 1/26 ComdC, May68, pt. II; 1/27 ComdC, May68, p. 5; 3/5 ComdC, May68, p. 8.

25. 27th Mar AAR, Allen Brook, p. 18.

26. LCpl Jackie L. Bibbs intvw, 6Jul68, Tape 3048 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).

27. 1st MarDiv FragO 151–68, in 1st MarDiv Journal and File 1–7Jun68.

28. 1/27 ComdC, Jun68, p.6.

29. PFC Michael W. Orr (?) intvw, 30Jun68, Tape 3053 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).

30. 1st MarDiv FragO 151–68, pp. 1–2, in 1st MarDiv Journal & File, 1–7Jun68.

31. LtCol Albert W. Keller intvw, 19Jul68, Tape 3043 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter, Keller intvw.

32. Cpl Mark A. Guarinello intvw, 19Jul68, Tape 3043 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).

33. 1/27 ComdC, Jun68, p.7.

34. Keller intvw.

35. Ibid.

36. Ibid.

37. 2/27 AAR for Opn Allen Brook, p.8.

38. CG1stMarDiv msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 17Jul68 (File No. 34, 1st MarDiv Journal File for 17Jul68).

Mameluke Thrust Also Continues

39. 1st MarDiv FragO 151–68, in 1st MarDiv Journal and File for 3Jun68.

40. Ibid.

41. Sgt Randle C. Dixon intvw, 8Jul68, Tape 3054 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter, Dixon intvw; and 1st MarDiv ComdC, Jun68, p.30.

42. 1st MarDiv Special SitRep No. 99 for Opn Mameluke Thrust, in 1st MarDiv SitReps, Jun68.

43. Special SitRep No. 102 for Opn Mameluke Thrust, in 1st MarDiv SitReps, Jun68.

44. CO 7th Mar msg to CG1stMarDiv, dtd 15Jun68 (File No. 15, 1st MarDiv Journal and File for 16Jun68).

45. Stevens, ed., *The Navy Cross*, p. 53.

46. Corporal David M. Sivak intvw, 8Jul68, Tape 3054 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).

47. Dixon intvw.

48. 1st MarDiv SitRep No. 829, in 1st MarDiv Daily SitReps, Jul68.

49. 1/5 AAR for Opn Mameluke Thrust, dtd 20Jul68, p. 4, in 1/5 ComdC, Jul68, pt. IV.

50. 7th Mar ComdC, Jul68, p. 6.

51. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jul68, pp. 54, 57–8. See also C. A. Rocco, memo to CO 7th Engr Bn, dtd 23Aug68, Subj: Completion of Barrier Project, encl to LtCol Themistocles T. Annas, Comments on draft, dtd 6Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File).

52. 7th Mar ComdC, Jul68, p. 6.

53. 1st Recon Bn PatRep, dtd 31Jul68, in 1st Recon Bn ComdC, Jul68, pt. IV, tab B–185.

54. 5th Mar FragO 72–68, in 5th Mar ComdC, Jul68, pt. IV.

55. 1st Recon Bn SitRep No. 214–68, in 1st Recon Bn ComdC, Aug68.

56. 1st MarDiv SitReps Nos. 297–299 for Opn Mameluke Thrust, in 1st MarDiv SitReps, Aug68.

57. Col James W. Stemple, Comments on draft, n.d. [1995] (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Stemple Comments.

58. Stevens, ed., *The Navy Cross*, pp. 112–113.

59. 2/5 ComdC, Aug68, pp. 4, 9.

60. 1st MarDiv SitRep No. 317 for Opn Mameluke Thrust, in 1st MarDiv SitReps, Aug68; Stemple Comments.

61. 1st MarDiv FragO 300–68, in 1st MarDiv Journal and File for 10Aug68.

62. 1st MarDiv FragO 312–68, in 1st MarDiv Journal and File for 16Aug68; and 5th Mar FragO 79–68, in 5th Mar ComdC, Aug68, pt.IV.

63. 5th Mar FragOs and SitReps, Operation Mameluke Thrust, 16–17Aug68, 5th Mar ComdC, Aug68.

64. 5th Mar ComdC, Aug68, p. 2–1; Stemple Comments. See also 5th Marines FragOs and SitReps, Operation Mameluke Thrust, 5th Mar ComdC, Aug68.

65. BLT 2/7 AAR for Opn Swift Play/Allen Brook/Mameluke Thrust, in BLT 2/7 ComdC, Aug68, pt. IV, hereafter BLT 2/7 AAR.

66. Ibid., p. 7.

67. 5th Mar SpecSitRep Nos. 86, 88, and 90 for Opn Mameluke Thrust, in 5th Mar ComdC, Aug68, pt. IV.

PART IV The War Continues: Offensive and Counter-Offensive

CHAPTER 18

3D DIVISION TAKES THE OFFENSIVE

Unless otherwise noted material in this chapter is derived from: MACV ComdHist, 1968; FMFPac, MarOpsV, May–Jul68; III MAF ComdCs, May–Jul68; 3d MarDiv ComdCs, Jun–Jul68; 1st Mar ComdCs, Jun–Jul68; 3d Mar ComdCs, Jun–Jul68; 4th Mar ComdCs, Jun–Jul68; 9th Mar ComdCs, Jun–Jul68; and 2/26 Mar ComdCs, Jun–Jul68.

The Enemy Situation

1. FMFPac, MarOpsV, May–Jun68; III MAF G–2, Periodic Intelligence Report 22–68, dtd 4Jun68; III MAF G–2, Periodic Intelligence Report 23–68, dtd 9Jun68; and 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jun68.

The Offensive Takes Shape

2. MajGen Raymond G. Davis intvw, 2Feb77, p. 17 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Davis intvw, 2Feb77.
3. Ibid.
4. Col Marion C. Dalby intvw, Jan69, Tape 3770 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
5. Davis intvw, 2Feb77, pp. 20–21.
6. Ibid., p. 23.
7. HQMC, Revision 2 to Table of Organization, M–1099, Infantry Regiment, Marine Division, Fleet Marine Force, dtd 6Jun67.
8. Col Robert H. Barrow intvw, 12Dec68, Tape 3772 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Barrow intvw, 12Dec68.
9. Gen Robert H. Barrow intvw, 30Jan87 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
10. Barrow intvw, 12Dec68.
11. Gen Raymond G. Davis, Comments on draft ms, "U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1969," Aug86 (Vietnam 1969 Comment File, MCHC).
12. LtCol Joseph J. Scoppa, Jr. intvw, 9–12Dec68, Tape 3372 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
13. MajGen Raymond G. Davis intvw, 1Jan69, Tape 3768 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Davis intvw, 1Jan69; LtCol William J. Spangler, Comments on draft, n.d. [Dec94] (Vietnam Comment File).
14. Ibid.
15. "Khe Sanh Inactivated . . . New 'Mobility' Emphasized," *Sea Tiger*, 12Jul68.

The Eastern DMZ

Additional sources for this section are drawn from: 3d Mar ComdC, Jun68; 1/3 ComdC, Jun68; 2/3 ComdC, Jun68; 3/3 ComdC, Jun68; 9th Mar ComdC, Jun68; 1/9 ComdC, Jun68; and 2/26 ComdC, Jun68.

16. Col R. B. Smith, "Leatherneck Square," *Marine Corps Gazette*, Aug69, p. 41, hereafter Smith, "Leatherneck Square."

The Pressure Continues

Additional sources for this section are drawn from: FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jul68; 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jul68; 1stMar ComdC, Jul68, 3d Mar ComdC, 4th Mar ComdC, Jul68; 9th Mar ComdC, Jul68; and 2/26 ComdC, Jul68.

17. Col Vaughn R. Stuart, Comments on draft, dtd 20Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File) and Col William H. Dabney, Comments on draft, n.d. [Dec94] (Vietnam Comment File).
18. HQ, XXIV Corps CAAR, Operation Thor, 16Aug68, in U.S. Army After Action Reports, 1968.
19. 1/3 ComdC, Jul68.
20. "Marines Smash NVA Near DMZ," *Sea Tiger*, 2 Aug 68.
21. 3d Mar AAR, Operation Napoleon/Saline, dtd 26Jul68 in 3d Mar ComdC, Jul68.
22. Ibid. and Capt Matthew B. McTiernan, Comments on draft, n.d. [Dec94] (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter McTiernan Comments.
23. 2/1 ComdC, Jul68.
24. Smith, "Leatherneck Square," pp. 41–42.
25. 9th Mar ComdC, July68.
26. 2/26Mar ComdCs, Jul–Aug68.

Into the Western Mountains

Additional sources for this section are drawn from: 3d Mar ComdC, Jul68; 1/3 ComdC, Jul68; 2/3 ComdC, Jul68; 3/3 ComdC, Jul68; 4th Mar ComdC, Jul68; 1/4 ComdC, Jul68; 2/4 ComdC, Jul68; 3/4 ComdC, Jul68; 9th Mar ComdC, Jul68; 1/9 ComdC, Jul68; 2/9 ComdC, Jul68; and, 3/9 ComdC, Jul68.

27. Task Force Hotel OpO 10–68, dtd 14Jul68 in 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jul68; 3d Recon Bn ComdC, Jun68.
28. CG, Task Force Hotel, Critique of Lancaster II "July Action," n.d., in 3d MarDiv ComdC, Aug68, p. i, hereafter TF Hotel Critique.
29. Ibid.
30. CG3dMarDiv msg to CGProvCorpsV, dtd 26Jul68, in III MAF Message File.
31. TF Hotel Critique, pp. 6–7; 9th Mar AAR, "Lancaster II July Action," dtd 29Aug68, p. 10, in 9th Mar ComdC, Jul68.
32. Barrow intvw, 12Dec68.
33. Ibid.
34. BGen Carl W. Hoffman intvw, 12Aug68, Tape 3482 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
35. CG, Task Force Hotel "Final Comments," in TF Hotel Critique.
36. CG, Task Force Hotel, Critique "Canton II Action," n.d., in 4th Mar ComdC, Jul68.
37. Ibid., pp. 6–14.

Southern Quang Tri and Thua Thien

38. Additional sources for this section are drawn from: 1st Air CavDiv, Operational Report for Quarterly Period Ending 31 July 1968, dtd 20Aug68; 101st Air CavDiv, Operational Report of 101st Air Cavalry Division for Period Ending 31 July 1968, dtd 15Aug68; 3dBde, 82d AbnDiv, Operational Report of 3d Brigade, 82d Airborne Division for

period ending 31 July 1968, 15Aug68; Task Force X-Ray ComdCs, Jun–Jul68.

CHAPTER 19 THE THIRD OFFENSIVE: DA NANG

Unless otherwise noted, the material in this chapter is derived from: FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jul–Sep68; III MAF Comd, Jul–Sep68; III MAF PerIntRep Nos. 29–35, 14Jul–31Aug68; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Jul–Sep68; 1st MarDiv Journal and File, Jul–Sep68.

Indicators

1. *Facts on File*, vol. XXVIII, No. 1447, 18–24Jul68, p. 289.
2. Quoted in FMFPac, MarOpsV, Aug68, p. 25.
3. III MAF PerIntRep No. 32–68, dtd 11Aug68, p. B–5; III MAF PerIntRep No. 34–68, dtd 27Aug68 in III MAF PerIntReps, 14Jul–31Aug68.
4. III MAF PerIntRep No. 36–68, dtd 10Sep68, p. C–2, in III MAF PerIntReps, 1Sep–12Oct68.
5. III MAF PerIntRep No. 33–68, dtd 20Aug68, pp. 7–8, in III MAF PerIntReps, 14Jul–31Aug68.
6. III MAF PerIntRep No. 35–68, dtd 3Sep68, p. B–1, in III MAF PerIntReps, 14Jul–31Aug68.
7. III MAF PerIntRep No. 29–68, dtd 23Jul68, p. 1, in III MAF PerIntReps, 14Jul–31Aug68.
8. *Ibid.*
9. CG1st MarDiv msg to 1st MarDiv, dtd 20Aug68 (File No. 37, 1st MarDiv Journal and File for 20Aug68); CG1stMarDiv msg to 1st MarDiv, dtd 10Aug68 (File No. 6, 1st MarDiv Journal and File for 11Aug68).
10. 1st MarDiv FragO 300–68, dtd 10Aug68 (File No. 64, 1st MarDiv Journal and File for 10Aug68).
11. 1st MP Bn ComdC, Aug68, p. 8; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Aug68, p. 32.
12. CG1stMarDiv msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 18Aug68 (File No. 43, 1st MarDiv Journal and File for 18Aug68).
13. 1st MarDiv COC msg to III MAF COC, dtd 20Aug68 (File No. 38, 1st MarDiv Journal and File for 20Aug68).
14. 1st MarDiv FragO 322–68, dtd 21Aug68 (File No. 54, 1st MarDiv Journal and File for 21Aug68).
15. 1st MarDiv COC msg to III MAF COC, dtd 21Aug68 (File No. 30, 1st MarDiv Journal and File for 21Aug68).

The Storm Breaks

16. 1st MP Bn Unit Diaries (UDs), 22Aug68 and 28Aug68.
17. Statement of PW 2dLt Nguyen Dinh Bon, NVA in III MAF PerIntRep No. 36–68, dtd 10Sep68, p. B–7 in III MAF PerIntReps, 1Sep–12Oct68.
18. 1st Tk Bn ComdC, Aug68, pt. II.
19. Sgt Larry K. Bucklew intvw, 7Sep68, Tape 3234, (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Bucklew intvw.
20. Crane Davis, "The Bridge at Cam Le," *Marine Corps Gazette*, Feb70, pp. 35–36, hereafter Davis, "The Bridge."
21. LCpl Stephen D. Hott intvw, 7Sep68, Tape 3234, (Oral HistColl, MCHC) and Davis, "The Bridge," pp. 35–36.

22. Cpl Wayne D. Brown intvw, 7Sep68, Tape 3234, (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Brown intvw.

23. SSgt Gary C. Sanders intvw, 7Sep68, Tape 3234, (Oral HistColl, MCHC).

24. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Aug68, p. 35.

25. Davis, "The Bridge," p. 36.

26. *Ibid.*, pp. 36–37.

27. *Ibid.* and Col Joseph J. N. Gambardella, Comments on draft, dtd 16Jan95 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Gambardella Comments.

28. LCpl Henry Lowery and PFC Andrew Matlock, Jr., intvw, 7Sep68, Tape 3234, (Oral HistColl, MCHC).

29. Bucklew intvw and PFC David S. Levalley intvw, 7Sep68, Tape 3234, (Oral HistColl, MCHC).

Counterattack

30. Capt William O. Moore intvw, 6Sep68, Tape 3233, (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Moore intvw.

31. 1st Tk Bn Operational SpotRep, dtd 23Aug68 in 1st Tk Bn Journal for 23Aug68, Serial No. 11, 1st Tk Bn ComdC, Aug68, pt. IV.

32. Moore intvw.

33. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Aug68, pp. 33–34; Moore intvw.; Gambardella Comments; Stevens, ed., *The Navy Cross*, p. 126.

34. 1st Tk Bn ComdC, Aug68, pt. II.

35. 5th Mar msg to 1st MarDiv COC, dtd 24Aug68 (File No. 42, 1st MarDiv Journal and File for 24Aug68) and 7th Mar msgs to 1st MarDiv COC, dtd 24Aug68 (File Nos. 44 and 45, 1st MarDiv Journal and File for 24Aug68).

Pursuit

36. Davis, "The Bridge," p. 38; 1/27 ComdC, Aug68, p. 9a.

37. 1st MP Bn ComdC, Aug68, p. 9.

38. 3d AmTrac Bn msg to 1st MarDiv COC, dtd 24Aug68 (File No. 89, 1st MarDiv Journal and File for 24Aug68).

39. 1st MarDiv COC msg to III MAF COC, dtd 24Aug68 (File No. 62, 1st MarDiv Journal and File for 24Aug68).

40. Davis, "The Bridge," p. 38; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Aug68, p. 34.

41. 1st MarDiv COC msg to III MAF COC, dtd 25Aug68, (File No. 60, 1st MarDiv Journal and File for 25Aug68).

42. 1st MarDiv FragO 333–68 in 1st MarDiv Journal and File for 26Aug68.

43. Stevens, ed., *The Navy Cross*, pp. 262–63.

44. 7th Mar msg to 1st MarDiv COC dtd 30Aug68 (1st MarDiv Journal and File for 30Aug68); 3/7 ComdC, Aug68, p. 4.

45. 5th Mar msg to 1st MarDiv COC, dtd 29Aug68 (File No. 13, 1st MarDiv Journal and File for 30Aug68).

46. 1/5 AAR for Opn Mameluke Thrust, dtd 31Oct68, p. 22, in 1/5 ComdC, Oct68, pt. IV.

47. 1st MarDiv COC msg to III MAF COC, dtd 29Aug68 (File No. 55, 1st MarDiv Journal and File for 29Aug68).

48. CG 1st MarDiv msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 30Aug68, (File No. 25, 1st MarDiv Journal and File for 30Aug68).
49. 2/5 ComdC, Aug68, p. 12.
50. 5th Mar msg to 1st MarDiv COC, dtd 30Aug68 (File No. 89, 1st MarDiv Journal and File for 30Aug68).
51. 1st MarDiv Special SitReps, Nos. 10 and 11 for Opn Sussex Bay in 1st MarDiv SitReps, Aug68.
52. 2/5 ComdC, Aug68, p. 13.
53. 3d AmTrac Bn ComdC, Sep68, p. 3.
54. 1st MarDiv Special SitReps for Opn Sussex Bay, Nos. 17–29, in 1st MarDiv SitReps, Sep68; Col James W. Stemple, Comments on draft, n.d. [1995] (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Stemple Comments.

Typhoon Bess

55. 1st MAW ComdC, Sep68, p. 20.
56. Stemple Comments.
57. 7th Mar msg to 1st MarDiv COC, dtd 5Sep68 (File No. 33, 1st MarDiv Journal and File for 5Sep68).
58. 7th Mar msg to 1st MarDiv COC, dtd 5Sep68 (File No. 17, 1st MarDiv Journal and File for 5Sep68).
59. III MAF PerIntRep No. 37–68, dtd 17Sep68, p. 1 in III MAF Per-IntReps, 1Sep–12Oct68.
60. 5th Mar Special SitRep No. 41–68 for Opn Sussex Bay, in 5th Mar ComdC, Sep68.
61. 5th Mar Special SitRep No. 172 for Opn Mameluke Thrust, in 5th Mar ComdC, Sep68.
62. 5th Mar ComdC, Sep68, p. 3–2.
63. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Aug68, p. 35.
64. Moore intvw.

CHAPTER 20 AUTUMN OFFENSIVE HALTED

A New Orientation

Unless otherwise noted, material in this chapter is derived from: MACV ComdHist, 1968; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jul–Oct68; III MAF ComdCs, Jul–Oct68; 3d Mar ComdCs, Aug–Oct68; 4th Mar ComdCs, Aug–Oct68; 9th Mar ComdCs, Aug–Oct68; and 2/26 Mar ComdCs, Aug–Oct68; and 31st MilHistDet, "The Critical Year, 1968: The XXIV Corps Team," Jan69.

1. CG, 3d MarDiv memo to AC/S, G–3, 20Jul68, in 3d MarDiv ComdC, Aug68.
2. HQ, 3d MarDiv, Infantry Company Operations in northern Quang Tri Province (Draft), 4Aug68, in 3d MarDiv ComdC, Aug68.
3. HQ, 3d MarDiv, Infantry Company Operations in Northern Quang Tri Province, 26Aug68, p. 2, in 3d MarDiv ComdC, Aug68.
4. Ibid., p. 9.
5. Ibid., p. 2.
6. MajGen Raymond G. Davis intvw, 1Jan69, Tape 3768 (Oral Hist-Coll, MCHC), hereafter Davis intvw, 1Jan68.
7. Ibid.
8. Gen Raymond G. Davis, Comments on draft ms, Aug86 (Vietnam 69 Comment File, MCHC).
9. III MAF ComdCs, Jul–Aug68; III MAF G–2, Periodic Intelligence Report 30–68, dtd 28Jul68; III MAF G–2, Periodic Intelligence Report 31–68, dtd 4Aug68.

The Eastern DMZ

Additional sources for this section are drawn from: 1st InfBde, 5th InfDiv(M), Operational Report-Lessons Learned, Period Ending 31 October 1968, 7Mar69; 1/1 ComdC, Aug68; 2/1 ComdC, Aug68; 3/1 ComdC, Jul68; 1/3 ComdCs, Aug–Oct68; 2/3 ComdCs, Aug–Oct68; 3/3 ComdCs, Aug–Oct68; 1/9 ComdCs, Aug–Oct68; 2/9 ComdCs, Aug–Oct68; 3/9 ComdCs, Aug–Oct68; 1st AmTrac Bn ComdCs, Aug–Oct68; 3d Recon Bn ComdCs, Aug–Oct68; and 3d Tank Bn ComdCs, Aug–Oct68.

10. 3d Tank Bn ComdC, Aug68, p. 8.
11. CGXXIVCorps msg to COMUSMACV, dtd 16Aug68, in III MAF Message File.
12. Ibid.
13. *New York Times*, 21Aug68.
14. CG3dMarDiv Warning Order, 15Aug68, in 3d MarDiv ComdC, Aug68.
15. CG3dMarDiv msg to CGXXIV Corps, dtd 18Aug68, in III MAF Message Files.
16. CGXXIVCorps msg to CG3dMarDiv, 20Aug68, in III MAF Message File.
17. BLT 2/26 ComdC, Aug68.
18. CG3dMarDiv msg to CGXXIVCorps, dtd 19Aug68, in 3d Mar Div ComdC, Aug68.
19. CO 3d Recon Bn msg to CG3dMarDiv, dtd 26Aug68, in 3d Recon Bn ComdC, Aug68.
20. Ibid.
21. CGIIIMAF msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 21Jun68, in III MAF Message File.
22. CGIIIMAF msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 29Jun68, in III MAF Message File.
23. CMC msg to FMFPac, dtd 29Jun68, in III MAF Message File.
24. Hq, 3dBde, 82dAbnDiv, Operational Report-Lessons Learned, Period Ending 31 July 1968, 15Aug68, pp 3–4.
25. 1st AmTrac Bn ComdC, Sep68.
26. HQ, 1stBde, 5thInfDiv(M), Operational Report-Lessons Learned, Period Ending 31 October 1968, pp. 2–3.
27. 3d Tank Bn, ComdC, Sep68.
28. HQ, 1stBde, 5thInfDiv(M), Operational Report-Lessons Learned, Period Ending 31 October 1968, dtd 13Dec68, p. 3; HQ, 1/77Armor, AAR Operation Sullivan, dtd 1Nov68.
29. III MAF ComdC, Sep68.
30. CG3dMarDiv msg to CGXXIV Corps, dtd 19Sep68; CG3dMarDiv msg to CGXXIV Corps, dtd 20Sep68.
31. HQ, 1stBn, 11thInf AAR, Pioneer I, dtd 1Nov68.
32. CG3dMarDiv msg to CGXXIV Corps, dtd 11Oct68; CG3dMar

Div msg to CGXXIV Corps, dtd 11Oct68; CGXXIV Corps telcon to III MAF, dtd 12Oct68.

33. 1st AmTrac Bn ComdC, Oct68.

34. CG3d MarDiv msg to CGXXIV Corps, dtd 15Oct68, in III MAF Message File.

35. CG3dMarDiv msg to CGXXIV Corps, dtd 16Oct68, IIIMAF Message File.

36. 1st AmTrac Bn ComdC, Oct68.

37. CG3dMarDiv msg to CGXXIV Corps, dtd 23Oct68, in 3d MarDiv ComdC, Oct68.

38. CG3dMarDiv msg to CGXXIV Corps, dtd 25Oct68, in 3d MarDiv ComdC, Oct68.

39. MajGen Townsend msg to LtGen Cushman, dtd 21Nov68, in III MAF Message File.

40. CG3dMarDiv msg to CGXXIV Corps, dtd 29Dec68, in III MAF Message File.

Defeat of the 320th Division

Additional sources for this section are drawn from: 1/3 ComdCs, Aug–Oct68; 2/3 ComdCs, Aug–Oct68; 3/3 ComdCs, Aug–Oct68; 1/4 ComdCs, Aug–Oct68; 2/4 ComdCs, Aug–Oct68; 3/4 ComdCs, Aug–Oct68; 1/9 ComdCs, Aug–Oct68; 2/9 ComdCs, Aug–Oct68; 3/9 ComdCs, Aug–Oct68; 1/12 ComdCs, Aug–Oct68, 2/12 ComdCs, Aug–Oct68; 3/12 ComdCs, Aug–Oct68; 4/12 ComdCs, Aug–Oct68; MajGen R. C. Davis and 1stLt H. W. Brazier, "Defeat of the 320th," *Marine Corps Gazette*, Mar69, pp. 22–30; and 3d MarDiv AAR, Lancaster II, dtd 9Sep69.

41. Maj Gary E. Todd, Comments on draft, dtd 19Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Todd Comments.

42. Col Robert H. Barrow intvw, 12Dec68, Tape 3772 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Barrow intvw, 12Dec68.

43. Todd Comments.

44. Barrow intvw, 12Dec68.

45. 3d Recon Bn ComdC, Aug68.

46. Davis intvw, 1Jan69.

47. III MAF G–2, Periodic Intelligence Report 33–68, dtd 18Aug68, p. B–1.

48. 9th Mar AAR, Operation Lancaster II Jupiter, dtd 12Nov68, in 9th Mar ComdC, Aug68.

49. Barrow intvw, 12Dec68.

50. 3d Recon Bn ComdCs, Aug–Sep68.

51. Barrow intvw, 12Dec68.

52. 9th Mar AAR, Operation Lancaster Trousdale/Trousdale North, dtd 26Oct68, in 9th Mar ComdC, Oct68.

53. Barrow intvw, 12Dec68.

54. Ibid.

55. Ibid.

56. MajGen R. C. Davis and 1stLt H. W. Brazier, "Defeat of the 320th," *Marine Corps Gazette*, Mar69, p. 28.

57. Barrow intvw, 12Dec68.

58. Ibid.

59. Ibid.

60. Ibid.

61. Ibid.

62. Ibid.

63. Ibid.

64. HQ, 4th Mar, Anx B (Intelligence) to OpO 8–68, dtd 1Sep68, in 4th Mar ComdC, Sep68.

65. Barrow intvw, 12Dec68.

Coastal Quang Tri and Thua Thien: A Shift

Additional sources for this section are: HQ, XXIV Corps, Operational Report-Lessons Learned, Period Ending 31 October 1968, dtd 10Feb69; HQ, 1st CavDiv(AM), Operational Report-Lessons Learned, Period Ending 31 October 1968, dtd 7Mar69; and HQ, 101stAbnDiv, Operational Report-Lessons Learned, Period Ending 31 October 1968, dtd 11Mar69.

66. Gen Abrams msg to Gen Cushman, dtd 28Oct68, in III MAF Message File.

67. HQ, 1st CavDiv(AM), Operational Report-Lessons Learned, Period Ending 31 January 1969, dtd 6Jun69.

68. CGIIIMAF msg to CGXXIV Corps, dtd 4Oct68, in III MAF Message File.

69. Col Thomas H. Galbraith, Comments on draft, n.d. [Dec94] (Vietnam Comment File).

CHAPTER 21 COUNTEROFFENSIVE OPERATIONS IN SOUTHERN ICTZ

Unless otherwise noted, the material in this chapter is derived from: FMFPac, MarOpsV, Sep–Dec68; III MAF ComdCs, Sep–Dec68; 1st MarDiv ComdCs, Sep–Dec68; 1st MarDiv Journal and File, Sep–Dec68.

The Situation in September

1. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Sep68, pp. 3–4, 61; 1st Mar ComdC, Sep68, p. II–B–2, III–B–1; 5th Mar ComdC, Sep68, p. 3–3; 3/26 ComdC, Sep68, p. 5.

2. Major Gary L. Telfer, Lieutenant Colonel Lane Rogers, and V. Keith Fleming, Jr., *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967* (Washington, D.C.: Hist&MusDiv, HQMC, 1984), p. 139; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Oct68, p. 66; Jack Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1966* (Washington, DC: Hist&MusDiv, HQMC, 1982), p. 270; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Sep68, pp. 14–15; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Sep68, p. 17; 1st MarDiv FragO 349–68 (File No. 18, 1st MarDiv Journal and File, 3Sep68); 1st MarDiv COC msg to III MAF COC, dtd 5Sep68 (File No. 41, 1st MarDiv Journal and File, 5Sep68); 1st Mar ComdC, Sep68, p. II–G–1; 2/27 ComdC, Sep–Dec68, p. 3.

3. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Sep68, p. 48; CG1stMarDiv msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 15Sep68 (File No. 33, 1st MarDiv Journal and File, 15Sep68); 1st MarDiv SitRep No. 891, in 1st MarDiv SitReps, Sep68; 1st Mar ComdC, Sep68, p. II–B–1, II–C–4, III–C–1; 1/1 ComdC, Sep68, pt. III.

4. 3/7 ComdC, Sep68; 7th Mar S–3 Jnl entries for 14Sep68 and 7th Mar

SitRep No. 490, dtd 15Sep68 in Jnl and SitReps, Encl, 7th Mar ComdC, Sep68, hereafter 7th Mar S-3 Jnl entries and 7th Mar SitRep 490.

5. 3/7 ComdC, Sep68; BLT 2/7 ComdC, Sep68.

6. 1st MarDiv SitRep No. 898, 1st MarDiv SitReps, Sep68; BLT 2/7 AAR, Opn Dodge City, in 2/7 ComdC, Sep68, pt. IV, hereafter BLT 2/7 AAR, Dodge City; 2/7 ComdC, Sep68, pt. III; 3/7 ComdC, Sep68, pp. 5, 10; 7th Mar S-3 Jnl entries for 15Sep68 and 7th Mar SitRep 490.

7. BLT 2/7 AAR, Dodge City.

8. Ibid.

9. This and the following six paragraphs are derived from these sources: BLT 2/7 AAR, Dodge City, pp. 5, 7; 1st MarDiv SitRep No. 902, in 1st MarDiv SitReps, Sep68; 7th Mar msg to 1st MarDiv COC, dtd 19Sep68 (File No. 28, 1st MarDiv Journal and File, 19Sep68).

10. Additional sources for this and the next four paragraphs are: 7th Mar msg to 1st MarDiv, dtd 19Sep68 (File No. 205, 7th Mar Journal and File, 19Sep68, 7th Mar ComdC, Sep68, pt. IV); 7th Mar msg to 1st MarDiv COC, dtd 20Sep68 (File No. 6, 1st MarDiv Journal and File, 20Sep68).

11. An additional source for this and the next three paragraphs is: 7th Mar msg to 1st MarDiv, dtd 20Sep68 (File No. 208, 7th Mar Journal and File, 20Sep68, 7th Mar ComdC, Sep68, pt. IV).

12. 7th Mar msg to 1st MarDiv COC, dtd 21Sep68 (File No. 50, 1st MarDiv Journal and File, 21Sep68); 2/7 AAR, Dodge City, pp. 6, 8.

13. 2/7 ComdC, Sep68, pt. III; 2/7 AAR, Dodge City, pp. 6, 9, 12.

14. The sources for this and the following paragraph are: III MAF ComdC, Sep68, pt. III; PerIntRep No. 39-68 (III MAF PerIntReps), p. 3; 1/26 SpotReps (File Nos. 1, 2, and 7, 1/26 Journal and File, 21Sep68, in 1/26 ComdC, Sep68, pt. IV).

15. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Sep68, p. 17; 5th Mar ComdC, Sep68, p. 3-2.

Operation Maui Peak

16. 1st MarDiv FragO 397-68 (File No. 7, 1st MarDiv Journal and File, 1Oct68), p. 1; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Oct68, p. 7; III MAF ComdC, Oct68, p. 21; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Sep68, pp. 4, 57; III MAF PerIntRep No. 39-68 (III MAF PerIntReps), p. 3.

17. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Oct68, p. 7; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Oct68, p. 21; 1st MarDiv FragO 405-68 (1st MarDiv Journal and File, 5Oct68), p. 1; 2/7 AAR, Opn Maui Peak, in 2/7 ComdC, Oct68, pt. IV.

18. Col James W. Stemple, Comments on draft, n.d. [1995], hereafter Stemple Comments.

19. The sources for this and the following paragraph are: Ibid.; 5th Mar ComdC, Oct68; 7th Mar AAR, Opn Maui Peak, pp. 7-8, in 7th Mar ComdC, Nov68, pt. IV, hereafter 7th Mar AAR, Maui Peak; 3/7 ComdC, Oct68, p. 5.

20. III MAF PerIntRep No. 41-68 (III MAF PerIntReps), p. 3; 7th Mar AAR, Maui Peak; 2/5 AAR, Opn Maui Peak, pp. 2, 8, in 2/5 ComdC, Nov68, pt. IV, hereafter 2/5 AAR, Maui Peak.

21. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Oct68, p. 7; III MAF PerIntRep No. 41-68 (III MAF PerIntReps), p. 3; 1st MarDiv SitRep No. 1, Opn Maui Peak, in 1st MarDiv SitReps, Oct68; 5th Mar ComdC, Oct68, p. 2-1; 7th Mar AAR, Maui Peak, pp. 6, 8; 3/5 ComdC, Oct68, pp. 3, 7.

22. 7th Mar AAR, Maui Peak, pp. 8-9; 2/7 AAR, Opn Maui Peak, p. 3, in 2/7 ComdC, Oct68, pt. IV, hereafter 2/7 AAR, Maui Peak.

23. 2/5 AAR, Maui Peak, p. 4.

24. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Oct68, pp. 7-11; 7th Mar AAR, Maui Peak, pp. 8-9; 2/5 AAR, Maui Peak, p. 4.

25. The sources for this and the following paragraph are: 7th Mar AAR, Maui Peak, p. 9; 2/5 AAR, Maui Peak, p. 4.

26. Additional sources for this paragraph are: III MAF PerIntRep No. 41-68 (III MAF PerIntReps), p. 3; 1st MarDiv SitRep No. 5, Opn Maui Peak (1st MarDiv SitReps, Oct68); 7th Mar AAR, Maui Peak, pp. 6, 10.

27. 7th Mar AAR, Maui Peak, p. 9; 2/5 AAR, Maui Peak, p. 3. Another source claims the Marines suffered 19 wounded. See 1st MarDiv SitRep No. 6, Opn Maui Peak, in 1st MarDiv SitReps, Oct68.

28. 7th Mar AAR, Maui Peak, p. 10; 2/5 AAR, Maui Peak, p. 5.

29. 1st MarDiv SitRep No. 7, Opn Maui Peak, in 1st MarDiv SitReps, Oct68; 7th Mar AAR, Maui Peak, pp. 10, 12.

30. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Oct68, pp. 11-12; III MAF ComdC, Oct68, p. 10; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Oct68, p. 34; 1st MarDiv SitRep No. 25, Opn Maui Peak, in 1st MarDiv SitReps, Oct68; 7th Mar msg to 1st MarDiv COC, dtd 12Oct68 (File No. 28, 1st MarDiv Journal and File, 12Oct68); 7th Mar AAR, Maui Peak, p. 15; 2/5 AAR, Maui Peak, p. 6; Stemple Comments. Enemy casualty claims for this engagement vary from 31 to 61. Figures in the text are from FMFPac, MarOpsV, Oct68, pp. 11-12; 7th Mar AAR, Maui Peak, p. 15; 2/5 AAR, Maui Peak, p. 6. The quotation is from Stemple Comments.

31. 1st MarDiv SitRep Nos. 23-34, Opn Maui Peak, in 1st MarDiv SitReps, Oct68; 7th Mar AAR, Maui Peak, pp. 16-17.

32. 1st Mar ComdC, Oct68, p. II-B-2; 1/1 ComdC, Oct68, p. 1-II-3; 7th Mar AAR, Maui Peak, pp. 6, 18-19, 23-24; 11th Mar ComdC, Oct68, pp. 8-9; 2/7 AAR, Maui Peak, pp. 5, 8.

33. III MAF PerIntRep No. 42-68 (III MAF PerIntReps); 1st MarDiv SitRep Nos. 43, 45-47, 49, 52-54, Opn Maui Peak, in 1st MarDiv SitReps, Oct68; 1st MarDiv FragO 420-68 (File No. 2, 1st MarDiv Journal and File, 20Oct68); 7th Mar AAR, Maui Peak, pp. 19-21; 2/7 AAR, Maui Peak, p. 5; 3/7 ComdC, Oct68, p. 5.

The End of Mameluke Thrust and Renewed Attacks on Da Nang

34. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Oct68, p. 14; III MAF ComdC, Oct68, p. 10, 22; III MAF PerIntRep No. 43-68 (III MAF PerIntReps); 1st MarDiv ComdC, Oct68, pp. 14, 18-19; 1st Mar ComdC, Oct68, p. II-B-1; 5th Mar ComdC, Oct68, p. 2-1, 3-1, 3-3; 5th Mar AAR, Opn Mameluke Thrust, p. 4, in 5th Mar ComdC, Nov68, pt. IV; 5th Mar AAR, Opn Henderson Hill, pp. 5-6, in 5th Mar ComdC, Dec68, pt. IV; 2/5 ComdC, Oct68, p. 2; 2/5 AAR, Opn Henderson Hill, p. 2, in 2/5 ComdC, Dec68, pt. IV; 3/5 ComdC, Oct68, p. 3; 3/5 AAR, Opn Henderson Hill, p. 4, in 3/5 ComdC, Nov68, pt. IV; Stemple Comments.

35. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Oct68, pp. 4, 48; III MAF PerIntRep Nos. 41-44 (III MAF PerIntReps).

36. Peter Grose, "22 Days of Tension Led to Turning Point in Talks," *The New York Times*, 1Nov68, p. 1; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Nov68, pp. 4 and 47; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Dec68 and Summary, p. 78.

37. III MAF ComdC, Oct68, p. 37.

38. 5th Mar ComdC, Nov68, p. 2-1; 5th Mar FragO 112-68 (File No. 10, 1st MarDiv Journal and File, 13Nov68); 2/5 ComdC, Nov68, p. 3.

39. III MAF ComdC, Nov68, p. 20; III MAF PerIntRep No. 46-68,

p. 5; III MAF PerIntRep No. 47–68, p. 4 (III MAF PerIntReps); 1st MarDiv SitRep No. 961, in 1st MarDiv Daily SitReps, Nov68; 1/26 ComdC, Nov68, pp. 7, 13; 1/26 SpotRep, 17Nov68 (File No. 1, 1/26 Journal and File, 17Nov68).

40. III MAF PerIntRep No. 47–68, p. 4 (III MAF PerIntReps); 1st MarDiv SitRep No. 965, in 1st MarDiv Daily SitReps, Nov68.

41. 1st MarDiv SitRep No. 119 for Opn Henderson Hill (1st MarDiv SitReps of Operations, Nov68); 1st MarDiv SitRep No. 136, Opn Henderson Hill, in 1st MarDiv SitReps for Operations, Nov68; 5th Mar ComdC, Nov68, p. 2–1; 5th Mar SpotRep, 22Nov68 (File No. 4, 5th Mar Journal and File, 22Nov68), in 5th Mar ComdC, Nov68, pt. IV; 5th Mar SpecSitRep No. 119 for Opn Henderson Hill, 5th Mar ComdC, Nov68, pt. IV.

42. III MAF PerIntRep No. 48–68, pp. 4–5 (III MAF PerIntReps).

Operation Meade River

43. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Nov68, pp. 3, 28; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Dec68 and Summary, p. 55; III MAF ComdC, Dec68, p. 21; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Oct68, p. 21; 1st Mar ComdC, Nov68, p. II–F–1.

44. 1st Mar AAR, Opn Meade River, dtd 10Jan69, Encl Col Robert G. Lauffer, Comments on draft, dtd 29Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter 1st Mar AAR, Meade River.

45. Col Robert G. Lauffer, Comments on draft, dtd 29Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Lauffer Comments; 1st Mar AAR, Meade River.

46. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Nov68, pp. 6–7; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Nov68, pp. 18–19; 1st Mar ComdC, Nov68, pp. II–C–5, II–E–1, III–A–4; 1st Mar AAR, Opn Meade River; 1/1 ComdC, Nov68, p. 1–III–4; 2/5 AAR, Opn Meade River (2/5 ComdC, Dec68, pt. IV), p. 3; 3/5 ComdC, Nov68, p. 7; 2/7 AAR, Opn Meade River (2/7 ComdC, Nov68, pt. IV), p. 4, hereafter 2/7 AAR, Meade River; 2/26 ComdC, Nov68, p. 5; 2/26 AAR, Opn Meade River (2/26 ComdC, Dec68, pt. IV), p. 4; Lauffer Comments.

47. 1st Mar ComdC, Nov68, p. II–C–1; 2/26 ComdC, Nov68, pp. 7, 13.

48. 1/1 ComdC, Nov68, p. 1–II–7; 1/1 SpotReps, dtd 20Nov68 (File Nos. 19, 27, 1/1 Journal and File, 20Nov68, in 1/1 ComdC, Nov68, pt. IV).

49. 2/7 AAR, Meade River, p. 6.

50. III MAF ComdC, Nov68, p. 9; 2/26 ComdC, Dec68, pp. 9–10.

51. 2/7 AAR, Meade River, p. 7.

52. LtCol Ronald R. Welpoert, Comments on draft, dtd 19Mar95 (Vietnam Comment File).

53. 2/7 AAR, Meade River, p. 7; 2/5 AAR, Opn Meade River, in 2/5 ComdC, Dec68, p. 9.

54. 2/7 AAR, Meade River, pp. 7–8; 3/26 ComdC, Dec68, p. 5.

55. 2/7 AAR, Meade River, pp. 8–9; Col Neil A. Nelson, Comments on draft, n.d. [Dec 1994] (Vietnam Comment File).

56. 1st Mar AAR, Meade River.

57. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Nov68, pp. 8–9; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Nov68, p. 23; 1st Mar ComdC, Nov68, p. II–C–2; 2/26 ComdC, Dec68, p. 6; LtCol James F. Foster, Comments on draft, n.d. [Dec94] (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Foster Comments.

58. 2/7 AAR, Meade River, pp. 9–10.

59. 1st Mar AAR, Meade River and LtCol John W. P. Robertson, "Operation Meade River," Taped Comments, n.d. [Dec68–Jan69], Encl Col John W. P. Robertson, Comments on draft, dtd 10Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Robertson Taped Comments.

60. 2/7 AAR, Meade River, p. 5; 3/26 ComdC, Nov68, p. 15.

61. 1st Mar ComdC, Dec68, p. II–C–1.

62. 1st Mar AAR, Meade River.

63. Ibid.; 1st Mar ComdC, Nov68, p. II–C–3; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Nov68, p. 8; Stemple Comments.

64. 1st Mar AAR, Meade River.

65. Ibid.

66. Ibid.; Robertson Taped Comments; Stemple Comments.

67. 1st Mar AAR Meade River; Robertson Taped Comments; III MAF ComdC, Dec68, p. 9; III MAF PerIntRep No. 49–68, in III MAF PerIntReps; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Dec68, p. 29; 1st MarDiv SitRep No. 62, Opn Meade River, in 1st MarDiv Operations SitReps, Dec68; 1st Mar ComdC, Dec68, p. II–C–2; 1st Mar SitRep Nos. 62, 63, Opn Meade River, in 1st Mar ComdC, Dec68, pt. IV; 26th Mar SpotRep, 5Dec68 (File No. 24, 1st MarDiv Journal and File, 5Dec68). Most of the reports give differing enemy casualty figures, the lowest in Robertson Taped Comments and the largest in the 1st Mar AAR Meade River.

68. 1st Mar AAR Meade River.

69. Mr. Ronald J. Drez intvw, 29Mar89, Tape 6512 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Drez intvw; Stemple Comments.

70. Drez intvw.

71. Foster Comments.

72. 1st Mar AAR, Meade River.

73. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Nov68, p. 10; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Dec68, p. 29; 1st Mar SpotReps, 7Dec68 (File Nos. 34, 55, 1st MarDiv Journal and File, 7Dec68); 3/26 ComdC, Dec68, p. 6; Foster Comments.

74. Drez intvw.

75. 1st Mar SpotRep, 8Dec68 (File No. 47, 1st MarDiv Journal and File, 8 Dec68); Drez intvw.

76. Capt George B. Meegan, Comments on draft, dtd 2Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Meegan Comments.

77. 1st MarDiv SitRep No. 75, Opn Meade River, in 1st MarDiv Operation SitReps, Dec68; Drez intvw.

78. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Nov68, pp. 10–11; III MAF ComdC, Dec68, p. 9; 1st Mar ComdC, Dec68, p. II–C–4; 1st Mar SpotRep, 8Dec68 (File Nos. 11, 12, 13, 1st MarDiv Journal and File, 9Dec68); 1st MarDiv SitRep Nos. 74, 76, Opn Meade River, in 1st MarDiv Operation SitReps, Dec68; 3/26 ComdC, Dec68, pp. 17–18.

79. 3/26 ComdC, Dec68, p. 6.

80. Medal of Honor Citation, SSgt Karl Gorman Taylor, Sr., 1971.

81. Robertson Taped Comments and Col JWP Robertson, Recommendation for Army Capt Luigi Lombardi, n.d. Apr93, Encl Col John W. P. Robertson, Comments on draft, dtd 10Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File).

82. Robertson Taped Comments; Drez intvw.

83. Foster Comments.

84. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Nov68, pp. 10–11; III MAF PerIntRep No.

50–68 (III MAF PerIntReps); 1st MarDiv SitRep No. 78, Opn Meade River, in 1st MarDiv Operation SitReps, Dec68; 1st Mar SpotReps, 9Dec68 (File Nos. 63, 64, 1st MarDiv Journal and File, 9Dec68); 3/26 ComdC, Dec68, p. 18; Meegan Comments.

85. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Nov68, p. 3; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Dec68, p. 17; 1st Mar ComdC, Dec68, p. II–C–4, 5; 1st MAR AAR Meade River; CG1stMarDiv msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 6Dec68 (File No. 25, 1st MarDiv Journal and File, 7Dec68); III MAF PerIntRep No. 48–68 (III MAF PerIntReps), p. 5.

86. 1st MarDiv ComdC, Dec68, p. 15; 1/1 ComdC, Dec68, p. 1–II–3.

Operation Taylor Common

87. CG1stMarDiv msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 4Dec68 (File No. 30, 1st MarDiv Journal and File, 4Dec68); MajGen Ross T. Dwyer intvw, 17Oct77, p. 35 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Dwyer intvw.

88. TF Yankee AAR, Opn Taylor Common (TF Yankee AAR, Opn Taylor, pt. 1), hereafter, TF Yankee AAR; TF Yankee FragO 1–68 (File No. 13, 1st MarDiv Journal and File, 7Dec68).

89. TF Yankee FragO 1–68 (File No. 18, TF Yankee Journal and File, 7Dec68).

90. III MAF PerIntRep No. 49–68 (III MAF PerIntReps), p. 5; 1st MarDiv ComdC, Dec68, p. 17; 5th Mar ComdC, Dec68, p. 3–2; 1/5 ComdC, Dec68, p. 2–1.

91. III MAF ComdC, Dec68, p. 10; 5th Mar ComdC, Dec68, p. 2–1; 2/7 ComdC, Dec68, p. 1–5; 2/7 AAR, Opn Taylor Common, in 2/7 ComdC, Dec68, pt. IV, hereafter 2/7 AAR, Taylor Common; Stemple Comments.

92. Dwyer intvw, pp. 45–46.

93. TF Yankee AAR, p. 39; 3d Mar ComdC, Dec68, p. 2–1; CG TF Yankee msg to CG1stMarDiv, 12Dec68 (File No. 9, TF Yankee Journal and File, 12Dec68); CG TF Yankee msg to 3d Mar and 5th Mar, 10Dec68 (File No. 3, TF Yankee Journal and File, 13Dec68); 5th Mar SpotRep to TF Yankee COC, 11Dec68 (File No. 4, TF Yankee Journal and File, 11Dec68).

94. 5th Mar SpotRep to TF Yankee COC, 11Dec68 (File No. 4, TF Yankee Journal and File, 11Dec68); TF Yankee AAR, p. 7; 3d Mar ComdC, Dec68, p. 5.

95. TF Yankee AAR, Encl 41, pp. 7–8; Stemple Comments.

96. 3d Mar ComdC, Dec68, p. 5.

97. Dwyer intvw, p. 44.

98. *Ibid.*, p. 45; LtGen John H. Hay, Jr., *Vietnam Studies: Tactical and Materiel Innovations* (Washington, D.C.: Dept of the Army, 1974), p. 39.

99. TF Yankee AAR, Encl 41, p. 9; 3d Mar ComdC, Dec68, p. 5.

100. TF Yankee AAR, Encl 41, p. 11;

101. Dwyer intvw, pp. 31, 33.

102. Stemple Comments.

CHAPTER 22

THE DIVISION'S LABORS BEAR FRUIT

Unless otherwise noted, material in this chapter is derived from: MACV ComdHist, 1968; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Nov–Dec68; III MAF ComdCs, Nov–Dec68; 3d MarDiv ComdCs, Nov–Dec68; 3d Mar ComdCs, Nov–Dec68; 4th Mar ComdCs, Nov–Dec68; and 9th Mar ComdCs, Nov–Dec68.

Elimination of the Infrastructure

Additional sources for this section are drawn from: 1st InfBde, 5th InfDiv(M), Operational Report-Lessons Learned for the Period Ending 31 January 1969, dtd 17Feb69; TF Bravo ComdC, 9–31Dec68; 1/3 ComdCs, Nov–Dec68; 2/3 ComdCs, Nov–Dec68; 3/3 ComdCs, Nov–Dec68; 3d Tk Bn ComdCs, Nov–Dec68; 1st AmTrac Bn ComdCs, Nov–Dec68; 3d MarDiv AAR, Napoleon Saline (Draft), n.d.; 1st InfBde, 5th InfDiv(M) AAR, Napoleon Saline II/Marshall Mountain, dtd 8Apr69.

1. CGIIIMAF msg to COMUSMACV, dtd 30Oct68; COMUSMACV msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 3Nov68, in IIIMAF msg file.

2. CGIIIMAF msg to CMC, dtd 17Jul68, in III MAF Message File.

3. COMUSMACV msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 22Oct68.

4. 1/9 ComdC, Nov68.

5. LtCol Walter W. Damewood, Jr. Comments on draft, dtd 31Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File).

6. 3d Mar OpO 8–68, dtd 12Nov68, in 3d Mar ComdC, Nov68.

7. 3d Mar OpO 9–68, dtd 21Nov68, in 3d Mar ComdC, Nov68.

8. CGIIIMAF msg to CGXXIVCorps, dtd 28Nov68, in 3d MarDiv Message File, Nov68.

9. 2/3 ComdC, Dec68.

10. MajGen Raymond G. Davis, FMFPac debrief, 15Apr69, Tape (Oral HistColl, MCHC).

Rough Soldiering

Additional sources for this section are drawn from: 1/4 ComdCs, Nov–Dec68; 2/4 ComdCs, Nov–Dec68; 3/4 ComdCs, Nov–Dec68; 1/9 ComdCs, Nov–Dec68; 2/9 ComdCs, Nov–Dec68; and 3/9 ComdCs, Nov–Dec68.

11. 2/4 ComdC, Dec68.

12. BGen Joseph E. Hopkins, Comments on draft, dtd 6Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File).

13. *Ibid.*

14. Col Robert H. Barrow intvw, 12Dec68, Tape 3772 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Barrow intvw, 12Dec68.

15. *Ibid.*

16. CG3dMarDiv msg to CGXXIVCorps, dtd 26Nov68, in 3d MarDiv Message File, Nov68.

17. Barrow intvw, 12Dec68.

18. *Ibid.*

19. 9th Mar OpO 1–69, dtd 30Dec68, in 9th Mar ComdC, Dec68.

Thua Thien

Additional sources for this section are drawn from: HQ, 101stAbn Div(AM), Operational Report-Lessons Learned, Period Ending 31 January 1969, dtd 24Feb69.

20. James Walters, Comments on draft, dtd 7Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File).

PART V Supporting the Troops

CHAPTER 23

MARINE AIR AT THE BEGINNING OF THE YEAR AND AIR SUPPORT OF KHE SANH

Unless otherwise noted the sources in this chapter are derived from MACV ComdHist, 1968; HQMC Msg File; HQMC, Status of Forces, 1968; FMFPac, MarOpsV, 1967–1968; III MAF ComdCs, Jan–Apr68; III MAF Jnl & Msg Files; 1st MAW ComdCs, Jan–Apr68; Westmoreland Papers, CMH; A&S Files, Indochina Archives; Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*; Sharp and Westmoreland, *Report on the War*; LtGen Willard Pearson, USA, *The War in the Northern Provinces, 1966–1968*, Vietnam Studies (Washington, D.C.: Dept of the Army, 1975), hereafter Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*; Capt Moyers S. Shore II, *The Battle for Khe Sanh* (Washington, D.C.: HistBr, G–3Div, HQMC, 1969), hereafter Shore, *The Battle for Khe Sanh*; Bernard C. Nalty, *Air Power and the Fight for Khe Sanh* (Washington: Office of Air Force History, 1969), hereafter Nalty, *Air Power and the Fight for Khe Sanh*; Naval Historical Center, *Command and Control of Air Operations in the Vietnam War*, Colloquium on Contemporary History, January 23, 1991, No. 4 (Washington, D.C.: Naval Hist Center, 1991), hereafter *Command and Control of Air Operations in the Vietnam War*; LtGen Keith B. McCutcheon, “Marine Aviation in Vietnam, 1962–70,” *Naval Review* 1971 (Annapolis: U.S. Naval Institute, 1971), pp. 122–55, hereafter McCutcheon, “Marine Aviation in Vietnam, 1962–70.”

Marine Air at the Beginning of the Year

1. McCutcheon, “Marine Aviation in Vietnam, 1962–70,” pp. 134 and 155; 1st MAW ComdC, Jan68, p. 1–4; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan68, p. 58a. See also “Distribution of Personnel, Fleet Marine Force, Pacific, 31Dec67,” in Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, App G, pp. 319–23.
2. 1st MAW ComdC, Jan68; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan68, p. 58a; HQMC, Status of Forces, dtd 10Jan68, “Distribution of Aircraft, Fleet, Fleet Marine Force, Pacific,” p. 10–1, hereafter “Distribution of Aircraft,” 10Jan68. Because of the fluctuation of the number of aircraft from time to time, the figures are taken from the “Distribution of Aircraft,” 10Jan68 as reflecting the figures as of that date.
3. MAG–16 ComdC, Jan68; “Distribution of Aircraft,” 10Jan68; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Dec67, p. 74.
4. MAG–16 ComdC, Jan68.
5. MAG–12 and MAG–13 ComdCs, Jan68; “Distribution of Aircraft,” 10Jan68; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Dec67, p. 74.
6. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan68, p. 63; McCutcheon, “Marine Aviation in Vietnam, 1962–70,” p. 131.
7. 1st MAW ComdC, Jan68, pp. 1–4; MAG–36 ComdC, Jan68; “Distribution of Aircraft,” 10Jan68; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Dec67, p. 74.
8. VMGR–152 ComdC, Jan68; “Distribution of Aircraft,” 10Jan68; Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, p. 205; McCutcheon, “Marine Aviation in Vietnam, 1962–70,” p. 132.
9. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan68, pp. 59–60.
10. *Ibid.*, pp. 59–60 and 65–66; MajGen Norman J. Anderson to MajGen Keith B. McCutcheon, dtd 17Jan68, McCutcheon Papers, PC464, 1968 Correspondence, File A.
11. III MAF ComdC, Jan68, p. 27; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan68, pp. 5, 59–60.
12. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan68, p. 68; 1st MAW ComdC, Jan68.

Marine Control of Air

13. MajGen Norman J. Anderson, Comments on draft, n.d. [Jan95] (Vietnam Comment File).
14. McCutcheon, “Marine Aviation in Vietnam, 1962–70,” p. 132.
15. CGFMFPac, “Pacific Operations,” General Officers Symposium Book, July 1967, Tab F, p. 21, hereafter CGFMFPac, “Pacific Operations;” McCutcheon, “Marine Aviation in Vietnam, 1962–70,” pp. 134–36; Gen Keith B. McCutcheon intvw, 22Apr71, pp. 1–4, 6 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter McCutcheon intvw, 22Apr71.
16. McCutcheon, “Marine Aviation in Vietnam, 1962–70,” pp. 134–36; McCutcheon intvw, 22Apr71, pp. 1–4, 6; Shulimson and Johnson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1965*, pp. 151–2; Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1966*, 268–9.
17. Shulimson and Johnson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1965*, pp. 151–2; Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1966*, pp. 268–9. For the Carey quote, see LtGen Richard E. Carey, Comments on draft, dtd 12Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File).
18. CGFMFPac, “Pacific Operations,” p. 21
19. This and the following paragraph are derived from: Shulimson and Johnson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1965*, pp. 151–2; Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1966*, pp. 268–70; Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, p. 200; McCutcheon, “Marine Aviation in Vietnam, 1962–70,” pp. 134–36.
20. Col David S. Twining, Comments on draft, dtd 15Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File).
21. Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, p. 200; MACG–18 ComdC, Oct67 and Jan68.
22. Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, p. 200; McCutcheon, “Marine Aviation in Vietnam, 1962–70,” p. 138.
23. *Ibid.* and BGen Earl E. Anderson ltr to MajGen Keith B. McCutcheon, dtd 14Mar68 (McCutcheon Papers PC464, 1968 Correspondence, File A).
24. McCutcheon, “Marine Aviation in Vietnam, 1962–70,” p. 138; 1st MAW ComdC, Jan68, pp. 2–3–2–4.
25. McCutcheon, “Marine Aviation in Vietnam, 1962–70,” p. 138; 1st MAW ComdC, Jan68, pp. 2–3–2–4; Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, p. 200.
26. 1st MAW ComdC, Jan68, pp. 2–3–2–4.
27. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Mar68, pp. 66–7.
28. Twining Comments.
29. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Mar68, pp. 70–1.
30. CG 1stMAW ltr to CMC, dtd 24Apr68, Subj: Single Management, Encl 16, HQMC, DCS (Air) Single Manager Fldr, Jan68–15Aug70; MajGen Norman J. Anderson intvw, 17Mar81, pp. 189–90 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Anderson intvw, 3d Session, 17Mar81, and MajGen Norman J. Anderson ltr to BGen Edwin H. Simmons, dtd 8Sep1983 (Norman Anderson Papers, PC1263, MCHC).

Proposed Changes in Command and Control over Marine
Air-Operation Niagara, January 1968

31. The sources for this and the following paragraph are: MACV Comd-Hist, 1968, I, pp. 423–4; Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, p. 344; Nalty, *Air Power and the Fight For Khe Sanh*, pp. 14–5; Bernard C. Nalty, "Operation Niagara, Air Power, and the Siege of Khe Sanh," *Command and Control of Air Operations in the Vietnam War*, pp. 39–46, hereafter Nalty, "Operation Niagara, Air Power, and the Siege of Khe Sanh."
32. McCutcheon intvw, Apr 1971, p. 6; Chaisson intvw, 19Mar69, pp. 235–6; Nalty, "Operation Niagara, Air Power, and the Siege of Khe Sanh," pp. 39–46; Gen William W. Momyer, *Air Power in Three Wars, (WWII, Korea, Vietnam)* (Washington, DC: GPO, 1978), pp. 285–7.
33. MajGen John R. Chaisson intvw, Mar69, pp. 229–30 and 236–7 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
34. Ibid.; Graham A. Cosmas, "General Westmoreland and Control of the Air War," in *Command and Control of Air Operations in the Vietnam War*, pp. 29–36.
35. Westmoreland msg to Sharp, dtd 18Jan68, Doc no. 2, HQMC, DCS Air Folder, Single Manager, Jan68–15Aug70; Gen William C. Westmoreland, USA, intvw, dtd 4Apr83, p. 47 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
36. Sharp msg to Westmoreland, dtd 18Jan68, Doc No. 3, HQMC, DCS Air Folder, Single Manager, Jan68–15Aug70.
37. Westmoreland msg to Sharp, dtd 21Jan68, Doc No. 4, HQMC, DCS Air Folder, Single Manager, Jan68–15Aug70; ComUSMACV msg to CG III MAF and CG Seventh Air Force, dtd 22Jan68 (Doc No. 58, III MAF Incoming Msgs, Dec67–1Feb68); MACV ComdHist, pp. 423–4.
38. Norman Anderson, Memo For the Record, dtd 29Jan68 (Norman Anderson Papers, PC 1263, MCHC).
39. John Schlight, *The United States Air Force in Southeast Asia, The War in South Vietnam, the Years of the Offensive, 1965–68* (Washington: Office of Air Force History, 1988), pp. 277–85, hereafter Schlight, *Years of the Offensive, 1965–68*; CGIIIMAF msg to Cdr, Seventh Air Force, dtd 24Jan68 (3d MarDiv, Messages, Jan68).
40. Anderson intvw, 3d Session, 17Mar81, pp. 199–201; Schlight, *Years of the Offensive, 1965–68*, pp. 277–78; Nalty, "Operation Niagara, Air Power, and the Siege of Khe Sanh," p. 44; Nalty, *Air Power and the Fight For Khe Sanh*, pp. 72–4.
41. McCutcheon ltr to Norman Anderson, dtd 23Jan68, Letter No. 45 and Anderson ltr to McCutcheon, dtd 7Feb68, Letter No. 50, File A, 1968 Correspondence, Box 20 (McCutcheon Papers, PC464), hereafter Anderson ltr to McCutcheon, 7Feb68.
- Operation Niagara and Air Resupply in the Defense of Khe Sanh
42. MACV ComdHist, 1968, I, pp. 423–24; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Mar68, p. 3; Nalty "Operation Niagara, Air Power, and the Siege of Khe Sanh," pp. 39–46; Schlight, *Years of the Offensive, 1965–68*, pp. 277–85; Anderson ltr to McCutcheon, 7Feb68.
43. MACV ComdHist, 1968, I, pp. 423–24; Nalty, *Air Power and the Fight for Khe Sanh*, pp. 83–6; Shore, *The Battle for Khe Sanh*, pp. 101–2.
44. Shore, *Battle for Khe Sanh*, pp. 102–3.
45. Nalty, *Air Power and the Fight for Khe Sanh*, pp. 82–3.
46. Ibid., pp. 86–8.
47. MACV ComdHist, 1968, I, p. 423; Shore, *Battle for Khe Sanh*, p. 101; Col William H. Dabney intvw, 20May82, p. 65 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), pp. 21–2. hereafter, Dabney intvw, 20May82.
48. Shore, *Battle for Khe Sanh*, pp. 103–4; Nalty, *Air Power and the Fight for Khe Sanh*, p. 66; 1st MAW ComdC, Mar68, p. 2–2; Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces*, pp. 71–2.
49. Shore, *Battle For Khe Sanh*, pp. 109–11
50. Quoted in Ibid., p. 97.
51. Nalty, *Air Power and the Fight for Khe Sanh*, pp. 43–50.
52. Ibid. p. 62; Shore, *The Battle for Khe Sanh*, 72–4.
53. Nalty, *Air Power and the Fight for Khe Sanh*, pp. 44–46; Shore, *The Battle for Khe Sanh*, 74–6.
54. LtGen John H. Hay, Jr., USA, *Vietnam Studies: Tactical and Materiel Innovations* (Washington, D.C.: Dept of the Army, 1974), pp. 22–23.
55. III MAF COC msg to MACV COC, 10Feb68 (III MAF Khe Sanh Ops File); 1/26 Journal for 10Feb68, Ser No 19 in 1/26 ComdC, Feb68, pt. IV; LtCol Johnny O. Gregerson, Comments on "The Battle for Khe Sanh," 3Jan69 (Comment File, MCHC); Nalty, *Air Power and the Fight for Khe Sanh*, p. 35; Shore, *Battle for Khe Sanh*, p. 76; CWO-4 Henry Wildfang, Comments on draft, dtd 26Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File).
56. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Feb68, p. 30; Nalty, *Air Power and the Fight for Khe Sanh*, p. 45; III MAF Jnl & Msg File, 11–12Feb68, Ser. No. 100; 3d MarDiv COC msg to III MAF COC, 12Feb68 (III MAF Khe Sanh Ops File).
57. LtCol Robert Guay, "The Khe Sanh Airlift," 31Jan69 (1968 Background File, MCHC), p.4, hereafter, Guay, "The Khe Sanh Airlift;" Ray W. Stubbe, "Khe Sanh: Valley of Decision," ms, 1987 (Copy in Personal Papers Collection, MCHC), p. 895.
58. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Mar68, p. 30; Shore, *Battle for Khe Sanh*, pp. 78–9.
59. This and the next paragraph are derived from the following sources: FMFPac, MarOpsV, Mar68, pp. 83–4; Nalty, *Air Power and the Fight for Khe Sanh*, pp. 51–52; Shore, *Battle for Khe Sanh*, pp. 78–9. The quote is from Shore, p. 78. The FMFPac account mentions only a crate of eggs being included with two of the eggs broken.
60. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Mar68, p. 30.
61. Nalty, *Air Power and the Fight for Khe Sanh*, p. 58; Asst C/S G-3, 1st MAW memo to CG 1stMAW, dtd 12Aug68, Subj: Airlift Support of Khe Sanh in Meeting Notes Fldr (1968), MajGen Charles J. Quilter Papers MCHC, hereafter 1st MAW memo, 12Aug68, "Airlift Support of Khe Sanh" (Quilter Papers). Both Nalty and the author of the memo note the fragmentary nature of the statistics cited in the text. As the 1st MAW G-3 wrote, "we have sorted through our many reports—which contain some conflicting info and have arrived at the following as our best effort."
62. Dabney intvw, 20May82, p. 65; LtCol Harry L. Alderman, Comments on "The Battle for Khe Sanh," n.d. (Comment File, MCHC).
63. 3/26 ComdC, Feb68, p. 12.
64. This and the next two paragraphs are based on the following sources: MajGen Keith B. McCutcheon, Comments on "The Battle for Khe Sanh," not dated (Comment File, MCHC), hereafter McCutcheon Comments, Khe Sanh; BGen Robert P. Keller, Comments on "The Battle for Khe Sanh," 17Dec68 (Comment File MCHC); Anderson intvw, 3d Session, 17Mar81, pp. 225–6; MajGen Norman J. Anderson to McCutcheon, dtd 25Feb68, ltr no. 60, 1968 cor, File A (McCutcheon Papers), hereafter Anderson to McCutcheon, 25Feb68.
65. Additional sources for this paragraph are: 1st MAW OPlan 3–68, dtd 23Feb68, Encl Anderson to McCutcheon, 25Feb68; 1st MAW ComdC, Feb68, p. 2–3.

66. An additional source for this paragraph is: BGen Henry W. Hise to BGen Alan J. Armstrong, dtd 13Mar68, File A, 1968 Cor (McCutcheon Papers, PC464, MCHC), hereafter Hise to Armstrong ltr, Mar68 (McCutcheon Papers).

67. McCutcheon Comments, Khe Sanh; Dabney intvw, 20May82, pp. 25–6, 69; Hise to Armstrong ltr, Mar68 (McCutcheon Papers); 1st MAW ComdC, Mar68, p. 2–2.

68. 1st MAW ComdC, Mar68, p. 2–2; 1st MAW memo, 12Aug68, "Airlift Support of Khe Sanh" (Quilter Papers); Guay, "The Khe Sanh Airlift," pp. 7–8. Again there is some difference between the 1st MAW ComdC and the memo in the Quilter papers over the figures on the tonnage and passengers lifted out by the helicopters. According to the memo, the helicopters lifted 10,677 passengers and 3,379 tons of cargo. On the other hand, according to the command chronology, the choppers lifted 14,562 passengers and 4,661 tons. The figures in the memo are used in the text, as the authors of the memo made some attempt to resolve conflicting information.

69. Nalty, "Operation Niagara, Air Power, and the Siege of Khe Sanh," pp. 39–46.

CHAPTER 24 A MATTER OF DOCTRINE: MARINE AIR AND SINGLE MANAGER

Unless otherwise noted the sources in this chapter are derived from MACV ComdHist, 1968; HQMC Msg File; HQMC, Status of Forces, 1968; HQMC DCS (Air) Single Manager Fldr, Jan68–15Aug70; FMF-Pac, MarOpsV, 1967–1968; III MAF ComdCs, Jan–Dec 1968; 1st MAW ComdCs, Jan–Dec68; Cushman intvw, Nov 82; MajGen Charles J. Quilter Papers, MCHC; Norman Anderson Papers; Anderson intvw, 3d Session, 17Mar81; McCutcheon Papers, MCHC; Westmoreland Papers, CMH; Westmoreland intvw, 1983; Chaisson Papers, Hoover Institute; Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*; Sharp and Westmoreland, *Report on the War*; Bernard C. Nalty, *Air Power and the Fight for Khe Sanh* (Washington: Office of Air Force History, 1969), hereafter Nalty, *Air Power and the Fight for Khe Sanh*; *Command and Control of Air Operations in the Vietnam War*; McCutcheon, "Marine Aviation in Vietnam, 1962–70."

The Establishment of Single Manager

1. Historical Summary, General Entry, 27Dec67–31Jan68, v.28, History File, Westmoreland Papers, CMH, hereafter Westmoreland, General Entry, 27Dec67–31Jan68; Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, p. 344; Graham A. Cosmas, "General Westmoreland and Control of the Air War," *Command and Control of Air Operations in the Vietnam War*, pp. 29–38.

2. Westmoreland intvw, dtd 4Apr83, p. 47. General Westmoreland did not recall the date of this meeting but he did meet with Cushman and General Norman Anderson about aviation arrangements on 19 January 1968. See Westmoreland entry for 19Jan68, Historical Summary, Westmoreland Papers, CMH.

3. Cushman Intvw, Nov82, pp. 33–4; Westmoreland intvw, 1983, p. 38; Anderson intvw, 3d Session, 17Mar81, pp. 192, 194–5; MajGen Norman J. Anderson ltr to BGen Edwin H. Simmons, dtd 8Sep83, Norman Anderson Papers, PC1263 (MCHC), hereafter N. Anderson ltr, 8Sep83.

4. Westmoreland intvw, 1983, pp. 38–50, quote is on p. 39.

5. Ibid. p. 42; N. Anderson ltr, 8Sep83; Anderson intvw, 3d Session, 17Mar81, pp. 192, 194–5.

6. BGen John R. Chaisson ltr to wife, dtd 28Jan68, Chaisson Papers,

Hoover Institute; Westmoreland, General Entry, 27Dec67–31Jan68; Anderson intvw, 3d Session, 17Mar81, pp. 194–5; Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, pp. 342–3.

7. Gen William C. Westmoreland, Historical Summary, 1–29Feb68, pp. 4–5, Fldr 29, Westmoreland Papers (CMH); Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, pp. 342–3; N. Anderson ltrs to MajGen Keith B. McCutcheon, dtd 7 and 13 Feb68, Letters Nos. 50 and 58, File A, 1968 Correspondence, Box 20 (McCutcheon Papers, PC464)

8. Sharp msg to Westmoreland, dtd 18Jan68, Doc No. 3, HQMC, DCS Air Folder, Single Manager, Jan68–15Aug70; Gen Westmoreland to LtGen Krulak, dtd 28Jan68, Doc 68, III MAF Incoming Msgs, Dec67–1Feb68; MajGen Norman Anderson, Memorandum for the Record, dtd 17Feb68, Subj: Control of Air in the Defense of Khe Sanh (Norman Anderson Papers, PC1263), hereafter Anderson memo, Control of Air, 17Feb68.

9. Anderson memo, Control of Air, 17Feb68.

10. Ibid.

11. CGIIIMAF msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 18Feb68 (III MAF Provisional Corps, Vietnam Folder); BGen E. E. Anderson ltr to MajGen K. B. McCutcheon, dtd 19Feb68, Ltr No. 56, File A, 1968 Correspondence, Box 20 (McCutcheon Papers, PC464).

12. Westmoreland msg to Sharp, dtd 19Feb68, Doc 7, HQMC, DCS Air Folder, Single Manager, Jan68–15Aug70.

13. Transcript of III MAF Meeting with General Momyer, n.d. [20Feb68], Encl, Gen Earl E. Anderson, Comments on draft, 18Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File).

14. MACV ComdHist, 1968, I, p. 437; ComUSMACV ltr to CGIIIMAF, dtd 7Mar68, Subj: Single Management, Doc 14, HQMC, DCS Air Folder, Single Manager, Jan68–15Aug70; ComUSMACV msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 22Feb68, Doc No. 13, III MAF Incoming Msgs, 20Feb68–1Mar68; BGen John R. Chaisson, entry 29Feb68, Diary, Jan–Jun68, Chaisson Papers, Hoover Institute.

15. CMC, Memo for the Chairman, JCS, Subj: Single Management, dtd, 21Feb68, Doc 9, and MACV, Memo for the Chairman, JCS, Subj: Single Management, dtd 24Feb68, Doc 11, HQMC, DCS Air Folder, Single Manager, Jan68–15Aug70.

16. Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, p. 344; "Chronology of Events and Briefing for new CinCPac at HQMC . . ." in Command Relations and Air Control in ICTZ, n.d. [May68] Doc 20, HQMC DCS (Air) Single Manager, Fldr, Jan68–15Aug70, hereafter Chronology of Events, Doc 20; CGFMFPac msg to CG III MAF, dtd 6Mar68, Doc 2, III MAF Incoming Msgs, 4–14Mar68, hereafter CGFMFPac msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 6Mar68; intvw with Sharp quoted in Nalty, *Air Power and the Fight for Khe Sanh*, p. 77.

17. CG1stMAW msg to Krulak and Cushman, dtd 18Mar68, Doc No. 8, III MAF Incoming Msgs, 15–27Mar68; Schlight, *The Years of the Offensive, 1965–68*, p. 285.

18. Quoted in CGFMFPac msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 5Mar68, and cover sheet, Doc No. 1, III MAF Incoming Msgs, 5–14Mar68. See also ComUSMACV ltr to CG III MAF, dtd 7Mar68, Subj: Single Management, Doc No. 14, HQMC DCS (Air) Single Manager Fldr, Jan68–15Aug70, hereafter ComUSMACV ltr, 7Mar68 Single Management; Schlight, *The Years of the Offensive, 1965–68*, p. 285.

19. ComUSMACV ltr, 7Mar68, Single Management.

20. Ibid.

21. CG1stMAW msg to CGIIIMAF and CGFMFPac, dtd 18Mar68, Doc No. 8, III MAF Incoming Msgs, 15–27Mar68, hereafter CG1stMAW msg to CGIIIMAF and CGFMFPac, 18Mar68.
22. Ibid.
23. Ibid.; see also CG7thAF to CGIIIMAF, dtd 14Mar68, Doc No. 38, III MAF Incoming Msgs, 5–14Mar68.
24. CG1stMAW msg to CGIIIMAF and CGFMFPac, 18Mar68.
25. MajGen Norman Anderson ltrs to McCutcheon, dtd 19Mar68, Ltr No. 67, and dtd 4Mar68, Ltr No. 61, File A, McCutcheon Papers, PC464.
26. CGFMFPac msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 6Mar68.
27. CMC memo to Joint Chiefs, Subj: Single Management, dtd 4Mar68, Doc No. 13, and Chronology of Events, Doc 20, HQMC DCS (Air) Single Manager Fldr, Jan68–15Aug70.
28. Admirals Hyland and Moorer are quoted in CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 15Mar68, HQMC Msgs, Mar–Jun68.
29. Westmoreland intvw, May 1983, p. 39; Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, pp. 344–5. There is some contradiction between the interview and the book. In his book, he makes no mention of a telephone conversation with the President, but does mention discussing the subject with the President in April when on a visit to Washington.
30. Newspaper article quoted in CGFMFPac msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 9Mar68, III MAF Incoming Msgs, 5–14Mar68.
31. Ibid. For Cushman and Anderson quotes see cover sheet to the FMFPac message. See also CGFMFPac msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 11Mar68, Doc No. 27, III MAF Incoming Msgs, 5–14Mar68.
32. MACV ComdHist, 1968, I, p. 437.
33. CG1stMAW to CGFMFPac and CGIIIMAF, dtd 23Mar68, Doc No. 23, III MAF Incoming Msgs, 15–27Mar68.
34. Ibid.
35. CMC msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 26Mar68, in Folder, Memos for the Record, 1966–68, hereafter CMC msg 26Mar68, and McCutcheon ltr to MajGen Norman and BGen E. E. Anderson, dtd 26Mar68, Ltr No. 64, File A, 1968 Correspondence, (McCutcheon Papers, PC464), hereafter McCutcheon ltr, 26Mar68.
- Point, Counterpoint**
36. CMC msg 26Mar68 and McCutcheon ltr 26Mar68.
37. CG1stMAW msg to CG1stMarDiv and CG3dMarDiv, dtd 27Mar68, Doc. No. 33, III MAF Incoming Msgs, 15–27Mar68; Anderson draft of msg for Cushman to Westmoreland, n.d. [Apr68] [Info to CinCPac], MajGen Norman Anderson Papers, PC1263, hereafter Norman Anderson draft msg for Cushman [Apr68].
38. Norman Anderson draft msg for Cushman [Apr68].
39. CGIIIMAF msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 10Apr68, HQMC Msgs, Mar–Jun68; FMFPac, III MAF Ops, V, Mar68, pp. 66–7.
40. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Mar68, p. 68.
41. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Apr68, p. 58.
42. BGen H. W. Hise personal ltr to MajGen Charles Quilter, dtd 5Apr68, Miscellaneous Fldr, 1950–May68, Charles J. Quilter Papers, MCHC.
43. CG1stMAW msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 5Apr68, Doc No. 36, and ComUSMACV msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 5Apr68, Doc No. 24, III MAF Incoming Msgs, 29Mar–11Apr68.
44. McCutcheon ltr to BGen E. E. and MajGen Norman Anderson, dtd 9Apr68, Ltr No. 68, File A, 1968 Cor, McCutcheon Papers, PC464. See also Gen Leonard F. Chapman intvw, 28 Mar 1979, (Oral HistColl, MCHC), pp. 70–6.
45. CMC Green Ltr, 4–68, to all general officers, dtd 9Apr68, Subj: Air Control in I Corps, Green Ltr Book, 1968.
46. CGFMFPac msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 14Apr68, Doc No. 19, III MAF Incoming Msgs, 7Mar–13Aug68.
47. Ibid.
48. Ibid.
49. CGIIIMAF msg to CMC, dtd 4 May68, HQMC Msgs, Mar–Jun68; CG1stMAW ltr to CMC, dtd 24Apr68, Subj: Single Management, dtd 24Apr68, Encl 16, H6, HQMC DCS (Air) Single Manager Fldr Jan68–15Aug70, hereafter CG1stMAW ltr to CMC, 24Apr68.
50. CG1stMAW ltr to CMC, 24Apr68.
51. Ibid.
52. Ibid.
53. CGIIIMAF msg to CMC, dtd 4May68, HQMC Msgs Mar–Jun68.
54. MACV ComdHist, 1968, I, pp. 437–9.
55. BGen John R. Chaisson ltr to wife, dtd 2May68, Chaisson Papers, Hoover Institute; MajGen Norman Anderson, draft of Memo for the Record, n.d. [2 or 4May68], Subj: Single Management, Norman Anderson Papers, PC1263, hereafter Norman Anderson draft memo, Single Management; CGIIIMAF msg to CMC, dtd 4May68, HQMC Msgs, Mar–Jun68.
56. Norman Anderson draft memo, Single Management.
57. Ibid.
58. Ibid. and CGIIIMAF msg to CMC, dtd 4May68, HQMC Msgs, Mar–Jun68.
59. CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 3May68, HQMC Msgs, Mar–Jun68.
60. BGen Homer E. Hutchinson ltr to McCutcheon, n.d. [May68], Doc No. 21, HQMC DCS (Air) Single Manager Fldr Jan68–15Aug70.
61. Ibid., and attached CinCPac Evaluation Team, draft rpt, n.d. [May 1968], Subject: Single Management of Air Support.
62. CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 7May1968, HQMC Msgs, Mar–Jun68.
63. MarOpsV, May68, p. 68; CGIIIMAF and CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 10May68, HQMC Msgs, Mar–Jun68.
64. CGIIIMAF msg to CMC, dtd 10May68, HQMC Msgs, Mar–Jun68.
65. Ibid.
66. Norman Anderson intvw, 3d Session, 17Mar81, pp. 202–04; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Apr68, p. 60, May68, p. 68.
67. DepSecDef, Paul H. Nitze memo to Chairman, JCS, dtd 15May68, Subject: OpCon of III MAF Aviation, Assets, Doc No. 17, HQMC DCS (Air) Single Manager Fldr Jan68–15Aug70. Relative to HQMC presentations to DepSec Nitze, see HQMC, Briefing, Apr68, Doc No. 31, HQMC DCS (Air) Single Manager Fldr Jan68–15Aug70 and

McCutcheon ltr to MajGen Frank C. Tharin, dtd 24Apr68, Ltr No 37, File T, 1968 Correspondence, McCutcheon Papers, MCHC.

68. Chairman, JCS memo to DepSecDef, dtd 15May68, Subj: Single Management . . . , Doc No. 17, HQMC DCS (Air) Single Manager Fldr Jan68–15Aug70.

69. MajGen Keith B. McCutcheon memo to Gen Leonard F. Chapman, Jr., dtd 1May68, Subj: Air Control, in Fldr, Memos for the Record, 1966–68 and McCutcheon to MajGen Norman Anderson, dtd 17 May 68, Ltr No. 73, File A, McCutcheon Papers.

70. CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 16May68, HQMC Msgs, Mar–Jun68; CMC Memorandum for the JCS, CMCM 29–68, dtd 18May68, Subj: Op Con of III MAF Aviation Assets, Doc No. 18, HQMC DCS (Air) Single Manager Fldr Jan68–15Aug70.

71. CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 25May68, HQMC Msgs, Mar–Jun68; BGen John R. Chaisson, diary entry, 20May68, Chaisson Papers, Hoover Institute; JCS msg to CinCPac and ComUSMACV, dtd 20May68, Doc No. 19, HQMC DCS (Air) Single Manager Fldr Jan68–15Aug70.

72. CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 21 and 26 May68, and HQMC, ATA21 Point Paper, dtd 28May68, Subj: Change to the Single Management . . . , HQMC Msgs, Mar–Jun68 and MarOpsV, May68, pp. 68–9.

73. CGIIIMAF msg to CMC, dtd 26May68, HQMC Msgs, Mar–Jun68.

74. Ibid.; LtGen Richard E. Carey, Comments on draft, dtd 12Dec94, (Vietnam Comment File); MajGen Norman J. Anderson, Comments on draft, n.d. [Jan95] (Vietnam Comment File); CGIIIMAF msg to CMC, dtd 30May68, HQMC Msgs, Mar–Jun68.

75. ATA21, Point Paper, dtd 28May68, Subj: Change to the Single Management . . . ; CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 26May68 and 30May68; CGIIIMAF msg to CMC, dtd 26May68 and 30May68. All in HQMC Msgs, Mar–Jun68.

76. CGIIIMAF msg to CMC, dtd 26 and 30 May 68 and CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 26May68, HQMC Msgs, Mar–Jun68.

77. HQMC AAM–7, Talking Paper, dtd 8Jul68, Subj: Air Control in Vietnam, in HQMC, General Officers Symposium Book, July 68, hereafter HQMC Talking Paper, Air Control in Vietnam; ATA21, Point Paper, dtd 28May68, Subj: Change to the Single Management . . . , HQMC Msgs, Mar–Jun68; McCutcheon to Norman Anderson, dtd 3Jun68, Ltr No. 76, File A, 1968 Cor, McCutcheon Papers.

78. CMC msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 7 Jun 68, HQMC Msgs, Mar–Jun68.

79. HQMC Talking Paper, Air Control in Vietnam; CMC to CGFMFPac, dtd 19Jun68, HQMC Msgs, Mar–Jun68, hereafter CMC to CGFMFPac, 19Jun68.

80. CGFMFPac, 19Jun68.

The Continuing Debate

81. BGen John R. Chaisson, debriefing at FMFPac, May68, Chaisson Transcripts, pp. 147–73, p. 164.

82. Ibid. and Col Edward L. Fossum, debrief at FMFPac, 11Jul68, Tape No. 2911 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).

83. CGFMFPac to CMC, dtd 16Jun68, HQMC Msgs, Mar–Jun68; Buse intvw, p. 191.

84. CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 20Jun68, HQMC Msgs,

Mar–Jun68.

85. CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 22Jun68, HQMC Msgs, Mar–Jun68.

86. CGIIIMAF msg to CGFMFPac and CMC, dtd 29Jun68, HQMC Msgs, Mar–Jun68.

87. Ibid.

88. CGFMFPac msg to III MAF, dtd 29Jun68 and CMC to CGFMFPac, dtd 29Jun68, HQMC Msgs, Mar–Jun68.

89. CGIIIMAF msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 30Jun68, HQMC Msgs, Mar–Jun68.

90. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jun68, p. 62; HQMC Talking Paper, Air Control in Vietnam; McCutcheon to MajGen C. J. Quilter, dtd 15Jul68, Ltr No. 35, File Q, 1968 Cor, McCutcheon Papers, hereafter McCutcheon to Quilter, 15Jul68.

91. Chronology of Events, Doc 20, HQMC DCS (Air) Single Manager Fldr, Jan68–15Aug70; McCutcheon to Quilter, 15Jul68.

92. CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 27Jul68, HQMC Msgs, Jul–15Oct68.

93. The sources for this and the following paragraph are: Hutch [BGen Homer G. Hutchinson] to McCutcheon, n.d. [Aug68], Ltr No. 48, File H, 1968 Cor, McCutcheon Papers. See also Chapman intvw, p. 76.

94. CGIIIMAF msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 10Sep68, HQMC Msgs, Jul–15Oct68.

95. For the wing's initial optimism see A/CS, G–3, 1st MAW memo to CG1stMAW, dtd 10Sep68 (Rpts and Letters, Jun–Dec68, Quilter Papers). For General Wheeler's support see CMC msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 26Sep68 (HQMC Msgs, Jul–15Oct68). General Abrams is quoted in CGIIIMAF msg to CMC and CGFMFPac and CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 8Nov66 (HQMC Msgs, Jul–Dec68).

96. McCutcheon ltr to MajGen Charles Quilter, dtd 19Nov68, Ltr No. 39, File Q, 1968 Cor, McCutcheon Papers.

97. Charles R. Smith, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1969, High Mobility and Standdown* (Washington: Hist&MusDiv, HQMC, 1988), pp. 225–6; Graham A. Cosmas and LtCol Terrence P. Murray, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1970–71* (Washington: Hist&Mus Div, HQMC, 1986), pp. 273–77; Bernard C. Nalty, "Operation Niagara, Air Power, and the Siege of Khe Sanh," in *Command and Control of Air Operations in the Vietnam War* (Washington: NHC, 1991), p. 46.

CHAPTER 25

A QUESTION OF HELICOPTERS

Unless otherwise noted the sources in this chapter are derived from MACV ComdHist, 1968; HQMC Msg File; HQMC, Status of Forces, 1968; FMFPac, MarOpsV, 1967–1968; III MAF ComdCs, Jan–Dec 1968; 1st MAW ComdCs, Jan–Dec68; Cushman intvw, Nov 82; MajGen Charles J. Quilter Papers, MCHC; Norman Anderson Papers; Norman Anderson intvw, 3d Session, 17Mar81; McCutcheon Papers, MCHC; Westmoreland Papers, CMH; Gen Raymond G. Davis intvw, 2Feb77 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Davis intvw, 2Feb77; Westmoreland intvw, 1983; Chaisson Papers, Hoover Institute; Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*; Sharp and Westmoreland, *Report on the War*; McCutcheon, "Marine Aviation in Vietnam, 1962–70;" LtCol William R. Fails, *Marines and Helicopters, 1962–1973* (Washington: Hist&Mus-Div, HQMC, 1978), hereafter Fails, *Marines and Helicopters*.

Another Debate

1. Davis intvw, 2Feb77, pp. 45–7; Norman Anderson intvw, 3d Session, 17Mar81, pp. 248–49, 259.
2. F. Clifton Berry, Jr., *Air Cav, The Illustrated History of the Vietnam War* (New York, NY: Bantam Books, 1988), p. 85; LtGen Willard Pearson, *The War in the Northern Provinces, 1966–68* (Washington, DC: Department of the Army, 1975), pp. 78–92; Charles R. Smith, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, High Mobility and Standdown, 1969* (Washington, D.C.: Hist&Mus Div, HQMC, 1988), pp. 239–41, hereafter Smith, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1969*.
3. Norman Anderson intvw, 3d Session, 17 Mar 1981, pp. 250–51.
4. Norman Anderson ltr to McCutcheon, dtd 9Mar68, Ltr No. 62, File A, 1968 Cor, McCutcheon Papers.
5. BGen E. E. Anderson ltr to MajGen Keith B. McCutcheon, dtd 16Apr68, Ltr No. 70, McCutcheon Papers, File A, 1968 Cor, McCutcheon Papers; Gen Robert E. Cushman, debriefing at FMFPac, Mar69, pp. 470–71 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
6. Westmoreland quoted in MajGen John R. Chaisson intvw, 19Mar69, pp. 223–25 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
7. MajGen Alan J. Armstrong intvw, 25Sep1973, pp. 15–21 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Armstrong intvw; Norman Anderson intvw, 3d Session, 17Mar81, pp. 198–99.

The Need for Lighter Aircraft

8. MajGen Keith B. McCutcheon, Memorandum for the Record, dtd 18Jan68, Subj: Trip Report visit to West Pac with CMC, Jan68, Memos for the Record, 1966–8, McCutcheon Papers.
9. CGFMFPac msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 1Mar68, Doc no. 50, III MAF Incoming Msgs, 20Feb68–1Mar68; CMC msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 12 Mar 68, Doc No. 30, III MAF Incoming Msgs, 5–14 Mar68, and CGIIIMAF msg to CMC, dtd 30 Mar68, HQMC Msgs, Mar–Jun68.
10. Fails, *Marines and Helicopters*, pp. 112–13.
11. Marine Corps Bulletin 05400, dtd 8Mar68, Subj: Activation of Fleet Marine Force Aviation Units, Accession No. 127–74–63, Central Files Records, 1968, Box No. 13, File No. 5400 (1Jan–30Apr68).
12. HML–367 ComdCs, Mar–Dec68; HML–167 ComdCs, Apr–Dec68; VMO–2 ComdCs, Jan–Dec68; VMO–6 ComdCs, Jan–Dec68; VMO–3 ComdC, Mar68; HQMC, Status of Forces, "Distribution of Aircraft, Fleet Marine Force, Pacific," p. 10–1, dtd 25Jan68 and 5Dec68; Smith, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1969*, p. 238.
13. LtGen John J. Tolson, *Airmobility, 1961–71* (Washington: Dept of the Army, 1973), passim.
14. BGen Henry Hise ltr to BGen A. J. Armstrong, dtd 13Mar68, Ltr No. 66, File A, 1968 Cor, McCutcheon Papers.
15. CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 20Jun68, HQMC Msgs, Mar–Jun68.
16. Davis intvw, 2Feb77, pp. 49–51.
17. McCutcheon ltr to MajGen Charles Quilter, dtd 19Nov68, Ltr No. 39, File Q, 1968 Cor, McCutcheon Papers.

To Keep the Mediums and Heavies Flying

18. Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, pp. 210–11 and Fails, *Marines and Helicopters*, pp. 101–02, 121–24; McCutcheon, "Marine Aviation in Vietnam, 1962–70," p. 145. See

also McCutcheon, Memorandum for the Record, dtd 9Feb68, Subj: Meeting with Mr. William Allen, President, The Boeing Company, Memos For the Record, 1966–68, McCutcheon Papers.

19. McCutcheon, "Marine Aviation in Vietnam, 1962–70," p. 145; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jul68, p. 71 and Dec68, p. 111.
 20. McCutcheon to Norman Anderson dtd 27Feb68, Ltr No. 57 and Norman Anderson to McCutcheon, dtd 4Mar68 Ltr No. 61, File A, 1968 Cor, McCutcheon Papers.
 21. Norman Anderson ltr to McCutcheon, dtd 4Mar68, Ltr No. 61, File A, 1968 Cor, McCutcheon Papers.
 22. McCutcheon to LtGen V. H. Krulak, dtd 30Apr68, Ltr No. 42, File K, 1968 Cor, McCutcheon Papers, hereafter McCutcheon to Krulak, 30Apr68; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Aug68, p. 61.
 23. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Dec68, p. 87; H. D. Hill draft ltr for MajGen Quilter to McCutcheon, n.d. [Nov–Dec68?], Reports and Letters, Jun–Dec68, Quilter Papers.
 24. McCutcheon to Krulak, 30Apr68; MajGen C. J. Quilter to McCutcheon, dtd 10Aug68, Ltr No. 31, File Q, 1968 Cor, McCutcheon Papers; Helicopter Spare Parts Support, Tab A, Item 7, III MAF, Board Report for Utilization, Command and Control of III MAF Helicopter Assets (Youngdale Report), dtd 11 and 27Apr69 and 17May69, hereafter the entire report will be referred to as the Youngdale Report.
 25. Helicopter Spare Parts Support, Tab A, Item 7, Youngdale Report.
 26. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan–Dec68 and 1st MAW ComdCs, Jan–Dec68. See especially FMFPac, MarOpsV, Mar68, p. 4 and 56–7; Jul68, p. 66; Dec68, pp. 74 and 86–8; 1st MAW ComdC, Dec68, p. 10.
 27. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Feb68, p. 76; Dec68, pp. 86–7.
- Another Look at Helicopter Air-Ground Relations
28. CG1stMAW msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 6Mar68, Doc No. 3, III MAF Incoming Msgs, 5–14Mar68; 1st MAW ComdC, Apr68, pp. 1–4 and 2–4; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Apr68, p. 62; Cushman intvw, Nov82, pp. 48–9; Col John E. Hansen, Comments on draft, dtd 17Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File).
 29. Davis intvw, 2Feb77, pp. 72, 75–6.
 30. *Ibid.*, pp. 45–7.
 31. Col William H. Dabney intvw, 20May1982, pp. 43–4 (Oral HistColl, MCHC). See also Col William H. Dabney, Comments on draft, n.d. [Dec94] (Vietnam Comment File).
 32. MajGen Norman J. Anderson ltrs to McCutcheon, 12 and 19Jun68, Ltrs Nos. 79 and 83, File A, 1968 Cor, McCutcheon Papers.
 33. MajGen Raymond G. Davis intvws, dtd 1Jan69 and 7Apr69, pp. 294–5, 307–8 (Oral HistColl, MCHC); BGen Homer Dan Hill to MajGen Norman Anderson, dtd 2Oct68, Ltr No. 51, File H, 1968 Cor, McCutcheon Papers, hereafter Hill ltr, 2Oct68.
 34. MajGen Raymond G. Davis intvw, dtd 7Apr69, pp. 308–09 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
 35. E. E. Anderson to McCutcheon, dtd 30Nov68, Ltr No. 104, File A, 1968 Cor, McCutcheon Papers; CGIIIMAF msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 19Dec68 and CGFMFPac msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 21Dec68 (HQMC Msgs, 16Oct–Dec68).
 36. McCutcheon to MajGen C. J. Quilter, dtd 27Aug68, Ltr No. 32, File Q, 1968 Cor, McCutcheon Papers; Hill ltr, 2Oct68. Last quote

from Hill is from Smith, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam*, 1969, p. 238.

37. BGen E. E. Anderson to McCutcheon, dtd 17 Oct 68 [No number on ltr], File A, 1968 Cor, McCutcheon Papers and BGen E. E. Anderson, Comments on draft, dtd 18Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File).

38. "Command Relationship," (Col Barrow's submission for broader consideration), n.d. [Oct-Dec68?] Undated Notes, Quilter Papers.

39. Ibid.

40. MajGen Raymond G. Davis, Letter to editor, clipping from *Marine Corps Gazette*, Feb 1969, Quilter Papers.

41. Ibid.

42. Youngdale Report. For detailed discussion of the Youngdale Report see Smith, *Marines in Vietnam*, 1969, pp. 239-40.

43. Youngdale Report.

44. Ibid.

45. Armstrong intvw, pp. 14-5; LtGen Thomas H. Miller, Jr., Comments on draft ms, Smith, *Marines in Vietnam*, 1969, dtd 5Sep88 (Vietnam Comment File).

CHAPTER 26

ARTILLERY AND RECONNAISSANCE

Unless otherwise noted the sources for this chapter are the FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jan-Dec68; III MAF ComdCs, Jan-Dec68; 3d MarDiv ComdCs, Jan-Dec68; 1st MarDiv ComdCs, Jan-Dec68; 11th Mar ComdCs, Jan-Dec68; 12th Mar ComdCs, Jan-Dec68; Charles R. Smith, *The History of the 12th Marines*, (MCHC, Washington, D.C., 1972), hereafter Smith, *12th Marines*.

Marine Artillery Reshuffles

1. 11th Mar ComdC, Jan68.

2. Ibid.

3. The sources for this and the following paragraph are: CG3dMarDiv msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 7Jan68, Encl 13, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jan68; 12th Mar ComdC, Dec68, p. 1-II-2; Smith, *12th Marines*, p. 57.

4. Additional sources for this paragraph are: 12th Mar ComdC, Jan68; CGIIIMAF msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 11Jan68, Encl 12, 1st MarDiv ComdC, Jan68.

5. LtCol Ralph Moody, "Artillery and Naval Gunfire," Chapter 21, in LtCol Ralph F. Moody, et al., "Marines in Vietnam, 1954-68," 8 pts (MS, MCHC, Washington, D.C., 1971), p. 34; 11th Mar, Opn Hue City Resume, 1-29 Feb68, App 2, Tab C, 11th Mar ComdC, Feb68; 11th Mar, Hue City Resume, 1Feb-2Mar68, App 2, Tab c, 11th Mar ComdC, Mar68.

The Guns in the North

6. Capt Moyers S. Shore, III, *The Battle for Khe Sanh* (Washington: Hist&Mus Div, HQMC, 1969), pp. 42-3; Maj Jerry E. Hudson, Comments on "The Battle for Khe Sanh," 2Jan69 (Khe Sanh Comment File, MCHC).

7. 26th Mar AAR for Opn Scotland, 31May68, p. 4, in 26th Mar ComdC, Mar68, pt. IV.

8. 12th Mar ComdCs, Jan-Mar68; 3d MarDiv ComdCs, Jan-Mar68; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Mar68, p. 3.

9. 12th Mar, ComdC, Pt III, Apr68, p. 1-III-1.

10. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Mar68, p. 23-4, Apr68, pp. 23-4; 12th Mar ComdC, May68, pp. 1-II-2-1-II-4.

11. Gen Robert E. Cushman intvw, 1Nov82, p. 74 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).

12. LtGen Louis Metzger, unpublished manuscript, n.p., n.d., pp 99-100.

13. 3d MarDiv ComdCs, Mar-Apr68; 12th Mar ComdCs, Mar-May68.

14. 12th Mar ComdC, May68, pp. 1-III-6-1-III-8.

Mini-Tet and the Fall of Ngog Tavak and Kham Duc

15. 11th Mar ComdC, Feb-Mar68; 2/13 ComdC, Jan-Feb68, p. 6.

16. 11th Mar ComdC, Apr68, p. 2.

17. 11th Mar ComdCs, Apr-May68; 2/13 ComdCs, Apr-May68; Ronald H. Spector, *After Tet, The Bloodiest Year in Vietnam* (The Free Press, New York, New York, 1993), pp. 166-67, hereafter Spector, *After Tet*.

18. S-4Jnl, 10May68, Encl 1, and CO, 2/13 ltr to CMC, dtd 25May68, Subj: Meritorious Unit Commendation; recommendation for, and Encl, App 1, S-1 Jnl, 2/13 ComdC, May68, hereafter 2/13 ltr to CMC, 25May68; Spector, *After Tet*, p. 167.

19. Paul Drew Stevens, ed., *The Navy Cross, Vietnam, Citations of Awards to Men of the United States Navy and the United States Marine Corps, 1964-1973* (Forest Ranch, CA: Sharp & Dunnigan Publications Inc., 1987), pp. 291-2.

20. Ibid., pp. 72-3.

21. 2/13 ltr to CMC, 25May68 with enclosures; Spector, *After Tet*, pp. 168-9.

22. FMFPac, MarOpsV, May68, p. 72; Spector, *After Tet*, pp. 169-71.

23. Col Franklin L. Smith debriefing at FMFPac [May 1968], Tape 2904 (Oral HistColl, MCHC); BGen Jacob E. Glick, Comments on draft, n.d. [Dec94?] (Vietnam Comment File); Spector, *After Tet*, pp. 169-75. For Abrams quote, see Spector, *After Tet*, p. 175.

Operations Drumfire II and Thor—Guns Across the Border

24. 12th Mar AAR, Opn Drumfire II, dtd 3Jun68, Tab b, 12th Mar ComdC, Jun68.

25. Ibid.

26. Ibid. and CO 12th Marines memo to CG3d MarDiv, dtd 4Jun68, Subj: Addendum to Opn Drumfire II AAR, Tab A, 12th Mar ComdC, Jun68.

27. 12th Mar ComdC, Jun68, p. 1-III-7.

28. CGProvCorpsV msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 22Jun68, Encl 16, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jun68.

29. ProvCorpsV OpO 15-68, dtd 24Jun68, Encl 18, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jun68.

30. XXIV Corps AAR, Opn Thor, dtd 16Aug68 in 3d MarDiv, USA After Action Reports, hereafter XXIV Corps AAR; PCV Preliminary AAR, Opn Thor, dtd 12Jul68 in 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jul68; VMCJ-1 ComdC, Jul68.

31. XXIV Corps AAR.

32. 1st MAW ComdC, Jun68; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jul68, pp. 7-10.

33. 12th Mar ComdC, Jun68.

34. 1st MAW ComdC, Jul68.

35. III MAF ComdC, Jul68.
36. XXIV Corps AAR.
37. 12th Mar ComdC, Jun68.
38. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jul68, p. 38.
39. XXIV Corps AAR.
40. MACV Comd Hist, 1968, p. 409.
41. *Ibid.*, p. 374.

Fire Base Tactics

42. 12th Mar ComdC, Dec68, 1–II–3.
43. LtCol Joseph Scoppa, III MAF intvw at Fire Base Dice, Dec 1968, Tape 3372 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Scoppa intvw; 12th Mar ComdC, Dec68.
44. Scoppa intvw.
45. *Ibid.*
46. *Ibid.*
47. *Ibid.*
48. *Ibid.* and 12th Mar ComdC, Dec68.
49. Scoppa intvw.
50. 11th Mar ComdCs, Jul–Dec68.

Marine Reconnaissance Operations

51. 1st MarDiv ComdC, Jan68, pp. 31–33; 1st MarDiv to III MAF, resume of telecon, dtd 29Jan68 (III MAF Jnl&Msg File).
52. Patrol Report, Cayenne B–2–2, dtd 4Jun68, in 1st Recon Bn ComdC, Jun68, pt IV, Tab B–24.
53. 1st Recon Bn SitRep No. 156–68, p. 3, in 1st Recon Bn ComdC, Jun68, [SitReps] [pt 2 of 2 pts].
54. 1st Recon Bn PatRep, dtd 12Jun68, in 1st Recon Bn ComdC, Jun68, pt IV, Tab B–52.
55. 1st Recon Bn PatRep, dtd 16Jun68, in 1st Recon Bn ComdC, Jun68, pt IV, Tab B–80.
56. 1st Recon Bn SitRep No. 176–68, in 1st Recon Bn ComdC, Jun68, [SitReps] [2 of 2 pts] and 1st Recon Bn PatRep, dtd 1Jul68 in 1st Recon Bn ComdC, Jul68, pt IV, Tab B–6.
57. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Dec68, pp. 49–50.
58. Col William D. Kent, intvw by Hist&Mus Div, dtd 27Aug91 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Kent Tape.
59. *Ibid.*; F. Clinton Berry, Jr., *The Illustrated History of the Vietnam War—Air Cav*, (New York and Toronto: Bantam Books, 1988), pp. 86–9.
60. Kent intvw.
61. *Ibid.*; Col Alexander L. Michaux, debriefing at FMFPac, 18Jul68, Tape No. 2915 (Oral HistColl, MCHC); LtCol Donald R. Berg, Comments on draft, dtd 9Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File); MajGen Raymond G. Davis, intvw by III MAF, Dec68–Jan69, pp. 284–6 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).

CHAPTER 27

MANPOWER POLICIES AND REALITIES

Unless otherwise noted the material in this chapter is derived from: HQMC Msg File; Department of Defense, *United States-Vietnam Rela-*

tions, 1956–1967, 12 bks (Washington: GPO, 1971), hereafter *Pentagon Papers* with appropriate section title and book, and section, volume or tab, and page number; Commandant's Reference Notebook, hereafter CMC Reference Notebook with appropriate year and tab; Col James W. Marsh, USMC (Ret) intvw with Capt David A. Dawson, 26Feb92, hereafter Marsh intvw; Headquarters, U.S. Military Assistance Command, Vietnam, Subj: Strength Report—Vietnam, hereafter MACV Strength Report; III MAF ComdCs; Department of Defense, OASD (Comptroller), Directorate for Information Operation and Control, *Selected Manpower Statistics*, (Washington, D.C.) 1968–1975, hereafter *Selected Manpower Statistics* with appropriate years; Bureau of Naval Personnel, *Navy and Marine Corps Military Personnel Statistics*, hereafter *M.C. Personnel Statistics*; Marine Corps Personnel Data Bases held by the Center for Naval Analyses, hereafter CNA Database; Headquarters Marine Corps Central Files, hereafter CF with appropriate year.

The average strength of line battalions was derived by taking the "average monthly strength" as reported in the command chronology of every infantry battalion not configured as a BLT in Vietnam for which this information was available. Average rifle company strength was derived from the unit diary report closest to the date in question for the first letter company of every battalion in Vietnam, with the exception of battalions for which this information was not available. The average strength of infantry battalion headquarters and service companies was derived in the same manner, using all H&S companies for which information was available save those in BLTs. The average strength of regimental headquarters companies was derived from the unit diary reports of the headquarters company for every regiment in Vietnam for the date in question.

1. Marsh intvw. See also DAC/S G–1, Presentation, 14 July 1967, General Officers Symposium Book, 1967, sec G, p. 2.
2. Gen Leonard F. Chapman intvw, 17Jan79, 28Mar79, p. 66 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Chapman intvw.
3. MajGen Jonas M. Platt, Comments on draft, dtd 6Oct94 (Vietnam Comment File).

Personnel Turnover

4. Vietnam Manpower Statistics File, RefSec, MCHC.
5. *Selected Manpower Statistics*, 1975, p. 52.
6. CMC Reference Notebook 1966–67, Subj: Terms of enlistment.
7. CMC Reference Notebook 1968, tab I–F, Senate Armed Services Committee insert for the record.
8. AC/S G–1, Presentation, 14 July 1967, General Officers Symposium Book, 1967, sec G, pp. 6–8.
9. AC/S G–1 Memo to DirPers, Subj: CONUS Divisions Personnel and Readiness Status FY68–FY69, dtd 2Jan68, CF 68; AC/S G–1, Presentation, General Officers Symposium Book, 8–12 July 1968, sec I, pp. 9–10.
10. Dep Dir Personnel Memo to CMC, Subj: Status of Military Personnel Procurement Results for FY68 (as of 30 June 1968), dtd 17Jul68, Encl 1, CMC Reference Notebook 1968.
11. Insert for the Record, Senate Armed Services Committee, 20Feb68, tab I–F, CMC Reference Notebook 1968.
12. AC/S G–1 memo to DirPers, Subj: CONUS Divisions Personnel and Readiness Status FY68–FY69, 2Jan68, CF 68.
13. CMC Reference Notebook 1970, Personnel, Subj: Enlistment Standards and Periods of Enlistment, 17Nov70; *Selected Manpower Statistics*, 1975.

14. DepDirPers Presentation, General Officers Symposium 1968, tab J, p. 2.

The Quality Issue and Project 100,000

15. Homer Bigart, "McNamara Plans to 'Salvage' 40,000 Rejected in Draft," *The New York Times*, 24Aug66, pp. 1, 18.

16. Memo, Subj: Enlistment of Marginal Personnel, n.d., p. 2, File 1100, CF 67.

17. Janice H. Laurence and Peter F. Ramsberger, *Low-Aptitude Men in the Military: Who Profits, Who Pays?* (New York: Praeger, 1991), p. 23.

18. Telephone intvw between Thomas D. Morris and Capt David A. Dawson, 24May91.

19. CMC Reference Notebook 1969, Subj: Enlistment of Marginal Personnel—Project 100,000; AC/S G-1 Presentation, General Officers Symposium Book, 1969, sec E, p. 20.

20. Mark J. Eitelberg et al., *Screening for Service: Aptitude and Education Criteria for Military Entry* (Washington, D.C.: Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense [Manpower, Installations, and Logistics], 1984), pp. 138, 147.

21. CMC Reference Notebook 1966-67, 1970, 1974.

22. Office of the Adjutant General, U. S. Army, *Annual Report of the Qualitative Distribution of Military Manpower Program for 1951-1952 and FY 1953*.

23. Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Manpower and Reserve Affairs), *Characteristics and Performance of New Standards Men: Final Report*, June 1971, Table A-3, A-6 (HumRRO Collection).

24. Lewis B. Puller, Jr., *Fortunate Son, The Autobiography of Lewis B. Puller, Jr.* (New York, New York: Grove Weidenfeld, 1991), pp. 77-8.

25. LtCol Howard Lovingood intvw with Capt David A. Dawson, 17May91.

Training

26. CMC Reference Notebook, 1965-1968.

27. CMC Reference Notebook 1968, Subj: Basic Specialist Training, 8Jan68.

28. CMC Reference Notebook 1968, Subj: Recruit Training (Including Changes to Accommodate SEAsia), 26Apr68.

The Search for Junior Leaders

29. See Bernard C. Nalty and LtCol Ralph F. Moody, *A Brief History of U. S. Marine Corps Officer Procurement* (Washington, D.C.: Hist Div, HQMC, 1970, rev ed), hereafter Nalty and Moody, *Officer Procurement*, for a description of the commissioning of senior NCO's as temporary officers during these conflicts.

30. Based on data from *M.C. Personnel Statistics*. On 31 December 1967, the Marine Corps had 6,326 first lieutenants on active duty. Of these, 3,800 were ground officers, and 3,493 had more than four years enlisted service. Since almost all of the 4,059 temporary officers should have been first lieutenants by this time, almost all of the first lieutenants with more than four years enlisted service must have been temporary officers. This is further supported by the fact that only 453 captains and 133 second lieutenants had more than four years enlisted service at this time, for a total of 4,079 company grade officers. Even allowing for casualties, other attrition, and the possibility of promotion from second lieutenant to major in less than three years, temporary officers accounted for some-

where on the order of 3,200-3,350 first lieutenants. Since all temporary officers were ground officers, the bulk of ground-assignable first lieutenants must have been temporary officers.

31. Nalty and Moody, *Officer Procurement*, pp. 23-24.

32. Gen Wallace M. Greene ltr to LtGen James M. Masters, Sr., dtd 31 Aug67, CF67 File 5000/5.

33. Nalty and Moody, *Officer Procurement*, p. 22.

34. DAC/S G-1 Presentation, General Officers Symposium Book, 1967, tab G, p. 3.

35. Director, Policy Analyses Division memo to Chief of Staff, Subj: Questions Asked by CMC in a Review of Second Draft of SecNav Posture Statement, dtd 22Jan68, CF 68.

36. AC/S G-1 Presentation, General Officers Symposium Book, 1969, tab E, p. 16.

37. MCBul 5430, Subj: Activation of Career Advisory Branch, 8Apr68 and HQBul 5400, Subj: Activation of Career Advisory Branch, 12Apr68, both in CF 68

Discipline

38. CG1stMarDiv msg to 1st MarDiv, dtd 8Apr68, Encl 4, 1st MarDiv ComdC, Apr68.

39. DivBul 5100, Subj: Accidental discharges, 24Aug68, Encl 15, 1st MarDiv ComdC, Aug68.

40. DivBul 5100, Subj: Careless Discharge of Weapons, 24Oct68, Encl 19, 1st MarDiv ComdC, Oct68.

41. 1st MarDivO 5101.2A, Subj: Weapons Safety, 4Mar69, 1st MarDiv ComdC, Mar69.

42. CMC Reference Notebook 1968, tab I-K, Subj: Marijuana involvement on the part of Marines, 21May68.

43. Talking Paper: Narcotics, Dangerous Drugs and Marijuana, p. 5, tab Y, General Officers Symposium Book, 1968.

44. Charles R. Anderson, *Vietnam: The Other War* (Novato, California: Presidio Press, 1982), p. 45, hereafter Anderson, *Vietnam: The Other War*.

45. *Ibid.*, p. 22

46. *Ibid.*, p. 21-22.

47. *Ibid.*, p. 23; LtCol Gary D. Solis, *Marines and Military Law in Vietnam: Trial By Fire*, (Washington, D.C.: Hist&MusDiv, HQMC, 1989), p. 114; Maj Donald E. Milone, Comments on draft, n.d. [Dec94] (Vietnam Comment File).

48. The earliest racial incident recorded in HQMC files occurred in August 1968. Presumably there were racial incidents before this date, but this appears to be when HQMC began trying to keep track of racial incidents. CMC Reference Notebook 1972, Key Issue Paper, Subj: Equal Opportunity and Racial Unrest/Violence, n.d.

49. CMC msg to CGFMFPac, personal for LtGen Buse from Gen Chapman, dtd 17Oct68, HQMC Msg File.

50. CMC msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 22Oct68, HQMC Msg File.

51. Quoted in Otto J. Lehrack, *No Shining Armor* (Lawrence, Kansas: University Press of Kansas, 1992), p. 326.

Morale

52. MajGen Carl W. Hoffman intvw, 14Nov84 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Hoffman intvw, p. 160.

53. See Anderson, *Vietnam: The Other War*, Chapter 3.
54. *Ibid.*, p. 2.
55. R&R figures from III MAF ComdCs, 1968.
56. Most Vietnam autobiographies and novels mention the disdain held by front line men for those in the rear. Examples include James Webb's *Fields of Fire* (Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1978), Gustav Hasford's *The Short Timers* (New York: Harper & Row, 1979), and Philip Caputo's *A Rumor of War* (New York: Holt, Rhinehart, and Winston, 1977). Charles R. Anderson, in *Vietnam: The Other War*, discusses the guilt felt by rear area troops (pp. 20–21) and also the desire of men who have been in combat to find and keep these comfortable jobs (pp. 19–20).
57. Hoffman intvw, p. 138.
58. Col Robert D Heinl, USMC (Ret), *Dictionary of Military and Naval Quotations* (Annapolis, MD: U.S. Naval Institute, 1966), p. 22.
59. BGen R. R. Van Stockum, DepSrMbr, Navy Dept Board of Decorations and Medals Presentation, tab W, p. 2, General Officers Symposium Book, 1968.
60. *Ibid.*
61. For a discussion of the problems associated with men in combat without a realistic rotation plan, and the benefits of such a plan, see Samuel A. Stouffer et al., *The American Soldier* (Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 1949), 2 vols, v. 2, Chapters 2 and 8.
62. For a discussion of how long men can stand sustained combat, see Anthony Kelleet, *Combat Motivation* (Boston: Kluwer-Nijhoff Publishing, 1982), pp. 276–277. Lord Moran, in *The Anatomy of Courage* (London: Constable & Company, 1945) also argues that the effects of combat are cumulative, and that every man has a breaking point.
63. Lt Ted D. Kilpatrick, MSC, USNR, and Harry A. Grater, Jr., "Field Report on Marine Psychiatric Casualties in Vietnam," *Military Medicine*, October 1971, p. 809.

The Aviation Shortage

64. LtCol William R. Fails, USMC, *Marines and Helicopters 1962–1973* (Washington, D.C.: Hist&MusDiv, HQMC, 1978), p. 61, hereafter Fails, *Marines and Helicopters*.
65. News Release No. 923–57, DoD Office of Public Information, "NavCads Will No Longer Be Commissioned Early; Must Complete 18-Month Flight Training Course," 17Sep57, in Aviation: Pilot Training file, RefSec, MCHC.
66. News Release No. 414–55, DoD Office of Public Information, "Marine Corps Announces New Aviation Officer Candidate Course," 1May55 and "Marine Aviation Flight Training," July 1957, in Aviation: Pilot Training file, RefSec, MCHC.
67. Fails, *Marines and Helicopters*, p. 65; News Release No. 786–59, DoD Office of Public Information, "Marine Aviation Cadets Begin Training at Pensacola," 11Jul59, in Aviation: Pilot Training file, RefSec, MCHC.
68. Commandant, Marine Corps Schools, Presentation, p. 6, tab VI.A, General Officers Symposium Book, 1965.
69. Fails, *Marines and Helicopters*, p. 138; note 9.
70. *Ibid.*, pp. 129–130.
71. *Ibid.*, p. 139.
72. BGen E. E. Anderson, Memo for the Record, Subj: Telecon with LtGen V. Krulak, dtd 6Jan68, Norman J. Anderson Papers; CGFMFPac

- msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 10Jan68, III MAF Incoming Msgs; MajGen Norman J. Anderson intvw, 17Mar81, pp. 254–56 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
73. DepDir Pers, Presentation, tab J, pp. 3–4, General Officers Symposium Book, 1968.
74. MajGen Carl A. Youngdale ltr to Commanding General, III Marine Amphibious Force, Subj: Board to Consider the Utilization, Command and Control of Marine Corps Helicopter Assets in III MAF, dtd 27Apr69, Encl (2), pp. 20–22, hereafter Youngdale Board.
75. Fails, *Marines and Helicopters*, p. 141.
76. MajGen Keith B. McCutcheon ltr to MajGen William G. Thrash et al., Subj: Graduation of Marine Officers from U.S. Air Force Flight Schools, dtd 14Feb68, and MajGen McCutcheon ltr to BGen E. E. Anderson, dtd 10Oct68, ltr No. 93, both in McCutcheon Papers, Box 20, PC464, MCHC; DCS Air Presentation, pp. 11–13, tab G, General Officers Symposium Book, 1968.
77. DCS Air Presentation, p. 24, tab I, General Officers Symposium Book, 1969.
78. Fails, *Marines and Helicopters*, p. 140.
79. DC/S Air memo to ACS, G–1, Subj: Manpower Overage for Occupational Field (OF) 63, dtd 12Apr68 (CF 68 file 5310).
80. Youngdale Board, p. 20.
81. *Ibid.*, pp. 20, 22.
82. *Ibid.*, p. 20.

Filling the Ranks in Vietnam

83. Unless otherwise noted, the number of Marines in Vietnam is taken from page 14–1 of the HQMC Command Center, Status of Forces.
84. "U.S. Ground Strategy and Force Deployments," Dept of Defense, *Pentagon Papers*, bk 5, sec IV–C–6, vol. 2, pp. 213–214.
85. *Ibid.*, pp. 148, 150.
86. *Ibid.*, p. 218.
87. CGFMFPac msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 27Oct67, and CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 9Mar68; in HQMC Msg Files. MCBul 1300, Subj: Tour Lengths for Personnel Attached to Fleet Marine Force Units in the Far East, dtd 24Oct68, CF 1968, File 1300.
88. CMC ltr to CG MCB Camp Pendleton and CG MCB Camp Lejeune, Subj: Retraining of Personnel into Occupational Field 03, dtd 5Jun67, CF 1967, file 1500.
89. Staging Battalion ComdC, Jul–Dec 1967, pp. 3–4, Jan–Jun 1968, p. 3, in Camp Pendleton ComdC, Jul–Dec67.
90. MACV Strength Report for 31 Dec 1967, dtd 24 Jan 68, pp. 1–3, 104–119.
91. KIA from Vietnam casualty reference file prepared by MGySgt H. G. Lock, drawn from the information kept at the Vietnam Veterans Memorial. WIA from CMC Reference Notebook, 1968, tab II–A, Subj: USMC Casualties SEAsia, n.d.

The Deployment of Regimental Landing Team 27

92. The discussion on the high-level manpower policy in this section is drawn in part from Herbert Y. Schandler, *The Unmaking of a President: Lyndon Johnson and Vietnam* (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1977), pp. 92–104.
93. JCS msg to CMC, dtd 13Feb68, tab F, G–3, HQMC, 27th Marines Deployment.

94. CMC msg to CG FMFPac, dtd 13Feb68, in G-3 HQMC, 27th Marines Deployment file.

95. CMC msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 13Feb68, in HQMC G-3 file, 27th Marines Deployment; 5th Mar Div, RLT 27 Deployment AAR, dtd 8May68, hereafter RLT 27 Deployment AAR.

96. Arrival date for Co B (rein), 5th Tank Battalion, embarked on the USS *Thomaston* (LSD 28), taken from HQMC, Status of Forces, 3Dec68, p. 18-8.

97. The section on the deployment of RLT 27 has been drawn from the 27th Mar ComdCs and 1/27 ComdCs; the HQMC, G-3, 27th Marines Deployment folder; RLT 27 Deployment AAR; the General Officers Symposium Book, 1968; and the unit diaries of the appropriate units.

98. LtGen Adolph G. Schwenk intvw 23Jun82, p. 19 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).

99. General Officers Symposium Book, 1968, tab 1, p. 4.

Reserve Callup?

100. "U.S. Ground Strategy and Force Deployments," *Pentagon Papers*, bk 5, sec IV-6-C, vol. 3, p. 11.

101. *Ibid.*, p. 15.

102. Chapman intvw, p. 26.

103. General Officers Symposium Book, 1968, tab H, p. 2-3; CMC Reference Notebook 1968 tab VI-B-3-a, Subj: Marine Corps Reserve Units to be Activated upon Mobilization, 24Jan68.

104. CMC Reference Notebook 1968, tab VI-B-3-c, Subj: Readiness of 4th Division/Wing Team, 24Jan68; General Officers Symposium Book, 1969, tab E, p. 26.

105. CMC Reference Notebook 1968, tab VI-B-3-a, Subj: Marine Corps Reserve Units to be Activated Upon Mobilization, 24Jan68; Hist Div, Joint Secretariat, JCS, "The History of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, The Joint Chiefs of Staff and the War in Vietnam, 1960-1968," pt 3, 1Jul70, Chapter 49, p. 15; General Officers Symposium Book, 1968, tab D, pp. 14-15.

106. General Officers Symposium Book, 1968, tab D, p. 15; CMC Reference Notebook, tab VI-B-3-c, Subj: Readiness of 4th Division/Wing Team, 24Jan68.

107. "U.S. Ground Strategy and Force Deployments," *Pentagon Papers*, bk 5, sec IV-C-6, vol. 3, pp. 71-78.

The Bloodiest Month, The Bloodiest Year

108. CGIIIMAF msg to CG3d MarDiv, CG1stMarDiv, dtd 20May68, HQMC Msg File.

109. CGFMFPac msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 23May68, HQMC Msg File.

110. CMC msg to CGFMFPac, CGIIIMAF, dtd 4Jun68, HQMC Msg File.

111. AC/S G-3, Presentation, tab D, p. 8, General Officers Symposium Book, 1968.

112. Staging Battalion ComdC, Jul-Dec 68, p. 1-2.

113. CGFMFPac msg dtd 12Sep68, quoted in CMC Vietnam Chronology, p. 748.

114. LtGen Henry W. Buse, CGFMFPac, Presentation, tab M, pp. 14-15, General Officers Symposium Book, 1969.

115. Data on KIA/MIA from file by MGySgt Lock, and USMC Separation

Database at the Center for Naval Analyses; data on WIA from CMC Reference Notebook 1968, tab II-A, Subj: tab A, USMC Casualties SEAsia, 26Feb69, and L. A. Palinkas and P. Coben, *Combat Casualties Among U.S. Marine Corps Personnel in Vietnam 1964-1972*, report no. 85-11 (San Diego, California: Naval Health Research Center, 1985).

Foxhole Strength: Still Too Few Marines

116. CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 5Mar68, HQMC Msg File.

117. Col C. J. Robichaud debrief by FMFPac, 3Jun68, Tape 2813 (Oral HistColl, MCHC); Col William L. Dick debrief FMFPac, 21Jul68, tape 3036, Oral HistColl, MCHC). Both officers had recently returned from tours with the 3d Marine Division; Col Robichaud served as Commanding Officer, Task Force Robbie, and Col Dick as DC/S, Plans.

118. LtGen John E. McLaughlin intvw, 18Oct78, p. 39 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter McLaughlin intvw.

119. Marsh intvw.

120. McLaughlin intvw, pp. 13-14.

121. CGFMFPac msgs to CMC, dtd 22Jul68 in HQMC Msg File.

122. CGFMFPac msg to CGFMFPac (Fwd) (for General Chapman (CMC)), dtd 1Aug68, in HQMC Msg File.

123. Staging Battalion ComdC, Jul-Dec 68, p. 4.

The Return of RLT 27

124. Impact Assessment re: Marine Corps SEA Forces, p. 9, encl, CMC memo to DepSecDef, Subj: Marine Corps Strength and Structure, attached to CMC msg to CG FMFPac and CG III MAF, dtd 2Oct68, in MCEO File.

125. "U.S. Ground Strategy and Force Deployments," *Pentagon Papers*, bk 5, sec IV-C-6, vol. 3, p. 71.

126. HQ, 1st Bde, 5th InfDiv(M), Operational Report-Lessons Learned, Period Ending 31 October 1968, pp. 1-2.

127. CMC Reference Notebook 1968, tab II-E, Key Issue Paper, Subj: Redeployment of RLT 27.

128. CGIIIMAF msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 24Jun68, HQMC Msg File.

129. JCS msg to CinCPac, dtd 23Jul68, tab N, G-3, HQMC, RLT 27 Redeployment file, hereafter RLT 27 Redeployment File.

130. CGIIIMAF msg to ComUSMACV dtd 26Jul68, tab N, RLT 27 Redeployment File.

131. ComUSMACV msg to CinCPac, dtd 28Jul68 and JCS msg to CMC and CinCPac, dtd 10Aug68, tab N, RLT 27 Redeployment File.

132. CMC msg to CGMarCRecruitDep San Diego, dtd 6Sep68, tab HH, RLT 27 Redeployment File.

133. See all public announcements, speeches, and press guidance included in G-3, HQMC, RLT 27 return to Conus File, hereafter RLT 27 return to Conus File.

134. The number of returnees is based on AAR, Chronological Sequence of Events of the Return and Recognition of Regimental Landing Team 27, n.d., p. 7, tab A; Memo for Record, Subj: RLT-27 Return, 20Sep68, tab JJ; Encl 2, p. 2, no cover document, tab EE; all in RLT 27 return to Conus File.

The End of the Year

135. Thomas C. Thayer, ed., *Forces and Manpower—A Systems Analysis*

View of the Vietnam War 1965–1972, vol. 2, Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Systems Analysis), 18Feb75, pp. 160–167.

136. CGFMFPac msg to CMC, 10Sep68; CGFMFPac msg to CMC n.d.; CMC to CGFMFPac dtd 2Oct68; CMC to CG FMFPac dtd 23Nov68; all in HQMC Msg File.

137. CMC msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 14Nov68, HQMC Msg File.

138. HQMC, Status of Forces, 2Jan69, p. 14–1.

The Marine Corps and the Draft

139. BGen Raymond G. Davis, AC/S G–1, Presentation, tab V.A.1, p. 4, General Officers Symposium Book, 1965.

140. Marsh intvw; LtCol Richard J. Alger, intvw with Capt David A. Dawson, 16Jan92.

141. General Officers Symposium Book, 1968, tab I, pp. 5–7; The Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Systems Analysis) figure given at this Symposium is 304,500, but MajGen J. M. Platt made it clear that this figure was still under discussion. CMC Reference Notebook 1968, tab II–F, SecNav Summary, 3Jun68, shows 306,408 as the budgeted strength for the Marine Corps.

CHAPTER 28 BACKING UP THE TROOPS

A Division of Responsibility

1. Col Rex O. Dillow, Comments on draft, dtd 10Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Dillow Comments; Draft of III MAF report on Logistics for General Officers Symposium, Jul68, n.d. [Jun68], Encl, Dillow Comments, hereafter III MAF Report on Logistics.

2. FLC ComdC, Feb68, tab J, Encl 2, p. 6.

3. *Ibid.*, Jan68, Encl 1, p. 6.

4. Gen Westmoreland msg to Gen Wheeler and Adm Sharp, dtd 9Feb68, Encl, Joel D. Meyerson, Chief, Operational History Branch, CMH, Comments on draft, dtd 6Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Meyerson Comments.

5. Meyerson Comments.

6. ACS G–4, III MAF to Force Informational Services Officer, Subj: Northern I Corps Logistics, n.d. [Mar–Apr68], Encl, Dillow Comments, hereafter Northern I Corps Logistics; III MAF Report on Logistics.

7. LtCol Ralph F. Moody, Maj Thomas Donnelly and Capt Moyers S. Shore II, “Backing Up the Troops” in LtCol Ralph F. Moody, et al., “Marines in Vietnam, 1954–68,” 8 pts (ms, MCHC, Washington, D.C., 1971), pt VII, p. 22–16, hereafter Moody, Donnelly and Shore, “Backing Up the Troops”; BGen E. E. Anderson to MajGen Keith B. McCutcheon, dtd 19Feb68, Letters No. 56, File A, 1968 Correspondence, Box 20 (McCutcheon Papers, PC464)

8. U.S. Army Support Command Da Nang (Prov), Operational Report for Period ending 30Apr68, dtd 18May68, Encl, Meyerson Comments, hereafter Army Support Comd, Da Nang, Opn Rpt, dtd 18May68, Meyerson Comments.

9. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Feb68, p. 75.

10. FLC ComdC, Jan68, tab E, Encl 2, pp. 6–8.

11. FLC ComdC, Feb68, Encl 1, pp. 5–7.

12. FLC ComdC, Mar68, tab D, Encl 2, p. 4.

13. FLC ComdC, Apr68, Encl 1, pp. 7–8.

14. Northern I Corps Logistics.

15. Moody, Donnelly and Shore, “Backing Up the Troops,” p. 22–15; III MAF Report on Logistics; Dillow Comments.

16. Moody, Donnelly and Shore, “Backing Up the Troops,” p. 22–16; CG III MAF Itr to ComUSMACV, Subj: Performance of Duty by BGen George H. McBride . . . [USA], n.d. [Jul68], Encl, Dillow Comments; Meyerson Comments; Army Support Comd, Da Nang, Opn Rpt, dtd 18May68, Meyerson Comments.

17. Abrams msg to Westmoreland, dtd 24Mar68, Encl, Meyerson Comments.

Naval Logistic Support

Additional sources for this section are: Naval Support Activity, Da Nang, Command History 1968, n.d. [1969] (OAB, NHD, Comd File Post 1Jan46), hereafter NSA, Da Nang, ComdHist 68; Task Force Clearwater, Command History, Feb68–Jul70 (OAB, NHD, Comd File Post 1Jan46), hereafter TF Clearwater, ComdHist, 68–70; VAdm Edwin B. Hooper, *Mobility, Support, Endurance, A Story of Naval Operational Logistics in the Vietnam War, 1965–1968* (Washington: Naval Historical Division, 1972), hereafter Hooper, *Mobility, Support, Endurance*; Edward J. Marolda, *By Sea, Air, and Land, An Illustrated History of the U.S. Navy and the War in Southeast Asia* (Washington, D.C.: Naval Historical Center, 1994), hereafter Marolda, *By Sea, Air, and Land*; Cdr Frank C. Collins, Jr., USN, “Maritime Support of the Campaign in I Corps,” Reprinted from *Naval Review*, 1971, in *The Marines in Vietnam, 1954–1973, An Anthology and Annotated Bibliography* (Washington, D.C.: Hist & Mus Div, 1974) (reprinted in 1983), pp. 230–53, hereafter Collins, “Maritime Support of the Campaign in I Corps.”

18. Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in 1967*, pp. 231–32; Marolda, *By Sea, Air, and Land*, p. 251.

19. NSA, Da Nang, ComdHist 68; Marolda, *By Sea, Air, and Land*, p. 162.

20. NSA, Da Nang, ComdHist 68; Hooper, *Mobility, Support, Endurance*, p. 74.

21. NSA, Da Nang, ComdHist 68; Marolda, *By Sea, Air, and Land*, p. 251.

22. TF Clearwater, ComdHist, 68–70, pp. 1–2; Marolda, *By Sea, Air, and Land*, pp. 186–8.

23. TF Clearwater, ComdHist.

24. Marolda, *By Sea, Air, and Land*, p. 240.

25. “Typical Disposition of 100 Wounded,” HQMC, Status of Forces, dtd 30Dec68, p. 20–2.

26. Marolda, *By Sea, Air, and Land*, pp. 244–50.

Marine Engineers

27. 3d Engr Bn ComdC, Jan68.

28. 1st Engr Bn ComdC, Jan68.

29. Company A, 1st Engr Bn, CAAR, Opn Hue City, dtd 24Mar68, tab A, and Company B, 1st Engr Bn, CAAR, Opn Hue City, dtd 15Mar68, tab B, 1st Engr Bn, ComdC, Mar68; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Dec68, pp. 105–6.

30. 11th Engr Bn ComdCs, Mar–Apr68.

31. 1st Engr Bn ComdC, Jul68.
32. 1st Engr Bn ComdCs, Apr–Dec68.
33. 1st MarDiv ComdCs, Jun–Dec68; 7th Engr Bn ComdCs, May–Dec68; C. A. Rocco memo to CO 7th Engr Bn, Subj: Completion of Barrier Project, dtd 23 Aug68, Encl, LtCol Themistocles T. Annas, Comments on draft chapter, dtd 6Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File); Charles R. Smith, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, High Mobility and Standdown, 1969* (Washington, D.C.: Hist&MusDiv, HQMC, 1988), p. 201.

The FLC Continues to Cope

34. FLC ComdC, Mar68, tab E, Encl 2, p. 6.
35. FLC ComdCs, Apr68, tab E, Encl 2, pp. 6–7, and May68, tab E, Encl 2, p. 6.
36. FLC ComdC, Jun68, tab E, Encl 2, pp. 6–8.
37. FLC ComdCs, Aug68, tab E, pp. 6–7, and Oct68, p. 7.
38. FLC ComdC, Nov68, Encl 1, p. 8.
39. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Dec68, p. 90.

PART VI

Other Perspectives: Pacification and Marines Outside of III MAF

CHAPTER 29 PACIFICATION

Unless otherwise noted, the sources for this chapter are: MACV ComdHist, 1967; MACV ComdHist, 1968; FMFPac, MarOpsV with specific month; III MAF ComdCs, Dec67–Jan68; A&S Files, Indochina Archives; Krulak, *First to Fight*; Sharp and Westmoreland, *Report on the War*; Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*; Shulimson and Johnson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1965*; Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1966*; Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*.

Prelude

1. Robert J. Komer, *Bureaucracy at War, U.S. Performance in the Vietnam Conflict* (Boulder, CO: Westview Press, 1986), p. 113, hereafter, Komer, *Bureaucracy at War*; for the figures on CAP strength see FMFPac, MarOpsV, Dec67, p. 64.
2. For the Marine system, see Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1966*, pp. 256–58; for the first quote see Richard Hunt, "Pacification and Counter-Insurgency," in *Vietnam Historians Workshop*, Plenary Session (Washington: Hist&Mus Div, HQMC, 9 May 1983), p. 4; for the second quote see Thomas C. Thayer, *War Without Fronts: The American Experience in Vietnam* (Boulder, Colo: Westview Press, 1985), pp. 4–5, hereafter Thayer, *War Without Fronts*.
3. For this and the following paragraph, see FMFPac, MarOpsV, Dec67, p. 49; 3d MarDiv, Topic No. 6, Psychological Operations, 3d MarDiv Working Papers, Input to III MAF Campaign Plan Quarterly Review, n.d [Dec67], Encl 78, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Dec67.
4. This and the following four paragraphs are drawn from Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1966*, pp. 243–45.
5. *Ibid.*, pp. 249–50; Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, p. 184; MajGen Raymond L. Murray intvw, 1975, pp. 18–9 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Murray intvw.

6. Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, pp. 165–6.
7. *Ibid.*, 159–61; Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1966*, pp. 44–5.
8. Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1966*, pp. 44–5; Thomas C. Thayer, OASD (SA) RP Southeast Intelligence Division, editor, *A Systems Analysis View of the Vietnam War 1965–72*, v. 10, Pacification and Civil Affairs (Springfield, VA: National Technical Information Service, Dept of Commerce, 1975) ADA 039317, pp. 47 and 49, hereafter, Thayer, "Pacification and Civil Affairs," v. 10.
9. Komer, *Bureaucracy at War*, pp. 118–19; Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, pp. 214–15.
10. Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, p. 215.
11. Komer, *Bureaucracy at War*, pp. 41 and 117–19.
12. Shulimson, *Marines in Vietnam, 1966*, p. 254; MACV ComdHist, 1967, pp. 318 and 320.
13. MACV ComdHist, 1967, p. 320; MACV ComdHist, 1968, I, pp. 561–2; Komer, *Bureaucracy at War*, pp. 33–5.
14. MACV ComdHist, 1967, p. 320; MACV ComdHist, 1968, I, pp. 13–7.
15. CMC msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 12Oct67, HQMC Msg File.
16. CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 21Oct67, HQMC Msg File.
17. *Ibid.*
18. *Ibid.*
19. *Ibid.*
20. *Ibid.*
21. MACV ComdHist, 1968, I, p. 519; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Dec67, p. 53; Thayer, *War Without Fronts*, pp. 142–3.
22. MACV ComdHist, 1968, I, p. 519.
23. For an official opinion that the "crossover point" had been reached, see MACV J–2 estimate cited in CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 24Aug67, HQMC Msg File. Relative to the removal of the two categories, see MACV Briefing on Enemy Order of Battle, 24Nov67, quoted in Thayer, *War Without Fronts*, p. 31 and the discussion in Chapter 1.
24. Thayer, *War Without Fronts*, pp. 30, 33, and 208–09; MACV ComdHist, I, p. 544.

The Tet Offensives and Operation Recovery

25. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Feb68, p. 52 and Dec68, p. 53; MACV ComdHist, I, pp. 520, 536, 539, 541; Patrick J. McGarvey, untitled ms, Hoover Institution on War, Peace and Revolution, Stanford University, Chapter 6, p. 41.
26. MACV ComdHist, 1968, I, pp. 520, 536, 539, 542–44.
27. The source for this and the following paragraph is: FMFPac, MarOpsV, Mar68, pp. 30–2.
28. MACV ComdHist, 1968, I, 539.
29. *Ibid.*, pp. 554–55; Thayer, *War Without Fronts*, p. 169.
30. MACV, ComdHist, 1968, I, p. 520.
31. Komer, *Bureaucracy at War*, p. 30.
32. *Ibid.*
33. MACV ComdHist, 1968, I, pp. 554–5; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Dec68, pp. 59–60.

34. MACV ComdHist, 1968, I, pp. 521, 525–6.
35. MarOpsV, Dec68, p. 54; Maj Edward F. Palm, Comments on draft, dtd 28May95 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Palm Comments.
36. Thayer, "Pacification and Civil Affairs," v. 10, pp. 10–2.
37. Ibid; 1st MarDiv ltr to dist list, Subj: Restoration of Vietnam RR, dtd 1Aug68, and attachments, Encl 1, 1st MarDiv ComdC, Aug68; III MAF Fact Sheet, Subj: Da Nang-Hue Railroad, dtd 15Oct68, in III MAF Fact Sheets, Asst CMC Visit, 28 Oct–4Nov68, hereafter III MAF Da Nang-Hue Railroad Fact Sheet, 15Oct68.
38. III MAF Da Nang-Hue Railroad Fact Sheet, 15Oct68; III MAF ComdC, Nov68, p. 30; III MAF ComdC, Dec68, pp. 31–2; MACV ComdHist, 1968, I, p. 544.

III MAF and Pacification

39. Komer, *Bureaucracy at War*, p. 59.
40. Gen Robert E. Cushman, Jr., intvw, 1Nov82, 49–50 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Cushman intvw, 1982.
41. MajGen Norman J. Anderson, intvw, 3d Session, 17Mar1981, p. 231 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
42. Cushman intvw, 1982, p. 49.
43. III MAF Fact Sheet, Subj: New Concepts in Pacification Management, dtd 19Oct68, in III MAF Fact Sheets, Asst CMC Visit, 28 Oct–4Nov68. For some of the friction between the Combined Action Program and CORDS, see LtCol Byron F. Brady, Comments on draft, dtd 30Oct94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Brady Comments. See also the discussion in the section on the Combined Action Program in this chapter.
44. III MAF ComdC, Mar68, p. 31; Col Ross R. Miner, Debriefing by FMFPac, 5Sep68, Tape 3068, (Oral HistColl, MCHC); FMFPac, MarOpsV, Dec68, pp. 71–2.
45. III MAF ComdC, Feb68, pp. 32–3.
46. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Mar68, p. 45 and III MAF ComdC, Dec68, pp. 39–40.
47. III MAF ComdC, Dec68, pp. 25–6 and 39–40; Chart of Chieu Hoi Returnees, Jan–Sep68, attached to III MAF Fact Sheet, Subj: Status of Pacification in I Corps, dtd 18 Oct68, in III MAF Fact Sheets, Asst CMC Visit, 28 Oct–4Nov68; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Feb68, p. 52, Dec68, p. 51.
48. III MAF ComdC, Feb68, p. 31; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Dec68, p. 51; LtGen Donn J. Robertson intvw, 1973–76, p. 12 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
49. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Dec68, p. 122.
50. 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jan68, p. 45.
51. 1st MarDiv ComdC, Jan68, p. 23; 7th Engr Bn, Historical Study of CivicAction, Jan1968–June1969, dtd 1Aug69, Encl, LtCol Themistocles T. Annas, USMC, Comments on draft, dtd 6Dec1994, hereafter 7th Eng Bn, Hist Study Civic Action.
52. 1st MarDiv ComdCs, Nov68, pp. 25–6, and Dec68, pp. 44–5; 7th Eng Bn, Hist Study Civic Action.
53. 3d MarDiv ComdC, May68, pp. 40–1.
54. MajGen Raymond G. Davis, debrief at FMFPac, 15Apr69, pp. 337–42, (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
55. Col Donald R. Myers, Comments on draft, dtd 27Oct94 (Vietnam Comment File); 1stLt Justin M. Martin ltr to wife, dtd 24Nov68, Encl to LtCol Justin M. Martin, Comments on draft, n.d. [Jul96] (Vietnam Comment File)
56. III MAF ComdC, Aug68; III MAF Fact Sheet, Subj: 3d Marine Division Memorial Children's Hospital, dtd 12Oct68, in III MAF Fact Sheets, Asst CMC Visit, 28 Oct–4Nov68; Graham A. Comas and LtCol Terrence P. Murray, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, Vietnamization and Redeployment, 1970–71* (Washington, D.C.: Hist & Mus Div, HQMC, 1986), pp. 171–2, hereafter, Comas and Murray, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam 1970–71*. Colonel Peabody is quoted in Comas and Murray, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1970–71*, p. 172.
57. LtCol Merrill L. Bartlett, Comments on draft, dtd 8Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File); Charles R. Anderson, *Vietnam, The Other War* (Novato, Cal: Presidio Press, 1982), pp. 71 and 75.

Homicide in the Countryside

58. Cushman intvw, 1982, pp. 11–2; Cushman intvw, Mar 1969, 475–76 (Oral HistColl, MCHC); MajGen Rathvon McC Tompkins intvw, 1973, pp. 62–3 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
59. Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, pp. 375–80; Cushman intvw, 1982, pp. 35–6.
60. Cushman intvw, 1982, p. 36.
61. LtCol Gary D. Solis, *Marines and Military Law in Vietnam: Trial by Fire* (Washington, D. C.: Hist & Mus Div, HQMC, 1989), pp. 111–4, hereafter Solis, *Trial by Fire*. The statistics of Marine court-martials are from Maj W. Hays Parks, "Crimes in Hostilities," pt I, *Marine Corps Gazette*, Aug76, v. 60, no. 8, pp. 16–22, p. 18.
62. Solis, *Trial by Fire*, p. 139.
63. LtCol Gary D. Solis, "Marine Corps War Crimes in Vietnam: Uncounted and Unpunished?" Precis of paper (Jan 1993) to be presented at Naval Academy Historical Symposium, Oct 1993; Maj W. Hays Parks, "Crimes in Hostilities," Conclusion, *Marine Corps Gazette*, Sept 1976, v. 60, No. 9, pp. 33–39, p. 38, hereafter Parks, "Crimes in Hostilities," Conclusion; Solis, *Trial by Fire*, pp. 138–39. The quotes in the text follow the order of presentation of the sources.
64. Quoted in Parks, "Crimes in Hostilities," Conclusion, p. 38.
65. Murray intvw, pp. 24–5.

Changing Attitudes

66. III MAF Fact Sheet, Subj: Personal Response, dtd 18Oct68, in III MAF Fact Sheets, Asst CMC Visit, 28 Oct–4Nov68, dtd 31Oct68, hereafter Personal Response Fact Sheet, Oct68; "III MAF Platoon Leader's Personal Response Notebook" [1967], given to author by LCdr Richard McGonigal (USN).
67. Personal Response Fact Sheet, Oct68; 3dMarDiv ComdC, Jan68, pp. 46–7.
68. Personal Response Fact Sheet, Oct68.
69. Ibid.; MajGen Rathvon McC Tompkins, debrief by FMFPac, 17Dec68, Tape 3627 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
70. Parks, "Crimes in Hostilities," Conclusion, p. 38.
71. Comas and Murray, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1970–71*, p. 350. See also Col Richard B. Smith, Comments on draft, dtd 14Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File).

The Boys Next Door: The Combined Action Program

72. Personal Response Project, FMFPac (Fwd), Interim Report on Combined Action Units, III Marine Amphibious Force, with Special Attention to Personal Relationships, dtd 8Feb67, hereafter Interim Report on Combined Action Units; LCdr Richard A. McGonigal intvw 12 Oct 1984 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter McGonigal intvw.
73. Michael E. Peterson, *The Combined Action Platoons, The U.S. Marines' Other War in Vietnam* (New York: Praeger, 1989), pp. 38–40, hereafter Peterson, *The Combined Action Platoons*; Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, p. 270; III MAF ComdCs, Feb–Jun 67; LtCol William R. Corson, Biog File in Biog Files, Ref Sec, MCHC. See also LtGen Victor H. Krulak, Comments on draft, dtd 31Oct94 (Vietnam Comment File).
74. III MAF ComdCs, Jun–July67; LtGen Herman Nickerson intvw, 10Jan73, p. 76 (Oral HistColl, MCHC); Robert A. Klyman, "The Combined Action Program: An Alternative Not Taken," ms, Honors Thesis, Department of History, The University of Michigan, 1986, pp. 21–2, hereafter Klyman, "The Combined Action Program"; Peterson, *The Combined Action Platoons*, p. 39.
75. Peterson, *The Combined Action Platoons*, p. 50; III MAF ComdCs, Jul–Dec67; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Dec67, p. 64.
76. LtCol Bryon F. Brady, intvw, Oct, 1984 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Brady intvw.
77. CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 19Aug67; CGFMFPac msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 1Nov67; CGIIIMAF msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 2 Dec67 (Reel 4, HQMC Msg File);
78. Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, p. 166; CGIIIMAF msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 2Dec67 and CGFMFPac msg to CMC, dtd 5Dec67 (Reel 4, HQMC Msg File).
79. Robert J. Komer, intvw with Martin Russ, 29 July 1976 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
80. "Discussions with Ambassador Komer, 7Jan68" in CMC, Trip Visit to Vietnam, Jan68.
81. Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, p. 216; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Dec68, p. 656; Peterson, *The Combined Action Platoons*, pp. 62–3; MajGen E. E. Anderson intvw, Dec68, Tape 3578 (Oral HistColl, MCHC) as quoted in Klyman, "The Combined Action Program," p. 34; Telephone conversation with Ambassador Robert Komer, dtd 29Jul85 as quoted in Klyman, "The Combined Action Program" footnote 19, p. 82.
82. CGIIIMAF ltr to CMC, Subj: Change to T/O for Combined Action Group etc., dtd 30Jan68, attached to III MAF Fact Sheet, dtd 12 Oct68, Subj: Combined Action Program, in III MAF Fact Sheets, Asst CMC Visit, 28 Oct–4Nov68, dtd 31Oct68; Resume of telecon, III MAF to FMFPac, dtd 10Jan68 in III MAF Special Report to FMFPac Fldr.
83. Igor Bobrowsky intvw, 3Dec1982, Tape Three, pp. 2–3 (Oral HistColl, MCHC). See also Igor Bobrowsky, Comments on draft, dtd 26Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File).
84. Thayer, "Pacification and Civil Affairs," v. 10, pp. 37–8.
85. Col Harold L. Oppenheimer, FMFPac debrief, n.d. [1968] (Oral HistColl, MCHC) and Col H. L. Oppenheimer, Deputy Special Projects, III MAF, memo, n.d. [May68?], Subj: Analysis Combined Action Program, Encl Brady Comments.
86. Brady intvw; LtCol Bryon F. Brady Presentation and Round Table Discussion, CAP Reunion, Oct84 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Brady Round Table; Brady Comments; Dir, CAP memo to DepCG, III MAF, Subj: Mobile CAPs, dtd 2Jun68, Encl, Brady Comments, hereafter Mobile CAP Memo, 2Jun68; Col Robert J. Keller, Comments on draft, dtd 2Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File).
87. Mobile CAP Memo, 2Jun68 and Brady Round Table.
88. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Feb–May68.
89. McGonigal intvw; Brady intvw; III MAF Force Bulletin 1306, Subj: Combined Action Program Personnel Assignment, dtd 18Apr68, in III MAF Combined Action Program Personnel Assignment Folder, Apr–Jul68.
90. III MAF Force O 3121.4B, Subj: Standing Operating Procedure for the Combined Action Program, dtd 22Jun68, in III MAF Combined Action Program Personnel Assignment Folder, Apr–Jul68.
91. Ibid.
92. FMFPac, MarOpsV, May68, p. 36; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Jul68, p. 33; III MAF ComdC, Jul68. There is some discrepancy in the number of Marines assigned to the program between FMFPac and III MAF. The FMFPac report for July reported only 1,773 Marines in the program.
93. Col Richard B. Smith, debrief at FMFPac, Jul68, Tape 3041 (Oral HistColl, MCHC); Col Alexander L. Michaux, debrief at FMFPac, 18Jul68 (Oral HistColl, MCHC); MajGen Raymond G. Davis, debrief at FMFPac, 15Apr69, p. 338 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
94. III MAF ComdC, Jul68; 4th CAG ComdC, Oct68; CO 4th CAG ltr to Director, Combined Action Program, Subj: 4th CAG proposed expansion plan . . . , dtd 9Aug68, tab 3, 4th CAG ComdC, Oct68.
95. FMFPac, MarOpsV, Dec68, p. 67; 2d CAG ComdCs, Oct–Dec68. There is again a discrepancy in numbers relative to the strength of the CAPs between the FMFPac report and the III MAF command chronology. The chronology showed a Combined Action strength for December as 38 officers and 1,871 Marine enlisted men as compared to 32 officers and 1,275 Marine enlisted men for January 1968, an increase of about 600 men. III MAF ComdCs Jan and Dec68. One explanation for the difference between the FMFPac figures and that of III MAF is that the III MAF figures represent an average over the month. It is also possible that the FMFPac report is not including the administrative personnel assigned to the Combined Action Groups and companies.
96. III MAF Fact Sheet, Subj: Status of Pacification in I Corps, dtd 18 Oct68, in III MAF Fact Sheets, Asst CMC Visit, 28 Oct–4Nov68; BGen E.E. Anderson ltrs to LtGen W. J. Van Ryzin, dtd 11 Sep68, and 16Oct68, Encls, Gen Earl E. Anderson, Comments on draft, dtd 18Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File); Cushman intvw, 1982, pp. 52–3.
97. Brady intvw and Brady Round Table; III MAF, Force/Senior Advisor I Corps Order 5080.10, Subj: Pacification Coordination, n.d. [Jul68], and III MAF, Force/Senior Advisor I Corps Order 508010A, Subj: Pacification Coordination, n.d. [Jul68], in III MAF Combined Action Program Personnel Assignment Fldr, Apr–Jul68; Background File on Proposed III MAF Order on Pacification Coordination, Jun–Aug768, Encl, Brady Comments.
98. Questionnaire for Personnel Nominated for the Combined Action Program, n.d.; Brady intvw; Brady Comments; Igor Bobrowsky intvw, 3Dec1982, Tape One, p. 21 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Bobrowsky Tape One; Igor Bobrowsky, Corrections and Clarifications (Tape One), dtd 7 Dec1983.
99. Bobrowsky Tape One, pp. 17–8.
100. Eugene H. Ferguson intvw, 13Oct1984 (Oral HistColl MCHC), hereafter Ferguson intvw.

101. *Ibid.* and Bobrowsky Tape One, p. 1; Andrew Lewandowski, "I Feel Like I'm Fixin to Die . . ." Letters and Transcriptions of Vietnam Marine Sergeant Andrew Lewandowski, Mar 1968–Jun 1969, compiled and edited by Dr. Eugene R. Slaski (ms, Andrew Lewandowski Papers, MCHC), pp. 451–2.
102. Maj Edward F. Palm, "Tiger Papa Three: A Memoir of the Combined Action Program," Special preview supplement, *Marine Corps Gazette*, February, 1988, pp. 1–3, hereafter Palm, "Tiger Papa Three."
103. Brady Round Table.
104. Palm, "Tiger Papa Three," Part Two, "The Fire Next Time," p. 20, and Palm Comments. James DuGuid intvw 14Oct1984 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter DuGuid intvw.
105. Igor Bobrowsky intvw, 3Dec1982, Tape Two, pp. 2–3, and 12 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Bobrowsky intvw, Tape Two.
106. *Ibid.*, pp. 8–9; Thomas Harvey, Comments on draft, dtd 13Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File); Ferguson intvw, p. 14.
107. Lam Ha ltr to Robert Klyman, dtd 31Jan86, Robert Klyman Papers, Personal Papers Collection, MCHC.
108. Thayer, "Pacification and Civil Affairs," v. 10, pp. 28–38, quote is from p. 38.
109. Peterson, *The Combined Action Platoons*, pp. 60–3. Harvey is quoted by Peterson, p. 61. See also Ferguson and DuGuid intvws.
110. Thayer, "Pacification and Civil Affairs," v. 10, pp. 36–7.
111. Bobrowsky intvw, Tape Two, p. 21; Michael E. Peterson, Comments on draft, dtd 10Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File); Lawrence A. Yates, "A Feather in Their Cap? The Marines' Combined Action Program in Vietnam" in William R. Roberts and Jack Sweetman, *New Interpretations in Naval History, Selected Papers from the Ninth Naval History Symposium, Held at the United States Naval Academy, 18–20 October 1989* (Annapolis, MD: United States Naval Institute Press, 1991), pp. 309–22, p. 320.
112. Francis J. West, Comments on draft, dtd 2Dec1994 (Vietnam Comment File). West's best known work on Combined Action is *The Village* (New York, Harper & Row, 1972).

The Accelerated Pacification Plan

113. MACV ComdHist, 1968, I, pp. 521–2.
114. *Ibid.* 521–2 and 532–3; FMFPac, MarOpsV, Dec68, pp. 5, 9, 42, 47, 57–8, 60, 62–3, 71–2. For continued progress in the Accelerated Pacification Campaign, see Smith, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1969*, pp. 280–83.

CHAPTER 30 OUTSIDE OF III MAF: THE SPECIAL LANDING FORCES, MARINE ADVISORS, AND OTHERS

The 9th MAB and the SLFs

Additional sources for this section are 9th MAB ComdCs, Jan-Dec68; LtCol Ralph F. Moody and Benis M. Frank, "Special Landing Force," draft ms, 1972 (MCHC), hereafter Moody and Frank, "Special Landing Force," ms.

1. 9th MAB ComdC, Jan68.
2. *Ibid.*; MarOpsV, Jan68, p. 91.

3. TG 79.5 ComdC, Jan68.
4. Telfer, Rogers, and Fleming, *Marines in Vietnam, 1967*, pp. 176–79. LtCol McQuown is quoted on p. 176.
5. BGen Jacob Glick intvw, 20 Jun and 11Jul89, p. 62 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Glick intvw; CG9thMAB msgs to CGFMFPac, dtd 3 and 5Jan68, Encl 3 and 4, 3d MarDiv ComdC, Jan68.
6. CG9thMAB msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 15Jan68, HQMC Msg File, Reel No. 4; Glick intvw, pp. 62–5.
7. Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1966*, pp. 299–305; CGFMFPac msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 11Oct67 (HQMC Msg File, Reel 7).
8. CGIIIMAF msg to CGFMFPac, dtd 16Oct67 (HQMC Msg File, Reel 7).
9. Moody and Frank, "Special Landing Force," ms, Chapter 8.
10. MajGen Rathvon McC. Tompkins intvw, 1973, pp. 60–1 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Tompkins intvw; Col Alexander L. Michaux debriefing at FMFPac, 18Jul68, Tape 2915 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
11. Tompkins intvw, pp. 60–1; LtGen Donn J. Robertson intvw, 1973–76, p. 14 (Oral HistColl, MCHC); Gen Robert E. Cushman intvw, 1 Nov 1982, p. 45 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Cushman intvw, 1982.
12. CGIIIMAF to CGs 1st and 3d MarDivs, dtd 19Dec67 (III MAF Outgoing Msgs, Oct–Dec67).
13. For discussion of the MACV contingency plan, see CGIIIMAF msgs to CGFMFPac, dtd 12 and 13Jan68 and CGFMFPac msg to CGIIIMAF, dtd 6Jan68 and to CMC, dtd 18Jan68 (HQMC Msg File, Reels 4 and 6).
14. CinCPac msg to ComUSMACV, dtd 19Jan68 (Westmoreland Papers, MACV msgs, Jan68); CinCPacFlt msg to CinCPac, dtd 20Jan68 (HQMC Msg Files, Reel 6).
15. LtCol Peter L. Hilgartner, "Amphibious Doctrine in Vietnam," *Marine Corps Gazette*, v. 53, no. 1 (Jan 1969), pp. 28–31. Quote is from p. 31.
16. Col Franklin L. Smith debriefing at FMFPac [May 1968] Tape 2904 (Oral HistColl, MCHC).
17. TG 79.5 ComdC, Jun68.
18. TG 79.5 ComdCs, Jul–Oct68.
19. BLT 2/26 ComdCs, Aug–Sep68. See also Com, Seventh Flt, Monthly Summary, Aug68 (OAB, NHD), pp. 36–7.
20. III MAF Fact Sheet, Subj: SLF Employment, dtd Oct 1968, Encl 24, to CGIIIMAF ltr to distribution list, dtd 31Oct68, Subj: III MAF Fact Sheets, Asst CMC Visit, 28 Oct–4Nov68, hereafter III MAF Fact Sheet, SLF Deployment; 9th MAB ComdC, Oct68.
21. III MAF Fact Sheet, SLF Deployment; BLT 2/26 ComdCs, Oct–Nov68.
22. CARG Bravo, Operation Daring Endeavor, Post Operation Rpt, dtd 13Dec68 in TG 76.5 Opn Rpts, 1967–69; TG 79.5 ComdC, Nov68.
23. Blt 2/26 ComdC, Dec68. Quotes are from entry dtd 8Dec68, p. 9 and BLT 2/26 CAAR, Opn Valiant Hunt, 15Dec68–5Jan69, dtd 1Jan69, tab A. Although Valiant Hunt AAR is dated 1 January 1968, it is obviously an error, as it contains entries through 5 January 1969, and is attached to the December 1968 ComdC.

24. TG 79.5 ComdC, Dec68; Col Clyde W. Hunter intvw, 10Jul69, Tape 4363 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), as quoted in Smith, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1969*, p. 297.

25. Smith, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1969*, pp. 300–310.

Sub-Unit 1, 1st Air and Naval Gunfire Liaison Company (ANGLICO)

26. Sub-Unit 1, 1st ANGLICO, FMF, ComdC, 1Jan–31Mar68, dtd 15Apr68, hereafter SU–1 ComdC, Jan–Mar68. While the number of ANGLICO personnel with the Koreans probably relates to the number at the end of March, it is assumed that this did not differ greatly from the number assigned in January.

27. Ibid. and MCCC, Status of Forces, dtd 29Feb68. Although the Status of Forces report is dated 29Feb68, the strength figure for ANGLICO Sub-Unit 1 is for 18Jan68. The Status of Forces report does not give the strength of the various detachments of the sub unit.

28. SU–1 ComdC, Jan–Mar68 and attached AAR, OpnHue City, 31Jan–20Feb68.

29. Ibid.

30. SU–1 ComdC, Jan–Mar68, p. 2–2 and Anx D, AAR, Battle of Phan Thiet.

31. SU–1 ComdC, Jan–Mar68; Sub-Unit 1, 1st ANGLICO FMF, ComdC, 1Apr–30Jun68, dtd 19Jul68, hereafter SU–1 ComdC, Apr–Jun68.

32. SU–1 ComdC, Jan–Mar68; SU–1 ComdC, Apr–Jun68; Com Seventh Fleet, Monthly Historical Summaries, Jan–Jun68 (OAB, NHD); CinCPac Flt, Pacific Area Opns, Jun68 (OAB, NHD), p. 2.

33. Sub-Unit 1, 1st ANGLICO, ComdC 1Jul68–30Sep68, dtd 25Oct68, p. 2–1, hereafter SU–1 ComdC, Jul–Sep68; Seventh Fleet, Monthly Historical Summary, Jul68, pp. 2 and 23 (OAB, NHD); CinCPacFlt, Pacific Area Naval Operations Review, Jul68, (OAB, NHD), pp. 36–7.

34. SU–1 ComdC, Jul–Sep68, p. 2–1; Com Seventh Flt, Monthly Summary, Oct 68 (OAB, NHD), p. 35.

Embassy Marines

35. MCCC, Status of Forces, p. 14–1, dtd 10Jan68 and 20Mar68; Marine Security Guard Bn (StateDept) ComdCs, 10Feb67–1969; Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1966*, p. 318.

36. OIC, Marine Security Guard Detachment, American Embassy, Saigon, Incident Report, No. 1–68, Subj: Viet Cong Attack on American Embassy, 31Jan68, dtd 23Feb68 (Marine Security Guard Bn (StateDept) ComdCs, 10Feb67–69, hereafter Incident Report, Viet Cong Attack; Statement of Capt Robert J. O'Brien, n.d. [31Jan–Feb68], Encl, Incident Report, Viet Cong Attack, hereafter O'Brien Statement.

37. The following paragraphs are based upon Don Oberdorfer, *Tet!* (New York: Doubleday & Co, 1971), pp. 2–40; Jack Shulimson, *Tet–1968, The Illustrated History of the Vietnam War* (New York: Bantam Books, 1988), pp. 17–18; Incident Report, Viet Cong Attack.

38. An additional source for this and the following paragraph is Statement of Sgt Ronald W. Harper, n.d. [31Jan–Feb68] in Incident Report, Viet Cong Attack, hereafter Harper Statement.

39. Additional source for this and the following paragraphs is O'Brien Statement.

40. Additional source for this paragraph is Statement of Sgt Richard

G. Frattarelli, n.d. [31Jan–Feb68], in Incident Report, Viet Cong Attack, hereafter Frattarelli Statement.

41. Statement of GySgt Allen Morrison n.d. [31Jan–Feb68], in Incident Report, Viet Cong Attack.

42. Another source for this paragraph is Statement of SSgt Leroy J. Banks, n.d. [31Jan–Feb68], in Incident Report, Viet Cong Attack, hereafter Banks Statement.

43. Another source for this paragraph is Frattarelli Statement.

44. Additional source for this paragraph is Banks Statement.

45. Marine Security Guard Bn (State Dept) ComdC, 1Feb69–30Jun69 in Marine Security Guard Bn (State Dept) ComdCs, 10Feb67–1969.

Individual Marines in Saigon and Elsewhere in Vietnam

46. MCCC, Status of Forces, dtd 20Mar68, p. 14–1. For Chaisson's relationship to Westmoreland, see Westmoreland, *A Soldier Reports*, p. 343. For Chaisson's comments on 3Feb68, see Peter Braestrup, *Big Story, How the American Press and Television Reported and Interpreted the Crisis of Tet 1968 in Vietnam and Washington*, abridged ed. (New Haven, CT, Yale University Press, 1983), p. 124.

47. MCCC, Status of Forces, dtd 29Mar69, p. 14–1.

48. MCCC, Status of Forces, dtd 10Jan68 and 29Mar69, p. 14–1.

Advisors to the Vietnamese Marine Corps

Additional sources for this section are: SMA, Vietnamese Marine Corps/Marine Advisory Unit Historical Summary 1954–1973, hereafter SMA, MACV Hist Summary, 1954–73; SMA, MACV Monthly Historical Reports, Jan–Dec68, hereafter SMA Monthly Rpts and month; SMA After-Action Reports, 1968; Col James T. Breckinridge, After Tour Report, dtd 18Apr69 in SMA, MACV After Tour Rpts, 1965–69, hereafter Breckinridge Rpt; LtGen Le Nguyen Khang intvw, 30Sep75 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), hereafter Khang intvw.

49. SMA Monthly Rpt, Jan68; Marine Advisory Unit Table of Organization Chart 1968, tab A, SMA, MACV Hist Summary, 1954–73, hereafter, Table of Organization Chart.

50. Table of Organization Chart; Breckinridge Rpt; LtCol Jerry I. Simpson, Comments on draft, dtd 10Nov94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Simpson Comments.

51. SMA, MACV Hist Summary, 1954–73.

52. SMA, MACV Hist Summary, 1954–73.

53. Ibid. and Breckinridge Rpt.

54. SMA, MACV Hist Summary, 1954–73; Shulimson and Johnson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1965*, p. 204; Shulimson, *U.S. Marines in Vietnam, 1966*, pp. 84–91.

55. Khang intvw., p. 23.

56. SMA, MACV Monthly Rpt, Jan68.

57. LtCol Pham Van Son et al., *The Viet Cong Tet Offensive (1968)* (Saigon: Republic of Vietnam, Joint General Staff, 1969), pp. 71–75, hereafter Pham Van Son, *The Viet Cong Tet Offensive*; Col Hoang Ngoc Lung, *The General Offensives of 1968–69*, Indochina Monographs (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Army Center of Military History, 1981), pp. 53–63, hereafter Lung, *The General Offensives*.

58. LtCol John J. Hainsworth, Comments on draft, dtd 12Dec94 (Vietnam Comment File), hereafter Hainsworth Comments.

59. SMA SitRep for period 28Jan–3Feb68, dtd 10Feb68 in SMA,

MACV SitReps, 1965–68, hereafter SMA SitRep, 10Feb68; Capt Harry J. Shane, MAU, NAG, CAAR, Tran Huong Dao, dtd 7Jun68, in SMA, MACV, AARs, 1968–69, hereafter SMA, CAAR, Tran Huong Dao.

60. SMA SitRep, 10Feb68; Hainsworth Comments; Newspaper Clippings, Encl, Hainsworth Comments.

61. SMA SitRep, 10Feb68; Simpson Comments.

62. SMA SitRep, 10Feb68; SMA, CAAR, Tran Huong Dao.

63. SMA SitRep, 10Feb68; SMA, CAAR, Tran Huong Dao; SMA, Monthly Rpt, Feb68.

64. SMA, CAAR, Tran Huong Dao; Lung, *The General Offensives*, p. 71.

65. SMA, CAAR, Tran Huong Dao.

66. SMA Monthly Rpts, Feb and Mar68; Maj Talman C. Budd II, MAU, NAG, CAAR, Hue City dtd 25Jul68, AAR in SMA, MACV, AARs, 1968–69; Tet Offensive statistical chart in Breckinridge Rpt.

67. Major Operations, Jan–Dec68 Statistical Chart and Col James T. Breckinridge, debriefing, dtd 2May69, hereafter Breckinridge debriefing, in Breckinridge Rpt.

68. Abrams msg to Westmoreland, dtd 23Feb68 (Abrams Papers, CMH); Jeffrey J. Clarke, *Advice and Support: The Final Years*, The U.S. Army in Vietnam (Washington, D.C.: Center of Military History, U.S. Army, 1988), p. 312; Khang intvw, pp. 45–48; Breckinridge debriefing.

69. Breckinridge Rpt.

70. Breckinridge Rpt.

CHAPTER 31 1968: AN OVERVIEW

1. LtGen John R. Chaisson presentation to the Commandant's Advisory Committee on Marine Corps History, 27Jul92 (Oral HistColl, MCHC), p. 412.

Appendix A

Marine Command and Staff List

1 January–31 December 1968

	III MAF Headquarters 1Jan–31Dec68				
CG	LtGen Robert E. Cushman, Jr.	1Jan–31Dec68	G–2	Col Russell E. Corey	1Jan–14Jan68
DepCG	MajGen Raymond L. Murray	1Jan–14Feb68		LtCol Jack H. Butler	15Jan–21Jan68
	MajGen William J. Van Ryzin	15Feb–25May68		Col Herbert E. Ing, Jr.	22Jan–23May68
	MajGen Rathvon McC. Tompkins	26May–20Dec68		LtCol Jack H. Butler	24May–26Oct68
	MajGen Carl A. Youngdale	21Dec–31Dec68	G–3	Col Anthony J. Skotnicki	27Oct–31Dec68
DepCG Air	MajGen Norman J. Anderson	1Jan–21Jun68		Col James C. Short	1Jan–30Jan68
	MajGen Charles J. Quilter	22Jun–31Dec68		Col Paul G. Graham	31Jan–25Apr68
DepCG Army	MajGen Richard G. Stilwell	12Apr–30Jun68		Col Herbert Preston, Jr.	26Apr–1May68
C/S	BGen Earl E. Anderson	1Jan–31Dec68		Col Robert D. Bohn	2May–13Jul68
G–1	Col Poul F. Pedersen	1Jan–11Jul68		Col Herbert Preston, Jr.	14Jul–6Aug68
	Col Maurice Rose	12Jul–31Dec68		Col Harry F. Painter	7Aug–30Sep68
G–2	Col Kenneth J. Houghton	1Jan–28Feb68	G–4	Col Adolph G. Schwenk	10Oct–31Dec68
	Col Herbert L. Beckington	29Feb–28Jul68		Col Earl K. Vickers, Jr.	1Jan–4Jul68
	Col Ray N. Joens	29Jul–31Dec68	G–5	Col James E. Wilson, Jr.	5Jul–31Dec68
G–3	Col Thomas L. Randall	1Jan–29Feb68		Col Herbert L. Beckington	1Jan–18Feb68
	BGen Carl W. Hoffmann	1Mar–20May68		Col Ross R. Miner	21Feb–4Sep68
	BGen Jacob E. Glick	21May–14Aug68		Maj Ronald L. Payne	5Sep–23Sep68
	BGen Carl W. Hoffman	15Aug–31Dec68		Maj William T. Macy	24Sep–30Sep68
G–4	Col Rex O. Dillow	1Jan–3Jul68		Col Harry F. Painter	1Oct–31Dec68
	Col Lawrence C. Norton	4Jul68–31Dec68			
G–5	LtCol James L. Black, Jr.	1Jan–5Apr68		Task Force X-Ray	
	LtCol Elmer J. Zorn	6Apr–31Oct68		BGen Foster C. Lahue	5Jan–6Apr68
	LtCol Howard A. Westphall	1Nov–31Dec68		BGen John N. McLaughlin	7Apr–21May68
				BGen George D. Webster	22May–9Aug68*

*TF X-Ray was deactivated on 9Aug68.

Attached Units, III MAF Headquarters

	SubUnit–1, 1st Radio Battalion	
CO	LtCol Alfred M. Gray, Jr.	1Jan68–31May68
	LtCol James R. Quisenberry	1Jun–16Oct68
	LtCol Patrick J. Fennell, Jr.	17Oct–31Dec68

1st Marine Division Headquarters 1Jan–31Dec68

CG	MajGen Donn J. Robertson	1Jan–26Jun68
	MajGen Carl A. Youngdale	27Jun–20Dec68
	MajGen Ormond R. Simpson	21Dec–31Dec68
ADC	BGen Foster C. Lahue	1Jan–14Apr68
	BGen John N. McLaughlin	19Feb–25May68*
	BGen George D. Webster	15Apr–30Jun68
	BGen John E. Williams	23May–9Aug68
	BGen George D. Webster	10Aug–18Aug68
	BGen Ross T. Dwyer, Jr.	15Aug–31Dec68
	BGen Carl W. Hoffman	18Aug–31Dec68
C/S	Col Henry J. Woessner, II	1Jan–2Feb68
	Col James C. Short	3Feb–2Aug68
	Col Samuel A. Hannah	3Aug–31Dec68
G–1	Col William R. Earney	1Jan68
	Col Ernest W. Payne	2Jan–1Aug68
	LtCol Thomas L. Cobb	2Aug–31Aug68
	Col George E. Lawrence	1Sep–31Dec68

*Beginning on 19Feb68 there were two ADCs for the 1st Marine Division.

Headquarters Battalion

CO	Col Joseph F. Donahoe, Jr.	1Jan–6Jan68
	Col William R. Earney	7Jan–15May68
	Col Robert G. Lauffer	16May–9Aug68
	LtCol Charles F. Bunnell, Jr.	10Aug–17Sep68
	LtCol William S. Fagan	18Sep–31Dec68

1st Marines

CO	Col Herbert E. Ing, Jr.	1Jan–20Jan68
	Col Stanley S. Hughes	21Jan–16Jun68
	Col Ross T. Dwyer, Jr.	17Jun–14Aug68
	Col Robert G. Lauffer	15Aug–31Dec68
CO 1/1	LtCol Marcus J. Gravel	1Jan–8Jun68
	LtCol Archie Van Winkle	9Jun–7Sep68
	LtCol Albert W. Keller	8Sep–14Oct68
	LtCol Alphonse A. Laporte, Jr.	15Oct–31Dec68
CO 2/1	LtCol Evan L. Parker, Jr.	1Jan–8Jan68
	LtCol Billy R. Duncan	9Jan–9Aug68
	LtCol John E. Poindexter	10Aug–31Dec68
CO 3/1	LtCol Max McQuown	1Jan–31May68
	LtCol Daniel J. Quick	1Jun–25Oct68
	Maj Robert B. Ranck	26Oct–22Nov68
	LtCol Thomas E. Bulger	23Nov–31Dec68

5th Marines

CO	Col Robert D. Bohn	1Jan–30Apr68
----	--------------------	--------------

	Col Paul G. Graham	1May-13Oct68			1st Reconnaissance Battalion	
	Col James B. Ord, Jr.	14Oct-31Dec68	CO	LtCol Broman C. Stinemetz	1Jan-25Jul68	
CO 1/5	LtCol Oliver W. van den Berg, Jr.	1Jan-7Jan68		LtCol Larry P. Charon	26Jul-31Dec68	
	LtCol Robert P. Whalen	8Jan-1Feb68				
	LtCol Robert H. Thompson	2Feb-7Aug68				
	LtCol Richard F. Daley	8Aug-31Dec68	CO			
CO 2/5	LtCol George C. McNaughton	1Jan-2Jan68		LtCol Vincent J. Gentile	1Jan-8Apr68	
	LtCol Ernest C. Cheatham, Jr.	3Jan-24Jul68		LtCol Harry W. Hite	9April-27Aug68	
	Maj Orlo K. Steele	25Jul-31Jul68		LtCol Maurice C. Ashley, Jr.	28Aug-31Dec68	
	LtCol James W. Stemple	1Aug-31Dec68				
CO 3/5	LtCol William K. Rockey	1Jan-27Mar68	CO			
	LtCol Donald N. Rexroad	28Mar-18Jul68		Maj Charles F. Cresswell	1Jan-12Jan68	
	LtCol Rufus A. Seymour	19Jul-15Oct68		LtCol Casimir C. Ksyczewski	13Jan-19Sep68	
	LtCol Harry E. Atkinson	16Oct-31Dec68		Maj Robert G. Reilly	20Sep-31Dec68	
	7th Marines					
CO	Col Ross R. Miner	1Jan-20Feb68				
	Col Reverdy M. Hall	21Feb-15Aug68				
	Col Herbert L. Beckington	16Aug-31Dec68				
CO 1/7	LtCol William J. Davis	1Jan-16Mar68	CO			
	LtCol William S. Fagan	17Mar-12Sep68		Cdr Clinton H. Lowery, MC, USN	1Jan-27Feb68	
	Maj Denton Carter	13Sep-22Sep68		Cdr James V. Sharp, MC, USN	28Feb-31Dec68	
	LtCol William F. Bethel	23Sep-31Dec68				
CO 2/7	LtCol John R. Love	1Jan-24Feb68				
	LtCol Charles E. Mueller	25Feb-29Jul68				
	LtCol Leroy E. Watson	30Jul-19Sep68				
	LtCol Charles F. Bunnell, Jr.	20Sep-23Sep68	CO			
	LtCol Neil A. Nelson	24Sep-31Dec68				
CO 3/7	LtCol Roger H. Barnard	1Jan-1Aug68				
	LtCol Francis X. Quinn	2Aug-31Dec68				
	11th Marines					
CO	LtCol Clayton V. Hendricks	1Jan-8Jul68				
	LtCol John F. Barr, Jr.	9Jul-31Jul68	CO			
	Col Harry E. Dickinson	1Aug-31Dec68		LtCol Joseph B. Brown, Jr.	1Jan-14Jul68	
				Maj Billy M. Floyd	15Jul-6Sep68	
				LtCol John A. Kinniburgh	7Sep-31Dec68	
	1st Field Artillery Group					
CO	LtCol Spencer F. Thomas	1Jan-15Jan68				
	LtCol John F. Barr, Jr.	16Jan-4Jul68	CO			
	LtCol Reuel W. Stephens, Jr.	5Jul-29Aug68		LtCol Harry O. Cowing, Jr.	1Jan-30Jun68	
	LtCol Richard P. Johnson	30Aug-26Nov68		Maj Theodore F. Benner, Jr.	1Jul-1Oct68	
	LtCol Raymond B. Ingrando	27Nov-31Dec68		LtCol Charles L. Brady	2Oct-31Dec68	
CO 1/11	LtCol Robert C. V. Hughes	1Jan-30Jun68	CO			
	Maj John A. Hamilton	1Jul-9Jul68				
	LtCol Spencer F. Thomas	10Jul-6Oct68				
	Maj John A. Hamilton	7Oct-31Dec68				
CO 2/11	LtCol David A. Clark	1Jan-9Feb68	CO			
	LtCol Ben A. Moore, Jr.	10Feb-6Sep68				
	LtCol Robert D. Jameson	7Sep-31Dec68				
CO 3/11	LtCol George T. Balzer	1Jan-14Feb68				
	LtCol Harlan C. Chase	15Feb-21Aug68	CG			
	Maj Andrew F. Bauer	22Aug-26Oct68	ADC	MajGen Raymond G. Davis	21May-31Dec68	
	LtCol Frederick M. Woeller	27Oct-19Nov68		MajGen Louis Metzger	1Jan-31Jan68	
	Maj Andrew F. Bauer	20Nov-26Nov68		BGen Jacob E. Glick	1Feb-31May68	
	LtCol Richard P. Johnson	27Nov-31Dec68		BGen Carl W. Hoffman	22Jan-21Aug68*	
CO 4/11	LtCol John S. Hollingshead	1Jan-3Jan68		BGen William C. Chip	22Aug-31Aug68	
	Maj Frank B. Wolcott III	4Jan-14Aug68		BGen Frank E. Garretson	26Aug-31Dec68	
	LtCol John M. Cockey	15Aug-13Dec68		BGen George D. Webster	26Sep-7Nov68	
	Maj Bobby J. Ready	14Dec-31Dec68		BGen Robert B. Carney, Jr.	8Nov-31Dec68	

* With the assignment of BGen Hoffman, the 3d Division was authorized two ADCs.

C/S	Col Walter H. Cuenin Col Joseph E. Lo Prete Col Joseph R. Morelewski	1Jan-13Jul68 14Jul-31Aug68 1Sep-31Dec68	CO 2/4	LtCol William Weise Maj Charles W. Knapp LtCol Louis A. Rann Maj John E. O'Neill LtCol William L. Kent Maj Joseph E. Hopkins	1Jan-2May68 3May-5May68 6May-30Sep68 1Oct-14Oct68 15Oct-11Nov68 12Nov-31Dec68
G-1	LtCol James W. Marsh Col George E. Jerue Col Louis R. Daze	1Jan-31Jan68 1Feb-11Jun68 12Jun-31Dec68			
G-2	Col Edward J. Miller LtCol Frederic S. Knight LtCol Michael M. Spark LtCol Thomas P. O'Callaghan	1Jan-24Feb68 25Feb-13May68 14May-8Nov68 9Nov-31Dec68	CO 3/4	LtCol Lee R. Bendell LtCol Frank L. Bourne, Jr. Maj James L. Fowler LtCol William A. Donald	1Jan-26Apr68 27Apr-24Oct68 25Oct-23Nov68 24Nov-31Dec68
G-3	Col James R. Stockman Col Alexander L. Michaux, Jr. LtCol Paul D. LaFond	1Jan-10Jan68 11Jan-15Jul68 16Jul-31Dec68			
G-4	Col Francis I. Fenton, Jr. Col Edward E. Camporini Col William F. Goggin	1Jan-31Jan68 1Feb-31Aug68 1Sep-31Dec68	CO	Col Richard B. Smith Col Robert H. Barrow LtCol John F. Mitchell LtCol John J.H. Cahill LtCol James W. Quinn LtCol Michael V. Palatas LtCol Francis X. Colleton Maj Wilbur W. Dinegar LtCol Francis X. Colleton LtCol George W. Smith LtCol William M. Cryan LtCol Frederic S. Knight Maj Frederick E. Sisley Maj Patrick G. Collins LtCol George C. Fox	1Jan-13Jul68 14Jul-31Dec68 1Jan-31Mar68 1Apr-12May68 13May-26May68 27May-14Jul68 15Jul-28Sep68 29Sep-30Sep68 1Oct-3Oct68 4Oct-31Dec68 1Jan-13May68 14May-15Sep68 16Sep-9Dec68 10Dec-28Dec68 29Dec-31Dec68
G-5	Col Milton A. Hull Col Joseph E. Lo Prete LtCol Robert B. Thompson LtCol William E. Kerrigan	1Jan-17Feb68 18Feb-31May68 1Jun-5Oct68 6Oct-31Dec68	CO 1/9	LtCol Gorton C. Cook LtCol Edward J. Lamontagne LtCol Elliott R. Laine, Jr.	1Jan-17Feb68 18Feb-31May68 1Jun-5Oct68 6Oct-31Dec68
Headquarters Battalion					
CO	Col George E. Jerue Maj Will D. Isbell Col Alfred I. Thomas Maj Edwin F. Vozella LtCol Edwin A. Deptula Maj Gerald F. Kurth Maj Raymond D. Walters LtCol Marshall A. Webb, Jr.	1Jan-17Jan68 18Jan-20Jan68 21Jan-3May68 4May-12May68 13May-14Aug68 15Aug-18Sep68 19Sep-27Sep68 28Sep-31Dec68	CO 2/9	LtCol Edward J. Lamontagne LtCol Elliott R. Laine, Jr.	1Jan-17Jan68 18Jan-20Jan68 21Jan-3May68 4May-12May68 13May-14Aug68 15Aug-18Sep68 19Sep-27Sep68 28Sep-31Dec68
Task Force Robbie					
CO	Col Clifford J. Robichaud, Jr.	16Feb-2Jun68	CO	Col Edwin S. Schick, Jr. LtCol Wilson A. Kluckman Col Peter J. Mulroney	1Jan-21May68 22May-4Jul68 5Jul-31Dec68
3d Marines					
CO	Col Joseph E. Lo Prete Col Milton A. Hull LtCol Vaughn R. Stuart Col Richard L. Michael, Jr. LtCol Michael M. Spark	1Jan-17Feb68 18Feb-14Jul68 15Jul-31Jul68 1Aug-8Nov68 9Nov-31Dec68	CO 1/12	LtCol Charles H. Opfar, Jr. Maj Raymond R. Powell Maj Donald J. Capinas LtCol Ermil L. Whisman LtCol Ronald P. Dunwell LtCol Joseph Scoppa, Jr. LtCol Roger W. Greer LtCol Joseph A. Como LtCol Eugene D. Foxworth, Jr. Maj Rudolph W. Bolves LtCol Thomas A. McPheeters Maj Billy F. Stewart LtCol Earl W. Bailey	1Jan-6Apr68 7Apr-19Jul68 20Jul-10Aug68 11Aug-31Dec68 1Jan-5Aug68 6Aug-31Dec68 1Jan-29Feb68 1Mar-31Jul68 1Aug-31Dec68 1Jan-12Mar68 14Mar-19Mar68 20Mar-15Oct68 16Oct-31Dec68
CO 1/3	LtCol Richard W. Goodale LtCol Charles V. Jarman Maj Edward J. Rochford, Jr. LtCol Charles V. Jarman LtCol Richard B. Twohey	1Jan-15Apr68 16Apr-16Jun68 17Jun-30Jun68 1Jul-9Aug68 10Aug-31Dec68	CO 2/12		
CO 2/3	LtCol Henry English LtCol Jack W. Davis LtCol Byron T. Chen LtCol James J. McMonagle	1Jan-25Jan68 26Jan-19Sep68 20Sep-7Dec68 8Dec-31Dec68	CO 3/12		
CO 3/3	LtCol Robert C. Needham LtCol James W. Marsh LtCol William H. Bates LtCol Richard C. Schulze	1Jan-20Jan68 21Jan-28Jul68 29Jul-13Dec68 14Dec-31Dec68	CO 4/12		
3d Reconnaissance Battalion					
CO	LtCol Robert C. Needham LtCol James W. Marsh LtCol William H. Bates LtCol Richard C. Schulze	1Jan-20Jan68 21Jan-28Jul68 29Jul-13Dec68 14Dec-31Dec68	CO	LtCol William D. Kent LtCol Donald R. Berg LtCol Aydlette H. Perry, Jr.	1Jan-11Jul68 12Jul-12Dec68 13Dec-31Dec68
4th Marines					
CO	Col William L. Dick Col Edward J. Miller Col Martin J. Sexton	1Jan-24Feb68 25Feb-14Sep68 15Sep-31Dec68	CO	LtCol Duncan D. Chapman III LtCol Karl J. Fontenot Maj Conrad J. Samuelsen LtCol George E. Hayward	1Jan-21Jan68 22Jan-26Jul68 27Jul-16Aug68 17Aug-31Dec68
CO 1/4	LtCol Edwin A. Deptula LtCol James H. MacLean LtCol Thomas H. Galbraith LtCol George T. Sargent, Jr.	1Jan-27Apr68 28Apr-25Jun68 26Jun-15Nov68 16Nov-31Dec68	CO	Maj Robert M. Jordan	1Jan-31Jan68
3d Anti-Tank Battalion					

1st MP Battalion

CO LtCol Twyman R. Hill 1Jan68–28Feb68
 LtCol Bruce G. Brown 29Feb–11Oct68
 Maj John E. Decoursey 12Oct–20Oct68
 LtCol James D. Bailey 21Oct–31Dec68

3d MP Battalion

CO LtCol Joseph J. N. Gambardella 1Jan–28Sep68
 Maj Donald E. Milone 29Sep–21Oct68
 LtCol Willard E. Cheatham 22Oct–31Dec68

5th Communication Battalion

CO LtCol Donald L. Lindemuth 1Jan–9Jul68
 Maj Lee R. Johnson 10Jul–31Aug68
 LtCol Jack D. Hines 1Sep–31Dec68

7th Motor Transport Battalion

CO LtCol Lance D. Thomas 1Jan–29Aug68
 Maj Lee R. Johnson 30Aug–31Dec68

1st Marine Aircraft Wing

CG MajGen Norman Anderson 1Jan–21Jun68
 MajGen Charles J. Quilter 22Jun–31Dec68
 AWC BGen Robert P. Keller 1Jan–22Apr68
 BGen Homer S. Hill 23Apr68–31Dec68
 BGen Henry W. Hise 11Feb68–31Dec68*
 C/S Col Frank C. Thomas 1Jan–7Sep68
 Col Virgil D. Olson 8Sep–31Dec68
 G-1 Col Robert Baird 1Jan–12Sep68
 Col Edward A. Parnell 13Sep–31Dec68
 G-2 Col Robert D. Limberg 1Jan–25Feb68
 LtCol Edward H. P. Lynk 26Feb–3Jul68
 Col John R. Gill 4Jul–26Sep68
 LtCol Hugh R. Bumpas, Jr. 27Sep–31Dec68
 G-3 Col Joel E. Bonner, Jr. 1Jan–9Jun68
 Col Edwin H. Finlayson 10Jun–31Dec68
 G-4 Col Charles B. Armstrong, Jr. 1Jan–9Mar68
 Col Eugene V. Goldston 10Mar–25Mar68
 Col Edward N. LeFaivre 26Mar–13Aug68
 Col Steve Furimsky, Jr. 14Aug–31Dec68

**With the assignment of BGen Hise, the 1st MAW was authorized two assistant wing commanders.*

Marine Wing Headquarters Group 1 (MWHG-1)

CO Col Tolbert T. Gentry 1Jan–8Oct68
 Col Thomas H. Nichols, Jr. 9Oct–31Dec68

Headquarters and Headquarters Squadron 1 (H&HS-1)

CO LtCol Albert W. Keller 1Jan–13Jun68
 Maj Lawrence Furstenberg 14Jun–7Oct68
 LtCol Prentice A. Lindsay 8Oct–31Dec68

Marine Wing Communication Squadron 1 (MWCS-1)

CO Maj David H. Tinius 1Jan–10Apr68
 Maj Robin W. Cobble 11Apr–6Oct68
 Maj Don J. Ogden 7Oct–31Dec68

Marine Wing Facilities Squadron 1 (MWFS-1)

CO LtCol Edward A. Laning 1Jan–23Apr68
 Maj Harry E. Taylor 24Apr–12Jun68
 Maj Richard C. Hoffman 13Jul–31Jul68
 Maj Winston O. Goller 1Aug–5Dec68
 Maj Esta D. Grissom 6–31Dec68

Marine Wing Support Group 17 (MWSG-17)

CO Col John E. Hansen 1Jan–23Feb68
 Col Robert D. Limberg 24Feb–15Jul68
 Col William Farrell 16Jul–5Sep68
 Col Richard S. Rash 9Sep–31Dec68

Headquarters and Maintenance Squadron 17 (H&MS-17)

CO LtCol Eugene V. Goldston 1Jan–18Jan68
 Maj Frank E. Graham 19Jan–14Feb68
 LtCol Grover C. Doster, Jr. 15Feb–2Aug68
 LtCol Edward S. John 3Aug–31Dec68

Wing Equipment and Repair Squadron 17 (WERS-17)

CO LtCol John R. Hansford 1Jan–31Mar68
 Maj William T. Lunsford 1Apr–5Aug68
 Maj Duane R. Van Note 6Aug–8Sep68
 Maj Stanley M. Williams 9Sep–31Dec68

Marine Air Control Group 18 (MACG-18)

CO Col Lyle V. Tope 1Jan–22May68
 LtCol James W. Dillon 23May–2Aug68
 Col Edward S. Fris 3Aug–31Dec68

Headquarters and Maintenance Squadron 18 (H&MS-18)

CO LtCol Paul B. Montague 1Jan–13Jan68
 Maj Laurence A. Taylor 14Jan–17Jan68
 LtCol Thomas W. Riggs 18Jan–6Oct68
 LtCol John R. Dopler 7Oct–31Dec68

Marine Air Support Squadron 2 (MASS-2)

CO LtCol John M. Johnson, Jr. 1Jan–3Jun68
 LtCol Gale Harlan 4Jun–29Jul68
 Maj Edward J. Dahy III 30Jul–31Dec68

Marine Air Support Squadron 3 (MASS-3)

CO LtCol Hugh R. Bumpas, Jr. 1Jan–27Feb68
 LtCol Johnny O. Gregerson 28Feb–11Sep68
 Maj William J. Sullivan 12Sep–31Dec68

Marine Air Control Squadron 4 (MACS-4)

CO LtCol William A. Cohn 1Jan–25Apr68
 LtCol David S. Twining 26Apr–14Sep68
 LtCol Thomas M. Kauffman 15Sep–31Dec68

1st Light Antiaircraft Missile Battalion (1st LAAM Bn)

CO LtCol Marshall J. Treado 1Jan–13Aug68
 Maj Norman P. Fitzgerald, Jr. 14Aug–11Oct68
 LtCol John W. Drury 12Oct–31Dec68

2d Light Antiaircraft Missile Battalion (2d LAAM Bn)*

CO LtCol Stanley A. Herman 1Jan68–7Feb68
 LtCol Donald E. Gunther 8Feb–12Oct68

**The 2d LAAM Bn departed Vietnam for CONUS, 12Oct68.*

Marine Aircraft Group 11 (MAG-11)

CO Col Leroy T. Frey 1Jan68–7Jun68
 Col Robert D. Slay 8Jun–31Dec68

Headquarters and Maintenance Squadron 11 (H&MS-11)

CO LtCol Anthony L. Blair 1Jan–8May68
 LtCol Carl R. Lundquist 9May–14Oct68
 LtCol Robert M. Stowers 15Oct–31Dec68

Marine Air Base Squadron 11 (MABS-11)			Marine Attack Squadron (VMFA-223)		
CO	LtCol John W. Irion, Jr.	1Jan-16Jun68	CO	LtCol Arthur W. Anthony, Jr.	23Apr-30Apr68*
	LtCol James W. Haskell	17Jun-30Nov68		LtCol Erin D. Smith	1May-15Oct68
	LtCol Preston P. Marques, Jr.	1Dec-31Dec68		Maj Leonard T. Preston, Jr.	16Oct-31Dec68
Marine Composite Reconnaissance Squadron 1 (VMCJ-1)			<i>*The squadron was transferred from MAG-15 in Japan on 23Apr68.</i>		
CO	LtCol Robert W. Lewis	1Jan-15Mar68	Marine Attack Squadron 311 (VMA-311)		
	LtCol Eric B. Parker	16Mar-16Nov68	CO	LtCol Richard B. Taber	1Jan-10Mar68
	LtCol Bobby R. Hall	17Nov-31Dec68		LtCol Norman B. McCrary	11Mar-24Sep68
Marine Fighter Attack Squadron 122 (VMFA-122)				LtCol Charles O. Hierr	25Sep-31Dec68
CO	LtCol John M. Verdi	1Jan-28Jan68	Marine All-Weather Attack Squadron 533 (VMA [AW]-533)		
	LtCol Paul B. Montague	29Jan-30Jun68	CO	LtCol William E. H. Fitch III	1Jan-1Apr68
	LtCol Eugene R. Howard, Jr.	1Jul-25Aug68		LtCol Ronald L. Iverson	2Apr-31Jul68
	Maj Donald L. Waldvogel	26-30Aug68*		LtCol Edward A. Laning	1Aug-31Aug68
<i>*The squadron was transferred to MAG-15 in Japan on 30Aug68.</i>				LtCol Paul K. German, Jr.	1Sep-31Dec68
Marine All-Weather Attack Squadron 242 (VMA [AW]-242)			Marine Aircraft Group 13 (MAG-13)		
CO	Maj Arthur W. D. Lavigne	1-22Jan68	CO	Col Edward N. Lefavre	1Jan-24Mar68
	LtCol James R. Penny	23Jan-22Jul68		Col James H. Berge, Jr.	25Mar-3Sep68
	LtCol Fred C. Rilling, Jr.	23Jul-31Dec68		Col Norman W. Gourley	4Sep-31Dec68
Marine All-Weather Attack Squadron 235 (VMA [AW]-235)			Headquarters and Maintenance Squadron 13 (H&MS-13)		
CO	LtCol Carl R. Lundquist	1Jan-8May68	CO	LtCol Paul L. Siegmund	1Jan-12Aug68
	LtCol Anthony L. Blair	9May-10May68*		Maj Edgar A. House	13Aug-31Dec68
<i>*The squadron was transferred to MAG-15 in Japan on 10May68.</i>			Marine Air Base Squadron 13 (MABS-13)		
Marine Fighter Attack Squadron 334 (VMFA-334)			CO	LtCol Leroy A. Madera	1Jan-21Apr68
CO	LtCol Hiel L. VanCampen	30Aug-30Sep68*		LtCol George L. Bruser	22Apr-12Jul68
	LtCol James R. Sherman	1Oct-31Dec68		Maj Charles V. Smillie, Jr.	13Jul-31Dec68
<i>*The squadron arrived from CONUS on 30Aug68.</i>			Marine Fighter Attack Squadron 115 (VMFA-115)		
Marine Fighter Attack Squadron 542 (VMFA-542)			CO	LtCol Richard E. Carey	1Jan-16Jan68
CO	LtCol Robert N. Hutchinson	10May-29Jul68*		LtCol Gerald W. Vaughan	17Jan-12Aug68
	LtCol Henry R. Vitali	30Jul-31Dec68		Maj John I. Hudson	13Aug-27Nov68
<i>*The squadron was transferred from MAG-15, in Japan on 10May68.</i>				LtCol Robert R. Norton	28Nov-31Dec68
Marine Aircraft Group 12 (MAG-12)			Marine Fighter Attack Squadron 314 (VMFA-314)		
CO	Col Dean Wilker	1Jan-7Mar68	CO	LtCol Frank D. Topley	1Jan-13Jan68
	Col Charles B. Armstrong, Jr.	8Mar-31Jul68		LtCol Herbert V. Lundin	14Jan-28Jul68
	Col Rex A. Deasy	1Aug-31Dec68		LtCol Frank E. Petersen, Jr.	29Jul-31Dec68
Headquarters and Maintenance Squadron 12 (H&MS-12)			Marine Fighter Attack Squadron 323 (VMFA-323)		
CO	LtCol Dan C. Alexander	1Jan-28Oct68	CO	LtCol Harry T. Hagaman	1Jan-15May68
	LtCol Clifford D. Warfield	29Oct-31Dec68		LtCol Don J. Slee	16May-11Dec68
				LtCol Ira L. Morgan, Jr.	12Dec-31Dec68
Marine Air Base Squadron 12 (MABS-12)			Marine Aircraft Group 16 (MAG-16)		
CO	LtCol Leo J. Leblanc, Jr.	1Jan-25Feb68	CO	Col Edwin O. Reed	1Jan-12Sep68
	LtCol John H. Miller	26Feb-8Oct68		Col Warren L. MacQuarrie	13Sep-31Dec68
	Maj Lawrence Furstenberg	9Oct-31Dec68	Headquarters and Maintenance Squadron 16 (H&MS-16)		
Marine Attack Squadron 121 (VMA-121)			CO	LtCol Lawrence J. Flanagan	1Jan-6Jan68
CO	LtCol Richard J. Kern	1Jan-10Mar68		LtCol Horace A. Bruce	7Jan-30Apr68
	LtCol William D. Shippen	11Mar-14Oct68		LtCol Morris G. Robbin	1May-11Jul68
	Maj David A. Lerps	15Oct-31Dec68		Maj William L. Whelan	12Jul-7Oct68
				LtCol Charles W. Gobat	8Oct-31Dec68
Marine Attack Squadron 211 (VMA-211)			Marine Air Base Squadron 16 (MABS-16)		
CO	LtCol Francis H. Thurston	1Jan-28Feb68	CO	LtCol Samuel J. Fulton	1Jan-30Apr68
	LtCol Leo J. Leblanc, Jr.	29Feb-26Aug68		LtCol William E. Smilanich, Jr.	1May-29Jun68
	LtCol Frederic P. Salzman, Jr.	27Aug-30Nov68		LtCol Robert F. Rick	30Jun-10Sep68
	LtCol John R. Waterstreet	1Dec-31Dec68		LtCol Lowell W. Parish	11Sep-10Nov68
				LtCol William Punningham	11Nov-31Dec68

Marine Observation Squadron 2 (VMO-2)

CO	LtCol Morris G. Robbins	1Jan-30Apr68
	LtCol Samuel J. Fulton	1May-4Nov68
	LtCol Thomas J. Dumont	5Nov-31Dec68

Marine Light Helicopter Squadron 167 (HML-167)

CO	Maj Robert C. Finn	15Mar-10May68*
	Maj George H. Dunn II	11May-17Aug68
	LtCol Thomas F. Miller	18Aug-31Dec68

*The squadron was activated on 15Mar68 at Marble Mountain Air Facility, Da Nang, Vietnam.

Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 163 (HMM-163)

CO	LtCol Richard G. Courtney	19May-31Aug68*
----	---------------------------	----------------

*The squadron was transferred from ProvMag-39 on 19May68 and was detached on 31Aug68 for return to CONUS.

Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 164 (HMM-164)

CO	LtCol Robert F. Rick	16-29Jun68*
	LtCol William E. Smilanich, Jr.	30Jun-17Nov68
	LtCol Richard T. Trundy	18Nov-29Nov68

*The squadron was detached from SLF Bravo on 16Jun68 and was reassigned on 29Nov68 to SLF Bravo

Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 165 (HMM-165)

CO	LtCol George L. Patrick, Jr.	4Oct-31Dec68*
----	------------------------------	---------------

*The squadron was transferred from SLF Bravo on 4Oct68, then reverted to SLF Bravo control on 29Oct68, and then on 6Oct68 returned to MAG-16 control.

Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 265 (HMM-265)

CO	LtCol William R. Beeler	1-12Jan68*
	Maj William L. Whelan	13Jan-4Jun68
	LtCol Roy J. Edwards	5Jun-15Jun68
	Maj Richard L. Yanke	16Jun-7Jul68
	LtCol Roy J. Edwards	19Aug-18Sep68
	LtCol Richard L. Yanke	19Sep-30Sep68

*On 15Jun68, the squadron command group of HMM-265 and a detachment was transferred to SLF Bravo, leaving Major Yanke in command of the squadron. On 7Jul68, the rest of the squadron was transferred to SLF Bravo. The squadron was detached from the SLF on 19Aug68 and returned to the control of MAG-16. On 30Sep68, the squadron was transferred to MAG-36.

Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 361 (HMM-361)

CO	LtCol Daniel M. Wilson	10Feb-25Mar68*
	Maj Forrest W. Crone	26Mar-18May68

*The squadron was attached from SLF Alpha on 10Feb68 and departed for CONUS on 18May68

Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 362 (HMM-362)

CO	Maj Walter H. Shauer, Jr.	5Sep-18Sep68*
	LtCol Jack E. Schlarp	19Sep-8Dec68

*The squadron was attached from SLF Alpha on 5Sep68 and reverted to SLF Alpha control on 8Dec68.

Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 363 (HMM-363)

CO	LtCol Frankie E. Allgood	1Jan-9Feb68*
----	--------------------------	--------------

*The squadron was transferred to SLF Alpha on 9Feb68

Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 364 (HMM-364)

CO	LtCol Merlin V. Statzer	10Dec-31Dec68*
----	-------------------------	----------------

*The squadron was transferred from MAG-36 on 10Dec68.

Marine Heavy Helicopter Squadron 463 (HMH-463)

CO	LtCol Joseph L. Sadowski	1Jan-1Apr68
	LtCol Joe G. Walker, Jr.	2Apr-7Oct68
	LtCol Roger W. Beard, Jr.	8Oct-31Dec68

Marine Aircraft Group 36 (MAG-36)

CO	Col Frank E. Wilson	1Jan-30Apr68
	Col Bruce J. Matheson	1May-31Dec68

Headquarters and Maintenance Squadron 36 (H&MS-36)

CO	LtCol Richard G. Courtney	1Jan-30Apr68
	LtCol George L. Patrick, Jr.	1May-22Aug68
	LtCol Ralph Thuesen	23Aug-31Dec68

Marine Air Base Squadron 36 (MAB-36)

CO	Maj James C. Robinson	1Jan-5Mar68
	LtCol William D. Watson	6Mar-11Sep68
	LtCol Dennis W. Wright	12Sep-31Dec68

Marine Air Group 36, Detachment Alpha (MAG-36, Det Alpha)

OIC	Col Owen V. Gallentine	1Jan-27Feb68*
	Col John E. Hansen	28Feb-15Apr68

*Detachment Alpha was a command and control element of MAG-36 based at Quang Tri Air Base until replaced on 15Apr68 by Provisional Marine Aircraft Group 39 (ProvMAG-39).

Marine Observation Squadron 3 (VMO-3)

CO	LtCol Glenn R. Hunter	1Jan-29Feb68*
----	-----------------------	---------------

*VMO-3 was deactivated in March and reactivated as HML-367.

Marine Observation Squadron 6 (VMO-6)

CO	LtCol William J. White	1Jan-24Mar68*
	LtCol Bertram A. Maas	25Mar-15Apr68

*The squadron was transferred to ProvMAG-39 on 16Apr68.

Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 163 (HMM-163)

CO	LtCol Louis W. Schwindt	1Jan-15Apr68*
----	-------------------------	---------------

*The squadron was transferred to ProvMag-39 on 15Apr68.

Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 164 (HMM-164)

CO	LtCol Robert F. Rick	1Jan-3Mar68*
----	----------------------	--------------

*On 3Mar68, the squadron was transferred to SLF Bravo.

Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 165 (HMM-165)

CO	LtCol Richard E. Romine	1Jan-31Mar68*
	LtCol Elvyn E. Hagedorn	1Apr-22Aug68
	LtCol George L. Patrick, Jr.	23Aug-31Aug68

*From 9Jan-18Feb68, the squadron was detached to SLF Bravo. It then returned to MAG-36 and then once more reverted to SLF Bravo on 15Feb68.

Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 262 (HMM-262)

CO	LtCol Melvin J. Steinberg	10Jan-15Apr68*
----	---------------------------	----------------

*The squadron joined MAG-36 on 10Jan68 from SLF Bravo and then later transferred to ProvMAG-39 on 16Apr68.

Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 265 (HMM-265)

CO	LtCol Richard L. Yanke	1Oct-31Dec68*
----	------------------------	---------------

*On 10Oct68, MAG-36 assumed command of the squadron from MAG-16.

Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 362 (HMM-362)

CO	Maj Walter H. Shauer, Jr.	1Jan-14Apr68*
----	---------------------------	---------------

*On 15Apr68, the squadron joined SLF Alpha.

Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 363 (HMM-363)

CO	Maj Duwayne W. Hoffert	15Apr68-22Aug68*
	Maj James L. Harrison	23Aug68-30Nov68
	Maj Timothy J. Cronin, Jr.	1Dec-31Dec68

*The squadron was transferred to MAG-36 on 15Apr68 from SLF Alpha. For the period 29Oct-7Dec68, the squadron was under the administrative control while under the operational control of MAG-36. On 8Dec68, it reverted once more to MAG-36 administrative control as well as operational control.

Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 364 (HMM-364)

CO	LtCol Louis A. Gulling	1Jan-16Apr68*
	LtCol Joseph R. Dobbratz, Jr.	17Apr-11Sep68
	LtCol Merlin V. Statzer	12Sep-9Dec68

*The squadron was transferred to MAG-16 on 10Dec68.

Marine Light Helicopter Squadron 367 (HML-367)

CO	LtCol Glenn R. Hunter	Mar-7Apr68*
	LtCol Robert King, Jr.	8Apr-22Aug68
	LtCol Richard L. Robinson	23Aug-31Dec68

*The Squadron was formed from the personnel and equipment of VMO-3 in March 1968.

Marine Heavy Helicopter Squadron 462 (MHM-462)

CO	LtCol Ronald E. Nelson	21Aug-31Dec68*
----	------------------------	----------------

*The squadron arrived from ConUS on 21Aug68.

Provisional Marine Aircraft Group 39 (ProvMAG-39)

CO	Col John E. Hansen	16Apr-30Jun68*
	LtCol Paul W. Niesen	1Jul-4Jul68
	Col Walter Sienko	5Jul-31Dec68

*ProvMAG-39 was formed at Quang Tri on 16Apr68 and replaced MAG-36, Detachment Alpha. LtCol Niesen remained commander of HMM 161 as well as ProvMAG-39 commander during the period 1-4 Jul68.

Provisional Headquarters and Maintenance Squadron 39 (ProvH&MS-39)

CO	Maj Charles G. Gerard	16Apr-9Aug68
	Maj Herman R. Bolen	10Aug-29Nov68
	LtCol Bobby R. Wilkinson	30Nov-31Dec68

Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 161 (HMM-161)

CO	LtCol Paul W. Niesen	17May-8Nov68*
	LtCol David L. Elam	9Nov-31Dec68

*The squadron joined ProvMAG-39 from CONUS on 17May68.

Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 163 (HMM-163)

CO	LtCol Richard G. Courtney	16Apr-18May68*
----	---------------------------	----------------

*The squadron was transferred from MAG-36 to ProvMAG-39 on 16Apr68 and then transferred to MAG-16 on 19May68.

Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 262 (HMM-262)

CO	LtCol Melvin J. Steinberg	16Apr-20Sep68*
	LtCol Albert N. Allen	21Sep-31Dec68

*The squadron was transferred to ProvMAG-39 from MAG-36 on 16Apr68. It returned to MAG-36 on 21Sep68.

Marine Observation Squadron 6 (VMO-6)

CO	Maj Bertram A. Maas	16Apr-20Sep68*
	Maj Hans A. Zander	21Sep-31Dec68

*The squadron was transferred to ProvMAG-39 from MAG-36 on 16Apr68.

9th Marine Amphibious Brigade (9th MAB)/Task Force 79***9th MAB Headquarters**

CG	BGen Jacob E. Glick	1Jan-21Jan68
	BGen William C. Chip	22Jan-11Aug68
	BGen John E. Williams	12Aug-31Dec68
CS	Col James A. Etheridge	1Jan-30Sep68
	Col John Lowman, Jr.	1Oct-31Dec68
G-1	Col George H. Benskin, Jr.	1Jan-10Apr68
	LtCol Parks H. Simpson	11Apr-16Oct68
	Maj William H. Groesbeck	17Oct-31Dec68
G-2	Maj Hugh S. Jolley	1Jan-3Jan68

	Maj James V. Knapp	1Feb-5Mar68
--	--------------------	-------------

	Maj Hugh S. Jolley	6Mar-26Aug68
--	--------------------	--------------

	Maj Aubrey L. Lumpkin	27Aug-31Dec68
--	-----------------------	---------------

G-3	LtCol Anthony Novak	1Jan-30Apr68
-----	---------------------	--------------

	Col John A. Conway	1May-5Jun68
--	--------------------	-------------

	Col Robert R. Wilson	6Jun-11Jul68
--	----------------------	--------------

	LtCol Ronald A. Mason	12Jul-27Jul68
--	-----------------------	---------------

	LtCol George C. Kliefoth	28Jul-31Dec68
--	--------------------------	---------------

G-4	Col Warren A. Butcher	1Jan-26Feb68
-----	-----------------------	--------------

	LtCol Paul R. Fields	27Feb-29Feb68
--	----------------------	---------------

	Col Maynard W. Schmidt	1Mar-11Oct68
--	------------------------	--------------

	LtCol Stewart B. McCarty, Jr.	12Oct-31Dec68
--	-------------------------------	---------------

*The 9th Marine Amphibious Brigade was established on 1Mar66 and assumed responsibility for Task Force 79 (TF 79) duties on that date. On 15 April 1966, 9th MAB assumed responsibility for all tactical Marine aviation and ground units in the Western Pacific which were not in Vietnam.

Regimental Landing Team 26 (RLT-26)/Task Group 79.2*

CO	Col David E. Lownds	1Jan-11Apr68
----	---------------------	--------------

	Col Bruce F. Meyers	12Apr-11Aug68
--	---------------------	---------------

	Col Clyde W. Hunter	12Aug-31Dec68
--	---------------------	---------------

*RLT-26 remained administratively under 9th MAB, but, only RLT-26 (Rear) remained on Okinawa. The regimental command group and its three integral infantry battalions were in Vietnam.

Regimental Landing Team 26 (Rear) (RLT-26 [Rear])

CO	LtCol Richard D. Alexander	1Jan-16Feb68
----	----------------------------	--------------

	Maj John B. Bany, Jr.	17Feb-31Mar68
--	-----------------------	---------------

	LtCol Jack Erwin	1Apr-19Oct68
--	------------------	--------------

	Maj James E. Anderson	20Oct-27Oct68
--	-----------------------	---------------

	LtCol Rufino Delacruz	28Oct-31Dec68
--	-----------------------	---------------

1st Battalion, 13th Marines

CO	LtCol John A. Hennelly	1Jan-23May68
----	------------------------	--------------

	LtCol Anthony Novak	24May-15Nov68
--	---------------------	---------------

	LtCol John B. Cantieny	16Nov-31Dec68
--	------------------------	---------------

Provisional Service Battalion/Task Group 79.8

CO	Col Thomas W. Burke	1Jan-17Jul68
----	---------------------	--------------

	Col Robert R. Wilson	18Jul-21Aug68
--	----------------------	---------------

	LtCol James F. Conlon	22Aug-8Sep68
--	-----------------------	--------------

	Col William C. Doty, Jr.	9Sep-31Dec68
--	--------------------------	--------------

Marine Aircraft Group 15 (MAG-15)

CO	Col Wilbur C. Kellogg, Jr.	1Jan-12Oct68
----	----------------------------	--------------

	Col Clement T. Corcoran	13Oct-31Dec68
--	-------------------------	---------------

Headquarters and Maintenance Squadron 15 (H&MS-15)

CO	LtCol Herman L. Mixson	1Jan-18Jul68
----	------------------------	--------------

	LtCol David P. Graf1	9Jul-7Dec68
--	----------------------	-------------

	LtCol Kenneth M. Scott	8Dec-31Dec68
--	------------------------	--------------

Marine Air Base Squadron 15 (MABS-15)

CO	LtCol Clement C. J. Chamberlain	1Jan-5Apr68
----	---------------------------------	-------------

	Maj Raymond D. Fortmeyer	6Apr-21Aug68
--	--------------------------	--------------

	LtCol Dock H. Pegues	22Aug-31Dec68
--	----------------------	---------------

Marine Air Control Squadron 6 (MACS-6)

	LtCol Rollin E. Hippler	1Jan-27Feb68*
--	-------------------------	---------------

*MACS-6 returned to CONUS on 27Feb68 after being relieved by MACS-8.

Marine Air Control Squadron 8 (MACS-8)

LtCol Dirk C. Bierhaalder 27Feb-31Dec68*

MACS-8 joined MAG-15 from the 2dMAW at MCAS Cherry Point, North Carolina on 27Feb68.*Marine Fighter Attack Squadron 122 (VMFA-122)**

CO Maj Donald L. Waldvoege 131Aug-15Sep68*

Maj Don K. Hanna 16Sep-2Oct68

LtCol Lawrence J. Willis 3Oct-31Dec68

The squadron was transferred from MAG-11 in Vietnam to MAG-15 on 31Aug68.*Marine Fighter Attack Squadron 223 (VMFA-223)**

CO LtCol Arthur W. Anthonry, Jr. 1Jan-23Apr68*

The squadron was transferred to MAG-12 on 23Apr68*Marine Fighter Attack Squadron 542 (VMFA-542)**

CO LtCol Richard C. Marsh 1Jan-26Jan68

LtCol Robert N. Hutchinson 27Jan-10May68*

The squadron was transferred to MAG-11 on 10May68.*Marine All-Weather Attack Squadron 235 (VMA (AW)-235)**

CO LtCol Anthony L. Blair 11May-Sep68*

The squadron was transferred from MAG-11 on 11May68 and then transferred to the 1st Marine Brigade, MCAS Kaneohe, Hawaii on 6 Sep68.*Marine Aerial Refueler Transport Squadron 152 (VMGR-152)**

CO LtCol Royce M. Williams 1Jan-31Mar68

LtCol Frank G. McLenon 1Apr-31Dec68

Special Landing Force Alpha (SLF Alpha)/**Task Group 79.4 (TG 79.4)**

CO Col John A. Conway 1Jan-14Jan68

Col Bruce F. Meyers 15Jan-10Apr68

LtCol Paul R. Fields 11Apr-2May68

Col Alfred I. Thomas 3May-1Aug68

LtCol Paul R. Fields 2Aug-6Aug68

Col Alfred I. Thomas 7Aug-24Aug68

Col John F. McMahon, Jr. 25Aug-31 Dec68

SLF Alpha Battalion Landing Teams (BLTs)***Battalion Landing Team 1/3 (BLT 1/3)**

CO LtCol Richard W. Goodale 1Jan-2Jan68

Battalion Landing Team 2/4 (BLT 2/4)

CO LtCol William Weise 3Jan-2May68

Maj Charles W. Knapp 3May-4May68

LtCol Louis A. Rann 5May-13Aug68

Battalion Landing Team 2/26 (2/26)

CO LtCol Thurman Owens 13Aug-17Aug68

LtCol William F. Sparks 18Aug-31Dec68

The assigned BLTs were drawn from III MAF on a rotating basis and returned to III MAF after their respective SLF deployment tours.*Special Landing Force Alpha Helicopter Squadrons****Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 361 (HMM-361)**

CO LtCol Daniel M. Wilson 1Jan-10Feb68*

The squadron was transferred to MAG-16 on 10Feb68.*Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 363 (HMM-363)**

CO LtCol Frankie E. Allgood 10Feb-25Mar68*

Maj Duwayne W. Hoffert 26Mar-14Apr68

Maj James L. Harrison 6Sep-28Nov68

Maj Timothy J. Cronin, Jr. 29Nov-7Dec68

The squadron was transferred to SLF Alpha from MAG-16 on 10Feb68 and detached to MAG-36 on 14Apr68. It was reassigned to SLF Alpha on 6Sep68 and then again returned to MAG-36 on 8Dec68.*Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 362 (HMM-362)**

CO Maj Walter H. Shauer, Jr. 14Apr-6Sep68*

LtCol Jack E. Schlarp 7Dec-31Dec68

The squadron was transferred to SLF Alpha from MAG-36 on 14Apr68 and on 6Sep68 it was then transferred to MAG-16. On 7Dec68, it reverted once more to SLF Alpha.*Special Landing Force Bravo (SLF Bravo)/****Task Group 79.5 (TG 79.5)**

CO Col Maynard W. Schmidt 1Jan-28Feb68

Col Warren A. Butcher 29Feb-5Sep68

Col Robert R. Wilson 6Sep-31Dec68

Special Landing Force Bravo Battalion Landing Teams***Battalion Landing Team 3/1 (BLT 3/1)**

CO LtCol Max McQuown 1Jan-4Jun68

LtCol Daniel J. Quick 5Jun-15Jun68

Battalion Landing Team 2/7 (BLT 2/7)

CO LtCol Charles E. Mueller 15Jun-30Jul68

LtCol Leroy E. Watson 31Jul-20Sep68

LtCol Neil A. Nelson 21Sep-31Dec68

The SLF Bravo BLTs like the BLTs in SLF Alpha rotated in and out to the SLF from III MAF.*Special Landing Force Bravo Helicopter Squadrons****Detachment Alpha,****Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 262 (HMM-262)**

CO Maj David A. Althoff 1Jan-2Jan68*

Detachment Alpha, equipped with CH-46s, remained with the SLF while the remainder of the squadron was involved in a massive helicopter repair program. The remainder of the squadron rejoined Detachment Alpha on 3Jan68.*Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 165 (HMM-165)**

LtCol Richard E. Romine 10Jan-3Mar68*

LtCol George L. Patrick, Jr. 1Sep-28Dec68

The squadron transferred to the SLF from MAG-36 on 10Jan68. It was relieved on 3Mar68 by HMM-164. It returned to the SLF on 1Sep68 and then relieved again by HMM-164 on 28Dec68.*Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 164 (HMM-164)**

CO LtCol Robert E. Rick 3Mar-16Jun68*

LtCol Richard T. Trundy 28Dec-31Dec68

The squadron relieved HMM-165 on 3Mar68 and was in turn relieved by HMM-265 on 16Jun68. On 28Dec68, it once more returned to the SLF.*Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron 265 (HMM-265)**

CO LtCol Robert J. Edwards 16Jun-1Sep68

**The squadron was assigned to SLF Bravo from MAG-16 on 16Jun68 and was in turn relieved on 1Sep68 by HMM-165.*

Appendix B

Chronology of Significant Events January–December 1968

- 1 January Allied and Communist forces in Vietnam begin the new year with a cease-fire. The allies report 63 violations of the truce.
- 1 January The Marine Corps troop level in Vietnam reaches 81,249. The III Marine Amphibious Force, which is responsible for I CTZ, begins the year with a total strength of 114,158 troops, composed of 76,616 Marines divided among the 1st Marine Division, the 3d Marine Division, the 1st Marine Aircraft Wing, and Force Logistic Command; 3,538 Navy personnel; and 36,816 Army personnel, including the Americal Division and one brigade of the 1st Air Cavalry Division, and 88 Air Force personnel.
- 3 January The 5th Marines concludes Operation Auburn south of Da Nang (28Dec67–3Jan68). The operation results in 37 reported enemy casualties with 24 Marines killed and 62 wounded.
- 11 January As part of Operation Checkers, in an effort to rotate units of the 1st Marine Division north to relieve the 3d Marine Division, Task Force X-Ray headquarters is activated at Phu Bai. Task Force X-Ray subsequently relieved the 3d Marine Division headquarters at Phu Bai, which moved to Dong Ha in Quang Tri Province.
- 16 January The 2d Battalion, 26th Marines reinforces the Marine base at Khe Sanh.
- 16 January A North Vietnamese representative states that North Vietnam will not begin peace talks until the United States halts bombing of the North.
- 20 January Operation Lancaster I (1Nov67–20Jan68), a 3d Marines operation to safeguard Route 9 between Cam Lo and Ca Lu, ends with a reported 46 enemy casualties and 27 Marines killed and 141 wounded.
- 20 January The 1st Marines concludes Operation Osceola I (20Oct67–20Jan68) in the Quang Tri City region. The operation resulted in a reported 76 enemy casualties with 17 Marines killed and 199 wounded.
- 20 January The 4th Marines concludes Operation Neosho I (1Nov67–20Jan68) northwest of Hue. The operation resulted in 77 reported enemy casualties with 12 Marines killed and 100 wounded.
- 20 January A Marine patrol participating in Operation Scotland makes contact with a heavy concentration of North Vietnamese troops around Hill 881 South near Khe Sanh. The ensuing battle signaled the beginning of the siege of Khe Sanh.
- 21 January The 1st Air Cavalry Division, USA, is placed under the operational control of III MAF commander, Marine Lieutenant General Robert E. Cushman, Jr.
- 21 January The 4th Marines begin Operation Lancaster II in the same area as Operation Lancaster I.
- 21 January The 3d Marines begin Operation Osceola II in the same area as Osceola I.
- 21 January General Westmoreland, Commander USMACV, orders a temporary halt to work on the “McNamara Line,” the barrier and antiinfiltration system south of the DMZ.
- 21 January The NVA begins the bombardment of the base at Khe Sanh and the Marine outposts in the surrounding hills. This rocket, mortar, and artillery barrage will continue for the next 77 days.

- 22 January The 1st Battalion, 9th Marines reinforces the garrison at Khe Sanh.
- 22 January The 1st Air Cavalry Division begins Operation Jeb Stuart in the northern part of I CTZ.
- 23 January The USS *Pueblo* (AGER 2), an American intelligence ship, is seized off the coast of Korea by the North Koreans.
- 23 January Special Landing Force Bravo consisting of BLT 3/1 and HMM-165 begins Operation Badger Catch near the Cua Viet River.
- 26 January Operation Badger Catch is renamed Operation Saline. The Marines in Badger Catch continue to work in conjunction with Operation Napoleon, a similar effort by the 1st Amphibian Tractor Battalion to keep the Cua Viet River supply line open.
- 27 January The seven-day Communist ceasefire for the Tet holiday begins.
- 29 January The allied ceasefire for the Tet holiday begins in all of South Vietnam except I CTZ.
- 30 January Enemy troops launch the beginning of their Tet offensive in I Corps, attacking Da Nang and several cities south of the base.
- 31 January The NVA opens its Tet offensive throughout South Vietnam with attacks against 39 provincial capitals and major cities including Saigon and Hue.
- 31 January VC troops fail in an attempt to seize the U.S. Embassy in Saigon after breaching the compound.
- 31 January Gen Leonard F. Chapman becomes the 24th Commandant of the Marine Corps, upon the retirement of the former Commandant, Gen Wallace M. Greene, Jr.
- 31 January 1st Brigade, 1st Air Cavalry Division launches a counter-offensive air assault into the city of Quang Tri.
- January Operation Kentucky in "Leatherneck Square," south of the DMZ, resulted in 353 reported enemy casualties.
- January The Americal Division continues Operation Wheeler/ Wallowa south of Da Nang.
- 1 February The 1st Brigade, 1st Air Cavalry Division together with ARVN forces successfully defend the city of Quang Tri. The enemy sustained 900 reported casualties and 100 captured.
- 1 February Richard M. Nixon announces his candidacy for president.
- 1 February Units of the 1st and 5th Marines begin Operation Hue City to drive the NVA out of the city.
- 5 February Marines from the 26th Marines at Khe Sanh repel a battalion-sized attack killing a reported 109 NVA soldiers with 7 Marines killed and 15 wounded.
- 7 February NVA units overrun the Special Forces base at Lang Vei, west of Khe Sanh.
- 7 February Elements of the 3d Marines, 5th Marines, and the Americal Division engage the *2d NVA Division* in fighting around Da Nang.
- 9 February III MAF units succeed in throwing back the *2d NVA Division* offensive at Da Nang.
- 9 February MACV Forward, under General Creighton B. Abrams, Deputy Commander USMACV, is established in I CTZ at Phu Bai.
- 13 February The headquarters and combat elements of the 101st Airborne Division arrive in I CTZ.
- 16 February Operation Osceola II ends. This operation resulted in 21 reported enemy casualties with 2 Marines killed and 74 wounded.
- 23 February NVA troops fire more than 1,300 shells into the Marine garrison at Khe Sanh. This barrage marks the heaviest shelling of the entire siege.

- 24 February American and South Vietnamese troops capture the Citadel in Hue.
- 25 February American forces declare the city of Hue secure.
- 29 February Operation Saline is combined with Operation Napoleon.
- February The 27th Marines arrives in Da Nang from the U.S. as part of the reinforcements approved by President Lyndon B. Johnson. The President made extensive reductions to original recommendations of MACV and the JCS.
- February Operation Kentucky results in 398 reported enemy casualties with 90 Marines killed and 277 wounded.
- 1 March Clark Clifford replaces Robert S. McNamara as Secretary of Defense.
- 2 March Operation Hue City ends successfully as the 1st and 5th Marines defeat the NVA assault in Hue. The operation resulted in 1,943 enemy casualties with 142 Marines killed and 1,005 wounded.
- 10 March MACV Forward is deactivated.
- 10 March Provisional Corps Vietnam is created. This command, led by Lieutenant General William B. Rosson, USA, controls the 3rd Marine Division, the 1st Air Cavalry Division, and the 101st Airborne Division and is subordinate to Lieutenant General Cushman, commander of III MAF.
- 12 March Senator Eugene McCarthy makes a substantial showing in the New Hampshire primary, winning 40 percent of the vote, with President Johnson winning 49 percent.
- 16 March Troops from the Americal Division massacre more than 100 civilians, mostly women and children, in the village of My Lai.
- 21 March As part of the Single Management System, the Seventh Air Force assumes responsibility for coordinating and controlling all fixed-wing aircraft missions, including those of the 1st Marine Aircraft Wing.
- 31 March Operation Scotland (1Nov67–31Mar68) near Khe Sanh ends. The operation, which included the defense of the besieged garrison of Khe Sanh, resulted in a reported 1,631 enemy casualties with 204 Marines killed and 1,622 wounded in action.
- 31 March The 1st Cavalry Division concludes Operation Jeb Stuart. This operation resulted in a reported 3,268 enemy casualties with 284 Army personnel killed and 1,717 wounded.
- 31 March President Johnson announces a partial halt in the bombing of North Vietnam and that he will send an additional 13,500 troops to South Vietnam. In a surprise move, the President declares that he will not run for re-election due to the war in Vietnam and public unrest at home.
- March Operation Kentucky results in a reported 413 enemy casualties with 38 Marines killed and 217 wounded.
- 1 April The 1st Air Cavalry Division together with units from the 1st Marines and the ARVN, begins Operation Pegasus from the Marine base of Ca Lu to relieve the Marine garrison at Khe Sanh.
- 9 April U. S. troops retake the Special Forces Camp at Lang Vei, southwest of Khe Sanh.
- 15 April Operation Pegasus ends with the relief and resupply of Khe Sanh. The operation resulted in 1,044 reported enemy casualties, with 51 Marines killed and 459 wounded. The 1st Air Cavalry Division suffered 41 personnel killed and 208 wounded.
- 15 April With the relief of Khe Sanh and the end of Operation Pegasus, Operation Scotland II, a continuation of Marine Corps action around the base at Khe Sanh begins.

- 19 April Elements of the 1st Air Cavalry Division, the 101st Airborne Division, and several ARVN units begin Operation Delaware/Lam Son 216. This operation takes place in the A Shau Valley and is designed as a spoiling assault to disrupt enemy preparations for another attack on Hue.
- 30 April NVA units are engaged in the village of Dai Do by BLT 2/4. Heavy fighting in this area continues until 3 May.
- 4 May The 7th Marines begin Operation Allen Brook, an operation designed to disrupt the growing enemy presence South of Da Nang.
- 30 April–17 May Marine, Army, and ARVN units succeed in thwarting a possible enemy assault on Dong Ha. The NVA suffered a reported 1,547 casualties while the allies sustained casualties of nearly 300 dead and 1,000 wounded.
- 4 May The 7th Marines begin Operation Allen Brook, an operation designed to disrupt the growing enemy presence south of Da Nang.
- 5 May Signalling the second major offensive of the year, enemy troops launch 119 rocket and mortar attacks on towns and cities throughout South Vietnam.
- 13 May Peace talks among North Vietnam, South Vietnam, and the United States begin in Paris.
- 17 May Operation Delaware/Lam Son 216 ends with a reported 735 enemy casualties with 142 Army personnel killed and 731 wounded.
- 17 May 1st Air Cavalry Division begins Operation Jeb Stuart III along the border of Quang Tri and Thua Thien Provinces.
- 18 May Battalions from the 1st Marine Division begin Operation Mameluke Thrust in the central regions of Quang Nam Province.
- 20 May Major General Raymond G. Davis replaces Major General Rathvon McC. Tompkins as Commanding General, 3d Marine Division.
- 22 May The Marine Corps makes its first use of the North American OV-10A Bronco as an observation and counter-insurgency aircraft.
- 26 May Major General Rathvon McC. Tompkins becomes Deputy Commander of III MAF, replacing Major General William J. Van Ryzin.
- 27 May Peace talks between the United States and North Vietnam break down in Paris.
- May Operation Kentucky results in a reported 817 enemy casualties with 134 Marines killed and 611 wounded.
- May Marine Corps force levels in Vietnam reach 89,000.
- 1 June Lieutenant General Henry W. Buse, Jr., replaces Lieutenant General Victor H. Krulak as the Commanding General, Fleet Marine Force, Pacific.
- 5 June Robert F. Kennedy is assassinated.
- 26 June Major General Carl A. Youngdale relieves Major General Donn J. Robertson as Commander of the 1st Marine Division.
- 27 June Marine troops begin to dismantle and withdraw from their static defense base at Khe Sanh.
- 1 July General Creighton Abrams relieves General William Westmoreland as Commander USMACV.
- 1 July Operation Thor begins in the eastern part of the DMZ. Planes from the Air Force, the Navy, and the Marine Corps, as well as artillery from Army and Marine artillery batteries in the DMZ sector and naval gunfire from cruisers and destroyers off the coast pound enemy artillery installations in the DMZ.
- 7 July Operation Thor ends.
- 25 July The 1st Brigade, 5th Infantry Division (Mechanized), USA, arrives in I CTZ and is placed under the operational control of III MAF.

- 15 August Provisional Corps Vietnam is deactivated and replaced by XXIV Corps.
- 23 August Operation Allen Brook ends. This operation resulted in 1,017 reported enemy casualties with 172 Marines killed and 1,124 wounded.
- 23 August Enemy troops mount their third major offensive by firing on 27 different allied installations and cities including Hue, Da Nang Air Base, and Quang Tri City. The major thrust of this effort is the city of Da Nang. The Communists fall far short of their objective due to resistance of U.S. Army, Marine Corps, and South Vietnamese troops.
- 24 August The Democratic Party Convention begins in Chicago. Vietnam War protesters clash violently with police for the next four days.
- 29 September The USS *New Jersey* (BB 62) arrives off the coast of the DMZ. The arrival of this battleship greatly increases the Navy's firepower and power projection in the eastern DMZ.
- September Engagements from Operation Kentucky result in 305 reported enemy casualties with 1 Marine killed and 8 wounded.
- 6 October 7th Marines begin Operation Maui Peak, an effort to relieve the Special Forces base at Thuong Duc in Quang Nam Province.
- 19 October Operation Maui Peak ends, resulting in 202 reported enemy casualties with 28 Marines killed and 143 wounded.
- 23 October Operation Mameluke Thrust ends, resulting in 2,728 reported enemy casualties with 269 Marines killed and 1,730 wounded.
- 23 October The 5th Marines begins Operation Henderson Hill in Quang Nam Province as a continuation of Operation Mameluke Thrust.
- 28 October The 1st Air Cavalry Division begins to move from I CTZ to III CTZ.
- 31 October President Johnson announces a complete halt in the bombing and naval bombardment of North Vietnam.
- 1 November North Vietnamese officials announce that they will meet in Paris with representatives from the United States, South Vietnam, and the National Liberation Front to begin peace talks.
- 1 November South Vietnamese units, aided by squads and platoons of American troops, begin the Accelerated Pacification (*Le Loi*) Campaign in order to regain the trust and control of South Vietnamese villages lost due to the major enemy offensives of the year.
- 2 November South Vietnamese President Nguyen Van Thieu states that his nation will not negotiate in Paris if the Communist National Liberation Front is given equal status with the other participants.
- 3 November Operation Jeb Stuart III ends. This operation resulted in 2,016 reported enemy casualties with 212 Army personnel killed and 1,512 wounded.
- 5 November Richard Nixon wins the presidential election by narrowly defeating Hubert Humphrey.
- 11 November The Americal Division ends Operation Wheeler/Wallowa after 14 months in the Nui Loc Son Valley. This operation resulted in a reported 10,020 enemy casualties with 683 Army personnel killed and 3,597 wounded.
- 20 November The 1st Marines begin Operation Meade River, nine miles south of Da Nang, in support of the South Vietnamese Accelerated Pacification Campaign.
- 23 November Operation Lancaster II ends. This operation resulted in a reported 1,800 enemy casualties with 359 Marines killed and 2,101 wounded.
- 26 November President Johnson states that the peace talks will include the United States, South Vietnam, and a Communist delegation which consists of representatives from North Vietnam and the National Liberation Front.

- 6 December Operation Henderson Hill ends. This action resulted in a reported 700 enemy casualties and 35 Marines killed and 273 wounded.
- 9 December Operation Napoleon/Saline ends, resulting in a reported 3,495 enemy casualties with 353 Marines killed and 1,959 wounded.
- 9 December Operation Meade River ends with 841 reported enemy casualties with 107 Marines killed and 522 wounded.
- 21 December Major General Carl A. Youngdale relieves Major General Rathvon McC. Tompkins as Deputy Commanding General, III MAF. Major General Ormond R. Simpson relieves Major General Youngdale as Commanding General, 1st Marine Division.
- 29 December Camp Carroll, the artillery base that supported the garrison at Khe Sanh, is deactivated.
- 29 December Allied troops in Vietnam announce that they will not honor any holiday truces.
- December III MAF ends the year with operational control of the 1st Marine Division, the 3d Marine Division, the 1st Marine Air Wing, the Marine Force Logistic Command, the 101st Airborne Division, the Americal Division, and the 1st Brigade, 5th Infantry Division (Mechanized).
- December The year closes with 31,691 reported enemy casualties at the hands of Marine units in III MAF. The cost of the year's fighting to the Marine Corps was 4,618 Marines killed and 29,320 wounded.

Appendix C

Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations

- A-1—A-5—Designations for Strong Points which form the Dye-marker barrier.
- A-1E—Douglas Skyraider, a propeller-driven, single-engine, attack aircraft.
- A-4—Douglas Skyhawk, a single-seat, jet attack aircraft in service on board carriers of the U.S. Navy and with land-based Marine attack squadrons.
- A-6A—Grumman Intruder, a twin-jet, twin-seat, attack aircraft specifically designed to deliver weapons on targets completely obscured by weather or darkness.
- AAR—After Action Report.
- ABCCC—Airborne Battlefield Command and Control Center, a U.S. Air Force aircraft equipped with communications, data link, and display equipment; it may be employed as an airborne command post or a communications and intelligence relay facility.
- AC-47—Douglas C-47 Skytrain, twin-engine, fixed-wing transport modified with 7.62mm miniguns and used as a gunship.
- AC-119—Fairchild Hiller C-119 military transport aircraft remodified into a gunship with side-firing 7.62mm miniguns.
- ADC—Assistant Division Commander.
- AdminO—Administrative Officer.
- Adv—Advanced.
- AFP—Armed Forces Police.
- AGC—Amphibious command ship. The current designation is LCC.
- AH-1G—Bell Huey Cobra helicopter specifically designed for close air support.
- AK-47—Russian-designed Kalashnikov gas-operated 7.62mm automatic rifle, with an effective range of 400 meters. It was the standard rifle of the North Vietnamese Army.
- AKA—Attack cargo ship, a naval ship designed to transport combat-loaded cargo in an assault landing. LKA is the current designation.
- ALMAR—All Marines, a Commandant of the Marine Corps communication directed to all Marines.
- ALO—Air Liaison Officer, an officer (aviator/pilot) attached to a ground unit who functions as the primary advisor to the ground commander on air operation matters.
- ALP—Air Liaison Party.
- AMERICAL—The U.S. Army's 23d Infantry Division.
- AmTrac—Amphibian Tractor.
- ANGLICO—Air and Naval Gunfire Liaison Company, an organization composed of Marine and Navy personnel specially qualified for control of naval gunfire and close air support. ANGLICO personnel normally provided this service while attached to U.S. Army, Korean, and ARVN units.
- AO—Air Observer, an individual whose primary mission is to observe or to take photographs from an aircraft in order to adjust artillery fire or obtain military information.
- AOA—Amphibious Objective Area, a defined geographical area within which is located the area or areas to be captured by the amphibious task force.
- APA—Attack transport ship, a naval ship, designed for combat loading elements of a battalion landing team. LPA is the current designation.
- APC—Armored Personnel Carrier.
- APD—Airborne Personnel Detector.
- APT—Armed Propaganda Team, a South Vietnamese pacification cadre who carried weapons in self-defense as they attempted to convince South Vietnamese villagers to remain loyal to the government.
- ARA—Aerial Rocket Artillery.
- Arclight—The codename for B-52 bombing missions in South Vietnam.
- ARG—Amphibious Ready Group.
- Arty—Artillery.
- ARVN—Army of the Republic of Vietnam (South Vietnam).
- ASP—Ammunition Supply Point.
- ASRT—Air Support Radar Team, a subordinate operational component of a tactical air control system which provides ground controlled precision flight path guidance and weapons release for attack aircraft.
- ATDS—Airborne Tactical Data System.
- B-40 rockets—Communist rocket-propelled grenade.
- B-52—Boeing Stratofortress, U.S. Air Force eight-engine, swept-wing, heavy jet bomber.
- BA—Base Area.
- BB—Navy Battleship.
- BDA—Battle Damage Assessment.
- BDC—Base Defense Commander.
- Bde—Brigade.
- BDR—Battle Damage Repair.
- BGen—Brigadier General.
- BLT—Battalion Landing Team.
- Bn—Battalion.
- Bru*—One of several non-Vietnamese ethnic groups living in the Vietnamese highlands; the inhabitants in the Khe Sanh area were primarily *Bru*.
- Btry—Battery.
- BUIC—Back-Up Intercept Computer.
- C-1—C-3—Designations for base areas which support the Dye-marker barrier.
- C-117D—Douglas Skytrain, a twin-engine transport aircraft. The C-117D was an improved version of the C-47, the military version of the DC-3.
- C-123—Fairchild Provider, two-engine, turboprop, transport aircraft with a maximum payload of 15,000 pounds.
- C-130—Lockheed Hercules, a four-engine turboprop transport aircraft.
- CAAR—Combat After Action Report.
- CACO—Combined Action Company.
- CAF—Combined Action Force.
- CAG—Combined Action Group.

- CAP—Combined Action Platoon.
- Capt—Captain.
- CAS—Close Air Support.
- CBU—Cluster Bomb Unit.
- CCP—Combined Campaign Plan.
- Cdr—Commander.
- CG—Commanding General.
- CH-46—Boeing Vertol Sea Knight, a twin-engine, tandem-rotor transport helicopter, designed to carry a four-man crew and 17 combat-loaded troops.
- CH-53—Sikorsky Sea Stallion, a single-rotor, heavy transport helicopter powered by two shaft-turbine engines with an average payload of 12,800 pounds. Carries crew of three and 38 combat-loaded troops.
- CH-54—Sikorsky Sky Crane, U.S. Army, two-engine, single-rotor, heavy transport helicopter with three-man crew and useful payload of 22,890 pounds.
- Chien Hoi*—The South Vietnamese amnesty program designed to attract Communist troops and cadre to defect to the government cause.
- CICV—Combined Intelligence Center, Vietnam.
- CID—Criminal Investigative Division.
- CIDG—Civilian Irregular Defense Group, South Vietnamese paramilitary force, composed largely of *Montagnards* and advised by the U.S. Army Special Forces.
- CinCPac—Commander in Chief, Pacific.
- CinCPacFlt—Commander in Chief, Pacific Fleet.
- CIT—Counter Intelligence Team.
- Class (I-V)—Categories of military supplies, e.g., Class I, rations; Class II, Uniforms and other individual items; Class III, POL; Class IV, Construction materials; Class V, Ammunition.
- Claymore—A U.S. directional antipersonnel mine.
- CMC—Commandant of the Marine Corps.
- CMH—Center of Military History, Department of the Army.
- CNO—Chief of Naval Operations.
- CO—Commanding Officer.
- Co—Company.
- COB—Combat Operations Base.
- COC—Combat Operations Center.
- CoFraM—Controlled Fragmentation Munitions.
- Col—Colonel.
- Combined Action Program—A Marine pacification program which integrated a Marine infantry squad with a South Vietnamese Popular Force platoon in a Vietnamese village.
- ComdC—Command Chronology.
- ComdHist—Command History.
- ComNavForPac—Commander, Naval Forces, Pacific.
- ComNavForV—Commander, Naval Forces, Vietnam.
- ComUSMACV—Commander, U.S. Military Assistance Command, Vietnam.
- CORDS—Civil Operations and Revolutionary Development Support, the agency organized under MACV in May 1967 and charged with coordinating U.S.-Vietnamese pacification efforts.
- COSVN—Central Office of South Vietnam, the nominal Communist military and political headquarters in South Vietnam.
- County Fair—A sophisticated cordon and search operation in a particular hamlet or village by South Vietnamese troops, police, local officials, and U.S. Marines in an attempt to screen and register the local inhabitants.
- CP—Command Post.
- CPDC—Central Pacification and Development Council, the South Vietnamese government agency responsible for coordinating the pacification plan.
- Cpl—Corporal.
- CRC—Control and Reporting Center, an element of the U.S. Air Force tactical air control system, subordinate to the Tactical Air Control Center, which conducted radar and warning operations.
- CRIMP—Consolidated Republic of Vietnam Improvement and Modernization Plan.
- C/S—Chief of Staff.
- CS—A chemical irritant which affects the upper respiratory system, similar to tear gas.
- CSC—Communications Service Company.
- CTZ—Corps Tactical Zone.
- DAIS—Da Nang Antiinfiltration System.
- DASC—Direct Air Support Center, a subordinate operational component of the Marine air control system designed for control of close air support and other direct air support operations.
- D—Day—Day scheduled for the beginning of an operation.
- DD—Navy destroyer.
- Det—Detachment.
- DIOCC—District Intelligence and Operations Coordination Center.
- Div—Division.
- DMZ—Demilitarized Zone separating North and South Vietnam.
- DOD—Department of Defense.
- DOIC—District Operations and Intelligence Center
- DPP—Data Processing Platoon.
- DPS—Data Processing Section.
- DRV—Democratic Republic of Vietnam (North Vietnam).
- DSA—District Senior Advisor.
- DSS—Da Nang Special Sector.
- Dtd—Dated.
- Duel Blade—The final codename for the DMZ barrier.
- Duster—The nickname for the U.S. Army's tracked vehicle, the M-42, which mounted dual 40mm automatic weapons.
- DVA—Da Nang Vital Area.
- Dyemarker—Codename for the Strong Point/Obstacle System (also known as the "McNamara Line" and "the barrier") which was constructed south of the DMZ and intended to limit infiltration from North Vietnam. See Practice Nine.
- EA-6A—The electronic-countermeasures version of the A-6A Intruder.
- ECM—Electronic Countermeasures, a major subdivision of electronic warfare involving actions against enemy electronic equipment or to exploit the enemy's use of electromagnetic radiations from such equipment.
- ELINT—Electronic Intelligence, the intelligence information gained by monitoring radiations from enemy electronic equipment.
- Engr—Engineer.
- EOD—Explosive Ordnance Device.
- F-4B—McDonnell Phantom II, a twin-engined, two-seat, long-range, all-weather jet interceptor and attack bomber.
- F-8—Chance-Vought Crusader, single-engine, single-seat carrier fighter aircraft.

- FAC(A)—Forward Air Controller (Airborne).
- FADAC—Field Artillery Digital Automatic Computer.
- FAE—Fuel-Air Explosive.
- FAG—Field Artillery Group.
- FDC—Fire Direction Center.
- FFV—Field Force, Vietnam I and II, U.S. Army commands in II and III Corps areas of South Vietnam.
- FLC—Force Logistic Command.
- FLSG—Force Logistic Support Group.
- FLSU—Force Logistic Support Unit.
- FMFPac—Fleet Marine Force, Pacific.
- FO—Forward Observer.
- FOB—Forward Operating Base.
- FRC—Federal Records Center.
- Front 4—A Communist headquarters subordinate to MR-5 and responsible for Quang Nam Province.
- FSB—Fire Support Base.
- FSCC—Fire Support Coordination Center, a single location involved in the coordination of all forms of fire support.
- FSIC—Fire Support Information Center.
- FSR—Force Service Regiment.
- Fwd—Forward.
- FWMF—Free World Military Force.
- G—Refers to staff positions on a general staff, e.g., G-1 would refer to the staff member responsible for personnel; G-2, intelligence; G-3, operations; G-4, logistics, and G-5, civil affairs.
- GCA—Ground Control Approach.
- GCI—Ground Control Intercept.
- Gen—General.
- Golden Fleece—Marine rice harvest protection operation.
- GPES—Ground Proximity Extraction System.
- Grenade Launcher, M79—U.S.-built, single-shot, breech-loaded shoulder weapon which fires 40mm projectiles and weighs approximately 6.5 pounds when loaded; it has a sustained rate of aimed fire of five-seven rounds per minute and an effective range of 375 meters.
- GSW—Gunshot Wounds.
- Gun, 175mm, M107—U.S.-built, self-propelled gun which weighs 62,000 pounds and fires a 147-pound projectile to a maximum range of 32,800 meters. Maximum rate of fire is one round every two minutes.
- GVN—Government of Vietnam (South Vietnam).
- GySgt—Gunnery Sergeant.
- H&I fires—Harassing and Interdiction fires.
- H&MS—Headquarters and Maintenance Squadron.
- H&S Co—Headquarters and Service Company.
- HAWK—A mobile, surface-to-air guided missile, designed to defend against low-flying enemy aircraft and short-range missiles.
- HDC—Helicopter Direction Center.
- HE—High Explosive.
- Hectare—A unit of land measure in the metric system and equal to 2.471 acres.
- HES—Hamlet Evaluation System, the computerized statistical data system used to measure pacification in the hamlets and villages of South Vietnam.
- H-Hour—The specific hour an operation begins.
- HistBr, G-3 Div, HQMC—Historical Branch, G-3 Division, Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps, the Vietnam-era predecessor of the History and Museums Division.
- HLZ—Helicopter Landing Zone.
- HMH—Marine Heavy Helicopter Squadron.
- HML—Marine Light Helicopter Squadron.
- HMM—Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron.
- Hoi Chanb*—A Viet Cong or North Vietnamese defector under the *Chieu Hoi* amnesty program.
- Howitzer, 8-inch (M55)—U.S.-built, self-propelled, heavy-artillery piece with a maximum range of 16,900 meters and a rate of fire of one round every two minutes.
- Howitzer, 105mm, M101A1—U.S.-built, towed, general purpose light artillery piece with a maximum range of 11,000 meters and maximum rate of fire of four rounds per minute.
- Howitzer, 155mm, M114A towed and M109 self-propelled—U.S.-built medium artillery with a maximum range of 15,080 meters and a maximum rate of fire of three rounds per minute. Marines employed both models in Vietnam. The newer and heavier self-propelled M109 was largely road-bound, while the lighter, towed M114A could be moved either by truck or by helicopter.
- Howtar—A 4.2 (107mm) mortar tube mounted on a 75mm pack howitzer frame.
- HQ or Hq—Headquarters.
- HST—Helicopter Support Team.
- "Huey"—Popular name for UH-1 series of helicopters.
- ICC—International Control Commission, established by the Geneva Accords of 1954 to supervise the truce ending the First Indochina War between the French and the Viet Minh and resulting in the partition of Vietnam at the 17th Parallel. The members of the Commission were from Canada, India, and Poland.
- ICJCC—I Corps Joint Coordinating Council, consisting of U.S. and Vietnamese officials in I Corps who coordinated the civilian assistance program.
- I Corps—The military and administrative subdivision which included the five northern provinces of South Vietnam.
- IDA—Institute for Defense Analysis.
- Intel—Intelligence.
- Intvw—Interview.
- IOD—Integrated Observation Device.
- ITT—Interrogation/Translator Team.
- J—The designation for members of a joint staff which includes members of several services comprising the command, e.g., J-1 would refer to the staff members responsible for personnel; J-2, intelligence; J-3, operations; J-4, logistics; and J-5, civil affairs.
- JCS—Joint Chiefs of Staff (U.S.).
- JGS—Joint General Staff (South Vietnamese).
- JTD—Joint Table of Distribution.
- JUSPAO—Joint U.S. Public Affairs Office.
- KC-130—The in-flight refueling tanker configuration of the C-130 Lockheed Hercules.
- KBA—Killed by Air.
- KIA—Killed in Action.
- Kit Carson Scout—Viet Cong defectors recruited by Marines to serve as scouts, interpreters, and intelligence agents.

- L-Hour—In planned helicopter operations, it is the specific hour the helicopters land in the landing zone.
- LAAM Bn—Light Antiaircraft Missile Battalion.
- LAAW—Light Anti-Armor Weapon
- LAPES—Low Altitude Parachute Extraction System.
- LCM—Landing Craft Mechanized, designed to land tanks, trucks, and trailers directly onto the beach.
- LCpl—Lance Corporal.
- LCU—Landing Craft Utility.
- LCVP—Landing Craft, Vehicle, Personnel, a small craft with a bow ramp used to transport assault troops and light vehicles to the beach.
- Le Loi*—The Accelerated Pacification Campaign.
- LKA—The current designation for an attack cargo ship. See AKA.
- LOACH—Light Observation and Command Helicopter.
- LOC—Lines of Communication.
- LOH—Light Observation Helicopter.
- LOI—Letter of Instruction.
- LOTS—Logistics Over the Shore.
- LP—Listening Post.
- LPD—Amphibious transport, dock, a ship designed to transport and land troops, equipment, and supplies by means of embarked landing craft, amphibious vehicles, and helicopters. It had both a submersible well deck and a helicopter landing deck.
- LPH—Amphibious assault ship, a ship designed or modified to transport and land troops, equipment, and supplies by means of embarked helicopters.
- LSA—Logistic Support Area.
- LSD—Landing Ship, Dock, a landing ship designed to combat load, transport, and launch amphibious crafts or vehicles together with crews and embarked personnel, and to provide limited docking and repair services to small ships and crafts. It lacks the helicopter landing deck of the LPD.
- LST—Landing Ship, Tank, landing ship designed to transport heavy vehicles and to land them on a beach.
- Lt—Lieutenant.
- LtCol—Lieutenant Colonel.
- LtGen—Lieutenant General.
- Ltr—Letter.
- LVTE—Landing Vehicle, Tracked, Engineer, a lightly armored amphibian vehicle designed for minefield and obstacle clearance.
- LVTH—Landing Vehicle, Tracked, Howitzer, a lightly armored, self-propelled, amphibious 105mm howitzer. It resembles an LVTP with a turret for the howitzer.
- LVTP—Landing Vehicle, Tracked, Personnel, an amphibian vehicle used to land and/or transport personnel.
- LVTR—Landing Vehicle, Tracked, Retriever, an amphibian vehicle used for repair purposes.
- LZ—Landing Zone.
- MAB—Marine Amphibious Brigade.
- MABS—Marine Air Base Squadron.
- Machine gun, .50-caliber—U.S.-built, belt-fed, recoil-operated, air-cooled automatic weapon, which weighs approximately 80 pounds without mount or ammunition; it has a sustained rate of fire of 100 rounds per minute and an effective range of 1,450 meters.
- Machine gun, M60—U.S.-built, belt-fed, gas-operated, air-cooled, 7.62mm automatic weapon, which weighs approximately 20 pounds without mount or ammunition; it has a sustained rate of fire of 100 rounds per minute and an effective range of 1,000 meters.
- MACS—Marine Air Control Squadron, provides and operates ground facilities for the detection and interception of hostile aircraft and for the navigational direction of friendly aircraft in the conduct of support operations.
- MACG—Marine Air Control Group.
- MACV—Military Assistance Command, Vietnam.
- MAF—Marine Amphibious Force.
- MAG—Marine Aircraft Group.
- Main Force—Refers to organized Viet Cong battalions and regiments as opposed to local guerrilla groups.
- Maj—Major.
- MajGen—Major General.
- MarCad—Marine Aviation Cadet.
- MarDiv—Marine Division.
- Marines—Designates a Marine regiment, e.g., 3d Marines.
- MASS—Marine Air Support Squadron, provides and operates facilities for the control of support aircraft operating in direct support of ground forces.
- MAU—Marine Advisory Unit, the Marine advisory unit under the Naval Advisory Group which administered the advisory effort to the South Vietnamese Marine Corps; not to be confused with a Marine Amphibious Unit.
- MAW—Marine Aircraft Wing.
- MCAF—Marine Corps Air Facility.
- MCAS—Marine Corps Air Station.
- MCCC—Marine Corps Command Center.
- MCO—Marine Corps Order.
- MCOAG—Marine Corps Operations Analysis Group.
- MCSA—Marine Corps Supply Agency.
- MEB—Marine Expeditionary Brigade.
- MedCap—Medical Civilian Assistance Program.
- MedEvac—Medical Evacuation.
- MEF—Marine Expeditionary Force.
- MGySgt—Master Gunnery Sergeant.
- MIA—Missing in Action.
- MilHistBr—Military History Branch.
- Mortar, 4.2-inch, M30—U.S.-built, rifled, muzzle-loaded, drop-fired weapon consisting of tube, base-plate and standard; weapon weighs 330 pounds and has maximum range of 4,020 meters. Rate of fire is 20 rounds per minute.
- Mortar, 60mm, M19—U.S.-built, smooth-bore, muzzle-loaded weapon, which weighs 45.2 pounds when assembled; it has a maximum rate of fire of 30 rounds per minute and sustained rate of fire of 18 rounds per minute; the effective range is 2,000 meters. Mortar, 81mm, M29—U.S.-built, smooth-bore, muzzle-loaded, which weighs approximately 115 pounds when assembled; it has a sustained rate of fire of two rounds per minute and an effective range of 2,300–3,650 meters, depending upon ammunition used.
- Mortar, 82mm—Soviet-built, smooth-bore, mortar, single-shot, high angle of fire weapon which weighs approximately 123 pounds; it has a maximum rate of fire of 25 rounds per minute and a maximum range of 3,040 meters.
- Mortar, 120mm—Soviet- or Chinese Communist-built, smooth bore, drop or trigger fired, mortar which weighs approximately 600 pounds; it has a maximum rate of fire of 15 rounds per minute and a maximum range of 5,700 meters.
- MR-5—Military Region 5, a Communist political and military sector in northern South Vietnam, including all of I Corps. NVA units in MR-5 did not report to COSVN.

- Ms—Manuscript.
 Msg—Message.
 MSgt—Master Sergeant.
 MTDS—Marine Tactical Data System.
 MTT—Mobile Training Team.
Montagnard—From French for “mountaineer,” refers to the several tribes of non-ethnic-Vietnamese nomadic tribesmen who populate the South Vietnamese highlands.
 MWHG—Marine Wing Headquarters Group.
 MWSG—Marine Wing Support Group.
- NAC—Northern Artillery Cantonment.
 NAG—Naval Advisory Group.
 NAS—Naval Air Station.
 NavCad—Naval Aviation Cadet.
 NCC—Naval Component Commander.
 NCO—Noncommissioned Officer.
 NGLO—Naval Gunfire Liaison Officer.
 NLF—National Liberation Front, the political arm of the Communist-led insurgency against the South Vietnamese Government.
 NMCB—Naval Mobile Construction Battalion (Seabees).
 NMCC—National Military Command Center.
 NOD—Night Observation Device.
 NPFF—National Police Field Force.
 NSA—Naval Support Activity.
 NSD—Naval Supply Depot.
 NSDC—Northern Sector Defense Command.
Nui—Vietnamese word for hill or mountain.
Nung—A Vietnamese tribesman, of a separate ethnic group and probably of Chinese origin.
 NVA—North Vietnamese Army, often used colloquially to refer to a North Vietnamese soldier.
- O-1C/G—Cessna, single-engine observation aircraft.
 OAB, NHD—Operational Archives Branch, Naval History Division.
 OJT—On the Job Training.
 Ontos—U.S.-built, lightly armored, tracked antitank vehicle armed with six coaxially-mounted 106mm recoilless rifles.
 OpCon—Operational Control, the authority granted to a commander to direct forces assigned for specific missions or tasks which are usually limited by function, time, or location.
 OP—Outpost or observation point.
 OpO—Operation Order, a directive issued by a commander to subordinate commanders for the execution of an operation.
 OPlan—Operation Plan, a plan for a single or series of connected operations to be carried out simultaneously or in succession; it is the form of directive employed by higher authority to permit subordinate commanders to prepare supporting plans and orders.
 OpSum—Operational Summary.
 ORLL—Operations Report/Lessons Learned.
 OSJS (MACV)—Office of the Secretariat, Joint Staff (Military Assistance Command Vietnam).
 OV-10—North American Rockwell Bronco, twin-engine aircraft specifically designed for light armed reconnaissance missions.
- PAR—Progressive Aircraft Rework.
 PAVN—Peoples Army of Vietnam (North Vietnam). This acronym was dropped in favor of NVA.
- PDC—Pacification and Development Councils.
 PF—Popular Force, Vietnamese militia who were usually employed in the defense of their own communities.
 PFC—Private First Class.
 Phoenix program—A covert U.S. and South Vietnamese program aimed at the eradication of the Viet Cong infrastructure in South Vietnam.
 PIIC—Photo Imagery Interpretation Center.
 POL—Petroleum, Oil and Lubricants.
 Practice Nine—The codename for the planning of the antiinfiltration barrier across the DMZ. See Dymarker.
 PRC-25—Standard radio used by Marine ground units in Vietnam that allowed for voice communication for distances up to 25 miles.
 Prov Corps—Provisional Corps Vietnam.
 PRU—Provincial Reconnaissance Unit.
 PSA—Province Senior Advisor.
 PSDF—People’s Self-Defense Force, a local self-defense force organized by the South Vietnamese Government after the enemy’s Tet offensive in 1968.
 Pvt—Private.
- QDSZ—Quang Da Special Zone.
 QRF—Quick Reaction Force.
- R&R—Rest and Recreation.
 Recoilless rifle, 106mm, M401A1—U.S.-built, single-shot, recoilless, breech-loaded weapon which weighs 438 pounds when assembled and mounted for firing; it has a sustained rate of fire of six rounds per minute and an effective range of 1,365 meters.
- Regt—Regiment.
 Rein—Reinforced.
 Revolutionary Development—The South Vietnamese pacification program started in 1966.
 Revolutionary Development Teams—Specially trained Vietnamese political cadre who were assigned to individual hamlets and villages and conducted various pacification and civilian assistance tasks on a local level.
- RF—Regional Force, Vietnamese militia who were employed in a specific area.
 Rifle, M14—Gas-operated, magazine-fed, air-cooled, semi-automatic, 7.62mm caliber shoulder weapon, which weighs 12 pounds with a full 20-round magazine; it has a sustained rate of fire of 30 rounds per minute and an effective range of 460 meters.
 Rifle, M16—Gas-operated, magazine-fed, air-cooled, automatic, 5.56mm caliber shoulder weapon, which weighs 3.1 pounds with a 20-round magazine; it has a sustained rate of fire of 12–15 rounds per minute and an effective range of 460 meters.
- RLT—Regimental Landing Team.
 ROE—Rules of Engagement.
 ROK—Republic of Korea.
 Rolling Thunder—Codename for U.S. air operations over North Vietnam.
 Rough Rider—Organized vehicle convoys, often escorted by helicopters and armored vehicles, using Vietnam’s roads to supply Marine bases.
 Route Package—Codename used with a number to designate areas of North Vietnam for the American bombing campaign. Route Package I was the area immediately north of the DMZ.
 RPG—Rocket-Propelled Grenade.

- RRU—Radio Research Unit.
- Rural Reconstruction—The predecessor campaign to Revolutionary Development.
- RVN—Republic of Vietnam (South Vietnam).
- RVNAF—Republic of Vietnam Armed Forces.
- RZ—Reconnaissance Zone.
- S—Refers to staff positions on regimental and battalion levels. S-1 would refer to the staff member responsible for personnel; S-2, intelligence; S-3, operations; S-4, logistics; and S-5, civil affairs.
- SAM—Surface to Air Missile.
- SAR—Search and Rescue.
- SCAMP—Sensor Control and Maintenance Platoon.
- SEATO—Southeast Asia Treaty Organization.
- SecDef—Secretary of Defense.
- SecState—Secretary of State.
- Seventh AF—Seventh Air Force, the major U.S. Air Force command in Vietnam.
- Seventh Fleet—The U.S. fleet assigned to the Pacific.
- SFD—Surprise Firing Device, a euphemism for a boobytrap.
- SID—Seismic Intrusion Device, sensor used to monitor movement through ground vibrations.
- SitRep—Situation Report.
- Sgt—Sergeant.
- SgtMaj—Sergeant Major.
- SKS—Russian-designed Simonov gas-operated 7.62mm semiautomatic rifle.
- SLAM—Seek, Locate, Annihilate and Monitor.
- SLF—Special Landing Force.
- SMA—Senior Marine Advisor.
- SOG—Studies and Operations Group, the cover name for the organization that carried out cross-border operations.
- Song—Vietnamese for “river.”
- SOP—Standing Operating Procedure, set of instructions laying out standardized procedures.
- SPIE—Special Patrol Insertion/Extraction line.
- Spt Rept—Spot Report.
- Sortie—An operational flight by one aircraft.
- Sparrow Hawk—A small rapid-reaction force on standby, ready for insertion by helicopter for reinforcement of units in contact with the enemy.
- SSDC—Southern Sector Defense Command.
- SSgt—Staff Sergeant.
- Steel Tiger—The codename for the air campaign over Laos.
- Stingray—Special Marine reconnaissance missions in which small Marine reconnaissance teams call artillery and air attacks on targets of opportunity.
- Strike Company—An elite company in a South Vietnamese infantry division, directly under the control of the division commander.
- TAC(A)—Tactical Air Coordinator (Airborne), an officer in an airplane, who coordinates close air support.
- TACC—Tactical Air Control Center, the principal air operations installation for controlling all aircraft and air-warning functions of tactical air operations.
- TACP—Tactical Air Control Party, a subordinate operational component of a tactical air control system designed to provide air liaison to land forces and for the control of aircraft.
- TADC—Tactical Air Direction Center, an air operations installation under the Tactical Air Control Center, which directs aircraft and aircraft warning functions of the tactical air center.
- TAFDS—Tactical Airfield Fuel Dispensing System, the expeditionary storage and dispensing system of aviation fuel at tactical airfields. It uses 10,000-gallon fabric tanks to store the fuel.
- Tank, M48—U.S.-built 50.7-ton tank with a crew of four; primary armament is turret-mounted 90mm gun with one .30-caliber and one .50-caliber machine gun; has maximum road speed of 32 miles per hour and an average range of 195 miles.
- TAOC—Tactical Air Operations Center, a subordinate component of the air command and control system which controls all enroute air traffic and air defense operations.
- TAOC—Tactical Area of Coordination.
- TAOI—Tactical Area of Interest.
- TAOR—Tactical Area of Responsibility, a defined area of land for which responsibility is specifically assigned to the commander of the area as a measure for control of assigned forces and coordination of support.
- TASE—Tactical Air Support Element.
- TDCC—Tactical Data Communications Central.
- TE—Task Element.
- T/E—Table of Equipment.
- Tet—The Vietnamese Lunar New Year; Commonly associated with the NVA/VC offensive launched during the Tet Holiday of January 1968.
- TF—Task Force.
- TG—Task Group.
- Tiger Hound—Airstrikes in Laos directed by U.S. Air Force small fixed-wing observation aircraft, flying up to 12 miles into southeastern Laos.
- T/O—Table of Organization.
- TO&E—Table of Organization and Equipment.
- TOC—Tactical Operations Center.
- Trung-si*—A South Vietnamese Popular Force sergeant.
- TPQ-10—Radar system used to control air strikes in poor and marginal weather.
- TSF—Transitional Support Force.
- TU—Task Unit.
- UCMJ—Uniform Code of Military Justice
- UH-1E—Bell Iroquois (commonly referred to as a “Huey”), a single-engine, light attack/observation helicopter noted for its maneuverability and firepower; carries a crew of three; it can be armed with air-to-ground rocket packs and fuselage-mounted, electrically-fired machine guns.
- UH-34D—Sikorsky Sea Horse, a single-engine medium transport helicopter with a crew of three, carries eight to 12 combat soldiers, depending upon weather conditions.
- USA—U.S. Army.
- USAAG—U.S. Army Advisory Group.
- USAF—U.S. Air Force.
- USAID—U.S. Agency for International Development.
- USARV—U.S. Army, Vietnam.
- USASuppComDaNang—U.S. Army Support Command, Da Nang.
- USIA—U.S. Information Agency.
- USMC—U.S. Marine Corps.
- U.S. Mission Council—Council, chaired by the U.S. Ambassador to South Vietnam and including ComUSMACV, which developed and coordinated U.S. policy within South Vietnam.
- USN—U.S. Navy.

VC—Viet Cong, a term used to refer to the Communist guerrillas in South Vietnam; a contraction of the Vietnamese phrase meaning “Vietnamese Communists.”

VCI—Viet Cong Infrastructure.

VCLF—Viet Cong Local Force.

Viet Minh—The Vietnamese contraction for Viet Nam Doc Lap Nong Minh Hoi, a Communist-led coalition of nationalist groups, which actively opposed the Japanese in World War II and the French in the first Indochina War.

VIS—Vietnamese Information Service.

VMA—Marine Attack Squadron.

VMA(AW)—Marine All-Weather Fighter Squadron.

VMCJ—Marine Composite Reconnaissance Squadron.

VMFA—Marine Fighter Attack Squadron.

VMF(AW)—Marine Fighter Squadron (All-Weather).

VMGR—Marine Refueller Transport Squadron.

VMO—Marine Observation Squadron.

VNAF—Vietnamese Air Force.

VNMB—Vietnamese Marine Brigade.

VNMC—Vietnamese Marine Corps.

VNN—Vietnamese Navy.

VNRS—Vietnamese National Rail System.

VT—Variable timed electronic fuse for an artillery shell which causes airburst over the target area.

WestPac—Western Pacific.

WIA—Wounded in Action.

WFRC—Washington Federal Records Center.

Appendix D
Medals of Honor Citations
1968

The President of the United States in the name of The Congress takes pride in presenting the MEDAL OF HONOR posthumously to

PRIVATE FIRST CLASS ROBERT C. BURKE
UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS

for service as set forth in the following

CITATION

For conspicuous gallantry and intrepidity at the risk of his life above and beyond the call of duty for service as a Machine Gunner with Company I, Third Battalion, Twenty-Seventh Marines, First Marine Division in the Republic of Vietnam on 17 May 1968. While on Operation Allen Brook, Company I was approaching a dry river bed with a heavily wooded treeline that bordered the hamlet of Le Nam (1), when they suddenly came under intense mortar, rocket propelled grenade, automatic weapons and small arms fire from a large, well concealed enemy force which halted the company's advance and wounded several Marines. Realizing that key points of resistance had to be eliminated to allow the units to advance and casualties to be evacuated, Private Burke, without hesitation, seized his machine gun and launched a series of one man assaults against the fortified emplacements. As he aggressively maneuvered to the edge of the steep river bank, he delivered accurate suppressive fire upon several enemy bunkers, which enabled his comrades to advance and move the wounded Marines to positions of relative safety. As he continued his combative actions, he located an opposing automatic weapons emplacement and poured intense fire into the position, killing three North Vietnamese soldiers as they attempted to flee. Private Burke then fearlessly moved from one position to another, quelling the hostile fire until his weapon malfunctioned. Obtaining a casualty's rifle and hand grenades, he advanced further into the midst of the enemy fire in an assault against another pocket of resistance, killing two more of the enemy. Observing that a fellow Marine had cleared his malfunctioning machine gun, he grasped his weapon and moved into a dangerously exposed area and saturated the hostile treeline until he fell mortally wounded. Private Burke's gallant actions upheld the highest traditions of the Marine Corps and the United States Naval Service. He gallantly gave his life for his country.

The President of the United States in the name of The Congress takes pride in presenting the MEDAL OF HONOR posthumously to

SERGEANT ALFREDO GONZALEZ
UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS

for service as set forth in the following

CITATION

For conspicuous gallantry and intrepidity at the risk of his life above and beyond the call of duty while serving as Platoon Commander, Third Platoon, Company A, First Battalion, First Marines, First Marine Division, in the Republic of Vietnam. On 31 January 1968, during the initial phase of Operation Hue City Sergeant Gonzalez's unit was formed as a reaction force and deployed to Hue to relieve the pressure on the beleaguered city. While moving by truck convoy along Route #1, near the village of Lang Van Long, the Marines received a heavy volume of enemy fire. Sergeant Gonzalez aggressively maneuvered the Marines in his platoon, and directed their fire until the area was cleared of snipers. Immediately after crossing a river south of Hue, the column was again hit by intense enemy fire. One of the Marines on top of a tank was wounded and fell to the ground in an exposed position. With complete disregard for his own safety, Sergeant Gonzalez ran through the fire-swept area to the assistance of his injured comrade. He lifted him up and though receiving fragmentation wounds during the rescue, he carried the wounded Marine to a covered position for treatment. Due to the increased volume and accuracy of enemy fire from a fortified machine gun bunker on the side of the road, the company was temporarily halted. Realizing the gravity of the situation, Sergeant Gonzalez exposed himself to the enemy fire and moved his platoon along the east side of a bordering rice paddy to a dike directly across from the bunker. Though fully aware of the danger involved, he moved to the fire-swept road and destroyed the hostile position with hand grenades. Although seriously wounded again on 3 February, he steadfastly refused medical treatment and continued to supervise his men and lead the attack. On 4 February, the enemy had again pinned the company down, inflicting heavy casualties with automatic weapons and rocket fire. Sergeant Gonzalez, utilizing a number of light antitank assault weapons, fearlessly moved from position to position firing numerous rounds at the heavily fortified enemy emplacements. He successfully knocked out a rocket position and suppressed much of the enemy fire before falling mortally wounded. The heroism, courage, and dynamic leadership displayed by Sergeant Gonzalez reflected great credit upon himself and the Marine Corps and were in keeping with the highest traditions of the United States Naval Service. He gallantly gave his life for his country.

The President of the United States in the name of The Congress takes pride in presenting the MEDAL OF HONOR posthumously to

SECOND LIEUTENANT TERRENCE COLLINSON GRAVES
UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS

for service as set forth in the following

CITATION

For conspicuous gallantry and intrepidity at the risk of his life above and beyond the call of duty as a Platoon Commander with the Third Force Reconnaissance Company, Third Reconnaissance Battalion, Third Marine Division, in the Republic of Vietnam on 16 February 1968. While on a long-range reconnaissance mission Lieutenant Graves' eight-man patrol observed seven enemy soldiers: approaching their position. Reacting instantly, he deployed his men, and directed their fire on the approaching enemy. After the fire had ceased, he and two patrol members commenced a search of the area, and suddenly came under a heavy volume of hostile small arms and automatic weapons fire from a numerically superior enemy force. When one of his men was hit by the enemy fire, Lieutenant Graves moved through the fire-swept area to his radio and, while directing suppressive fire from his men, requested air support and adjusted a heavy volume of artillery and helicopter gunship fire upon the enemy. After attending the wounded, Lieutenant Graves, accompanied by another Marine, moved from his relatively safe position to confirm the results of the earlier engagement. Observing that several of the enemy were still alive, he launched a determined assault, eliminating the remaining enemy troops. He then began moving the patrol to a landing zone for extraction, when the unit again came under intense fire which wounded two more Marines and Lieutenant Graves. Refusing medical attention, he once more adjusted air strikes and artillery fire upon the enemy while directing the fire of his men. He led his men to a new landing site into which he skillfully guided the incoming aircraft and boarded his men while remaining exposed to the hostile fire. Realizing that one of the wounded had not embarked, he directed the aircraft to depart and, along with another Marine, moved to the side of the casualty. Confronted with a shortage of ammunition, Lieutenant Graves utilized supporting arms and directed fire until a second helicopter arrived. At this point, the volume of enemy fire intensified, hitting the helicopter and causing it to crash shortly after liftoff. All on board were killed. Lieutenant Graves' outstanding courage, superb leadership and indomitable fighting spirit throughout the day were in keeping with the highest traditions of the Marine Corps and the United States Naval Services. He gallantly gave his life for his country.

The President of the United States in the name of The Congress takes pride in presenting the MEDAL OF HONOR posthumously to

PRIVATE FIRST CLASS RALPH H. JOHNSON
UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS

for service as set forth in the following

CITATION

For conspicuous gallantry and intrepidity at the risk of his life above and beyond the call of duty while serving as a reconnaissance scout with Company A, First Reconnaissance Battalion, First Marine Division in action against the North Vietnamese Army and Viet Cong forces in the Republic of Vietnam. In the early morning hours of 5 March 1968, during Operation Rock, Private First Class Johnson was a member of a fifteen-man reconnaissance patrol manning an observation post on Hill 146 overlooking the Quan Duc Duc Valley deep in enemy controlled territory. They were attacked by a platoon-size hostile force employing automatic weapons, satchel charges and hand grenades. Suddenly, a hand grenade landed in the three-man fighting hole occupied by Private Johnson and two fellow Marines. Realizing the inherent danger to his two comrades, he shouted a warning and unhesitatingly hurled himself upon the explosive device. When the grenade exploded, Private Johnson absorbed the tremendous impact of the blast and was killed instantly. His prompt and heroic act saved the life of one Marine at the cost of his own and undoubtedly prevented the enemy from penetrating his sector of the patrol's perimeter. Private Johnson's courage, inspiring valor and selfless devotion to duty were in keeping with the highest traditions of the Marine Corps and the United States Naval Service. He gallantly gave his life for his country.

The President of the United States in the name of The Congress takes pride in presenting the MEDAL OF HONOR to

CAPTAIN JAMES E. LIVINGSTON
UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS

for service as set forth in the following

CITATION

For conspicuous gallantry and intrepidity at the risk of his life above and beyond the call of duty while serving as Commanding Officer, Company E, Second Battalion, Fourth Marines, Ninth Marine Amphibious Brigade in action against enemy forces in the Republic of Vietnam. On 2 May 1968, Company E launched a determined assault on the heavily fortified village of Dai Do, which had been seized by the enemy on the preceding evening, isolating a Marine company from the remainder of the battalion. Skillfully employing screening agents, Captain Livingston maneuvered his men to assault positions across 500 meters of dangerous open rice paddy while under intense enemy fire. Ignoring hostile rounds impacting near him, he fearlessly led his men in a savage assault against enemy emplacements within the village. While adjusting supporting arms fire, Captain Livingston moved to the points of heaviest resistance, shouting words of encouragement to his Marines, directing their fire, and spurring the dwindling momentum of the attack on repeated occasions. Although twice painfully wounded by grenade fragments, he refused medical treatment and courageously led his men in the destruction of over 100 mutually supporting bunkers, driving the remaining enemy from their positions, and relieving the pressure on the stranded Marine company. As the two companies consolidated positions and evacuated casualties, a third company passed through friendly lines, launching an assault on the adjacent village of Dinh To, only to be halted by a furious counterattack of an enemy battalion. Swiftly assessing the situation and disregarding the heavy volume of enemy fire, Captain Livingston boldly maneuvered the remaining effective men of his company forward, joined forces with the heavily engaged Marines, and halted the enemy's counterattack. Wounded a third time and unable to walk, he steadfastly remained in the dangerously exposed area, deploying his men to more tenable positions and supervising the evacuation of casualties. Only when assured of the safety of his men did he allow himself to be evacuated. Captain Livingston's gallant actions uphold the highest traditions of the Marine Corps and the United States Naval Service.

The President of the United States in the name of The Congress takes pride in presenting the MEDAL OF HONOR posthumously to

CORPORAL LARRY LEONARD MAXAM
UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS

for service as set forth in the following

CITATION

For conspicuous gallantry and intrepidity at the risk of his life above and beyond the call of duty while serving as a fire team leader with Company D, First Battalion, Fourth Marines, Third Marine Division in the Republic of Vietnam. On 2 February 1968, the Cam Lo District Headquarters came under extremely heavy rocket, artillery, mortar, and recoilless rifle fire from a numerically superior enemy force, destroying a portion of the defensive perimeter. Corporal Maxam, observing the enemy massing for an assault into the compound across the remaining defensive wire, instructed his Assistant Fire Team Leader to take charge of the fire team, and unhesitatingly proceeded to the weakened section of the perimeter. Completely exposed to the concentrated enemy fire, he sustained multiple fragmentation wounds from exploding grenades as he ran to an abandoned machine gun position. Reaching the emplacements, he grasped the machine gun and commenced to deliver effective fire on the advancing enemy. As the enemy directed maximum fire power against the determined Marine, Corporal Maxam's position received a direct hit from a rocket propelled grenade, knocking him backwards and inflicting severe fragmentation wounds to his face and right eye. Although momentarily stunned and in intense pain, Corporal Maxam courageously resumed his firing position and subsequently was struck again by small arms fire. With resolute determination, he gallantly continued to deliver intense machine gun fire, causing the enemy to retreat through the defensive wire to positions of cover. In a desperate attempt to silence his weapon, the North Vietnamese threw hand grenades and directed recoilless rifle fire against him, inflicting two additional wounds. Too weak to reload his machine gun, Corporal Maxam fell to a prone position and valiantly continued to deliver effective fire with his rifle. After one and a half hours, during which he was hit repeatedly by fragments from exploding grenades, and concentrated small arms fire, he succumbed to his wounds, having successfully defended nearly one-half of the perimeter single-handedly. Corporal Maxam's aggressive fighting spirit, inspiring valor and selfless devotion to duty reflected great credit upon himself and the Marine Corps and upheld the highest traditions of the United States Naval Service. He gallantly gave his life for his country.

The President of the United States in the name of The Congress takes pride in presenting the MEDAL OF HONOR posthumously to

STAFF SERGEANT KARL GORMAN TAYLOR, SR.
UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS

for service as set forth in the following

CITATION

For conspicuous gallantry and intrepidity at the risk of his life above and beyond the call of duty while serving as a company gunnery sergeant during Operation Meade River in the Republic of Vietnam on the night of 8 December 1968. Informed that the commander of the lead platoon had been mortally wounded when his unit was pinned down by a heavy volume of enemy fire, Staff Sergeant Taylor along with another Marine, crawled forward to the beleaguered unit through a hail of hostile fire, shouted encouragement and instructions to the men, and deployed them to covered positions. With his companion, he then repeatedly maneuvered across an open area to rescue those Marines who were too seriously wounded to move by themselves. Upon learning that there were still other seriously wounded men lying in another open area, in proximity to an enemy machine gun position, Staff Sergeant Taylor, accompanied by four comrades, led his men forward across the fire-swept terrain in an attempt to rescue the Marines. When his group was halted by devastating fire, he directed his companions to return to the company command post; whereupon he took his grenade launcher and, in full view of the enemy, charged across the open rice paddy toward the machine gun position, firing his weapon as he ran. Although wounded several times, he succeeded in reaching the machine gun bunker and silenced the fire from that sector, moments before he was mortally wounded. Directly instrumental in saving the lives of several of his fellow Marines, Staff Sergeant Taylor, by his indomitable courage, inspiring leadership, and selfless dedication, upheld the highest traditions of the Marine Corps and of the United States Naval Service.

The President of the United States in the name of The Congress takes pride in presenting the MEDAL OF HONOR to

MAJOR M. SANDO VARGAS, JR.*
UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS

for service as set forth in the following

CITATION

For conspicuous gallantry and intrepidity at the risk of his life above and beyond the call of duty while serving as Commanding Officer, Company G, Second Battalion, Fourth Marines, Ninth Marine Amphibious Brigade in action against enemy forces in the Republic of Vietnam from 30 April to 2 May 1968. On 1 May 1968, though suffering from wounds he had incurred while relocating his unit under heavy enemy fire the preceding day, Major (then Captain) Vargas combined Company G with two other companies and led his men in an attack on the fortified village of Dai Do. Exercising expert leadership, he maneuvered his Marines across 700 meters of open rice paddy while under intense enemy mortar, rocket and artillery fire and obtained a foothold in two hedgerows on the enemy perimeter, only to have elements of his company become pinned down by the intense enemy fire. Leading his reserve platoon to the aid of his beleaguered men, Major Vargas inspired his men to renew their relentless advance, while destroying a number of enemy bunkers. Again wounded by grenade fragments, he refused aid as he moved about the hazardous area reorganizing his unit into a strong defense perimeter at the edge of the village. Shortly after the objective was secured, the enemy commenced a series of counterattacks and probes which lasted throughout the night but were unsuccessful as the gallant defenders of Company G stood firm in their hard-won enclave. Reinforced the following morning, the Marines launched a renewed assault through Dai Do on the village of Dinh To, to which the enemy retaliated with a massive counterattack resulting in hand-to-hand combat. Major Vargas remained in the open, encouraging and rendering assistance to his Marines when he was hit for the third time in the three-day battle. Observing his battalion commander sustain a serious wound, he disregarded his excruciating pain, crossed the fire-swept area and carried his commander to a covered position, then resumed supervising and encouraging his men while simultaneously assisting in organizing the battalion's perimeter defense. His gallant actions upheld the highest traditions of the Marine Corps and the United States Naval Service.

* On 26 December 1973, Major Vargas legally changed his name from Manuel Sando Vargas to Jay R. Vargas.

The President of the United States in the name of The Congress takes pride in presenting the MEDAL OF HONOR posthumously to

PRIVATE FIRST CLASS DEWAYNE T. WILLIAMS
UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS

for service as set forth in the following

CITATION

For conspicuous gallantry and intrepidity at the risk of his life above and beyond the call of duty while serving as a rifleman with the First Platoon, Company H, Second Battalion, First Marines, First Marine Division in action against communist insurgent forces in the Quang Nam Province, Republic of Vietnam. Private First Class Williams was a member of a combat patrol sent out from the platoon with the mission of establishing positions in the company's area of operations, from which it could intercept and destroy enemy sniper teams operating in the area. On the night of 18 September 1968, as the patrol was preparing to move from its daylight positions to a preselected night position, it was attacked from ambush by a squad of enemy using small arms and hand grenades. Although severely wounded in the back by the close intense fire, Private First Class Williams, recognizing the danger to the patrol, immediately began to crawl forward toward a good firing position. While he was moving under the continuing intense fire, he heard one of the members of the patrol sound the alert that an enemy grenade had landed in their position. Reacting instantly to the alert, he saw that the grenade had landed close to where he was lying and without hesitation, in a valiant act of heroism, he rolled on top of the grenade as it exploded, absorbing the full and tremendous impact of the explosion with his own body. Through his extraordinary initiative and inspiring valor in the face of certain death, he saved the other members of his patrol from serious injury and possible loss of life, and enabled them to successfully defeat the attackers and hold their position until assistance arrived. His personal heroism and devotion to duty upheld the highest traditions of the Marine Corps and the United States Naval Service. He gallantly gave his life for his country.

The President of the United States in the name of The Congress takes pride in presenting the MEDAL OF HONOR posthumously to

LANCE CORPORAL KENNETH L. WORLEY
UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS

for service as set forth in the following

CITATION

For conspicuous gallantry and intrepidity at the risk of his life above and beyond the call of duty while serving as a machine gunner with Company L, Third Battalion, Seventh Marines, First Marine Division in action against enemy forces in the Republic of Vietnam. After establishing a night ambush position in a house in the Bo Ban Hamlet of Quang Nam Province, security was set up and the remainder of the patrol members retired until their respective watches. During the early morning hours of 12 August 1968, the Marines were abruptly awakened by the platoon leader's warning that "Grenades" had landed in the house. Fully realizing the inevitable result of his actions, Lance Corporal Worley, in a valiant act of heroism, instantly threw himself upon the grenade nearest him and his comrades, absorbing with his own body, the full and tremendous force of the explosion. Through his extraordinary initiative and inspiring valor in the face of almost certain death, he saved his comrades from serious injury and possible loss of life although five of his fellow Marines incurred minor wounds as the other grenades exploded. Lance Corporal Worley's gallant actions upheld the highest traditions of the Marine Corps and the United States Naval Service. He gallantly gave his life for his country.

Appendix E

Distribution of Personnel

DISTRIBUTION OF PERSONNEL*

FLEET MARINE FORCE, PACIFIC

27 JANUARY 1968

UNIT	NOTE	ASSIGNED STRENGTH		STR RPT DATE	DANANG		CHU LAI		PHU BAI		DONG HA		OKINAWA		JAPAN		HAWAII		EASTPAC		OTHER		
		USMC	USN		USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC
HEADQUARTERS																							
HO, FMF, PAC																							
FMF, PAC (FWD)		74	1	24AUG67										74	1								
H&S BN, FMF, PAC		1228	31	18JAN68														1228	31				
CAMP S. M. BUTLER		1075	118	23JAN68										1075	118								
CASUAL/TRANSIENT		1404		23JAN68										1404									
HO, V MEF		89	2	18JAN68																89	2		
1ST CIV AFF GRP		78	5	18JAN68																78	5		
HO, 5TH MAR DIV																							
HQBN, 5TH MAR DIV		1491	49	18JAN68																1491	49		
HO, FORTRPS, FMF, PAC																							
HQ CO, FORTRPS		302	17	18JAN68																	102	17	
HO, 1ST MAR BRIG																							
HQ CO, 1ST MAR BRIG		202	17	18JAN68																	207	17	
HO, 9TH MAB																							
HQ CO, 9TH MAB		508	12	18JAN68										508	12								
HO, III MAF																							
H&S CO, III MAF		726	29	13DEC67	726	29																	
1ST & 2D CAS	4	777	65	13DEC67	777	65																	
HO, 1ST MAR DIV																							
HQBN, 1ST MAR DIV		1718	34	10JAN68	1718	34																	
HO, 3D MAR DIV																							
HQBN, 3D MAR DIV		1949	34	27DEC67					1949	34													
INFANTRY																							
1ST MARINES																							
HQ CO		259	7	10JAN68					259	7													
1ST BATTALION		1220	34	10JAN68							1220	34											
2D BATTALION		1159	56	10JAN68							1159	56											
3D BATTALION	1	1718	95	27DEC67																	1718	95	
3D MARINES																							
HQ CO		219	5	27DEC67																			
1ST BATTALION		1267	93	27DEC67										1267	93								
2D BATTALION		1154	55	10JAN68	1154	55																	
3D BATTALION		1230	65	27DEC67										1230	65								
4TH MARINES																							
HQ CO		237	5	27DEC67										237	5								
1ST BATTALION		1168	66	27DEC67										1168	66								
2D BATTALION	1	1799	86	27DEC67																	1799	86	
3D BATTALION		1178	64	27DEC67										1178	64								
5TH MARINES																							
HQ CO		233	5	10JAN68										233	5								
1ST BATTALION		1011	43	10JAN68										1011	43								
2D BATTALION		1092	53	10JAN68										1092	53								
3D BATTALION		1132	55	10JAN68	1132	55																	
7TH MARINES																							
HQ CO		250	7	10JAN68	250	7																	
1ST BATTALION		1075	54	10JAN68	1075	54																	
2D BATTALION		903	52	10JAN68	903	52																	
3D BATTALION		1341	54	10JAN68	1341	54																	
9TH MARINES																							
HQ CO		216	5	27DEC67																			
1ST BATTALION		1133	59	27DEC67										1133	59								
2D BATTALION		1227	65	27DEC67										1227	65								
3D BATTALION		1170	57	27DEC67										1170	57								
26TH MARINES																							
HQ CO		450	16	10JAN68										450	16								
1ST BATTALION		1236	65	10JAN68										1236	65								
2D BATTALION		1186	68	10JAN68										1186	68								
3D BATTALION		1215	64	10JAN68										1215	64								
27TH MARINES																							
HQ CO		247	4	18JAN68																			
1ST BATTALION	3	1509	66	18JAN68																	1509	66	
2D BATTALION		1044	33	18JAN68																	1044	33	
3D BATTALION		1044	32	18JAN68																	1044	32	
28TH MARINES																							
HQ CO		299	5	18JAN68																			
1ST BATTALION		999	32	18JAN68																			
2D BATTALION		1000	30	18JAN68																	1000	30	
3D BATTALION		999	32	18JAN68																	999	32	
ARTILLERY																							
11TH MARINES																							
HQ BTRY		295	7	10JAN68	295	7																	
1ST BATTALION		460	12	10JAN68										460	12								
2D BATTALION		515	11	10JAN68	515	11																	
3D BATTALION		595	14	10JAN68	595	14																	
4TH BATTALION		491	11	10JAN68	491	11																	
12TH MARINES																							
HQ BTRY		320	11	27DEC67																			
1ST BATTALION		753	15	27DEC67																			
2D BATTALION		489	6	27DEC67																			
3D BATTALION		587	10	27DEC67																			
4TH BATTALION		528	12	27DEC67										528	12								

* UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, STRENGTHS AND LOCATION ARE THOSE REPORTED BY UNIT PERSONNEL STATUS REPORTS AND DO NOT REFLECT DAY-TO-DAY ADJUSTMENTS BETWEEN REPORTING PERIODS.

DISTRIBUTION OF PERSONNEL

FLEET MARINE FORCE, PACIFIC

27 JANUARY 1968

UNIT	NOTE	ASSIGNED STRENGTH		STR RPT DATE	DANANG		CHU LAI		PHU BAI		DONG HA		OKINAWA		JAPAN		HAWAII		EASTPAC		OTHER	
		USMC	USN		USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN
1ST BRIG																						
HGMS-1ST BRIGADE		97		18JAN68													97					
HMCS-7		210		18JAN68												210						
VNF(AW)-212		227	1	18JAN68												227	1					
3D MAW																						
MMHC-3																						
HGMS-3		651	7	18JAN68														651	7			
MMFS-3		195		18JAN68														195				
MMCS-3		152		18JAN68														152				
MHTG-30																						
HGMS-30		412	1	18JAN68														412	1			
HMHT-301		262	1	18JAN68														262	1			
HMHT-302		247	1	18JAN68														247	1			
MAG-33																						
HGMS-33		805		18JAN68														805				
MABS-33		588	2	18JAN68														588	2			
MATCU-65		85		18JAN68														85				
VMCJ-3		425	1	18JAN68														425	1			
VMA-214		246	1	11JAN68														246	1			
VMA-232		295	1	11JAN68														295	1			
VMA-334		361	2	11JAN68														361	2			
MMSO-37																						
HGMS-37		689	3	18JAN68														689	3			
MEFS-37		292	103	18JAN68														292	103			
VNCR-352		453	1	18JAN68														453	1			
MACO-38																						
HGMS-38		241		11JAN68														241				
MACS-5		205		18JAN68														205				
MACS-1		316		18JAN68														316				
MACS-3		246		18JAN68														246				
MACS-7		265	4	18JAN68														265	4			
5TH LAAM BN		518	9	18JAN68														518	9			
MAG-56																						
HGMS-56		384	1	18JAN68														384	1			
MABS-56																						
VMO-5		438	3	18JAN68														438	3			
RDH-462		255		11JAN68														255				
RDH-263		180	3	11JAN68														180	3			
RATCU-74		29		18JAN68														29				
USMC		28,047			7,590	4,380	2,171	710	1,011	1,886	623	9,235	441									
USN		815			152	80	36	5	69	323	1	144	5									
AVIATION TOTAL		28,862			7,742	4,460	2,207	715	1,080	2,209	524	9,379	446									

RECAPITULATION OF FMFPAC PERSONNEL DISTRIBUTION

		ASSIGNED STRENGTH	DANANG	CHU LAI	PHU BAI	DONG HA	OKINAWA	JAPAN	HAWAII	EASTPAC	OTHER
GROUND TOTAL	USMC	89,305	24,876	1,011	10,028	21,657	7,549		3,279	17,163	3,742
	USN	4,341	1,208	110	709	934	271		129	789	191
AVIATION TOTAL	USMC	28,047	7,590	4,380	2,171	710	1,011	1,886	623	9,235	441
	USN	815	152	80	36	5	69	323	1	144	5
GRAND TOTAL	USMC	117,352	32,466	5,391	12,199	22,367	8,560	1,886	3,902	26,398	4,183
	USN	5,156	1,360	190	745	939	340	323	130	933	196

- NOTES:**
1. FIGURES IN "OTHER" ASSIGNED TO SLF'S AND ARE 'BLT STRENGTHS
 2. FIGURES IN "OTHER" ASSIGNED VARIOUS RVN LOCATIONS.
 3. STRENGTHS INDICATED IS FOR BLT 1/27
 4. AT VARIOUS I CTZ LOCATIONS.

MARINE CORPS COMMAND CENTER
DISTRIBUTION OF PERSONNEL*

FLEET MARINE FORCE, PACIFIC

DDO DIR 5200.10

30 DECEMBER 1968

UNIT	NOTE	ASSIGNED STRENGTH		STR RPT DATE	DANANG		CHU LAI		PHU BAI		No I CTZ		OKINAWA		JAPAN		HAWAII		EASTPAC		OTHER			
		USMC	USN		USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN
HEADQUARTERS																								
HQ, FME, PAC																								
FMP, PAC (FWD)				24JUL68									74	1										
HAS BN, FMP, PAC	4	1824	50	18DEC68													1507	50				120		
CAMP S. D. BUTLER		866	137	28DEC68									866	137										
CASUAL		580		28DEC68									580											
HOSPITALIZED	7	955		28DEC68									472		483									
HQ, V MEV																								
1ST CIVAFFORD		68	7	18DEC68																	66	7		
HQ, 5TH MAR DIV																								
HQBN, 5TH MAR DIV	4	1408	17	18DEC68																	1363	37	45	
HQ, FORTRPS, FMP, PAC																								
HQ CO, FORTRPS	4	438	22	18DEC68																	194	22	244	
HQ, 1ST MAR BRIG																								
HQ CO, 1ST MAR BRIG		223	8	18DEC68														223	8					
HQ, 9TH MAB																								
HQ CO, 9TH MAB		508	19	18DEC68									508	19										
HQ, III MAF																								
M&S CO, III MAF	4	988	11	18DEC68	977	11																	12	
1ST CAG		267	31	18DEC68				367	31															
2D CAG	2	781	42	18DEC68	761	42																	20	
3D CAG		252	32	18DEC68					252	32														
4TH CAG		267	21	18DEC68							267	21												
HQ, 1ST MAR DIV																								
HQ BN, 1ST MAR DIV	4	1948	37	18DEC68	1902	37																	46	
HQ, 3D MAR DIV																								
HQBN, 3D MAR DIV	4	1946	37	18DEC68							1930	37											16	
INFANTRY																								
1ST MARINES																								
HQ CO		245	8	18DEC68	245	8																		
1ST BATTALION		1071	59	18DEC68	1071	59																		
2D BATTALION		1098	53	18DEC68	1098	53																		
3D BATTALION		1068	61	18DEC68	1068	61																		
3D MARINES																								
HQ CO		230	6	18DEC68	230	6																		
1ST BATTALION		1187	50	18DEC68	1187	50																		
2D BATTALION		1168	58	18DEC68						1168	58													
3D BATTALION		1169	59	18DEC68	1169	59																		
4TH MARINES																								
HQ CO		218	5	18DEC68							218	5												
1ST BATTALION		1118	49	18DEC68								1118	49											
2D BATTALION		1150	56	18DEC68								1150	56											
3D BATTALION		1170	52	18DEC68								1170	52											
5TH MARINES																								
HQ CO		245	7	18DEC68	245	7																		
1ST BATTALION		1092	53	18DEC68	1092	53																		
2D BATTALION		1121	53	18DEC68	1121	53																		
3D BATTALION		1086	46	18DEC68	1086	46																		
7TH MARINES																								
HQ CO		242	6	18DEC68	242	6																		
1ST BATTALION		1057	56	18DEC68	1057	56																		
2D BATTALION	1	1545	113	18DEC68	1545	113																		
3D BATTALION		1084	56	18DEC68	1084	56																		
9TH MARINES																								
HQ CO		233	6	18DEC68							233	6												
1ST BATTALION		1157	50	18DEC68								1157	50											
2D BATTALION		1150	52	18DEC68								1150	52											
3D BATTALION		1157	47	18DEC68								1157	47											
26TH MARINES																								
HQ CO		477	12	18DEC68	238	6							239	6										
1ST BATTALION		1097	57	18DEC68	1097	57																		
2D BATTALION	1	1479	97	18DEC68																				
3D BATTALION		1229	66	18DEC68	1229	66																	1479	97
27TH MARINES																								
HQ CO		235	7	18DEC68																		235	7	
1ST BATTALION		756	88	18DEC68												756	88							
2D BATTALION		1097	32	18DEC68																		1097	32	
3D BATTALION		1181	32	18DEC68																		1181	32	
28TH MARINES																								
HQ CO		267	6	18DEC68																		267	6	
1ST BATTALION		1176	46	18DEC68																		1176	46	
2D BATTALION		1167	41	18DEC68																		1167	41	
3D BATTALION		1334	41	18DEC68																		1334	41	
ARTILLERY																								
11TH MARINES																								
HQ BTRY		309	9	18DEC68	309	9																		
1ST BATTALION		632	18	18DEC68	632	18																		
2D BATTALION		658	18	18DEC68	658	18																		
3D BATTALION		413	12	18DEC68	413	12																		
4TH BATTALION		518	12	18DEC68	518	12																		
12TH MARINES																								
HQ BTRY		285	12	18DEC68	285	12																		
1ST BATTALION		571	17	18DEC68	571	17																		
2D BATTALION		1161	51	18DEC68	1161	51																		
3D BATTALION		852	21	18DEC68	852	21																		
4TH BATTALION		533	12	18DEC68	533	12																		

* UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, STRENGTHS AND LOCATION ARE THOSE REPORTED BY UNIT PERSONNEL STATUS REPORTS AND DO NOT REFLECT DAY-TO-DAY ADJUSTMENTS BETWEEN REPORTING PERIODS.

DISTRIBUTION OF PERSONNEL

FLEET MARINE FORCE, PACIFIC

20 DECEMBER 1968

UNIT	NOTE	ASSIGNED STRENGTH		STR RPT DATE	DANANG		CHU LAI		PHU BAI		No I CTZ		OKINAWA		JAPAN		HAWAII		EASTPAC		OTHER		
		USMC	USN		USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC
13TH MARINES																							
HQ BTRY		280	6	18DEC68																280	6		
1ST BATTALION		511	12	18DEC68	511	12																	
2D BATTALION		407	8	18DEC68																407	8		
3D BATTALION		556	16	18DEC68																556	16		
4TH BATTALION		400	11	18DEC68																400	11		
HQ BTRY, 1ST FAG																							
HQ BTRY, 5TH FAG		124	2	18DEC68	124	2																	
HQ BTRY, 5TH FAG																							
1ST 155MM GUN BTRY		115	4	18DEC68																115	4		
3D 155MM GUN BTRY		145	3	18DEC68			130	3															
5TH 155MM GUN BTRY		186	8	18DEC68						186	8												
7TH 155MM GUN BTRY		104	2	18DEC68																104	2		
1ST 8" HOW BTRY		195	6	18DEC68						195	6												
3D 8" HOW BTRY		211	4	18DEC68	211	4																	
5TH 8" HOW BTRY		126	2	18DEC68																126	2		
1ST SEARCH LIGHT BTRY		97	2	18DEC68							97	2											
RECONNAISSANCE																							
1ST RECON BN		773	48	18DEC68	773	48																	
3D RECON BN		751	52	18DEC68							751	52											
5TH RECON BN		351	15	18DEC68			70							5						276	15		
1ST FORCE RECON CO		164	8	18DEC68			164	8															
3D FORCE RECON CO		142		18DEC68							142												
5TH FORCE RECON CO		134	2	18DEC68																134	2		
ANTI-TANK																							
1ST AT BN																							
3D AT BN	6																						
5TH AT BN	6	267	8	18DEC68								54	1							213	7		
TANK																							
1ST TANK BN		762	18	18DEC68	762	18																	
3D TANK BN		763	19	18DEC68							763	19											
5TH TANK BN		625	13	18DEC68																625	13		
AMTRAC																							
1ST AMTRAC BN		715	30	18DEC68							715	30											
3D AMTRAC BN		633	15	18DEC68	633	15																	
5TH AMTRAC BN		698	15	18DEC68										125	5					573	10		
1ST ARM AMPHIB CO		228	5	18DEC68	228	5																	
ENGINEER																							
1ST ENGR BN		782	11	18DEC68	782	11																	
3D ENGR BN		771	15	18DEC68							771	15											
5TH ENGR BN		548	12	18DEC68									13	1						515	11		
7TH ENGR BN		1009	25	18DEC68	1009	25																	
9TH ENGR BN		948	23	18DEC68				948	23														
11TH ENGR BN		1184	10	18DEC68							1184	10											
13TH ENGR BN		844	15	18DEC68																844	15		
1ST BRIDGE CO	5																						
3D BRIDGE CO	5																						
5TH BRIDGE CO		149	2	18DEC68																149	2		
MOTOR TRANSPORT																							
1ST MT BN		322	7	18DEC68	322	7																	
3D MT BN		334	9	18DEC68							334	9											
5TH MT BN		371	5	18DEC68																			
7TH MT BN		398	3	18DEC68	398	3																	
9TH MT BN		384	10	18DEC68							384	10											
11TH MT BN		451	11	18DEC68	451	11																	
13TH MT BN		415	7	18DEC68																415	7		
COMMUNICATION																							
1ST RADIO BN		495	2	18DEC68	408	2												87					
5TH COMM BN		665	5	18DEC68	665	5																	
7TH COMM BN		663	12	18DEC68	663	12																	
9TH COMM BN		397	9	18DEC68																397	9		
1ST ANGLICO	3	277	11	18DEC68														143	5			134	6
SHORE PARTY																							
1ST SP BN		430	25	18DEC68	430	25																	
3D SP BN		494	23	18DEC68							494	23											
5TH SP BN		282	24	18DEC68	90								5	6						187	18		
MILITARY POLICE																							
1ST MP BN		693	14	18DEC68	693	14																	
3D MP BN		747	13	18DEC68	747	13																	
5TH MP BN		469	5	18DEC68																469	5		
SERVICE/SUPPORT																							
FLC, III MAP																							
HQ, FLC/1ST FSR		1693	45	18DEC68	1693	45																	
HAS BN		1621	25	18DEC68	1621	25																	
SUPPLY BN																							
7TH SEP BK FUEL CO		1030	11	18DEC68	1030	11																	
MAINT BN																							
FLSC-A/3D SERV BN		1234	20	18DEC68							1234	20											
FLSC-B/1ST SERV BN		1359	17	18DEC68	1359	17																	
3D FSR																							
HAS BN		1102	34	18DEC68										1102	34								
SUPPLY BN		467	20	18DEC68										467	20								
MAINT BN		1093		18DEC68										1093									
5TH FSR																							
HAS BN		400	23	18DEC68																400	23		
SUPPLY BN		399	18	18DEC68																399	18		
MAINT BN		520		18DEC68																520			
5TH SERVICE BN																							
PROV SERVICE BN, 9TH MAR		715	15	18DEC68																715	15		
9TH SEP BULK FUEL CO		947	18	18DEC68									947	18									
		321		18DEC68																321			

DISTRIBUTION OF PERSONNEL

FLEET MARINE FORCE, PACIFIC

30 DECEMBER 1968

UNIT	NOTE	ASSIGNED STRENGTH		STR RPT DATE	DANANG		CHU LAI		PHU BAI		No I CTZ		OKINAWA		JAPAN		HAWAII		EASTPAC		OTHER	
		USMC	USN		USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN
MEDICAL																						
1ST MED BN		148	264	18DEC68	148	264																
3D MED BN		133	349	18DEC68					133	349												
5TH MED BN		101	158	18DEC68															101	158		
1ST HOSP CO		43	72	18DEC68			43	72														
5TH HOSP CO		30	48	18DEC68															30	48		
1ST DENTAL CO			84	18DEC68				84														
3D DENTAL CO			88	18DEC68					88													
5TH DENTAL CO			14	18DEC68																14		
11TH DENTAL CO			3	71	18DEC68			3	71													
13TH DENTAL CO			3	26	18DEC68															3	26	
5TH DENTAL CO			3	42	18DEC68															3	42	
17TH DENTAL CO			3	61	18DEC68															3	61	
	USMC	91,065			41,982		1,315		769		17,586		6,570		483		2,716		17,528		2,116	
	USN	4,458			1,922		142		391		647		248				151		854		103	
GROUND TOTAL		95,523			43,904		1,457		1,160		18,233		6,818		483		2,867		18,382		2,219	

AVIATION UNITS

HQ SQDN FMFPAC		61		18DEC68																		61	
1ST MAW																							
MWMC-1																							
MWMS-1		719	34	18DEC68	719	34																	
MWFS-1		133		18DEC68	133																		
MWCS-1		289		18DEC68	289																		
MWSC-17																							
MWMS-17		664	22	18DEC68	664	22																	
WERS-17		209		18DEC68	209																		
MAG-18																							
MWMS-18		246		18DEC68	246																		
MASS-2		254	3	18DEC68	254	3																	
MASS-3		223	2	18DEC68			223	2															
MACS-4		347	3	18DEC68	347	3																	
1ST LAAM BN		719	14	18DEC68	719	14																	
MAG-11																							
MWMS-11		414		18DEC68	414																		
MABS-11		349	26	18DEC68	349	26																	
VMCJ-1		394	1	18DEC68	394	1																	
VMFA-342		312	1	18DEC68	312	1																	
VMFA(AW)-242		333		18DEC68	333																		
VMFA-334		355	1	18DEC68	355	1																	
MAG-12																							
MWMS-12		579	1	18DEC68			579	1															
MABS-12		508	32	18DEC68			508	32															
MATCU-67		86		18DEC68			86																
VMFA-121		192	1	18DEC68			192	1															
VMFA-211		197	1	18DEC68			197	1															
VMFA-223		190	1	18DEC68			190	1															
VMFA-311		189	1	18DEC68			189	1															
VMFA(AW)-533		307	1	18DEC68			307	1															
MAG-13																							
MWMS-13		521		18DEC68			521																
MABS-13		480	26	18DEC68			480	26															
VMFA-115		280	2	18DEC68			280	2															
VMFA-314		277	1	18DEC68			277	1															
VMFA-323		280	1	18DEC68			280	1															
MAG-16																							
MWMS-16		570		18DEC68	570																		
MABS-16		725	27	18DEC68			725	27															
MATCU-62		82		18DEC68								82											
MATCU-68		79		18DEC68					79														
VMD-2		261	1	18DEC68	261	1																	
HML-167		243		18DEC68	243																		
HML-184		243		18DEC68	243																		
HML-483		326	1	18DEC68	326	1																	
HML-165		208	4	18DEC68	208	4																	
HML-364		222	1	18DEC68	222	1																	
MAG-36																							
HML-265		215	1	18DEC68					235	1													
MWMS-36		490		18DEC68					310														180
MABS-36		539	23	18DEC68					331	23													208
MATCU-62 DET "A"		82		18DEC68																			82
HML-467		203	1	18DEC68					203	1													
HML-363		254	4	18DEC68					254	4													
HML-367		202	1	18DEC68					202	1													
PROVMAG-39/PROV H&MS-39		441	20	18DEC68																		441	20
VMD-6		250	1	18DEC68																			250
HML-161		250	1	18DEC68																			250
HML-262		238																					238
9TH MAB																							
MWMS-15		442		18DEC68										64		378							
MABS-15		284	25	18DEC68												284	25						
NR'S-B		240	1	18DEC68										240	1								
VMFA-122		350	17	18DEC68												350	17						
HML-362		255	1	18DEC68																			255
MATCU-60		66		18DEC68																			66
MATCU-66		61		18DEC68										61									
VNER-152		457	4	18DEC68										457	4								

DISTRIBUTION OF PERSONNEL

FLEET MARINE FORCE, PACIFIC

30 DECEMBER 1968

UNIT	NOTE	ASSIGNED STRENGTH		STR RPT DATE	DANANG		CHU LAI		PHU BAI		No I CTZ		OKINAWA		JAPAN		HAWAII		EASTPAC		OTHER	
		USMC	USN		USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN	USMC	USN
1ST BRIG																						
MAG-24																						
H&MS-24		454		18DEC68													454					
H&MS-24		435	22	18DEC68												435	22					
M&CS-2		223		18DEC68												223						
MATCU-10		67		18DEC68												67						
VMFA-212		254		18DEC68												254						
VMFA-235		248		18DEC68												248						
3D MAW																						
MHMG-3																						
H&MS-3	4	621	7	18DEC68															602	7	19	
M&FS-3		147		18DEC68															147			
M&CS-3		220		18DEC68															220			
VMF-103		345		18DEC68															345			
MHMG-10																						
H&MS-30		284		18DEC68															284			
HMFT-301		159	1	18DEC68															159	1		
HMFT-302		226		18DEC68															226			
MAG-33																						
H&MS-33		642		18DEC68															642			
H&MS-33		529	2	18DEC68															529	2		
MATCU-65		87		18DEC68															87			
VMFJ-3		321		18DEC68															321			
VMFA-214		201	1	18DEC68															201	1		
VMFA-232		279	1	18DEC68															279	1		
VMFA-531		258	1	18DEC68															258	1		
MMSG-37																						
H&MS-37		597	3	18DEC68															597	3		
WERS-37		230	80	18DEC68															230	80		
VMGR-352		362	1	18DEC68															362	1		
MAG-38																						
H&MS-38		241	3	18DEC68															241	3		
M&MS-5		198		18DEC68															198			
M&CS-1		272		18DEC68															272			
M&CS-3		251		18DEC68															251			
M&CS-7		242	2	18DEC68															242	2		
5TH LAAM BN		512	10	18DEC68															512	10		
2D LAAM BN		235		18DEC68															235			
MAG-56																						
H&MS-56		352		18DEC68															352			
M&MS-56		334	2	18DEC68															334	2		
MATCU-74		66		18DEC68															66			
HMM-163		240		18DEC68															240			
HMM-263		256		18DEC68															256			
HMM-267		412	5	18DEC68															412	5		
HMM-561		259		18DEC68															259			
USMC		29,474			8,535	4,309	1,614	1,731	822	1,088	1,742	9,359	274									
USN		450			139	70	30	22	5	42	22	119	1									
AVIATION TOTAL		29,924			8,674	4,379	1,644	1,753	827	1,130	1,764	9,478	275									

RECAPITULATION OF FMFPAC PERSONNEL DISTRIBUTION

		ASSIGNED STRENGTH	DANANG	CHU LAI	PHU BAI	No I CTZ	OKINAWA	JAPAN	HAWAII	EASTPAC	OTHER
GROUND TOTAL	USMC	91,065	41,982	1,315	769	17,586	6,570	483	2,716	17,528	2,116
	USN	4,458	1,922	142	391	647	248		151	854	103
AVIATION TOTAL	USMC	29,474	8,535	4,309	1,614	1,731	822	1,088	1,742	9,359	274
	USN	450	139	70	30	22	5	42	22	119	1
GRAND TOTAL	USMC	120,539	50,517	5,624	2,383	19,317	7,392	1,571	4,458	26,887	2,390
	USN	4,908	2,061	212	421	669	253	42	173	973	104

- NOTES:**
1. FIGURES IN "OTHER" ASSIGNED TO SLF's AND ARE BLT STRENGTHS.
 2. FIGURES IN "OTHER" ASSIGNED TO VARIOUS RVN LOCATIONS.
 3. AT VARIOUS I CTZ LOCATIONS.
 4. PERSONNEL LISTED IN "OTHER" ARE ASSIGNED TO IT, IIT, SSC, CI TEAMS, RED EYE AND NUCLEAR ORDNANCE PLATOONS.
 5. STRENGTHS INCLUDED IN 7TH AND 11TH ENGINEER BATTALIONS.
 6. 1ST AND 3D ANTI-TANK PERSONNEL ARE INCLUDED IN 1ST AND 3D TANK BATTALIONS STRENGTHS.
 7. HOSPITALIZED AT LOCATIONS OTHER THAN OKINAWA BUT CARRIED ON THE ROLLS OF CASUAL COMPANY, CAMP BUTLER.

Appendix F

Combined Action Program Expansion—1968

1ST CAG		
UNITS	1967	1968
GROUP HQ	1	1
COMPANY HQ	3	3
PLATOONS	15	21
MOBILE TRAINING TEAMS	-	2
STRENGTHS		
USMC	213	364
USN	19	34
RVN	334	664

2D CAG		
UNITS	1967	1968
GROUP HQ	1	1
COMPANY HQ	7	8
PLATOONS	40	38
MOBILE TRAINING TEAMS	-	1
STRENGTHS		
USMC	633	571
USN	42	41
RVN	1,070	1,043

3D CAG		
UNITS	1967	1968
GROUP HQ	1	1
COMPANY HQ	2	5
PLATOONS	15	31
MOBILE TRAINING TEAMS	-	2
STRENGTHS		
USMC	296	546
USN	18	28
RVN	378	809

4TH CAG		
UNITS	1967	1968
GROUP HQ	-	1
COMPANY HQ	2*	3
PLATOONS	9*	12
MOBILE TRAINING TEAMS	-	2
STRENGTHS		
USMC	123	282
USN	9	19
RVN	229	540

*Includes three CAP's and one CO Hq deactivated at Khe Sahn

Legend and Recapitulation

STATUS AS OF 31DEC68		ACTIVATED DURING 1968		STATUS AS OF 31DEC1968	
GROUP HQ	3	GROUP HQ	1	GROUP HQ	4
COMPANY HQ	14	COMPANY HQ	*6	COMPANY HQ	19
PLATOONS	79	PLATOONS	*28	PLATOONS	102
MOBILE TRAINING TEAMS	-	MOBILE TRAINING TEAMS	7	MOBILE TRAINING TEAMS	7
STRENGTHS		STRENGTHS		STRENGTHS	
USMC	1,265	USMC	498	USMC	1,763
USN	88	USN	34	USN	122
RVN	2,011	RVN	1,025	RVN	3,036

¹Five CAP's (two in 2d CAG; three in 4th CAG) and one Company Hq were deactivated during 1968

Appendix G Casualties

NVA/VC Casualties Reported by III MAF Units

1968 Month	USMC		Americal Division		1st Air Cav Div ¹		101st Airborne ²	
	KIA	POW	KIA	POW	KIA	POW	KIA	POW
JAN	2126	55	2350	70	314	9		
FEB	5040	92	1691	62	1879	39		
MAR	3118	83	1621	41	1066	78	677	27
APR	1769	30	795	50	1259	10	859	29
MAY	6200	140	1393	21	473	35	1683	172
JUN	2154	65	688	15	661	85	450	121
JUL	2124	57	781	12	490	44	305	82
AUG	1894	57	1384	11	485	51	583	45
SEP	2392	105	1294	17	207	15	268	148
OCT	1707	24	685	11	202	28	397	207
NOV ³	1042							
DEC ⁴	1612							
TOTAL	31178	708	12682	310	7036	394	5212	831

¹U.S. Army 1st Cavalry Division (Air Mobile) left I Corps Tactical Zone on 10 November 1968

²U.S. Army 101st Airborne Division (Air Mobile) came under the operational control of III MAF on 13 February 1968.

³Statistics for Army units are not recorded in III MAF Command Chronologies. Total casualties inflicted by III MAF units in November 1968 are: KIA: 2355; POWs: 131.

⁴Statistics for Army units are not recorded in III MAF Command Chronologies. Total III MAF casualties inflicted in December 1968 are: KIA: 2848; POWs: 165.

Casualties Sustained by III MAF Units

1968 Month	USMC				USA				USN			
	KIA	WIA	MIA	DOW	KIA	WIA	MIA	DOW	KIA	WIA	MIA	DOW
JAN	225	1122	30	41	177	552	22	13	15	45	3	0
FEB	554	2368	18	58	215	754	35	21	27	99	1	4
MAR	364	1915	65	19	199	843	6	14	15	73	0	1
APR	368	1596	9	33	257	887	43	13	21	71	0	3
MAY	723	2670	5	37	333	1499	73	36	37	83	0	1
JUN	495	2092	8	41	146	667	32	20	20	89	0	2
JUL	259	2131	15	25	92	591	31	18	9	96	0	3
AUG	250	1947	1	35	133	1301	31	25	10	104	0	0
SEP	207	1706	14	25	80	815	34	7	6	73	0	1
OCT	117	1052	10	20	73	734	26	8	3	28	0	0
NOV	157	843	0	15	94	479	70	19	7	53	0	2
DEC	165	1099	0	12	42	350	54	15	12	48	0	1
TOTAL	3884	20541	175	361	1841	9472	457	209	182	862	4	18

3d Marine Division

Enemy Casualties Reported and Friendly Casualties Sustained
(Includes 1st Marines through 31 August)

Month	Enemy KIA	POWs Captured	US KIA	US WIA
JAN	1290	34	154	935
FEB	1344	61	185	1279
MAR	2237	52	179	1239
APR	598	23	184	1203
MAY	5145	114	233	1398
JUN	1099	3	244	1409
JUL	1003	22	75	744
AUG	694	6	76	571
SEP	1399	18	92	703
OCT	679	7	23	199
NOV	64	12	37	320
DEC	217	11	35	231
TOTAL	15469	363	1517	10231

1st Marine Divison
 Enemy Casualties Reported and Friendly Casualties Sustained
 (Includes 1st Marines after 1 September)

Month	Enemy KIA	POW's Captured	US KIA	US WIA
JAN	900	79	73	826
FEB	3228	19	336	2425
MAR	942	23	130	1167
APR	1096	15	163	1182
MAY	1777	32	350	2002
JUN	887	32	128	1217
JUL	598	27	110	991
AUG	1120	26	117	1222
SEP	945	41	133	1111
OCT	920	17	74	827
NOV	976	91	110	857
DEC	1287	116	126	780
Total	15676	518	1850	14607

All units in I Corps Tactical Zone
Enemy Casualties Reported and Friendly Casualties Sustained

Month	Enemy KIA	NVA POW	VC POW	Total POW	US KIA	US WIA	US MIA	US DOW	Total US ¹
JAN ²	8037	37	525	562	417	1719	55	54	2245
FEB ²	14344	111	386	497	796	3221	54	83	4154
MAR ³	9203	117	406	523	578	2831	71	34	3514
APR ³	7030	47	224	271	646	2554	52	49	3301
MAY ³	12820	254	278	532	1093	4252	78	74	5497
JUN ³	5563	130	497	627	661	2848	40	63	3612
JUL ³	5050	81	393	474	360	2818	46	46	3270
AUG ³	6954	80	584	664	393	3352	32	60	3837
SEP ³	6733	51	768	819	293	2594	48	33	2968
OCT ³	4280	36	602	638	193	1823	36	28	2080
NOV ⁴	4141	32	516	548	258	1393	70	36	1757
DEC ⁵	5047	51	1016	1067	219	1497	54	28	1798
Total	89202	1027	6195	7222	5907	30902	636	588	38033

¹Includes casualties suffered by: USMC, USA, and USN

²Includes casualties inflicted by: USMC, Americal Division, 1st Air Cavalry, CIDG, ARVN, and ROKMC

³Includes casualties inflicted by: USMC, Americal Division, 1st Air Cavalry Division, 101st Airborne Division, CIDG, ARVN, and ROKMC


⁴Includes casualties inflicted by: units OpCon III MAF, ARVN, ROKMC, and USSF

⁵Includes casualties inflicted by: units OpCon III MAF, ARVN, ROKMC, and CIDG

Appendix H


Marine Fixed-Wing Support

IN-COUNTRY FIXED-WING SORTIES BY MARINE AIRCRAFT JANUARY-DECEMBER 1968



From Operations of Marine Forces Vietnam 1968.

ORDNANCE DELIVERED BY MARINE AIRCRAFT IN ICTZ JANUARY-DECEMBER 1968



From Operations of Marine Forces Vietnam 1968.

Appendix I

List of Reviewers

MARINES

Gen Earl E. Anderson, USMC (Ret)
Gen Leonard F. Chapman, Jr., USMC (Ret)
Gen Raymond G. Davis, USMC (Ret)
Gen Wallace M. Greene, Jr., USMC (Ret)

LtGen Richard E. Carey, USMC (Ret)
LtGen Ernest C. Cheatham, USMC (Ret)
LtGen George R. Christmas, USMC
LtGen Edward S. Fris, USMC (Ret)
LtGen Victor H. Krulak, USMC (Ret)
LtGen Louis Metzger, USMC (Ret)
LtGen Adolph G. Schwenk, USMC (Ret)
LtGen William J. Van Ryzin, USMC (Ret)
LtGen William J. White, USMC (Ret)

Maj Gen Norman J. Anderson, USMC (Ret)
Maj Gen Robert D. Bohn, USMC (Ret)
MajGen John P. Condon, USMC (Ret)
MajGen Carl W. Hoffman, USMC (Ret)
MajGen Kenneth J. Houghton, USMC (Ret)
MajGen James R. Jones, USMC (Ret)
MajGen James E. Livingston, USMC
MajGen James J. McMonagle, USMC
MajGen Raymond L. Murray, USMC (Ret)
MajGen Jonas M. Platt, USMC (Ret)
MajGen Arthur J. Poillon, USMC (Ret)
MajGen Francis X. Quinn, USMC (Ret)
MajGen Donn J. Robertson, USMC (Ret)

BGen James H. Berge, Jr., USMC (Ret)
BGen Michael P. Downs, USMC (Ret)
BGen Jacob E. Glick, USMC (Ret)
BGen Paul G. Graham, USMC (Ret)
BGen Harry T. Hagaman, USMC (Ret)
BGen Henry W. Hise, USMC (Ret)
BGen Joseph E. Hopkins, USMC (Ret)
BGen Frederick E. Sisley, USMC (Ret)
BGen William Weise, USMC (Ret)

Col George T. Blazer, USMC (Ret)
Col Roger H. Barnard, USMC (Ret)
Col John F. Barr, USMC (Ret)
Col Julian G. Bass, Jr., USMC (Ret)
Col Gordon D. Batcheller, USMC (Ret)
Col Lee R. Bendell, USMC (Ret)
Col James L. Black, Jr., USMC (Ret)
Col Joel E. Bonner, USMC (Ret)
Col Frank L. Bourne, Jr., USMC (Ret)
Col James T. Breckinridge, USMC (Ret)
Col Talman C. Budd II, USMC (Ret)
Col Charles F. Bunnell, Jr., USMC (Ret)
Col Warren A. Butcher, USMC (Ret)
Col Edward E. Camporini, USMC (Ret)
Col John D. Carr, USMC (Ret)
Col Kenneth L. Christy, Jr. USMC
Col Thomas W. Clarke, USMC (Ret)
Col John A. Conway, USMC (Ret)
Col Gorton C. Cook, USMC (Ret)
Col William M. Cryan, USMC (Ret)
Col William H. Dabney, USMC (Ret)
Col Edward F. Danowitz, USMC (Ret)
Col William J. Davis, USMC (Ret)
Col William J. Davis, USMC (Ret)
Col William L. Dick, USMC (Ret)
Col Harry E. Dickinson, USMC (Ret)
Col James W. Dillon, USMC (Ret)
Col Rex O. Dillow, USMC (Ret)
Col Billy R. Duncan, USMC (Ret)
Col Roy J. Edwards, USMC (Ret)
Col William S. Fagan, USMC (Ret)
Col Francis I. Fenton, Jr., USMC (Ret)
Col Paul R. Fields, USMC (Ret)
Col Edward L. Fossum, USMC (Ret)
Col Samuel J. Fulton, USMC (Ret)
Col Thomas H. Galbraith, USMC (Ret)
Col Joseph J. N. Gambardella, USMC (Ret)
Col Samuel A. Hannah, USMC (Ret)
Col John E. Hansen, USMC (Ret)
Col Twyman R. Hill, USMC (Ret)
Col Robert C. V. Hughes, USMC (Ret)
Col Stanley S. Hughes, USMC (Ret)
Col Charles V. Jarman, USMC (Ret)
Col Ray N. Joens, USMC (Ret)
Col Thomas M. Kauffman, USMC (Ret)
Col Robert J. Keller, USMC (Ret)
Col William E. Kerrigan, USMC (Ret)
Col John A. Kinniburgh, USMC (Ret)
Col Frederic S. Knight, USMC (Ret)
Col Robert G. Lauffer, USMC (Ret)
Col James Leon, USMC (Ret)
Col Robert W. Lewis, USMC (Ret)
Col Robert D. Limberg, USMC (Ret)
Col Joseph E. Lo Prete, USMC (Ret)

Col John R. Love, USMC (Ret)
 Col Edward H. P. Lynk, USMC (Ret)
 Col Max McQuown, USMC (Ret)
 Col Charles L. Meadows, USMC (Ret)
 Col Bruce F. Meyers, USMC (Ret)
 Col Alexander L. Michaux, USMC (Ret)
 Col John F. Mitchell, USMC (Ret)
 Col Peter J. Mulroney, USMC (Ret)
 Col Donald J. Myers, USMC (Ret)
 Col Robert C. Needham, USMC (Ret)
 Col Neil A. Nelson, USMC (Ret)
 Col Thomas P. O'Callaghan, USMC (Ret)
 Col Virgil D. Olson, USMC (Ret)
 Col Harry F. Painter, USMC (Ret)
 Col Eric B. Parker, USMC (Ret)
 Col W. Hays Parks, USMCR
 Col Harold L. Parsons, USMC (Ret)
 Col Ernest W. Payne, USMC (Ret)
 Col Clifford J. Peabody, USMC (Ret)
 Col Roger W. Peard, Jr., USMC (Ret)
 Col Poul F. Pedersen, USMC (Ret)
 Col Rhys J. Phillips, Jr., USMC (Ret)
 Col John W. P. Robertson, USMC (Ret)
 Col William K. Rockey, USMC (Ret)
 Col Maurice Rose, USMC (Ret)
 Col Joseph L. Sadowski, USMC (Ret)
 Col Edwin S. Schick, Jr., USMC (Ret)
 Col Maynard W. Schmidt, USMC (Ret)
 Col Walter Sienko, USMC (Ret)
 Col Anthony J. Skotnicki, USMC (Ret)
 Col Robert D. Slay, USMC (Ret)
 Col Richard B. Smith, USMC (Ret)
 Col Kent O. W. Steen, USMC (Ret)
 Col James W. Stemple, USMC (Ret)
 Col Broman C. Stinemetz, USMC (Ret)
 Col James R. Stockman, USMC (Ret)
 Col Vaughn R. Stuart, USMC (Ret)
 Col John C. Studt, USMC (Ret)
 Col Robert H. Thompson, USMC (Ret)
 Col David S. Twining, USMC (Ret)
 Col Earl K. Vickers, Jr., USMC (Ret)
 Col Raymond J. Weber, USMC (Ret)
 Col Howard A. Westphall, USMC (Ret)
 Col Dean Wilker, USMC (Ret)
 Col Tullis J. Woodham, Jr., USMC (Ret)

LtCol Themistocles T. Annas, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Louis J. Bacher, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Merrill L. Bartlett, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Donald R. Berg, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Harper L. Bohr, Jr., USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Gene W. Bowers, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Byron F. Brady, USMC (Ret)

LtCol Justice M. Chambers, Jr., USMC (Ret)
 LtCol William A. Cohn, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Francis X. Colleton, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol William R. Corson, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Walter W. Damewood, Jr., USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Edwin A. Deptula, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Robert J. Edwards, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Karl J. Fontenot, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol James F. Foster, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Vincent J. Gentile, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol John J. Hainsworth, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol George E. Hayward, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol John A. Hennelly, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol John F. J. Kelly, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Otto Lehrack, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Frederick J. McEwan, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Curtis D. McRaney, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Justin M. Martin, II USMC (Ret)
 LtCol George F. Meyers, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Thomas F. Miller, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Charles E. Mueller, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Kenneth W. Pipes, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol John E. Poindexter, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Louis A. Rann, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Donald N. Rexroad, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Robert F. Rick, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Ralph J. Salvati, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Jack E. Schlarp, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Walter H. Shauer, Jr., USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Jerry I. Simpson, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol William J. Spangler, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Cecil V. Taylor, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Oliver W. van den Berg, Jr., USMC (Ret)
 LtCol George F. Warren, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol LeRoy E. Watson, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Ronald R. Welpott, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol James B. Wilkinson, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Daniel M. Wilson, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Frank B. Wolcott, III, USMC (Ret)
 LtCol Roger Zensen, USMC (Ret)

Maj John S. Leffen, USMC (Ret)
 Maj Donald E. Milone, USMC (Ret)
 Maj Edward Palm, USMC (Ret)
 Maj Gary E. Todd, USMC (Ret)

Capt Matthew G. McTiernan, USMC (Ret)
 Capt George B. Meegan, USMC (Ret)
 Capt Alexander W. Wells, Jr., USMCR
 1stLt Tyrus F. Rudd, USMC (Ret)

CWO 4 Henry Wildfang, USMC (Ret)

MGySgt James W. Rogers, USMC (Ret)

ARMY

Gen William B. Rosson, USA (Ret)
Gen William C. Westmoreland, USA (Ret)
LtGen Philip B. Davidson, Jr., USA (Ret)
Col Bruce B. G. Clarke, USA
Col Lewis Sorley, USA (Ret)
LtCol George L. MacGarrigle, USA (Ret)

NAVY

Capt Bernard D. Cole, USN
Cdr Richard McGonigal, USN (Ret)
LCdr Ray W. Stubbe, USN (Ret)

OTHERS

Charles R. Anderson
Dale Andrade
Ron Asher
John J. Balanco
William R. Black, Jr.
Harold R. Blunk
Igor Bobrowsky
Peter Braestrup
C. C. Busick
Graham A. Cosmas
William D. Ehrhart

Harry F. Fromme
John L. Gundersen
Thomas Harvey
George W. Jayne
Andy Lewandowski
Michael G. McDonell
Charles McMahan
Edward J. Marolda
Joel D. Meyerson
Bert Mullins
William J. O'Connor
James E. Perry
Mike Peterson
Larry J. Seavy-Cioffi
Brian Strasser
Wayne Thompson
James Walters
Francis West, Jr.
Arliss Willhite
Mark Woodruff
Naval Historical Center
Office of Air Force History
U.S. Army Center of Military History
Office of the Secretary of Defense Historical Staff

Appendix J

Tables of Organization

Tables of Organization


None of the major units in Vietnam followed standard Marine Corps tables of organization (T/O), and many smaller units were also task-organized to adapt to the circumstances of the Vietnam war. This appendix contains tables of organization for selected types of units.

It is worth recalling that almost no unit in Vietnam was ever staffed exactly according to its T/O. The demands of sustained combat forced the Marine Corps to man some units, particularly headquarters units, considerably above their T/O. Almost every unit found that it had to detail some men to perform tasks for which the T/O had not provided. In general, most units were consistently manned well below their T/O strength.

The Marine Division


The standard T/O for a Marine Division called for a headquarters battalion, three infantry regiments, an artillery regiment, a reconnaissance battalion, an antitank battalion, an engineer battalion, a service battalion, a motor transport battalion, a shore party battalion, and a medical battalion.

Standard Marine Division



The organization of Marine divisions in Vietnam differed markedly from this standard organization, and also varied from time to time. By 1968, the service battalions had been transferred to the Force Logistic Command and the antitank battalions cadred. Units normally subordinate to the Fleet Marine Force commander, including tank battalions, amphibian tractor battalions, and force reconnaissance companies, had been attached. For most of 1968, both divisions included four infantry regiments, a reinforced artillery regiment, and additional motor transport and engineer battalions. The following diagram shows what a "typical division looked like in Vietnam." The exact units in a given division at any given time is in Appendix A, Marine Command and Staff List, January-December 1968.

Marine Division, Vietnam 1968




Marine Aircraft Wing

There was no standard organization for any Marine Corps aviation unit above the squadron level. Selected squadron tables of organization are included in this appendix. The exact units making up the 1st Marine Aircraft Wing can be found in Appendix A, Marine Command and Staff List, January-December 1968.

Combat Service Support Units


Standard Marine Corps practice placed combat service support units into a force service regiment, consisting of a headquarters and service battalion, a maintenance battalion, and a supply battalion. In Vietnam combat service support units were consolidated into the Force Logistic Command, which also included the service battalions from both divisions, two military police battalions, a communications battalion, and a motor transport battalion. Since the organizations for the battalions in the Force Logistic command were heavily modified to enable them to support sustained ground operations, no T/O's for these units are included. The exact units making up the Force Logistic Command can be found in Appendix A, Marine Command and Staff List, January-December 1968.

Infantry Regiment
T/O M-1099, Revision 2
6 June 1967



* The company headquarters included a command post security platoon of 19 Marines, with a staff sergeant as platoon commander, and two squads of nine Marines each, consisting of a squad leader and two four-man fireteams.

Artillery Regiment
 T/O M-1199, Revision 2
 17 April 1964




Direct support battalions contained 18 M101A1 towed 105mm howitzers (six per battery) and six M98 107mm towed mortars.

General support battalions contained 18 M109 self propelled 155mm Howitzers (six per battery).

Amphibian Tractor Battalion
T/O M-4658, Revision 1
6 March 1967

The amphibian tractor battalion normally fell under force troops, but in Vietnam each division had an amphibian tractor battalion attached. The battalion's primary mission was to transport troops and equipment under combat conditions, and it had only enough Marines and sailors to operate and maintain its vehicles. For combat operations in Vietnam the battalion either had infantry units attached to ride in its vehicles or parcelled out its companies and platoons to support other units. Generally one amphibian tractor company could support an infantry battalion, and one amphibian tractor platoon could support a rifle company.

The headquarters and service company rated 12 LVTP5A1 personnel carrier landing vehicles, tracked, three LVTP5A1 CMD command variants, one LVTR1A1 recovery vehicle, and eight LVTE1 engineer vehicles. These vehicles supported the operations of the amphibian tractor battalion. Each amphibian tractor company contained 44 LVTP5A1s, three LVTP5A1 CMDs, and one LVTR1A1. Since LVTs suffered frequent mine damage in Vietnam, both amphibian tractor battalions received an increased allowance of LVTR1A1 recovery vehicles.




* A third amphibian tractor company could be activated by order of the Commandant. During 1968 both amphibian tractor battalions in Vietnam contained two amphibian tractor companies.

Tank Battalion Vietnam 1968

Normally part of force troops, in Vietnam the two tank battalions were assigned to the divisions. The standard table of organization (T/O M-4238, Revision 1, 25 September 1967) for tank battalions called for a headquarters and service company, a heavy tank company, and three medium tank companies, with the proviso that only three tank companies would be activated except by order of the commandant. Both the 1st Tank Battalion and 3d Tank Battalion omitted the heavy tank company in this period (which would have been equipped with 17 M103A2 120mm gun tanks).

The headquarters and service company contained nine M67A2 flamethrower tanks (organized into a platoon with three sections of three tanks each), two M48A3 90mm gun tanks for the command section, and one M51 tank recovery vehicle. Each medium tank company rated 17 M48A3 90mm gun tanks and one M51 tank recovery vehicle, with two gun tanks and the recovery vehicle in the company headquarters and three platoons of five gun tanks each.

In December 1967 the 1st and 3d Antitank battalions were cadred, both being reduced to one reinforced antitank company. These companies were then attached to the like-numbered tank battalion, adding approximately 100 Marines, one corpsmen, and 20 M50A1 Ontos, a small tracked vehicle mounting six 106mm recoilless rifles.



* Does not include attached antitank company.

** One of these tanks was fitted with an M8 bulldozer blade.

Does not include additional personnel to support attached antitank company.

@ The standard T/O for an antitank company called for five officers and 76 enlisted Marines (T/O M-1248, Revision 2, 1 June 1967). Normally a company was divided into three platoons and equipped with 15 M50A1 Ontos. The reinforced companies attached to the tank battalions in December 1967 contained approximately six officers, 90-95 enlisted Marines, and one corpsman, and contained four platoons and a total of 20 M50A1 Ontos. Roughly one officer and six enlisted Marines were attached to the headquarters and service company to provide administrative support.

The Marine Aircraft Wing

There was no standard organization for any Marine Corps aviation unit above the squadron level. This section contains the official tables of organization for some of the more common Marine aviation squadrons present in Vietnam in 1968. It must be remembered that the actual number of Marines and aircraft assigned to a given squadron almost certainly varied from these tables. Squadrons of the same type, but flying different types of aircraft, had different T/Os. Also, the Marine Corps had multiple T/Os for certain types of squadrons flying the same aircraft. For example, some Marine Observation Squadron (VMO) were organized to fly 24 UH-1E Iroquois helicopters, some 30 UH-1E helicopters, while others flew a mix of helicopters and O-1B Bird Dog Cessna fixed wing light observation aircraft. In 1968 the Marine Corps introduced the OV-10A Bronco fixed wing observation aircraft to Vietnam, further complicating the picture.

Most squadron T/Os included an intermediate maintenance section. The Marines in these sections were not actually assigned to the squadron, but were instead an integral part of the parent group's headquarters and maintenance squadron. Still, these sections represented a manpower requirement associated with a specific squadron, and therefore these sections are included here.

The tables shown here are for squadrons at wartime strength. During the war, however, Marine aviation units remained on the reduced peacetime manning level, making their actual strength considerably less than shown in this appendix.

The exact units making up the 1st Marine Aircraft Wing can be found in Appendix A, Marine Command and Staff List, January-December 1968. *Note: Numbers in parentheses show billets filled by pilots, and are not included in the totals.*

Tables of Organization for Selected Squadrons

Marine Fighter Attack Squadron (VMFA) 15 F-4J Phantom Jet Interceptor/Attack Aircraft T/O M-8848, 23 July 1968

	USMC				USN	
	Pilots	NFOs	Grd Off	Enl	Off	Enl
Squadron Headquarters	(3)		2	19		
Operations	26	26	1	6		
Aircraft Maintenance	(5)		3	240		
Motor Transport	(1)			9		
Medical					1	4
Squadron Total	26	26	6	274	1	4
Intermediate Maintenance				61		
Total	26	26	6	335	1	4

Marine Attack Squadron (VMA)
20 A-4C/E SkyHawk Jet Attack Aircraft
 T/O M-8955, 7 November 1967*

	Pilots	<i>USMC</i>		<i>USN</i>	
		Grd Off	Enl	Off	Enl
Squadron Headquarters	(3)	2	19		
Operations	35	1	6		
Aircraft Maintenance	(5)	3	151		
Motor Transport	(1)		9		
Medical				1	4
Squadron Total	35	6	185	1	4
Intermediate Maintenance		33			
Total	35	6	218	1	4

* On 23 July 1968 an additional Marine was added to the intermediate maintenance section, bringing the enlisted total to 219.

Marine All-Weather Attack Squadron (VMA(AW))
12 A-6A Intruder Jet Attack Aircraft
 T/O 8857, 25 May 1967*

	Pilots	<i>USMC</i>			<i>USN</i>	
		NFOs	Grd Off	Enl	Off	Enl
Squadron Headquarters	(3)		2	17		
Operations	21	21	1	7		
Aircraft Maintenance	(5)		3	222		
Motor Transport	(1)			9		
Medical					1	4
Squadron Total	21	21	6	255	1	4
Intermediate Maintenance				68		
Total	21	21	6	323	1	4

* On 20 August the total number of enlisted Marines was increased to 324, with one Marine added to both the squadron headquarters and intermediate maintenance section, and one dropped from the aircraft maintenance section.

Marine Heavy Helicopter Squadron (HMH)
24 CH-53A Sea Stallion Heavy Transport Helicopters
 T/O M-8942, 28 December 1967*

	Pilots	<i>USMC</i>		<i>USN</i>	
		Grd Off	Enl	Off	Enl
Squadron Headquarters	(3)	2	19		
Operations	60	1	6		
Aircraft Maintenance	(5)	2	158		
Motor Transport	(1)		17		
Medical				1	4
Squadron Total	60	5	201	1	4
Intermediate Maintenance			43		
Total	60	5	244	1	4

* On 23 July 1968 a Marine was shifted from the aircraft maintenance section to the intermediate maintenance section.

Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron (HMM)
24 CH-46 Sea Knight Medium Transport Helicopters
 T/O M-8935, 25 May 1967*

	Pilots	<i>USMC</i>		<i>USN</i>	
		Grd Off	Enl	Off	Enl
Squadron Headquarters	(3)	2	19		
Operations	60	1	6		
Aircraft Maintenance	(5)	2	145		
Motor Transport	(1)		14		
Medical				1	3
Squadron Total	60	5	184	1	3
Intermediate Maintenance			31		
Total	60	5	215	1	3

* On 23 July 1968 one Marine moved from the aircraft maintenance section to the intermediate maintenance section.

Marine Medium Helicopter Squadron (HMM)
24 UH-34D/E Sea Horse Medium Transport Helicopters
T/O M-8932, 22 November 1967*

	Pilots	<i>USMC</i>		<i>USN</i>	
		Grd Off	Enl	Off	Enl
Squadron Headquarters	(3)	2	18		
Operations	60	1	6		
Aircraft Maintenance	(5)	2	146		
Motor Transport	(1)	14			
Medical				1	3
Squadron Total	60	5	184	1	3
Intermediate Maintenance			41		
Total	60	5	225	1	3

* On 23 July 1968 an additional Marine was added to the intermediate maintenance section, bringing the total number of enlisted Marines to 226.

Marine Observation Squadron (VMO)
24 UH-1E Iroquois Light Attack/Observation Helicopters
T/O M-8963, 24 May 1967*

This T/O is for a squadron with 24 UH1E helicopters

	Pilots	<i>USMC</i>		<i>USN</i>	
		Grd Off	Enl	Off	Enl
Squadron Headquarters	(3)	2	13		
Operations	48	1	6		
Aircraft Maintenance	(4)	2	144		
Services	(2)	3	6		
Medical				1	3
Squadron Total	48	5	199	1	3

* On 20 August 1968 one Marine was added to the squadron headquarters, bringing the total number of enlisted Marines to 200.

Index

Key: p = photograph; n = informational note

-
- A**
- A Shau Valley, 16, 37, 107–108, 223, 241, 249, 252–53, 254, 287, 291, 370–71, 411–12, 455, 516, 540, 652
- A–1 Strongpoint, 24, 24n, 26, 28–29, 30p, 31, 38, 40, 115, 128, 292, 361–62, 394, 443 (*See also* barrier)
- A–2 Strongpoint, 31, 41, 139, 292, 360, 393, 443 (*See also* barrier)
- A–3 Strongpoint, 28, 31, 40, 46, 46n, 47, 48p, 52, 56, 126, 139–40, 244, 308, 351, 357, 360, 364, 395, 444 (*See also* barrier)
- A–4 Strongpoint, 23, 23p, 24, 40–42, 126, 443 (*See also* barrier)
- A–5 Strongpoint, 24, 26, 28–29, 443 (*See also* barrier)
- A–6 Strongpoint, 24, 26 (*See also* barrier)
- Abrams, Gen Creighton W., USA, 69n, 110, 118, 194, 205–207, 207n, 210, 221, 223, 237–38, 238p, 239–40, 240n, 241, 276n, 283, 324, 338, 356, 360, 387, 392, 396, 411, 411n, 413, 443–44, 493, 508–509, 509p, 510, 510n, 510p, 511–15, 543, 578, 586, 636, 641, 650, 653–54
- Accelerated Pacification Campaign (APC), 425, 443, 455, 630
- Adams, 1stLt Robert L., 543
- Adams, Eddie, 648p
- Adkisson, Col George O., USA, 173–74
- Ahearn, 1stLt Francis B., 427p
- Ai Nghia River, 344, 414
- Ai Tu, 76, 118–19, 133, 135
- Air America, 269n
- Air Force 407–L Development Program, 468
- Air Force Airborne DASC, 489n
- Air Force Reserves, 226
- Air Force Commands and Units, U.S.
- 2d Air Division, 466–67
 - 20th Tactical Air Support Squadron, 478
 - 63d Military Airlift Wing, 574n
 - 315 Air Commando Wing, 261
 - 4133d Bomb Wing, 65
 - 4258th Strategic Wing, 65
 - Fifth Air Force, 466
 - Seventh Air Force, 3, 5, 23, 65, 108, 239–40, 360–61, 458, 467, 468n, 470, 470n, 472, 473p, 474–75, 480, 485–86, 486n, 487, 487n, 489–90, 492–94, 498, 498n, 500, 502–507, 509, 511, 511n, 512, 514–15, 526, 536n, 545–46, 635, 653
- Airborne Command and Control Center (ABCCC), 474, 474n, 496
- I Corps, DASC, 494–94, 496, 496n, 497–98, 500
- “Panama” CRC, 467, 467n, 468, 468n
- Tactical Air Control Center (TACC), 467, 467n, 492–93, 493n, 496n, 498, 502–503, 507
- Tactical Air Liaison Section, 583n
- Military Airlift Command, 227
- Strategic Air Command, 5, 471, 476, 485, 546
- U.S. Air Forces, Pacific, 3, 5
- Airborne Personnel Detector (APD) (“People Sniffer”) XM–3, 65, 142
- Aircraft types
- B–26, 269n
 - Bell AH–1G Cobra Helicopter, 521
 - Bell UH–1 Iroquois Huey, 261, 378
 - Bell UH–1B Huey, 521
 - Bell UH–1E Huey, 50, 56, 65, 94, 116, 119–20, 124, 140, 142, 151, 215p, 281–82, 315, 389, 399p, 400, 403n, 459n, 460, 460n, 478, 483, 485, 517, 519–20, 520p, 521, 521n, 522, 522n, 526, 526n, 530, 531n, 554
 - Bell UH–1H Huey, 306, 411, 521, 522n
 - Boeing B–52 Stratofortress, 5, 65–66, 123p, 256, 471, 472p, 474n, 475, 477
 - Boeing Vertol CH–46 Sea Knight, 51, 82, 92, 94, 117p, 150, 158, 174, 176, 192n, 197, 232, 261n, 265, 276, 281, 314–15, 322, 343p, 354p, 368, 369p, 382p, 389, 400, 402p, 460, 463–64, 483, 485, 516–17, 517n, 518p, 521, 521n, 522, 522n, 523, 523n, 524, 526, 527n, 551, 553, 553p, 554, 582, 631n, 637p
 - Boeing Vertol CH–47 Chinook, 461p
 - C–7 Buffalo, 479, 479n
 - Caribou, 479n
 - Cessna O–1, 461p, 547–48
 - Cessna O–1B, 524
 - Cessna O–1C, 460
 - Cessna O–1E, 268, 478
 - Cessna O–1G, 460
 - Chance Vought F–8 Crusader, 200, 305, 459, 464
 - DC–3, 459n, 461p
 - Douglas A–1 Skyraider, 378
 - Douglas AC–47, 98, 154–55, 319, 375, 378, 421, 424, 432, 553–54
 - Douglas AC–130, 115
 - Douglas C–47 Skytrain, 553n
 - Douglas EF–10B Whale, 459n, 464
 - Fairchild C–123 Provider, 52, 261, 264–65, 281, 281n, 283n, 284, 347, 479, 480, 481
 - Grumman A–6 Intruder, 63, 148p, 279, 298, 418–19, 459, 459n, 460, 462p, 464–65, 465p, 477, 477n, 478n, 496, 546
 - Grumman EA–6A Intruder, 459n, 464
 - Grumman TF–9J Cougar, 460n
 - Hughes OH–6A Cayuse, 411, 521
 - IL–14, 205n
 - Lockheed C–130 Hercules, 62, 66, 151, 227, 281n, 287, 287n, 339, 390, 440, 463n, 479n, 481, 483, 484p, 648
 - Lockheed KC–130 Hercules, 73, 278, 463n, 464, 464p, 479, 479n, 479p, 480–81, 482p, 483, 485, 524, 607
 - McDonnell-Douglas A–4 Skyhawk, 201, 212, 300, 324, 365, 420p, 459n, 460, 462p, 464, 464p, 469, 469n, 477n, 483, 485, 485n, 489n, 546
 - McDonnell-Douglas C–117D Skytrain, 98, 250, 459n, 460, 463, 464
 - McDonnell-Douglas F–4 Phantom, 99, 151, 200, 279, 365, 378, 398, 459, 460, 463p, 464–67, 546

- McDonnell-Douglas RF-4B Phantom II, 459n, 465n, 493
 McDonnell-Douglas TA-4, 459n, 460n, 483, 641
 MIG Fighter, 268, 460n
 Navy F3D Skynight, 459n
 North American OV-1 Mohawk, 460
 North American OV-10A Bronco, 347, 460n, 519-20, 522p
 Sikorsky CH-53 Sea Stallion, 248, 261, 354p, 440p, 460, 464, 521n, 523-24, 524n, 525p, 526, 551, 551p
 Sikorsky CH-54 Sky Crane, 353n, 524, 524n, 525p, 551
 Sikorsky UH-34 Sea Horse, 70, 245p, 340, 390, 421n, 460, 460n, 463-64, 483n, 516, 521, 521n, 525p, 527n, 529n, 636n, 637p
 Alamo, 195, 204 (*See also* Hue Citadel)
 Alamo (LSD 33), 631n
 Alderman, LtCol Harry L., 64, 71, 259
 Allen, Capt Bernard A., 221
 Alsop, Joseph, 251p
 Althoff, Maj David L., 315n
 Am, LtCol Nguyen, 133
 America (CVA 66), 641n
 Ammunition Supply Point (ASP), 260, 282, 313n, 480
 Number 3, 279
 An Binh, 292
 An Cuu, 185, 191
 An Cuu Bridge, 168, 172, 184p, 194, 216, 589, 653
 An Dinh, 56
 An Don, 74
 An Hoa, 90, 97, 97n, 98, 106, 141, 144, 154, 158-59, 229, 248, 329p, 343p, 344-48, 374, 382p, 414, 419, 419n, 420, 423-24, 433, 438-39, 440p, 441, 449, 463, 525p, 537, 551p, 554, 554n, 593, 594
 An Hoa Gate (Hue), 192
 An Loc, 293-94, 296-301, 301n
 An My, 40, 46, 362
 An Phu, 52
 An Tam (1), 333-34, 554
 An Xa, 52, 395
 Anderson, Charles R., 614, 627n
 Anderson, BGen Earl E., 14, 15n, 31, 84n, 145n, 162, 238, 361n, 388n, 411n, 467n, 468, 468n, 487n, 490, 491n, 495, 497, 517, 517n, 575n, 583, 608n, 611n, 614n, 620, 625, 625n; Gen 174n, 276n, 422n
 Anderson, MajGen Norman J., 108-109, 276n, 458, 458p, 460n, 465, 470, 473-75, 483, 483n, 485, 487, 488-89, 489n, 490, 490n, 491, 491n, 492-94, 496-98, 498n, 500, 500n, 501-508, 508n, 509, 512n, 516-17, 517n, 519, 523n, 524, 526, 528, 528n, 528p, 529-30, 608, 608n
 Anderson, Capt Robert R., 333p
 Andrew, 1stLt Richard A., 401
 Ann Arbor, Michigan, 560n
 Annam, 7
 Annamite Mountains, 88, 101
 Anti-infiltration Barrier (*See also* barrier), 444
 Anti-infiltration System (*See also* barrier), 26
 Antiair Defense, 467
 Arclight B-52 Strikes, 19, 26, 100, 123p, 124, 124n, 253, 266, 268n, 270, 270n, 271p, 281, 283, 289, 320-21, 355, 360, 364, 364n, 382, 387, 388p, 389, 392, 403, 419, 419n, 471, 472p, 474-77, 478n, 490, 495, 538, 543, 545-461
 Area of Operations Green, 443
 Argo, Col Reamer W., Jr., USA, 66
 Arizona Territory, 247-48, 250-52, 344, 345-48, 381, 384, 414, 423, 437-38, 441, 551, 554, 554n
 Armed Forces Qualification Test, 559
 Armed Forces Staff College, 3
 Army Commands and Units, U.S.
 8th Army, 466
 I Field Force, 4, 239, 239n
 II Field Force, 4, 239, 411
 Provisional Corps, 238-39, 239p, 240, 240n, 241, 241n, 248-49, 253-54, 284, 287, 307p, 308, 312, 312n, 353n, 356, 359-60, 361n, 361p, 364, 364n, 391, 492, 493, 498, 500, 503, 503n, 504p, 505, 516, 540, 544, 545n, 546, 555, 585, 587n, 641, 641n, 653
 XXIV Corps, 361n, 387, 388n, 412, 414, 448-49, 548, 587n, 608, 625, 641n, 653
 1st Logistical Command, 230, 583n, 585, 585n, 642
 1st Air Cavalry Division (Airmobile), 12, 15, 19, 83, 107-11, 118, 118n, 127, 159, 177, 192, 194, 194n, 205, 207, 213, 216, 223, 227, 230, 238, 241, 246, 249, 252-54, 284, 287, 289, 308, 312, 352, 357, 370-71, 371n, 372, 390, 396, 410-11, 411n, 412, 412p, 413, 419, 419n, 443, 446, 453, 465, 487, 487n, 488-89, 489n, 490, 503, 505, 509p, 516-19, 521, 527, 540, 542, 548, 555, 582-84, 589, 623n, 625n, 635, 641, 652-54
 Aerial Rocket Artillery (ARA), 136-37, 193
 1st Brigade, 107-109, 119, 133, 136, 136n, 137, 177, 193, 230, 370, 411, 453
 2d Brigade, 252, 286, 289, 306, 313, 370, 412
 3d Brigade, 84n, 87, 91, 92, 99-100, 109, 177, 192-93, 205, 207, 216, 223, 253, 256, 284-86, 411, 652
 1st Infantry Division, 14n, 411
 5th Infantry Division (Mechanized)
 1st Brigade, 388, 388n, 390-92, 394-95, 412, 443-46, 453, 578
 23d Infantry (Americal) Division, 2, 8, 11-12, 16, 83-87, 91-92, 99-100, 109, 142, 144, 158, 161-63, 227-28, 238, 240, 246, 248, 253, 306, 346, 391, 460, 463, 470, 519, 586, 608, 610, 615n, 625n, 638-39, 652
 25th Infantry Division, 411
 82d Airborne Division, 227, 572
 3d Brigade, 227, 249, 371, 371n, 391, 413
 101st Airborne Division (Airmobile), 12, 177, 194, 227, 230, 238, 252, 254, 284, 371, 371n, 372, 388, 391, 412, 419n, 455, 489n, 503, 505, 540, 582-84, 607, 610, 625n, 641, 652
 1st Brigade, 205, 211, 213, 237, 249, 253, 256, 371, 413
 2d Brigade, 107, 109, 371, 413
 3d Brigade, 391, 413
 11th Light Infantry Brigade, 16, 248, 586
 196th Light Infantry Brigade, 100, 162, 246, 253, 299, 304
 16th Aviation Group, 251
 26th General Support Group, 585, 594
 108th Field Artillery Group, 535, 540, 545, 545n
 1st Cavalry Regiment
 1st Squadron, 150
 1st Infantry Regiment
 2d Battalion, 162, 543
 3d Battalion
 Company A, 228
 5th Armored Cavalry Regiment, 245p,

- 3d Squadron, 246, 248, 284, 411
- 5th Infantry Regiment
 - 1st Battalion, 136, 306
 - Company A, 136
 - Company B, 136
 - Company C, 136
- 6th Infantry Regiment
 - 1st Battalion, 162
 - 3d Battalion, 299, 304–307
 - Company A, 305–306
 - Company C, 306
- 7th Cavalry Regiment, 411
 - 1st Battalion, 177
 - 2d Battalion, 286
 - 5th Battalion, 193
- 8th Cavalry Regiment, 357, 411
 - 1st Battalion, 136, 411
- 8th Field Artillery Regiment
 - 4th Battalion, 419
- 9th Cavalry Regiment, 357
 - 1st Squadron, 136, 284
 - Troop A, 410
- 9th Infantry Regiment
 - 1st Battalion
 - Company C, 109
 - 11th Infantry Regiment
 - 1st Battalion, 390, 393, 404, 445
 - Company B, 392, 393, 445
 - Company C, 393, 445
 - Company D, 392, 393, 445
 - 12th Cavalry Regiment
 - 1st Battalion, 136
 - 2d Battalion, 100, 177, 193–94, 285, 411
 - Company A, 99
 - Company B, 136
 - Company C, 99, 136
 - Company D, 410
 - Company E, 410
 - 4th Squadron, 445
 - 17th Cavalry Regiment, 228
 - 17th Infantry Regiment
 - 7th Battalion
 - Company C, 150
 - 21st Infantry Regiment
 - 2d Battalion, 304
 - 3d Battalion, 299, 304–307
 - Company A, 305–306
 - Company C, 306
 - 26th Field Artillery Regiment
 - Battery F, 547
 - 34th Armored Regiment
 - 2d Battalion, 246
 - 44th Artillery Regiment
 - 1st Battalion
 - Battery A, 118
 - Battery C, 54, 119
 - Battery D, 171n
 - 61st Infantry Regiment, 393
 - 1st Battalion, 390, 393, 395, 445
 - Company A, 391, 392, 395
 - Company B, 394, 395
 - Company C, 391–92, 394
 - 77th Armor Regiment
 - 1st Battalion, 390, 392, 445
 - Company A, 389
 - Company B, 393–95
 - Company C, 393
 - 94th Field Artillery Regiment
 - 2d Battalion, 283
 - Battery C, Third Section, 66p
 - 326th Infantry Regiment
 - 1st Battalion, 194
 - 327th Infantry Regiment
 - 1st Battalion, 194, 230, 249, 413
 - 2d Battalion, 249, 412
 - 3d Battalion, 230
 - 501st Infantry Regiment
 - 2d Battalion, 177, 207
 - 502d Infantry Regiment
 - 1st Battalion, 136
 - Company A, 137
 - Company C, 644
 - 2d Battalion, 230, 248–49, 412–13
 - 506th Infantry Regiment
 - 3d Battalion, 640
 - 508th Infantry Regiment
 - 1st Battalion, 412
 - 7th Psychological Warfare Battalion, 598, 609
 - 35th Engineer Battalion, 230
 - 63d Signal Battalion, 587
 - 159th Transportation Battalion, 585, 585n
 - 716th Military Police Battalion, 642
 - 29th Civil Affairs Company, 598, 599n, 608
 - 245th Surveillance Aircraft Company, 251, 460
 - 282d Assault Helicopter Company, 263
 - Army Air Corps, 515, 560n
 - Army Logistic Command, 240
 - Army Support Command, Da Nang, 583, 584n, 585
 - Army Special Forces
 - Special Forces, 526
 - Special Forces, 59–60, 107, 357
 - Mobile Strike Force
 - 14th Company, 251
 - 5th Special Forces Group, 275–76, 425
 - Company C
 - Detachment A, 101, 273, 275
 - Task Force Barker, 228, 248, 614
 - Task Force Miracle, 162–63
 - Task Force Oregon, 11, 24, 84, 238, 470, 470n
 - U.S. Military Academy, West Point, 3
 - Army Field Manual 7–11, 29
 - Army Field Manual 7–20, 29
 - Asher, Cpl Ronald R., 115; Sgt 38, 79
 - Associated Press, 221, 303n
 - Atkinson, LtCol Harry E., 433, 439
 - Australia, 4, 567
 - Australian Advisors, 195
 - Australian Army, 542
 - Australian Cabinet, 17
 - Avella, LCpl James, 208
 - Aviation Gas, JP–4, 582
 - Aviation Officer Candidate School, 569

- B**
- Ba Long, 168
- Ba Long Valley, 54, 253, 396–97, 454–55, 549, 550p
- Ba Ren River, 92, 98, 250, 328, 329
- Bac Dong Ban, 342
- Bac Vong, 293–96
- Bach Ma Mountain, 101
- Bacher, LtCol Louis J., 227–28, 531n, 573n, 574n
- Back-Up Intercept Computer (BUIC-2), 468
- Bagley, Capt Thomas B., Jr., 646p
- Baig, Capt Mirza M., 268n, 270, 273, 477, 477n
- Baird, Charles F., 578n
- Balanco, Sgt John J., 263–64, 264p, 277n, 627n
- Baldwin, Col Daniel L., III, USA, 276
- Baltimore Sun*, 326n
- Balzer, LtCol George T., 533n
- Ban Houaysan, 273
- Ban Me Thuot, 149
- Banks, Capt Henry D., 313–14, 368, 368n
- Banks, SSgt Leroy J., 643–44
- Bao An Dong, 92, 95
- Bao Dai, 6
- Barnard, LtCol Roger H., 329, 331–34, 346; Col, 330n
- Barr, LtCol John E., Jr., 90n, 99p, 195, 536; Col 166n
- Barrier, 21, 21p, 22, 24, 26, 27p, 28–29, 37–38, 40–41, 46, 127, 231, 241, 246, 311, 588, 652, 654
- Barrier Island, 638, 638p, 639
- Barrow, Col Robert H., 363, 365–66, 396, 398–99, 401, 403–404, 404n, 405, 410, 450, 453–55, 530, 530n, 531
- Barsanti, MajGen Olinto M., USA, 249, 371, 371n
- Bartlett, Capt Merrill L., 613; LtCol 419n, 436n, 562, 569n
- Base Area 78, 79–80, 114, 119, 164, 166, 370
- Base Area 101, 108–109, 230, 370, 410–11, 445, 455
- Base Area 112, 437–39, 441–42, 448–49, 449p, 551
- Base Area 114, 109, 163, 230, 410
- Base Area 510, 35
- Base Area 511, 35
- Base Area 512, 35
- Base Area 607, 248, 253
- Basic Specialist Training, 561–562
- Batangan Peninsula, 84, 638–39
- Batcheller, Capt Gordon D., 77, 169, 169n, 171, 171n, 172, 174n, 180; Col 73n
- Batchman, LCpl Frank, 264p
- Bates, LtCol William H., 396–400, 403
- Bau Xau River, 160
- Baxley, LtCol William J., USA, 162
- Bay Nha, 397
- Bearden, 1stLt Jimmie G., 452
- Becker, Maj R.D., USA, 608
- Beckington, Col Herbert L., 415, 419–21, 423
- Beers, Capt Robert R., 48p
- Ben Hai River, 18–19, 24n, 32–33, 35–36, 37n, 41, 41n, 126–28, 242, 292, 310, 361–63, 387, 392–93, 395, 403–405, 444, 453, 463–64, 547n, 589n
- Ben Tre, 640
- Ben Xe River, 35
- Bendell, LtCol Lee R., 47–48, 49p, 50–52, 56, 120, 122, 124, 126; Col 42p, 122n, 124n
- Benner* (DD 807), 641n
- Bennett, Capt Jesse D., 344
- Benskin, LtCol George H., Jr., 633
- Berg, LtCol Donald R., 386, 552n, 556
- Bexar* (APA 237), 227, 573
- Bien Hoa, 640
- Bierce, Ambrose, 615
- Binh Dinh Province, 601
- Binh Long Province, 11
- Black, 2dLt William R., Jr., 248, 252, 252n, 616n
- Black, LtCol James L., Jr., 599n; Col 603n, 611n
- Blood, MajGen Gordon F., USA, 490, 490n, 491n, 492, 506
- Blue Beach, 116
- Blunk, Harold R., 319n
- Bo Dieu River, 292, 292n, 293–94, 297
- Bo Long Valley, 74
- Bo River, 78–79, 82, 371
- Bobrowsky, Cpl Igor, 142, 149n, 160, 160n, 163n, 614n, 621, 621p, 626–29
- Bodenweiser, 2dLt Alec J., 273p
- Boeing Vertol Corporation, 523n
- Bohn, Col Robert D., 92, 95, 97, 106, 110, 169–70, 179, 194, 197, 230, 250
- Bohr, Capt Harper L., Jr., 64; LtCol 62n
- Bon Homme Richard (CVA 31), 641n
- Bong Son, 647
- Bonner, Col Joel E., 469n, 474n, 475n, 483n, 487n, 498n, 519n
- Boston* (CAG 1), 641n
- Bourne, LtCol Frank L., Jr., 396, 406–10
- Bowe, Capt John J., Jr., 199
- Bowers, LtCol Gene W., 94n, 95n, 97, 151–52
- Boyd* (DD 544), 641n
- Bradley, Col Ray W., USA, 85
- Brady, LtCol Byron F., 85, 619, 619n, 620, 622–23, 625–27, 628n, 629n
- Braestrup, Peter, 61n, 164n, 177, 190, 194, 216n, 221n, 256
- Breckinridge, LtCol James T., 649, 651; Col, 651n
- Breeding, Capt Earle G., 271–72, 274p
- Brewer, Robert, 135–36, 263n, 264
- Bridge No. 4, 250
- Brindley, 2dLt Thomas, D., 70, 70n
- Bringle, VAdm William F., USN, 116, 494p
- Brodrick, 2dLt Steven P., 453
- Brown, 1stLt Thomas A., 301
- Brown, Cpl Bruce, 264p
- Brown, Cpl Wayne D., 377
- Brown, Gen George S., USAF, 509
- Brown, LtCol Joseph B., Jr., 512
- Brown, PFC James P., 81
- Bru Montagnards, 58–59, 59p, 60n, 263, 264, 264n, 264p, 273, 275, 275p, 276, 276n, 277n, 455, 627n
- Bruner, Capt Robert T., 81
- Buchanan, Cpl Richard W., 335
- Bucklew, Sgt Larry K., 376
- Buckner, Capt David N., 366p
- Budd, Maj Talman C. II, 216, 223, 646p; Col 197n, 206n, 210n
- Bunker, Ambassador Ellsworth, 5, 221, 600
- Bunnell, LtCol Charles F., Jr., 417
- Burdwell, LCpl David, 273p
- Burke, PFC Robert C., 334

- Buse, LtGen Henry W., Jr., 509–510, 510p, 511–13, 521, 530, 566, 577
- Burcher, Col Warren A., 634n, 636, 636n
- Butler, Capt James H., 295–97
- Byrne, LCpl Edward J., 597p
-
- C**
- C–1 Combat Base Area, 24, 29, 31, 40, 292, 443, 448
- C–2 Combat Base Area, 27p, 28–29, 40, 44, 46, 48, 127, 292, 351, 357, 365, 404, 446, 449, 544, 635
- C–2 Bridge, 393, 449
- C–3 Combat Base Area, 24, 29, 30p, 40, 46, 48, 292–93, 357, 443–44, 446, 449
- C–4 Combat Base Area, 26, 29, 38, 39p, 45p, 130, 351, 363, 446
- C–4 Bridge, 393
- C-Rations, 106, 223, 281n, 413, 433, 453n, 584
- Ca Do River, 376
- Ca Lu, 18, 26, 28–29, 31, 53p, 54–55, 55n, 57, 64, 113, 119, 140, 232, 241, 245–46, 249, 275p, 284, 286p, 287, 289, 298n, 312, 316, 317, 317p, 318, 321, 324, 326, 351–54, 356, 368, 396–97, 407, 409, 454, 474n, 502, 541, 589, 590p
- Cahill, Capt Ian J., Australian Army, 92, 94n
- Cahill, LtCol John J.H., 313, 313n, 315, 316n
- Cai River, 437
- California, 227, 557, 579
- Calley, 1stLt William L., USA, 614
- Cam Hung, 397
- Cam Le Bridge, 46, 150, 376–80, 380p, 381
- Cam Lo, 18, 24, 40, 44, 46, 64, 109, 113, 120, 138–39, 253n, 351, 357, 391–92, 397, 446, 446p, 448, 612
- Cam Lo Basin, 366
- Cam Lo Bridge, 40, 48
- Cam Lo District, 446, 612
- Cam Lo Hill, 40
- Cam Lo Refugee Resettlement Project, 351
- Cam Lo Resettlement Village, 449, 449p, 451
- Cam Lo River, 40, 46, 55, 120, 122, 123p, 124, 124n, 138–39, 292n, 397, 399, 403, 612
- Cam Lo River Valley, 18, 40, 54, 365, 399
- Cam Phu, 298, 298n, 304, 311
- Cam Vu, 292–93
- Cambodia, 9, 15, 411
- Camp Carroll, 18–19, 26, 31, 46, 54–56, 61, 64, 66, 66p, 83, 113, 116, 118–20, 122, 123p, 124, 125p, 126–27, 140, 232, 233p, 242n, 253, 253n, 352, 356–57, 363–64, 366, 366n, 367p, 396, 398–99, 405–406, 448, 471, 474n, 477, 536p, 537, 539, 546, 549n, 589, 590p, 637p
- Camp Eagle, 641
- Camp Evans, 16, 20, 57, 78–79, 79p, 80, 80n, 81–83, 83n, 105, 109–110, 118–19, 127, 171n, 177, 193–94, 230, 249, 253, 265n, 289, 370, 410, 487, 489n, 519n, 533n, 582, 584, 589, 593, 635, 641, 652
- Camp Kistler, 351, 357, 593
- Camp Lejeune, 57n
- Camp Love, 588
- Camp Pendleton, 227, 520, 561, 569n, 573, 574n
- Camp Tiensha, 143, 566
- Campbell, Col Hubert S., USA, 99–100, 192
- Campbell, 1stLt Joseph T., 345
- Can Bo, 600n
- Can Tho, 640
- Canberra (CAG 2), 640
- Canley, GySgt J.L., 172
- Cao Dai Peninsula, 169
- Cap Barangan, 84
- Cap Mui Lay, 359, 361, 545–48, 641
- Cap Mui Lay Hai River, 34
- Capital Military District, 647
- Carango, PFC Dominick J., 179p
- Career Marines, 564–65, 565n
- Carey, LtCol Richard E., 466, 474n, 483n, 508; LtGen 460n, 468n, 474n, 483n, 485n, 489n, 511n, 517n, 518n, 524n, 529n
- Cargile, Capt John W., 314
- Caribbean Sea, 557
- Carr, Capt John D., 49p, 50–51, 56–57, 57n
- Carroll, John S., 326n
- Caruthers, BGen Lawrence H., Jr., USA, 545
- Casey, Robert, 331
- Cassedy, LtCol Logan, 588–89
- Casselli, Cpl Henry A., 380p
- Cau Do Bridge, 376–77, 380
- Cau Do River, 88, 90, 141, 145, 150, 153, 157, 159, 162, 375, 380p
- Cau Lau River, 92, 425
- Cau Viet River, 296
- Caulfield, Maj Matthew P., 259
- CBS, 190, 190n, 206p
- Census Grievance Cadre, 603n
- Central Highlands, 10–11, 19, 541
- Central Intelligence Agency, 3, 603
- Central Office for South Vietnam (COSVN)*, 10
- Cercle-Sportif*, 164
- Chaisson, BGen John R., 27p, 162, 225, 276n, 308, 312n, 472–73, 489, 491–92, 503, 507, 510, 510n, 518, 644; LtGen 654
- Chambers, Maj Justice M., Jr., 41n
- Champion, Capt James H., 340
- Chapman, LtGen Leonard E., Jr., 14; Gen 8p, 15p, 52, 235–36, 236p, 308, 308n, 366n, 391, 441p, 442, 491, 495–97, 500, 506, 506n, 507–509, 511, 513, 515n, 519, 557, 569n, 574–75, 577, 620
- Charles, 2dLt Roger, 252
- Charlie Ridge, 158, 163, 250, 252, 384, 552n
- Chau Phong, 348–49, 375, 423
- Chau Phong (1), 348
- Chau Phong (2), 348
- Che Rien Ridge, 285
- Cheatham, LtCol Ernest C., Jr., 110, 169, 170–71, 179–80, 182–83, 185–86, 187p, 188–91, 211–13, 219, 221–22
- Chen, LtCol Byron T., 404, 404n, 405, 446, 448, 612
- Chi Long Camp, 149
- Chi, LtCol Phan Huu, 168
- Chiem Son River, 328, 343
- Chieu Hoi (Open Arms), 72n, 301, 339, 339n, 431, 598, 608, 610, 630
- China Beach, 567
- China Beach R&R, 577n
- China Beach Recreation Area, 566
- Chip, BGen William C., 403n, 638n

- Choate, LCpl Ralph, 583p
 Christmas Island, 380
 Christmas, Capt Ronald G., 170, 180, 182, 185, 188–89, 191
 Christy, 2dLt Kenneth L., Jr., 56, 57n
 Chu Lai, 24–25, 84, 92, 143, 155, 162–63, 227–28, 238, 246, 414, 458, 460, 462p, 463, 463p, 465n, 467, 467n, 468–70, 474, 485, 485n, 514p, 543, 546, 582n, 583, 586–88, 608, 614, 618, 638–39
 Chu Lai Airbase, 459n, 465n
 Chu Lai Airfield, 156p, 465
 Chu Lai DASC, 496
 Civic Action, 596, 597p, 601, 604, 609–10, 611–14, 615n
 Civilian Irregular Defense Group (CIDG), 59, 59n, 60, 377, 418, 542–43, 612
 Civil Operations and Revolutionary Development Support (CORDS), 5, 5n, 135, 221, 239, 391, 600–601, 606, 608–609, 611n, 612, 619–21, 625, 625n, 630
 Clark, GySgt Jimmie C., 140
 Clarke, Capt Bruce B.G., USA, 263, 263n, 264, 264n, 270n; Col 62n, 72n, 245, 261n, 277n, 449
 Clarke, Col Thomas W., 446
 Class I (Rations), 585
 Class II (General Supply), 585
 Class III (Petroleum), 585
 Class IV (Special Items), 585
 Class V (Ammunition), 585
 Cleveland (LSD 7), 631
 Clifford, Secretary of Defense Clark, 504p, 506
 Close Air Support, 465, 466p, 469, 473–74, 477–78, 478n, 488, 493n, 501–502, 506n, 514, 542, 641
 Co Ca River, 416, 430–32, 432p, 433
 Co Dinh, 397
 Co Roc Mountain, 266, 320, 320n, 355, 475n, 537, 544, 544n
 Cobb, 2dLt Paul F., 331
 CoBi-Thanh Tan, 16, 78–79, 79p, 80–81, 86, 109
 CoBi-Thanh Tan Ridge, 82
 Cochrane (DDG 21), 641n
 Cochrane, Sgt Terry, 183
 Cohn, LtCol William A., 468n
 Col Co/Tan My, 230, 248, 249
 Cole, Lt(JG) Bernard D., USN, 266; Capt, 266, 266n, 268n, 270n, 279n, 281n, 289n, 471n, 475n, 477n
 Colleton, LtCol Francis X., 396, 398, 400, 403–405
 Colley, SSgt C.L., 49
 Combined Action Program (CAP), 13, 60, 85, 105, 138n, 139, 139n, 143, 150, 156n, 157, 160, 250, 395, 571, 571n, 596n, 597, 597n, 599, 608n, 609, 616n, 617–19, 619n, 620, 622, 623, 623n, 625n, 626p, 627, 627n, 628–29, 629n
 Standard Operating Procedure (SOP), 622–23
 Combined Action Group, 85, 577, 617, 619n, 620, 625–26
 1st Combined Action Group, 618
 2d Combined Action Group, 618, 625
 3d Combined Action Group, 101n, 616, 618, 621–22, 623n, 626–27
 4th Combined Action Group, 623, 623n
 Combined Action Company Oscar, 256, 261, 263, 627n
 Combined Action Company Papa, 138
 Combined Action Platoon, 60, 76, 85, 86, 101n, 102, 110, 155, 159, 412, 418, 424, 577n, 597, 603n, 615n, 618, 620, 622–23, 625, 627–28, 628n, 629
 CAP 1–3–5, 618p
 CAP 2–4–3, 375
 CAP 2–9–1, 424
 CAP 3–2–1, 622
 CAP A–3, 171n
 CAP B–3, 159
 CAP D–1, 86p
 CAP D–2, 160, 163
 CAP Delta, 614, 621p
 CAP Delta 2, 149, 142
 CAP E–3, 146
 CAP E–4, 162
 CAP H–3, 250
 CAP H–4, 102n
 CAP H–5, 101, 103–104
 CAP H–6, 101, 103–104
 CAP H–7, 101, 103–104
 CAP H–8, 170
 CAP O–1, 263, 263n, 264n, 264p
 CAP O–2, 263, 263n, 270n, 277n
 CAP O–3, 264
 CAP Q4, 153, 156
 CAP S–1, 98
 Combined Action School, 616, 626p
 Communist Central Party Military Affairs Committee, 205n
 Communist *Lao Dong* Party, 6
 Communist Party Central Committee, 15th Plenary Session, 9
 Comstock (LSD 19), 631
 Con River, 339, 418
 Con Thien, 11, 18–19, 23p, 24, 26–28, 28n, 29, 31–33, 40, 41, 41n, 42p, 43, 43n, 44, 48, 52, 56, 74, 110, 126–27, 234, 234p, 241, 244, 246, 258, 283, 308, 351, 357, 360, 363–64, 365, 387–89, 391–95, 397, 398, 446, 449, 471, 547
 Condon, MajGen John P., 516n, 518n, 519n
 Cong Hoang Quoc Gia National Forest Reserve, 451
 Congress, U.S.
 House Armed Service Committee, 506
 Conklin, LCpl Richard E., 543
 Connelly, 1stLt William C314
 Constellation (CVA 64), 641n
 Container Delivery System, 480
 Conway, Col John A., 631
 Cook, LtCol Gorton C., 54–55
 Cook, Maj Ernest T., 176
 Cooksey, BGen Howard H., USA, 514p
 Corps Tactical Zones
 I Corps, 2, 4, 8, 8p, 11–13, 15n, 16–17, 19, 24, 26, 35, 40, 47, 61–62, 68, 84, 86, 95, 105, 107, 110, 118n, 131, 133, 142–44, 146–47, 147n, 149, 150, 155, 161, 164, 173, 175, 177, 194, 216, 223, 223n, 225, 227–28, 230, 235, 238–40, 240n, 241, 245–46, 248, 250, 254, 256, 268, 275, 284, 291, 349, 351–53, 372, 383, 391–92, 410, 411, 412p, 413, 417, 425, 443, 449, 456, 458, 465, 467, 469–70, 474n, 487, 489, 489n, 491–92, 493n, 494, 496, 497n, 500, 503, 505–506, 508n, 509–11, 513–14, 516, 529, 530, 537, 540–42, 544, 566n, 570, 582–83, 584n, 585, 585n, 586, 586n, 587, 587n, 588–89, 592, 594, 597, 601, 603–10, 614, 625, 625n, 630, 635, 639, 640–41, 641n, 644, 647, 652–54
 I Corps Advisory Groups, 644

- I Corps Joint Coordinating Council, 13, 599
 II Corps, 4, 84, 107, 149, 413, 601, 606–607, 640–41, 647
 III Corps, 4, 16, 411, 411n, 412p, 413, 601, 606, 647
 IV Corps, 4, 601, 640, 642, 647, 651
 Corson, LtCol William R., 596n, 603n, 617–19, 619n, 628
 Cos Co Causeway, 586
 Cosmas, Dr. Graham A., 5n, 240n, 473n, 495n, 506n
 Costello, LCpl Arthur, 376
 Crachin, 58, 61–63, 66, 477–78
 Craigle, Capt John W., 82
 Craven, Frank (*See also* Hassan, Abullah), 122, 122n
 Craven, Capt John H., USN (Chaplain), 599
 Criminal Investigation Division, 565
 Cronkite, Walter, 206p
 Cross, C.T., 608
 Crowder, LCpl Hylan L., 376
 Cryan, LtCol William M., 46, 54, 138; Col 311n
 Cu Ban, 336, 341, 347, 418
 Cu Ban (3), 340
 Cu Ban (4), 334
 Cu De River, 89–90, 145
 Cu De Valley, 346
 Cu Do River, 382, 424
 Cua Dai River, 638
 Cua Valley, 357, 396, 406, 449
 Cua Viet, 23, 26, 37–38, 40, 117p, 118, 134p, 232, 232n, 241n, 242, 253, 294n, 359, 443, 453, 455, 572, 592, 631, 636n, 638
 Cua Viet Base, 242–43
 Cua Viet Fuel Farm, 593
 Cua Viet Naval Facility, 18, 113p, 232, 592
 Cua Viet Naval Support Activity, 128
 Cua Viet Port Facility, 37, 37p, 38, 113, 115–16, 127, 291, 351, 395, 586–87
 Cua Viet River, 16, 18, 57, 113, 113p, 115–16, 127–28, 130–31, 133, 133p, 137, 140, 231, 231p, 232n, 235, 242–43, 243p, 291–92, 292n, 293–95, 306, 309–310, 351, 357, 361, 363, 386–88, 390–91, 393–94, 405, 445–46, 449, 539, 582n, 583, 587, 593, 635n
 Cuenin, Col Walter H., 316
 Cushman, LtGen Robert E., Jr., 2, 2p, 3–5, 8, 8p, 13–14, 15n, 16–17, 17n, 19–20, 20p, 25–26, 26p, 27, 27p, 28–29, 31, 35, 64, 66, 67–69, 80, 84–85, 91, 107–109, 111, 116, 118, 126–27, 131, 142, 161–62, 175–77, 194, 206–207, 221, 223, 225, 225n, 227, 231, 235–40, 240n, 241, 241n, 246, 251, 256, 258, 275–76, 276n, 283, 287, 308, 308n, 312, 312n, 338, 356, 361n, 374–75, 381, 388, 388n, 391, 411, 414, 419, 436, 439, 443–44, 448, 473, 480, 483, 487–88, 488p, 489–91, 491n, 492, 494–96, 500–503, 505, 507–508, 511, 513p, 514, 517n, 518, 527, 530–31, 540–41, 541n, 542–43, 545, 575, 579, 584, 585n, 587, 599, 608, 608n, 609, 614–15, 617, 619–20, 622, 625, 626n, 634, 635, 635n, 636, 638, 652
 175–76, 185, 200, 206, 221, 225, 227–28, 228p, 229, 229n, 230–31, 231p, 235p, 246p, 247–50, 251, 251p, 252, 275, 276n, 283, 310, 328, 329p, 336, 338, 343–44, 347, 347n, 348–49, 359p, 370, 373, 373n, 374–75, 375p, 376–78, 380–84, 388, 390, 390n, 391, 413–14, 414n, 416p, 417p, 418, 424–26, 437, 439, 442, 448, 458–59, 459n, 460, 463p, 467–68, 468n, 469, 469n, 470, 489, 491–92, 493n, 504–505, 520, 522p, 524, 529, 531n, 533n, 534p, 537, 538n, 541–43, 546, 551–52, 552n, 553, 566–67, 567p, 569n, 573–74, 574n, 576, 578n, 582–83, 583p, 584, 584p, 585n, 586, 588–89, 591p, 592–94, 596, 597p, 603–608, 612, 614, 617–21, 636, 638–39, 642, 644, 652
 Da Nang Airbase, 8, 87, 89–90, 145p, 148, 155, 161, 237, 333, 376, 417, 424–25, 459p, 465n, 470p, 533
 Da Nang Airfield, 90, 97, 144, 465, 465n, 465p
 Da Nang Ammunition Supply Point, 546
 Da Nang Anti-infiltration System (Barrier), 347, 591
 Da Nang Bridge, 145
 Da Nang City, 91, 144, 146, 153–54, 157, 373, 378n
 Da Nang Direct Air Support Center, 489n
 Da Nang East, 157
 Da Nang Rocket Belt, 90, 591
 Da Nang Vital Area, 89, 141, 162, 248, 348, 533
 Da Nang-Hue Railroad, 607
 Da The Mountain, 342p, 343
 Dabney, Capt William H., 70, 266, 268, 477, 485; Maj 298, 528; Col 261n, 268n, 269n, 277n, 279n, 283n, 287, 289, 311n, 320
 Dai Do, 254, 293–94, 296–98, 298n, 299, 299p, 300–303, 303n, 304–305, 308–11, 654
 Dai Do Village, 254, 446, 541
 Dai La Pass, 417
 Dai Loc, 91, 158, 328, 344, 375, 426
 Dai Loc Corridor, 84, 90
 Dai Loc District, 87
 Dak To, 11
 Dam Cau Hai, 101
 Damewood, LtCol Walter W., Jr., 38n, 445–46, 446n
 Danowitz, Col Edward F., 618p, 626, 626n, 626p
 Dao Xuyen, 389
 Darewski, HM3 Edward E., USN, 132p
 Davidson, BGen Philip B., USA, 67, 149; LtGen 17n, 69n, 256n
 Davis, Maj Charles E., III, 282
 Davis, Cpl Gilbert J., 620p
 Davis, LtCol Jack W., 143n, 352, 361, 396–97, 398–99, 404n
 Davis, BGen Oscar E., USA, 207, 223
 Davis, MajGen Raymond G., 239p, 240, 307p, 308, 308n, 309–11, 311n, 321, 321n, 321p, 324n, 351–53, 356, 359, 363–64, 365n, 366n, 385–86, 388, 390, 392, 399, 403, 408p, 439, 449, 516, 521, 522n, 527–28, 528n, 529, 530, 530n, 531, 548, 555, 559, 577n, 589, 612, 623, 654
 Davis, LtCol William J., 98, 142, 362, 449–50
 Davis, Col William J., 91n, 566n
 Deasy, Col Rex A., 514p
 Delaurier, 1stLt Andrew C., 200
 Demilitarized Zone, 2–3, 11–13, 15–23, 23p, 25, 27, 29, 32–34, 36, 37n, 37p, 38, 40–41, 43, 47–48, 50–52, 54, 56–57, 67, 78, 80, 83, 86, 107, 113, 115–16, 119, 126–28, 131, 133–34, 137, 139–40, 142, 225, 227–28,

D

Da Krong River, 407p, 408

Da Krong Valley, 409, 454–55

Da Nang, 2, 7–8, 8p, 12, 15n, 17–19, 21p, 25, 38, 73, 84–86, 85p, 86n, 86p, 87–88, 89p, 90, 90n, 91–92, 93p, 94, 97, 99p, 100–101, 105–109, 111, 140–47, 148n, 149, 149n, 153–56, 158–59, 161–63, 163n, 164, 174n,

- 232, 234, 237, 241, 243, 244–46, 253–54, 283–84, 291–94, 297, 300, 306–308, 308n, 310–11, 336, 349, 351–53, 357, 359, 361, 362p, 363–65, 370, 372, 386–89, 392, 392p, 393, 394p, 395–98, 402p, 403–404, 404n, 405, 407, 414n, 423, 443–44, 446, 449, 453, 463, 471, 486, 516, 521, 527, 529, 533, 537, 539–41, 544–45, 547, 553, 576, 582–83, 585, 588, 589n, 592, 608, 623n, 635–36, 641, 652–53
- Department of Defense, U.S., 5n, 24, 495–96, 506, 509, 526, 559, 569n, 571, 578–79, 581, 619n, 621, 628
- Department of the Army, U.S., 371n, 614
- Deptula, LtCol Edwin A., 44
- Destatte, Robert J., 205n
- Dick, Col William L., 57, 78, 80, 80n, 81–82, 82n, 83, 83n, 119, 122, 124, 124n, 397, 550p
- Diem, President Ngo Dinh, 6, 7, 21, 59, 645
- Dien Ban, 142, 149, 158–59, 418, 424–25, 437, 638
- Dien Ban District, 426
- Dien Ban River, 88, 92
- Dien Bien Phu, 6, 10, 17, 37, 65, 65n, 66, 119, 255, 289, 319, 653
- Diller, LtCol Richard W., USA, 284
- Dillon, 1stLt John W., 282–83
- Dillow, Col Rex O., 197n, 481n, 582, 583n, 584n, 585n
- Dinh To, 294, 302–303
- Dinh, 1stLt Nguyen Van, 77
- Direct Air Support, 465, 469, 474
- Direct Air Support Center (DASC), 467, 470, 474, 474n, 478, 483n, 492, 502
- District Intelligence Operational Coordinating Committee (DIOCC), 603n
- District Operations and Intelligence Center (DOIC), 86
- Do Xa, 652
- Do Xa Base, 16, 107
- Doc Kin, 612
- Dockendorff, Capt Gary D., 361
- Dodge City, 46, 344–46, 381, 414–15, 425, 427, 429–30, 436, 438, 638
- Dominican Crisis, 1965, 20
- Donaghy, LtCol Richard E., 475n, 477n, 486n
- Donald, LtCol William A., 452
- Dong Ba Bridge, 199
- Dong Ba Tower, 195, 200–201, 204
- Dong Be Lao, 393
- Dong Ca Lu Mountain, 368, 407, 410
- Dong Ha, 14, 16, 18–19, 24, 26, 33–34, 34n, 37n, 38, 40–41, 47n, 52, 57, 61, 69, 73, 79, 83–84, 113, 113p, 116, 119, 127, 130, 139, 171–72, 172n, 176, 232, 235, 237, 237n, 241, 245–46, 253, 253n, 254, 281, 284, 287n, 291–93, 293p, 298–99, 306–309, 323, 336, 351–52, 357, 359–60, 363, 368, 370, 390–91, 395, 410, 448, 463, 469, 474n, 483, 485, 485n, 490, 541, 541n, 545–46, 553, 555, 582–85, 587–89, 592–93, 594p, 607, 641, 654
- Dong Ha Airfield, 25, 234
- Dong Ha Ammunition Dump, 360
- Dong Ha Ammunition Supply Point, 25, 593
- Dong Ha Base, 24–25, 235, 291p
- Dong Ha Bridge, 293, 293n
- Dong Ha Direct Air Support Center (DASC), 517n
- Dong Ha LCU Ramp, 113p, 231p, 232, 294, 582n
- Dong Ha Medical Facility, 613
- Dong Ha Mountain, 22–23, 364–66, 368, 396–97, 399, 448, 452
- Dong Ha River, 292
- Dong Ha/Cua Viet Security Group, 587
- Dong Hoi, 35
- Dong Huan, 293, 295–301
- Dong Khe Soc, 400
- Dong Kio Mountain, 397
- Dong Lai, 297, 302, 304
- Dong Lien, 381
- Dong Ma Mountain, 364
- Dong Ong Cay, 404–405
- Dong Pa Thien, 410
- Dong River, 582n
- Dong Tri Mountain, 258
- Dong Truoi Mountain, 413
- Dong Voi Mep, 60
- Downey, 1stLt Philip D., 554
- Downs, Capt Michael P., 169, 171, 176, 185, 213; BGen 177n, 180n, 183, 185n, 188n, 189, 190n, 211n, 219
- Doyle, 2dLt Albert B., 50
- Drez, Capt Robert J., 433–35, 436n
- Drug Offenses, 565–66
- Dubuque* (LPD 8), 638n
- Duc Pho, 17, 84, 228, 639
- Duel Blade, 443–44, 446, 589n (*See also* barrier)
- DuGuid, James, 101, 627, 627n, 628
- Duluth* (LPD 6), 639n
- Duncan, CWO Stuart D., 143
- Duncan, LtCol Billy R., 43–44, 318, 353n; Col 326n
- Duong Lam (1), 159
- Duong Son, 162, 379
- Duy Xuyen, 158
- Dwyer, BGen Ross T., Jr., 356, 363, 368, 387, 437–40, 441p, 442
- Dwyer, Capt Joseph M., 453
- Dyemarker, 24n, 25–28, 28n, 29, 31, 44, 54–55, 231, 241, 255, 292, 310, 311n, 360, 443, 546, 548 (*See also* barrier)
-
- E**
- Eades, LCpl Lawrence M., 138
- Eberhardt, 1stLt William L., 260
- Edward, LtCol Roy J., 636
- Ehrhart, Cpl William D., 119, 216n, 596n, 597n, 599n
- Elephant Valley, 346, 382, 390n, 636
- Eller, LCpl John A., 377, 377n, 380
- Emmons, PFC Michael A., 435
- Enlisted Commissioning Program, 562, 563n
- Enthoven, Dr. Alain C., 580
- Eshelman, Maj William P., 209p, 649
- Esso Gasoline Depot, 417, 607
- Estimate of the Enemy Situation, DMZ Area, Vietnam, 1 January 1968, 36
-
- F**
- Fagan, LtCol William S., 248, 339
- Fante, Cpl Robert G., 347–48
- Farmer, Capt Martin T., 340
- Feeley, BGen James A., Jr., 594
- Ferguson, Eugene H., 626–28
- Field Artillery Digital Automatic Computer, 537, 540n

- Findlay, Maj Raymond F., Jr., 47n, 48p, 139
- Fire Support Bases
- Alpine, 410, 450–51
 - Anne, 453
 - Argonne, 450–51
 - Baldy, 100
 - Barnett, 549
 - Ca Lu, 551
 - Cates, 368, 368n, 396, 407, 450, 551
 - Colt, 100
 - Dick, 455
 - Gurkha, 410, 450–51
 - Hawk, 356
 - Henderson, 397
 - Holcomb, 396–97
 - Joan, 396
 - Lance, 439–40, 440p, 441, 513p
 - Leslie, 100
 - Mace, 441
 - Margo, 396
 - Neville, 451
 - Pike, 439, 441p, 442n
 - Ross, 99–100
 - Russell, 451–52
 - Sandy, 400
 - Sharon, 445
 - Shepherd, 356, 368, 368n, 396, 408, 450
 - Shiloh, 455, 549, 551
 - Shoup, 370
 - Spear, 441
 - Stormy, 409
 - Tombstone, 445
 - Torch, 355
 - Tun Tavern, 454
 - Vandegrift, 368, 369p, 370, 396–98, 403, 403n, 406, 408–410, 413, 451, 454, 589, 594p
 - Winchester, 403–404, 406, 451
- Fire Support Coordination Center (FSCC), 468, 476, 477n, 489n, 536n, 540, 540n, 541
- Fire Support Information Center (FSIC), 540, 540n, 541, 547
- “Firewatch System,” 547
- Fitzgerald, Maj Ernest T., 335p
- Flager, Capt Ralph H., 285
- Flight School, 569
- Fontenot, LtCol Karl J., 136n, 172n, 253n
- Forsythe, MajGen George, USA, 411, 509p, 600
- Fort Bragg, North Carolina, 371
- Fort Carson, Colorado, 390, 578
- Fort Marion* (LSD 22), 639n
- Fort Rucker, Alabama, 570
- Forward Operating Base 3, 60, 60n, 61n, 256, 263–64, 264p, 270n, 271p, 276, 277n
- Fossum, Col Edward L., 509
- Foster, Capt James F., 430, 433–36
- Fowler, Maj James L., 410, 450–51
- Fox, LtCol George C., 455
- Foxtrot Ridge, 452p, 453
- Fragging, 566, 566n
- Franciso, PFC Pedro L.G., 376–77
- Frank E. Evans* (DD 754), 640
- Frank, Capt Donald R., 139–40
- Frattarelli, Sgt Richard G., 643
- French Indochina, 6
- Fromme, 2dLt Harry F., 70, 70n
- Fulton, Col Samuel J., 460n
- Funderburk, LtCol Ray, 588
-
- G**
- Gai Le, 204
- Galbraith, LtCol Thomas H., 368, 368n, 369, 396, 406–407, 409, 413, 450; Col 386n, 396, 398n, 403–404, 404n, 406–407, 409, 413, 450
- Gambardella, LtCol Joseph J.N., 378, 378n, 380, 566n
- Garcia, 1stLt Louis, 646p
- Garretson, BGen Frank E., 408p, 409, 450
- Gelling, Col Louis, USA, 100, 162
- General Officers' Symposium, 565–67
- Geneva Accords, 6, 65n, 180
- Geneva Agreement, 180n, 344n, 640n
- Gentile, LtCol Vincent J., 90n
- Gentry, Col Tolbert T., 458
- Gerrish, Allan R., 380
- Gia Dang, 445
- Gia Dinh, 651p
- Gia Dinh Sector, 647, 649
- Gia Hai, 38
- Gia Hoi, 211
- Gia Le, 194, 204
- Gia River Valley, 251
- Giai, Maj Vu Van, ARVN, 40; LtCol 292, 387, 392
- Giang River, 73
- Giap, Gen Vo Nguyen, 10–11
- Gibson, Col James M., USA, 443–45
- Gio Linh, 11, 18–19, 24, 30p, 31, 33, 40–41, 41n, 47–48, 137, 140, 241, 244, 246, 284, 306, 308, 351, 387, 391, 393–95, 448
- Gio Linh District, 213
- Glick, BGen Jacob E., 83, 236, 236p, 237, 245, 313–14, 314n, 315–17, 320, 543, 631, 633, 633n, 638n
- Glikes, Col Richard J., 390–94
- Go Noi Island, 91–92, 93p, 95, 95n, 97, 142–44, 159, 163, 250, 252, 328–29, 329p, 330, 330p, 331, 334, 336, 338–40, 341, 342, 342p, 343, 344, 348, 375, 381–82, 382p, 383, 384n, 426, 414, 418, 423, 441, 520n, 542, 554, 591, 594, 638, 646
- Golden Throne, 166p
- Gonzalez, Sgt Alfredo, 172, 180
- Goodale, LtCol Richard W., 74–76, 118
- Goodiel, LtCol Carlton D., Jr., 639–40, 642
- Gound, Capt Lee C., 152
- Graham, Col Paul G., 163n, 347, 372, 375, 383; BGen 91n, 106n, 207n, 221n
- Gravel, LtCol Marcus J., 74, 118–19, 169, 171, 171n, 172, 172n, 173–74, 174n, 175–76, 176n, 178–80, 182p, 185–86, 188–90, 216, 221, 222
- Gray, Maj Alfred M., Jr., 60; LtCol 63, 400
- Great Society, 596n
- Greene, Gen Wallace M., Jr., 5n, 12, 12n, 14, 24–25, 472p, 562, 597n, 601, 619
- Greenway, H.D.S, 206n
- Greenwood, LtCol John E., 227, 339, 340–41, 623
- Ground Proximity Extraction System (GPES), 481

Guadalcanal, 20
 Guam, 65, 271p, 471, 557
 Gulf of Tonkin, 292n, 468
 Gundersen, John L., 151
 Gurkhas, 339n

H

Ha Loc, 128
 Ha Loi Toi, 38
 Ha Loi Trung, 395
 Ha Nong Tay (2), 381
 Ha Thanh, 448
 Hagaman, BGen Harry T., 497n
 Hai Lang, 230, 585
 Hai Lang District, 411, 444
 Hai Lang Forest Preserve, 73, 118
 Hai Lang Road, 585
 Hai Le, 77–78
 Hai Phu, 77
 Hai Van, 641n
 Hai Van Pass, 16, 88, 101–103, 107–108, 110, 147, 149, 153–54, 157, 169, 171, 230, 239–40, 372, 413–14, 467, 496, 511, 536, 582–84, 593
 Hainsworth, Capt John J., 649; LtCol, 647n, 649n
 Haiphong, 12, 227
 Haiti, 596n
 Hall, Col Reverdy M., 330, 330n, 331, 333, 336, 338, 344n
 Hamlet Evaluation System (HES), 597, 603, 606, 609, 628, 630
 Hammond, LtCol Lyman H., Jr., USA, 162
 Han River, 89
 Hancock, Capt David, 74–76
 Hanh, LtCol Nguyen Huu, 133
 Hanoi, 10, 143, 227, 254
 Hansen, Col John E., 315n, 458, 526
 Happy Valley, 163, 247–48, 250, 252, 339, 339n, 344, 346
 Harper, Sgt Ronald W., 642–44
 Harper, Sgt Roy, 263
 Harrell, Maj James T., III, 43
 Harriman, Ambassador Averill W., 387
 Harrington, Capt Myron C., 200–201; Col 201n
 Harrison, Maj James L., 636n
 Harshman, 1stLt Richard L., 74
 Harvey, LCpl Tom, 628
 Hassan, Abdullah, 122n (*See also* Craven, Frank)
 Hatch, LCdr Philip B., Jr., USN, 639, 641
 Hawaii, 5n, 36, 111, 492, 493n, 509, 557, 567, 573, 579, 631
 Hayden, 1stLt Michael P., 313
 Hayward, LtCol George E., 443
 Healy, Cpl Richard J., 68
 Heath, LtCol Francis J., Jr., 69, 351, 363, 364
 Hecker, LtCol James C., 106
 Helicopter Valley, 365, 397, 399
 Helms, CIA Director Richard, 65p
 Hempel, Capt Edward S., 130
 Henderson, PFC Murray C., 286p
 Hendricks, LtCol Clayton V., 339, 536–37, 553
 Henen, Col John E., 528p
 Hennelly, LtCol John A., 258, 258n, 260, 273, 275n, 538n, 539n; Col 279n
 Henry B. Wilson (DDG 7), 641n
 Herman, LtCol Stanley A., 467
 Hieu Duc District, 98, 100, 142, 159
 Highway 547, 107
 Hill 10, 98, 143, 148, 159, 535n
 Hill 22, 91
 Hill 28, 40, 47–52, 52n, 56, 244
 Hill 43, 98
 Hill 51, 78–82
 Hill 52, 155, 158, 346, 419, 419n, 422
 Hill 55, 97–98, 155, 157, 159, 251, 417, 419, 423, 533n, 594
 Hill 56, 388–89
 Hill 65, 155, 419, 422
 Hill 138, 166
 Hill 162, 398
 Hill 200, 146
 Hill 208, 452
 Hill 244, 146
 Hill 327 (Division Ridge), 47, 89, 146
 Hill 347, 455
 Hill 375, 441
 Hill 385, 396
 Hill 471, 282, 410
 Hill 476, 263
 Hill 503, 409
 Hill 505, 406
 Hill 512, 454
 Hill 549, 408
 Hill 552, 286, 318, 410
 Hill 558, 69, 271, 285, 316, 355, 440
 Hill 575, 439
 Hill 606, 369–70
 Hill 618, 455
 Hill 632, 410
 Hill 665, 410
 Hill 674, 78–80
 Hill 679, 369, 406
 Hill 689, 258, 287, 313–14, 315n, 318, 326, 368, 410
 Hill 691, 450
 Hill 715, 368–69
 Hill 738, 542
 Hill 861, 60, 62, 64, 72, 256, 258–60, 271, 273, 285, 316, 352
 Hill 861A, 271–73, 273p, 274p, 278, 538, 538n
 Hill 869, 259p
 Hill 881 North, 60, 64, 69–72, 259, 287, 289, 352, 409–410
 Hill 881 South, 60, 62–63, 63p, 64, 68, 70–72, 255–56, 259, 261, 266, 267p, 268n, 270, 287, 289, 316, 352, 409–410, 477, 483, 485
 Hill 950, 60n, 64, 256, 258, 316, 352, 450, 539p
 Hill 1015, 258, 317
 Hill 1062, 344
 Hill 1103, 451
 Hill 1235, 344, 346
 Hill 1308, 450
 Hill Battles (Khe Sanh), 60, 60n, 61–62, 62n
 Hill, BGen Homer D., 353, 524, 529, 529p, 530
 Hill, LtCol Twyman R., 146–47, 147n, 344–45, 414
 Himmer, Capt Lawrence, 314–15
 Hinh, Col Nguyen Duy, 85, 146
 Hise, BGen Henry W., 485, 487, 500, 500n, 521, 530, 530n
 Hittinger, LtCol Francis R., Jr., 619

- Ho Chi Minh Trail, 6, 9, 35, 61n, 64, 67, 254–55, 255n, 281, 323, 542
- Hoa Vang, 146–47, 150, 162, 378p
- Hoa Vang City, 157
- Hoa Vang District Headquarters, 378, 380
- Hoa, LtCol Phan Ba, 106
- Hochmuth, MajGen Bruno A., 20, 20n, 28
- Hoffman, BGen Carl W., 237, 237p, 240–41, 316–17, 324n, 326n, 352, 354, 366, 368, 403n, 437n, 544n, 549n; Maj-Gen 567
- Hoi An, 16, 84, 85p, 86, 86n, 98, 141p, 142, 149, 154, 160, 163, 344, 375, 417, 425–26, 437, 537, 636, 638, 638p, 640
- Hoi An River, 84
- Hoi Chanhs (ralliers) 431, 598, 610, 630
- Holiday, PFC John E., 201
- Hon Coc Mountain, 375, 554
- Honolulu, 491–92, 501, 505, 511–12, 519, 601
- Honolulu Conference, 1966, 599, 600n
- “Hook,” the, 432, 432p, 433
- Hope, Bob, 567p
- Hopkins, Maj John I., 44
- Hopkins, Maj Joseph E., 450, 452–53
- Horseshoe, The, 427–29, 429p, 430
- Hott, LCpl Stephen D., 376–77
- Houng Hoa, 410
- Hue, 16–18, 23, 78n, 106–108, 155, 163n, 164, 164n, 168–71, 171n, 172n, 173p, 175–76, 177n, 179, 179p, 180, 185–86, 187p, 188n, 191–92, 194, 195p, 198, 200–201, 205, 205n, 207, 213–14, 214p, 215p, 216, 216n, 217p, 219, 220p, 222–23, 225, 229n, 232n, 241, 249, 252, 258, 284, 321, 323, 392, 408, 412–13, 455, 490, 517, 517n, 537, 537n, 572, 582, 582n, 583, 585, 587, 589, 592–93, 604–609, 625, 640, 641, 649, 649n, 653
- Hue Citadel, 164, 166, 166n, 166p, 167, 167p, 168, 173–76, 178p, 187p, 191–92, 192n, 194–95, 195p, 197–98, 198p, 199, 199n, 200, 200p, 201, 202p, 203, 203p, 204, 204n, 205, 205n, 206, 206n, 207, 207n, 208p, 209p, 210–11, 213, 216, 217p, 219, 220p, 223, 640, 649, 650, 653
- Zone A, 204n
- Zone B, 204n
- Zone C, 204n
- Zone D, 204n
- Zone E, 204n
- Zone F, 204n
- Hue Citadel Flag Tower, 168, 210
- Hue City* (CG 66), 190n
- Hue Hospital, 188
- Hue LCU (landing craft, utility) Ramp, 168–69, 171–72, 176, 174, 198, 200, 204, 216, 230, 582, 582n, 593
- Hue Massacres, 216n
- Hue River Security Group, 587
- Hue University, 177, 177n, 177p, 179–80, 181p, 182, 183p, 191, 198, 219, 221, 310, 370, 371, 605p
- Hue-Phu Bai, 256
- Hughes, LtCol Robert C.V., 221, 316, 320n, 321, 540n, 544n; Col 211n, 219, 533n
- Hughes, Col Stanley S., 109–110, 169, 171, 172n, 176, 179–80, 183n, 186, 186n, 198–99, 249, 315n, 316, 352, 355, 640
- Hull, Col Milton A., 232, 242–43, 246, 254, 292, 294, 297–98, 301–302, 304–306, 309, 351, 357, 361
- Hung, Pvt Vo Manh, 318
- Hunnicuttt, Cpl Hubert H., 314–16
- Hunter Airfield Georgia, 524n
- Hunter, Col Clyde W., 639
- Huong Hoa District, 58–59, 261n, 263, 316, 408–409, 446, 447p, 448–49, 612, 61
- Huong Phuc, 407–408
- Huong River, 106, 164 (*See also* Perfume River)
- Huong Thuy District, 412, 455
- Huong Vinh Region, 406
- Hutchinson, BGen Homer G., Jr., 504, 504p, 505, 513
- Hyland, VAdm John J., USN, 495, 635
-
- ## I
- Ia Drang Valley, 10
- Iang River, 346
- Ignatius, Secretary of the Navy Paul R., 508–509
- Imperial Museum, 166
- Imperial Palace, 166p, 168, 192, 195, 201, 204, 204n, 206, 210, 210p, 211
- Individual Combat Training, 561–62
- Individual Replacement Policy, 569
- Indochina, 6, 7, 65n
- Ing, Col Herbert E., Jr., 73–74, 78, 83, 109
- Ingrando, LtCol Raymond B., 437, 551
- Ink Blot Strategy, 12, 596, 596n
- Institute of Defense Analysis, 21
- International Control Commission (ICC), 180, 180n, 640, 640n
- Irby, BGen Richard L., USA, 370n
- Iverson, SSgt Robert D., 558
- Iwakuni, Japan, 458, 631
- Iwo Jima* (LPH 2), 287n, 303, 304n, 631
-
- ## J
- Jacobsen, Col George, USA, 644
- Jacques, 2dLt Donald, 279–80
- Japan, 463, 522–23, 567, 585n
- Jarman, LtCol Charles V., 303, 304n, 305–306, 361, 396, 398
- Jason Report, 21–22
- Jasper, Capt Norman J., Jr., 258
- Jaunal, 1stSgt Jack W., 144, 150
- Jennings, Capt Fernandez, Jr., 199, 223
- Joan of Arc School and Church, 180
- Johnson Administration, 225, 574n
- Johnson, Gen Harold K., USA, 21, 496, 500
- Johnson, President Lyndon B., 12, 17, 65p, 226–27, 241n, 254, 270, 272p, 288p, 327, 375n, 375p, 396, 423, 495, 548, 560n, 572, 578, 600, 619n, 653
- Johnson, SSgt Dennis R., 80
- Joint Action Company, 597
- Joint Chiefs of Staff, U.S., 5n, 12, 21, 24, 107, 227, 270, 272p, 390n, 396, 468, 491, 495–96, 496n, 497, 500, 500n, 506–509, 512, 514–15, 572–74, 578, 583, 639
- Jones Creek, 128, 131, 242, 242n, 244, 292, 294, 298, 304
- Jones, 1stLt James L., 319; LtGen 319n
- Jones, PFC James M., 222p
- Jordan, LtCol C.E., USA, 306
-
- ## K
- Kalm, Capt Raymond W., Jr., 48, 49p, 52, 57n, 120
- Kanone, LCpl Jerry, 436

- Keegan, MajGen George, USAF, 65
 Keeling, Lt Robert A., USN, 639
 Keller, LtCol Albert W., 101n, 102n, 342
 Keller, LtCol Robert J., 622; Col 623n
 Keller, BGen Robert P., 483, 483n, 492
 Kelly, Cpl Jeff "TJ," 139, 139n, 140
 Kelly, LtCol John F.J., 15n, 87, 87n, 87p, 142, 159
 Kelly, 1stLt Michael J., 376–78, 380
 Kennedy, President John F., 21
 Kent, Capt Daniel W., 120
 Kent, LtCol William L., 409–410, 450, 555
 Kerrigan, LtCol William E., 613
 Kerwin, MajGen Walter T., 505
 Key Hole Missions, 317, 386, 556, 556n
 Kham Duc Airfield, 542
 Kham Duc Special Forces Camp, 338, 338n, 541–43
 Khang, Gen Le Nguyen, 645, 647, 650–51
 Khe Dienne, 346
 Khe Gia Bridge, 120
 Khe Giang Thoan Valley, 370, 400–401
 Khe Gio Bridge, 396, 448, 590p
 Khe Sanh, 2, 10–13, 16–21, 21n, 23, 26, 31–32, 35, 37n, 53p, 54, 57, 58n, 59p, 60, 60n, 61, 61n, 62–64, 64n, 65, 65p, 66–68, 68p, 69, 72, 80, 83, 83n, 86, 107–109, 113, 118, 127, 133, 136, 142, 161–62, 213, 223, 225, 227–28, 232, 232n, 237, 241, 241n, 245–46, 250, 252–55, 255n, 256, 256n, 258, 260–61, 263–64, 264p, 265–66, 266n, 268, 268n, 269, 269n, 270, 270n, 271p, 272p, 273, 275, 275p, 276, 276n, 277, 277n, 278–279, 280n, 280p, 281, 281n, 282–86, 286p, 287, 287n, 289–91, 298, 298n, 306, 310, 312–13, 315–16, 317p, 318–19, 321, 323–24, 324n, 325p, 326, 326n, 339, 351–52, 352n, 353–56, 356n, 368, 368n, 397, 407–409, 455, 463, 465, 467n, 468–69, 471–72, 472p, 473–74, 474n, 475n, 476, 476p, 477n, 478, 478n, 480, 480p, 481, 481n, 482p, 483, 483n, 484p, 485, 485n, 486–87, 490–91, 493n, 496, 502, 516–17, 521, 535, 537, 538n, 539, 539n, 540, 540n, 541–42, 544, 544n, 548, 553, 555, 572, 582, 587n, 588, 588p, 589, 590p, 592–93, 608, 626, 635, 652–54
 Khe Sanh Airstrip, 270, 478, 479p, 485, 529p
 Khe Sanh Area Report, 66
 Khe Sanh Combat Base, 59, 62p, 69n, 255p, 584, 594
 Khe Sanh DASC, 266
 Khe Sanh, First Battle of, 60, 66
 Khe Sanh Village, 58–60, 62n, 256, 261, 261n, 263–64, 264n, 277n, 279, 286, 410, 450, 627n
 Khe Ta Bong River, 451
 Khe Xa Bai Valley, 410, 450
 Kho Xa, 397
 Khoa, LtCol Pham Van, 214, 221
 Kinh Mon Trail, 364, 395
 Kit Carson Scouts, 598, 610, 626
 Kluckman, LtCol Wilson A., 352, 544
 Knapp, Maj Charles W., 303
 Knight, LtCol Frederic S., 289n, 316n, 365, 365n, 396, 400–401, 403n; Col 58n, 59n, 64n
 Kolakowski, Capt Henry Jr., 150–51
 Komer, Robert W., 597, 600, 600n, 601, 604, 608, 619, 619n, 620, 625n, 628
 Kontum, 149
 Koren, Henry, 608
 Koster, MajGen Samuel B., USA, 84–85, 99, 161–62, 614
 Kransiewski, PFC R.R., 417p
 Krohn, Capt Charles A., 100
 Krulak, LtGen Victor H. "Brute," 4, 5n, 10n, 11–14, 15p, 19, 24–25, 59, 65n, 67, 111, 236, 283, 289n, 466, 490, 492, 494–97, 501–502, 504–506, 508–509, 519, 523n, 572–73, 575, 577, 597n, 598, 600n, 601, 608n, 616n, 619, 619n, 623n, 633–34, 634n, 635n
 Krusewski, Thomas, 103–104
 Ky Chau, 344
 Ky Lam River, 88, 90, 92, 97n, 142, 158, 250, 328, 329p, 336, 342, 344, 381–82, 426p, 594
 Ky, Vice President Nguyen Cao, 6p, 205, 604, 605, 650
-
- L**
 L-Hour, 92
 La Chu, 193
 La Chu Woods, 193
 La Thap (1), 349
 La Tho River, 344, 381, 414–15, 427–28, 433, 434p, 436
 La Vang, 77, 118, 133
 La Vang Base, 119
 Lacy, RAdm Paul L., USN, 586
 Ladd, Col Jonathan F., USA, 275–76
 LaHue, BGen Foster C., 105, 105p, 106, 110, 169, 171, 171n, 172–74, 174n, 175–76, 194, 197–98, 205, 219, 221, 227, 230, 237, 248–50, 252
 Lai An, 310
 Lai An Road, 361
 Laine, Col Ellior R., Jr., 410, 454
 Lam, Ha, 628
 Lam Lo Resettlement Camp, 359
 Lam Xuan, 130–31, 137–38, 295, 298–299
 Lam Xuan East, 304
 Lam Xuan West, 305
 Lam, LtGen Hoang Xuan, ARVN, 7–8, 8p, 24, 84–85, 110, 133, 143, 143n, 146–147, 173, 175–176, 205, 239, 268, 269, 391, 419, 443–44, 599, 601, 609, 614, 623, 625
 LaMontagne, LtCol Edward J., 171–172, 172n, 319, 363, 396–98, 401, 404, 406, 410
 Lan, Col Bui Thi, 647
 Landing Zones
 Amy, 397
 Becky, 366
 Betty, 109, 119, 133, 135–136
 Cates, 406, 592p
 Crow, 322
 El Paso, 109, 119
 Hawk, 92, 94–95, 420
 Jane, 109, 119
 Joan, 366
 Kiwi, 421
 Lima, 356
 Loon, 322–23, 354–55
 Mack, 397, 448, 451–52
 Margo, 366, 403
 Miami, 455
 Mike, 356
 Nancy, 410, 445
 Robin, 321, 321p, 322, 355

- Saturn, 398
 Sharon, 109
 Sierra, 448, 451
 Sparrow, 365, 398, 420–22
 Stud, 284, 287, 287n, 316–17, 324n, 352, 355–57, 363, 368–69, 369p, 396, 544, 589
 Torch, 323
 Vulture, 420
 Winchester, 401, 403, 403n
 Landry, PFC Edward M., 202
 Lang Ho, 410
 Lang Hole, 323
 Lang Suat, 410
 Lang Va, 76
 Lang Vei, 60, 318, 327n, 489
 Lang Vei (1), 409
 Lang Vei (2), 409
 Lang Vei CIDG Camp, 62, 62n, 63
 Lang Vei Special Forces Camp, 161, 161n, 162, 256, 273, 275, 275p, 276, 276n, 278, 289, 538, 538n, 542, 653–54
 Lang Vei Village, 273, 276
 Lanigan, Col John P., 60
 Lansdale, BGen Edward F., USAF, 21
 Lao Dong Party, 9, 10
 Laos, 2–3, 9, 15–16, 18, 21, 23, 32, 40, 58–59, 61n, 65, 67, 253, 255, 255n, 256, 268, 270n, 284, 286, 312, 321, 323, 327n, 354–56, 366n, 423, 442–44, 450, 456, 464, 474, 475n, 501, 505, 511, 542, 544, 544n, 653
 Royal Lao Army
 BV–33 Battalion, 268, 273, 276
 Lauffer, Col Robert G., 383, 390, 414, 426, 427, 427n, 427p, 430, 433
 Le Bac, 335p, 336
 Le Bac (2), 334
 Le Loi Boulevard, 164, 187–88, 191
 Le Loi Bridge, 191
 Le Loi Campaign, 425, 425n, 444, 630, 638n
 Le Nam (1), 331, 333–34
 Le Nam (3), 349
 Leahy, Maj Albert M. “Mike,” 420p
 Leatherneck Square, 18, 40, 126, 292, 308, 351, 357, 359, 364, 389–91, 396
 Leffen, 2dLt John S., 120, 122; Maj 120n, 124n
 Lehrack, Capt Otto J., 140; LtCol 41n, 47n, 52n, 139n, 231n, 234n, 244n
 Leon, Col James, 540n
 Leroy, Capt Edward O., 49p, 52
 Leroy, Cathy, 178
 Lescaze, Lee, 206, 207n
 Lewandowski, Sgr Andrew, 615n, 626–27
 Lewis, Col Robert W., 148n, 465n, 493n
 Liberty Bridge, 97, 328–29, 329p, 330, 333–34, 339–40, 343–44, 347, 348, 383, 418, 425, 438, 593
 Liberty Road, 423
 Lien Chien, 154
 Lindsay, Capt Merrill J., 77
 Livingston, Capt James E., 301, 301n, 302
 Lo Dong Valley, 338
 Lo Giang (1), 162, 379
 Lo Giang (5), 162–63
 Loan, BGen Nguyen Ngoc, 648p
 Loc Ninh, 11
 Lodge, Ambassador Henry Cabor, 600
 Loi Giang Mountain, 554
 Long Binh Brig, 566n
Look Magazine, 615
 Lopez, LCpl Frank, 103–104
 LoPrete, Col Joseph E., 54, 83, 118–19, 135–36, 232
 LOTS (Logistics Over the Shore) Facility, 585, 585n
 Lovely, 2dLt Francis B., Jr., 314
 Lovingood, LtCol Howard, 560
 Low Altitude Parachute Extraction System (LAPES), 481, 481n
 Lowery, LCpl Henry, 378
 Lownds, Col David E., 62, 64, 64n, 68, 69, 69n, 71–72, 255, 264, 265, 269–70, 270n, 271, 273, 275, 276n, 277–78, 280, 287n, 288p, 502
 Lucas, Lt Dale W., USN, 640–41
 Lucero, PFC Jesse T., 94
 Luker, 1stLt James Jr., 406p
 Luoi, 412
 Luong, Capt Phan Ngoc, 168
-
- M**
 MacFarlane, 1stLt Larry S., 646p
 MacGarrigle, George L., 97, 155n, 194n, 324n
 McBride, BGen George H., USA, 584n
 McCain, Adm John C., USN, 509, 512–13, 513p
 McConnell, Gen John P., USA, 496–97, 500, 506
 McCutcheon, BGen Keith B., 466, 475, 483n, 489, 494, 496–97, 500, 505–506, 508, 512n, 513, 515, 519, 522–23, 523n, 524, 524n, 526, 530; MajGen 465, 466p, 467–68, 512
 McDonald, HM–3 Forrest G., 358p
 McDonell, Mike, 156n
 McDonough, Lt James R., USA, 566n
 McEwan, LtCol Frederick J., 61n, 69, 256n, 282, 339, 344, 344n
 McGonigal, Farther Aloysius S., 198
 McGonigal, LCdr Richard, USN, 599, 616–17, 619, 622
 McGravey, 1stLt Daniel L., 340
 McKinnon, Col Robert N., USA, 306
 McLaughlin, Capt John L., 124
 McLaughlin, BGen John N., 644
 McMacken, Capt Raymond E., USA, 138
 McMahan, Col John F., 639
 McMonagle, LtCol James J., 449
 McMullen, Maj Harold J., 104
 McNamara Line, 11, 13, 360 (*See also* barrier)
 McNamara Wall, 21, 652 (*See also* barrier)
 McNamara, Secretary of Defense Robert S., 11–12, 21, 23, 504p, 506, 558–59, 571
 McNaughton, LtCol George C., 97
 McNaughton, Col Robert J., 95
 McQuown, LtCol Max, 116, 118, 118n, 131, 133, 137–38, 232, 232n, 242, 242n, 294n, 298, 631, 631n, 633n, 636n; Col 128, 130, 311n
 McTiernan, Capt Matthew G., 308–309, 311n, 362, 365–66, 366n, 549n, 563n
 MACV Radio Facility, 177
 Madden, Sgr Michael J., 56, 57n
 Mai Dang Village, 453
 Mai Linh District, 74, 444

- Mai Loc, 396, 405–406, 448, 453, 612, 613p, 638
 Mai Loc Village, 447p
 Mai Xa Chanh, 294–97, 299, 304
 Mai Xa Thi, 131, 133, 137–38, 242n
 Mai Xa Thi (West), 242–43
 Mai Xa Thi Area, 388
 Mai Xa Thi Village, 445
 Malaya, 339n, 596n
 Malnar, SgtMaj John, 303
 Man, MajGen Chu Huy, NVA, 99
Mang Ca, 164, 166–67, 176, 192, 195, 197, 199, 199n, 204, 204n, 207, 216, 223
 Manila, 642
Manley (DD 940), 640
 Manning, 1stLt John E., 147
Mansfield (DD 728), 640
 Marble Mountain, 142–44, 147, 155, 157, 336, 458, 460, 463, 518, 520, 522p, 524, 531, 535p, 638
 Marble Mountain Air Facility, 89, 89p, 90, 248, 373–74, 377, 381, 383, 417, 424–25, 459, 465n
 Marshall, Cpl James C., 644
 Marijuana, 565–66
 Marine Aviation Cadet Program (MarCad), 569
 Marine Barracks, Washington, D.C., 366n
 Marine Boot Camp, 561, 575, 616
 Marine Corps Air Station, El Toro, 563n, 574, 574n
 Marine Corps Base
 Camp Lejeune, 3, 571
 Camp Pendleton, 3, 571, 576
 Marine Corps Commands and Units
 Headquarters Marine Corps, 3, 307, 465, 468, 475, 496–97, 500, 509, 512n, 519–20, 524n, 526, 558, 559n, 564, 566, 570–71, 575–76, 579–80
 Career Advisory Branch, 563
 Fleet Marine Force, Pacific, 11, 14, 15p, 24, 32–33, 36–37, 59, 466, 475n, 490, 492, 495–97, 501, 505, 507–508, 508n, 509–10, 510p, 511, 512n, 519, 521, 523n, 526, 530, 540n, 557, 573, 573n, 575, 577, 577n, 579–80, 597n, 598–99, 600n, 601, 609, 617, 621, 633, 634n, 639
 Force Logistic Command, 2, 89, 147, 153, 156, 159, 240, 248, 336, 417, 424, 571, 578, 582, 583, 583p, 584, 584p, 585, 585p, 593–94, 597p, 616
 1st Force Service Regiment, 582
 Force Logistic Support Group Alpha, 110, 216, 583, 584–85, 594
 Force Logistic Support Group Bravo, 234–35, 260, 582, 582n, 583–85, 593, 594p
 Force Logistic Support Unit 1, 594
 Force Logistic Support Unit 2, 594
 III Marine Amphibious Force, 2, 4, 11, 13–14, 15n, 16, 17, 19–20, 20n, 22–26, 26p, 27–29, 31–32, 35, 37, 60–61, 63–64, 68, 80, 83–85, 85p, 87, 95, 101–102, 105, 107–108, 111, 116, 116n, 118, 137, 139, 142–43, 145, 154–55, 158–59, 161–63, 163n, 173, 175, 176n, 177, 193–94, 223, 225, 227, 229, 230–32, 235, 237–39, 239p, 240, 240n, 241, 245–46, 249–54, 261, 281, 284, 287, 290, 304n, 307–308, 312, 312n, 320–21, 326, 328, 336, 338–39, 343, 347, 349, 356, 359–60, 361n, 364, 373–74, 380, 383–84, 388n, 391, 411n, 414, 418, 419n, 423, 423n, 439, 442, 443–44, 448, 458, 460, 460n, 463–69, 470n, 473–75, 481n, 483n, 486–87, 487n, 488, 488p, 489–90, 491–93, 493n, 494–95, 498, 500–502, 504–12, 514–15, 515n, 516, 517n, 519, 521, 521n, 522, 526, 527–28, 528n, 529–30, 537, 540, 540n, 542, 545, 547n, 555, 566n, 569n, 571n, 572, 575n, 576–57, 577n, 578–79, 581–83, 583n, 584, 584p, 585, 585p, 586–7, 587n, 588–89, 594, 596, 596n, 597, 597n, 599, 599n, 601, 603n, 605, 607, 608–10, 611n, 613–14, 614n, 615n, 616–22, 625, 625n, 626n, 629, 631, 631n, 633–35, 635n, 636n, 638, 639n, 640–42, 652
 brig, 566
 IV Marine Expeditionary Force, 574–75
 Security Guard Battalion (State Department)
 Company C, 642
 Staging Battalion, Camp Pendleton, 561, 571, 573, 576, 578
 Task Force Bravo, 446, 449, 451
 Task Force Glick, 313
 Task Force Hoffman, 316
 Task Force Hotel, 317, 319, 321, 352, 354–55, 359, 363, 364, 366, 368, 403, 406, 408p, 409, 450, 455, 541, 544, 544n, 548, 549n, 594
 Task Force Kelly, 251
 Task Force Kilo, 246, 284, 292, 378, 380–81
 Task Force Mike, 363
 Task Force Robbie, 253, 253n, 292–93, 293p, 298
 Task Force X-Ray, 16, 83, 105, 105p, 106, 110, 144, 168–69, 169n, 171, 171n, 173, 175–76, 176n, 177, 185, 186n, 192n, 194–95, 197, 205, 213, 219, 221, 223, 229n, 230, 237, 240, 248–50, 252, 372, 388, 388n, 413–14, 463, 468, 536, 577, 582, 589, 636, 641n, 652
 Task Force Yankee, 437–41, 441p, 442, 551
 1st Field Artillery Group, 90n, 110, 166n, 194, 437, 535–37, 540n, 542, 551
 1st Marine Division, 2, 8, 16, 20, 25, 83, 91, 95, 101, 105, 109–110, 144–47, 149–50, 154, 158, 160–63, 169, 207n, 221, 221n, 227–29, 229n, 235, 237, 239–40, 248, 250–52, 328, 336, 338–41, 343, 346–48, 352, 374–75, 375p, 376, 383–84, 388, 391, 408p, 414, 419n, 423–25, 437n, 438–39, 439p, 449, 460, 463, 467–69, 496, 498, 516, 529, 533, 533n, 540n, 541–42, 551–52, 552n, 556, 571–72, 575–77, 582, 587–89, 591, 593, 607, 610, 612, 615, 617, 621, 627n, 630, 634, 636, 636n, 638, 641n, 652, 654
 Headquarters Battalion, 146
 2d Marine Division, 557
 3d Marine Division, 2, 3, 8, 11, 13–14, 14n, 16, 17n, 20, 20p, 21, 23–24, 24n, 26, 28–29, 31–32, 37–38, 52, 60–62, 64, 66, 69, 72–74, 78, 80, 83–84, 105–106, 109–110, 113, 116, 118, 126, 126n, 127, 128, 130, 133, 136, 146, 169, 172, 230–31, 232, 235, 235p, 236p, 237, 237n, 237p, 238–41, 241n, 242n, 245, 245p, 246, 252–53, 256, 265–66, 269–70, 271p, 276n, 284, 289, 291, 291p, 292, 294–95, 298, 298n, 300, 307, 307p, 308, 310–311, 311n, 312–13, 321, 321p, 323–24, 349, 351–53, 353n, 354, 356–57, 359–60, 370, 385–86, 388, 391–92, 394p, 395, 399, 408p, 410, 414, 437n, 439, 442–43, 444n, 449, 455–56, 460, 463, 468–69, 476, 489n, 498, 503, 516, 516n, 521–22, 522n, 524, 527–28, 528p, 529, 529p, 530, 532, 533n, 536–37, 539–40, 540n, 541, 544–46, 547n, 548–49, 549n, 552–53, 555–56, 575–76, 577n, 580n, 582, 587–89, 593, 598, 610, 612–14, 616, 621, 623,

- 627n, 630, 634, 636, 636n, 638, 638n, 641, 652–54
 3d Marine Division Memorial Children's Hospital, 613
 5th Marine Division, 3, 557, 572–73, 573n
 9th Marine Amphibious Brigade (9th MAB), 83, 236, 364, 573, 631, 631n, 633, 638, 638n, 639
 9th Marine Expeditionary Brigade (9th MEB), 2, 557, 569
 1st Marines, 16, 18–20, 35, 40–41, 46, 57, 73, 75–76, 78, 83, 83n, 84–86, 88–90, 90n, 91, 105–106, 110, 118–19, 126–27, 141, 169, 176–77, 179, 183n, 185–86, 194, 198, 203, 219, 221, 230, 248–49, 284, 284n, 285–87, 289, 313, 315–16, 316n, 318–19, 321, 324, 326, 352–56, 363, 368, 375, 387–88, 388n, 390, 390n, 414n, 425–26, 427p, 432–33, 437n, 533n, 537, 540, 540n, 578, 589, 591, 638, 638n, 640
 1st Battalion, 60, 73n, 74, 76, 83, 106, 110, 119, 168–69, 171, 172n, 174–76, 177n, 178, 182p, 186, 188, 190–91, 205, 211, 216, 216n, 219, 248–49, 284, 284n, 316, 321, 321p, 326, 355, 364, 368, 368n, 390, 397, 421, 427, 427p, 429, 433, 436, 596n
 1st Battalion, Company A, 110, 169, 171–72, 172n, 173, 177p, 179, 180, 181p, 182, 182p, 190, 388–89
 1st Battalion, Company A, 3d Platoon, 172, 180
 1st Battalion, Company B, 110, 185, 191, 211n, 320, 388–90, 1st Battalion, Company C, 169, 322
 1st Battalion, Company D, 169, 381, 390, 427, 428
 1st Battalion, Headquarters Company, 169
 2d Battalion, 40–41, 43–44, 73, 106, 110, 126, 284, 286, 316, 326n, 353n, 388
 2d Battalion, Company E, 44, 320, 389
 2d Battalion, Company F, 126, 286p, 318, 389
 2d Battalion, Company G, 126, 317–18, 364, 389
 2d Battalion, Company H, 43, 318, 363, 389
 3d Battalion, Company, I, 352, 383, 388, 390n, 572
 Battalion Landing Team 3/1, 80, 80n, 116, 117p, 118, 128, 129p, 130, 131, 132p, 133, 133p, 134p, 137, 232, 232n, 242, 253, 298, 298n, 352n, 631, 632p, 633, 636, 636n
 Company I, 130–31, 242
 Company K, 127–28, 131, 132p, 137–38, 632p, 633
 Company L, 128, 130, 633n
 Company M, 131, 138, 242
 2d Marines, 3
 3d Marines, 18, 20, 20n, 29, 46, 54–55, 57, 60–61, 83, 119, 133, 135–36, 136n, 139, 160, 230–32, 242–43, 246, 293–94, 297, 299, 306, 309–10, 351, 353, 357, 361–64, 366, 366n, 390, 393, 396–97, 404, 406, 412, 439, 441p, 442–43, 448–49, 453, 456, 638
 1st Battalion, 38, 46, 74, 76–77, 83, 118, 230, 242, 292, 298, 303, 304n, 305–306, 310, 351, 361–62, 366, 368, 390, 396–97, 399, 401, 404–405, 440, 448–49
 Battalion Landing Team 1/3, 78, 631
 1st Battalion, Company A, 38, 74, 77, 401, 448
 1st Battalion, Company A, 2d Platoon, 74
 1st Battalion, Company A, 3d Platoon, 74
 1st Battalion, Company B, 75–76, 297–302, 397, 401, 448
 1st Battalion, Company C, 38, 76–78, 113, 115, 130, 305, 361, 397, 401, 448
 1st Battalion, Company D, 76, 305, 397
 2d Battalion, 60, 90, 92, 141–42, 160, 163, 228–30, 248–49, 284, 286, 316–17, 319, 321, 352, 361–62, 365, 388, 396–97, 399p, 404–405, 446, 447p, 448–49, 612
 2d Battalion, Company E, 92, 94, 95, 97, 153, 318–20, 401, 448–49
 2d Battalion, Company F, 160, 319, 319n, 320, 362p, 401, 447p, 448–49, 612, 613p
 2d Battalion, Company F, 1st Platoon, 320
 2d Battalion, Company F, 2d Platoon, 319
 2d Battalion, Company F, 3d Platoon, 319
 2d Battalion, Company G, 153, 158, 160, 162, 319–20, 445, 449
 2d Battalion, Company H, 318, 446, 446p, 449, 612
 3d Battalion, 40, 41, 46, 46n, 47, 47n, 48, 52n, 60, 139, 140, 292, 308–309, 351, 362, 365–66, 396–97, 399–400, 403, 441, 446, 448–49
 3d Battalion, Company I, 48, 231, 234n, 244, 244n, 308–309, 365, 367p, 400, 549n, 563n
 3d Battalion, Company I, 3d Platoon, 308
 3d Battalion, Company K, 139–40, 309
 3d Battalion, Company K, 1st Platoon, 139
 3d Battalion, Company K, 2d Platoon, 139
 3d Battalion, Company K, 3d Platoon, 139
 3d Battalion, Company L, 139–40, 244, 244n, 448
 3d Battalion, Company L, 2d Platoon, 244
 3d Battalion, Company M, 41, 47, 139, 244, 244n
 4th Marines, 16, 18, 20, 40, 57, 73, 78–80, 82–83, 83n, 86, 107, 109, 118–19, 126, 127, 140, 245–46, 293, 305, 321–22, 324, 352–57, 368n, 396, 402p, 406, 409–410, 450, 456 1st Battalion, 40, 44, 45p, 47, 79, 292, 308–309, 321, 322–23, 352, 355, 368, 368n, 369, 386, 396, 398, 403–404, 404n, 406, 408–409, 409p, 413, 449–50
 1st Battalion, Company A, 44, 308, 322, 451
 1st Battalion, Company B, 44, 368–69, 451, 451p
 1st Battalion, Company C, 44, 79, 244, 322, 451
 1st Battalion, Company D, 44, 322, 451
 2d Battalion, 73–74, 284, 321, 322, 323, 352, 355, 396, 406–407, 409–410, 446, 449–50, 452–53, 592p, 631
 Battalion Landing Team 2/4, 109–110, 110n, 127, 130, 233p, 242, 242n, 243, 243p, 245p, 246, 254, 292–93, 293n, 294n, 297–98, 298n, 299p, 301, 303, 304n, 304p, 305, 310, 352n, 369, 598p, 634n, 635, 635n, 636, 636n, 637p
 Company E, 295, 297, 300–301, 301n, 302, 309
 Company F, 127, 294–97, 300–303, 370
 Company G, 127, 295–98, 300–304, 369–70
 Company H, 294–301, 303–304, 309
 Group A, 127
 Group B, 127
 2d Battalion, Company E, 450–52
 2d Battalion, Company F, 410, 449, 452p, 453
 2d Battalion, Company G, 74, 410, 449, 453
 2d Battalion, Company H, 449, 453
 3d Battalion, 40, 42p, 44, 47–48, 49p, 50, 52, 56, 120, 122, 124, 125p, 126–27, 316, 318, 323–24, 325p, 406–407, 409, 410, 451–452
 3d Battalion, Company I, 48–49, 49p, 50, 120, 122, 124, 305, 318, 326, 408, 448
 3d Battalion, Company K, 49p, 51–52, 408
 3d Battalion, Company L, 50–52, 56–57, 57n, 120, 126, 408
 3d Battalion, Company L, 1st Platoon, 50, 56
 3d Battalion, Company L, 2d Platoon, 56
 3d Battalion, Company L, 3d Platoon, 56
 3d Battalion, Company M, 52, 56–57, 57n, 122, 123p, 124, 126, 305, 408

- 3d Battalion, Company M, 3d Platoon, 120
- 5th Marines, 20, 84, 88, 90, 92, 95, 101, 105–106, 110, 170, 179, 194, 197n, 198, 207n, 230, 230n, 248–50, 252, 343, 347–49, 372, 375, 380–81, 383, 414, 419, 419n, 422p, 428p, 437–39, 441p, 551, 589, 636 1st Battalion, 16, 84, 86n, 101, 103–106, 169, 194–95, 197–98, 198p, 199–201, 204n, 206, 207n, 208p, 210p, 211, 213, 219, 230n, 248–49, 346, 381, 438–39, 441, 572
- 1st Battalion, Company A, 86n, 103, 194, 197, 199, 208, 383, 419, 421, 572
- 1st Battalion, Company A, 2d Platoon, 207
- 1st Battalion, Company B, 169–70, 194, 197, 199–201, 206, 208, 208p, 223
- 1st Battalion, Company B, 3d Platoon, 197–98
- 1st Battalion, Company C, 198–201, 203p, 206, 250
- 1st Battalion, Company D, 101–104, 198–201, 206, 347
- 1st Battalion, Company D, 1st Platoon, 201
- 1st Battalion, Company F, 347
- 2d Battalion, 68, 90, 92, 95, 97–98, 106, 110, 141, 169–71, 178–80, 181p, 183–85, 189, 191, 198, 201n, 205, 211, 211n, 213, 219, 222, 230n, 248, 252, 347–49, 383, 384n, 419–23, 423n, 427, 430, 431–33, 438–39, 441p, 442n, 554n, 572
- 2d Battalion, Company E, 95, 97, 347, 419, 421, 439
- 2d Battalion, Company F, 169–70, 176, 180, 180n, 182, 185, 188, 188n, 190, 211–13, 348, 418, 442
- 2d Battalion, Company G, 95, 97, 172–73, 174n, 176, 180, 185, 189, 211–12, 349, 419, 421, 438
- 2d Battalion, Company G, 1st Platoon, 189
- 2d Battalion, Company H, 171, 177–78, 179p, 180, 180n, 182, 186p, 187p, 188, 188p, 189, 190p, 191, 211–12, 222p, 382–83, 434, 435–36, 442
- 3d Battalion, 90, 92, 94n, 95, 97, 106, 110, 141–42, 148, 150, 152, 160, 162–63, 228–30, 334–35, 339, 344–45, 348, 381–83, 384n, 419, 421, 422–23, 427, 431–33, 438–39
- 3d Battalion, Company E, 171
- 3d Battalion, Company G, 171
- 3d Battalion, Company I, 92–95, 150, 152, 162
- 3d Battalion, Company I, 1st Platoon, 151–52
- 3d Battalion, Company I, 2d Platoon, 152
- 3d Battalion, Company I, 3d Platoon, 151–52
- 3d Battalion, Company K, 160, 345, 439
- 3d Battalion, Company L, 141, 157, 208, 208p
- 3d Battalion, Company M, 94, 97, 160, 160n, 161p, 382p, 568p
- 7th Marines, 88, 90, 97, 97n, 141–42, 144, 155, 157, 159, 163, 229, 248, 251–52, 330n, 331, 333–34, 335n, 336, 338, 344n, 377, 381, 414–16, 418–19, 421, 542, 572, 591
- 1st Battalion, 91, 98, 141–43, 148, 153, 248, 338–39, 344, 427
- 1st Battalion, Company A, 148, 328, 330–32, 379, 430, 433, 435–36
- 1st Battalion, Company B, 415
- 1st Battalion, Company C, 99, 142, 157–59, 229p, 430
- 1st Battalion, Company D, 155, 338p
- 2d Battalion, 141, 153, 156, 248, 251p, 329, 330n, 330p, 339n, 421, 636
- Battalion Landing Team 2/7, 342p, 343, 348–49, 372, 415, 416, 416p, 417, 419–20, 422, 427–30, 430p, 437, 441, 636, 638–39
- Company E, 343, 348–49, 415, 428
- Company F, 415–16, 422, 428
- Company G, 348–49, 415–17, 427–29
- Company H, 415–17, 427, 429–30
- 2d Battalion, Company E, 157, 328–29, 420, 572
- 2d Battalion, Company F, 46
- 2d Battalion, Company G, 46, 145, 153–54, 328, 331–32, 420
- 2d Battalion, Company H, 141
- 3d Battalion, 141, 155, 157, 159, 229, 247–48, 250, 328–29, 330, 330n, 334, 336, 346, 381, 414–17, 419, 423
- 3d Battalion, Company I, 375p, 572
- 3d Battalion, Company K, 328, 378
- 3d Battalion, Company L, 47p, 157, 414–15
- 3d Battalion, Company M, 157–58, 380–81, 414
- 9th Marines, 14n, 18, 20, 29, 34, 40–41, 41n, 46–47, 48p, 50, 52, 54, 57, 74, 79, 119, 127, 138–39, 139n, 234, 244, 291–92, 308–310, 351–53, 354p, 357, 361, 363–66, 367p, 390, 392p, 396, 398, 403–404, 406, 409, 450, 453, 456, 530, 549, 551, 623, 631, 635, 635n
- 1st Battalion, 28n, 79–80, 80n, 81, 83, 83n, 109, 127, 259p, 264, 265n, 266, 269n, 270n, 276n, 277–78, 278n, 281n, 284, 286–87, 309–310, 313, 316, 316n, 351, 357, 365, 396, 398–99, 401, 403–405, 453, 455, 480–81, 580n, 635
- 1st Battalion, Company A, 82, 265, 278, 282, 285, 313, 313n, 314, 368n, 455, 481
- 1st Battalion, Company A, 1st Platoon, 277, 313
- 1st Battalion, Company A, 2d Platoon, 278, 313
- 1st Battalion, Company B, 60, 81, 278, 282, 282n, 285, 398, 445
- 1st Battalion, Company C, 82, 285, 313–15, 401, 481
- 1st Battalion, Company D, 80n, 81–82, 267p, 278n, 291–93, 313–14
- 2d Battalion, 20, 40, 46, 54, 57, 83, 119, 119n, 138, 139, 298n, 309–310, 352, 365, 366p, 396, 399–401, 403, 403n, 404, 406, 410, 453, 455
- 2d Battalion, Company E, 54, 400–401, 455
- 2d Battalion, Company F, 365, 387, 401
- 2d Battalion, Company G, 389, 401
- 2d Battalion, Company H, 54–55, 119, 126, 389, 395, 401
- 3d Battalion, 53p, 54, 57, 83, 119, 293, 298, 304, 308, 316, 319, 324, 365, 396, 397n, 398, 401, 403–404, 406, 410, 454
- 3d Battalion, Company I, 55, 293, 323, 326n, 389, 396, 398
- 3d Battalion, Company K, 245, 365
- 3d Battalion, Company L, 54–55, 454
- 3d Battalion, Company M, 323, 389, 390–91, 398
- 11th Marines, 89–90, 90n, 92, 94, 99, 99p, 101, 141, 144, 147–48, 158–60, 339, 377, 533, 533n, 535–37, 537n, 538n, 542, 543n, 551
- 1st Battalion, 74, 83, 110, 186, 194, 202, 211n, 320n, 533, 533n, 535–36, 540n, 542, 544n
- 1st Battalion, Battery A, 83, 533n
- 1st Battalion, Battery B, 316, 533n
- 1st Battalion, Battery W, 194
- 1st Battalion, Mortar Battery, 83
- 1st Battalion, Prov 155mm How[itzer] Battery, 533n
- 2d Battalion, 92, 110, 348–49, 381, 536
- 2d Battalion, Battery E, 383
- 2d Battalion, Battery F, 160

- 3d Battalion, 419, 533n
 3d Battalion, Battery G, 98, 159, 535n
 3d Battalion, Battery H, 147, 380
 3d Battalion, Battery W, 420
 4th Battalion, 542
 4th Battalion, 4.2-inch Mortar Battery, 533n
 4th Battalion, Battery K, 154, 533n
 4th Battalion, Company L, 101
 1st Battalion, Battery D, 101
 12th Marines, 18, 34n, 38, 41, 47, 140, 296, 352, 398, 533, 533n, 535, 535n, 536, 539, 539n, 540, 540n, 541, 541n, 542, 544, 545n, 547, 549, 549n
 1st Battalion, 54, 119
 1st Battalion, Battery B, 320
 1st Battalion, Battery C, 323
 1st Battalion, Battery W, 54
 2d Battalion, 38, 40, 47, 48, 549, 551
 2d Battalion, Battery D, 549
 2d Battalion, Battery E, 397, 535, 549, 550p
 2d Battalion, Battery F, 549
 2d Battalion, Provisional 155mm Howitzer Battery, 549
 2d Battalion, Whiskey Battery, 549
 3d Battalion, 78–79, 118
 3d Battalion, Battery G, 451
 4th Battalion, 545
 13th Marines, 535
 1st Battalion, 256, 258, 260, 266, 270, 272–73, 275, 279n, 281–83, 285, 480, 537–38, 538n, 539n, 540n, 631n
 1st Battalion, Battery A, 346
 1st Battalion, Battery C, 69, 256n, 260, 260n, 537
 1st Battalion, Battery W, 538p
 2d Battalion, 227, 533n, 542, 544n
 2d Battalion, Battery D, 541–43, 543n
 4th Battalion, Battery K, 545
 26th Marines, 18, 61, 61n, 62, 64–65, 69, 253, 255, 263n, 265, 266n, 269n, 270n, 271, 273, 275, 279, 284, 286–87, 288p, 289, 298n, 313, 315–16, 316n, 338, 343, 343p, 344, 344n, 372, 413–14, 468, 471n, 475n, 476–77, 477n, 478, 483n, 486n, 493n, 539–40, 540n, 542, 652
 26th Marines (Forward), 631n
 26th Marines (Rear), 631n
 1st Battalion, 61–62, 64, 257p, 263, 275n, 339–40, 344, 346, 351, 388, 424
 1st Battalion, Company A, 63
 1st Battalion, Company A, 2d Platoon, 255
 1st Battalion, Company B, 64n, 258, 260, 260n, 269, 280, 280n, 282–283, 285, 340, 344
 1st Battalion, Company B, 1st Platoon, 279
 1st Battalion, Company B, 2d Platoon, 72, 282–83
 1st Battalion, Company B, 3d Platoon, 279, 282
 1st Battalion, Company D, 260, 263, 285, 340
 2d Battalion, 69, 101, 106, 256, 285, 351, 363, 404
 Battalion Landing Team 2/26, 364, 388, 395, 403–404, 430–33, 636, 638, 638p, 639
 Company E, 433
 Company H, 405
 2d Battalion, Company E, 271–73, 274p, 357, 364
 2d Battalion, Company E, 1st Platoon, 272
 2d Battalion, Company F, 69
 2d Battalion, Company F, 3d Platoon, 268
 2d Battalion, Company G, 285
 2d Battalion, Company H, 357
 3d Battalion, 61, 71, 79–80, 256n, 259, 287, 289, 338–39, 339n, 344, 345p, 346, 351–52, 388, 427, 429–31, 433–34, 639
 3d Battalion, Company I, 64, 68, 70–72, 255, 256n, 259, 261, 266, 267p, 268, 277n, 287, 344, 433–35, 477
 3d Battalion, Company I, 1st Platoon, 70
 3d Battalion, Company I, 2d Platoon, 70–71
 3d Battalion, Company I, 3d Platoon, 69–71
 3d Battalion, Company K, 64, 256, 258, 260, 271, 289, 345, 434
 3d Battalion, Company K, 1st Platoon, 258
 3d Battalion, Company K, 3d Platoon, 259
 3d Battalion, Company L, 64, 256, 344, 427–28, 434–35, 436n, 436
 3d Battalion, Company L, 1st Platoon, 435
 3d Battalion, Company L, 2d Platoon, 261
 3d Battalion, Company M, 70–71, 255
 3d Battalion, Headquarters and Support Company, 287
 27th Marines, 227–28, 230, 246p, 248–49, 252, 333–34, 335n, 338–40, 343, 375, 375n, 377, 383, 388n, 390, 390n, 414, 414n, 439, 533n, 542, 573, 573n, 574, 578–79, 591, 636, 638n
 Regimental Landing Team 27, 309n, 573–74, 574n, 576, 578–79
 Battalion Landing Team 1/27, 573, 573n, 579
 Company A, 573
 Company B, 573
 Company C, 573
 Company D, 573
 1st Battalion, 227, 248–49, 252, 339–40, 379, 380p, 383, 615
 1st Battalion, Company A, 248, 340, 380
 1st Battalion, Company B, 341–42
 1st Battalion, Company C, 340, 342, 381
 1st Battalion, Company D, 341–42, 379
 2d Battalion, 228, 342, 380p, 383, 390n, 531n, 573n
 Battalion Landing Team 2/27, 227, 438
 2d Battalion, Company E, 342, 379
 2d Battalion, Company F, 342, 381
 2d Battalion, Company G, 381
 2d Battalion, Company H, 342
 3d Battalion, 229, 333, 333p, 334–35, 335p, 339, 342, 342n, 343, 520n, 573n
 3d Battalion, Company I, 246p, 329–33, 333p, 334
 3d Battalion, Company K, 333, 335
 3d Battalion, Company L, 334
 3d Battalion, Company M, 333–35
 Battalion Landing Team 3/27, 227
 1st Amphibian Tractor (Amtrac) Battalion, 18, 20, 29, 37–38, 39p, 113, 115, 130, 232, 242, 292, 351, 357, 358p, 390–91, 394, 443, 445–46, 580n
 Company A, 387, 445–46
 Company B, 40, 446
 Headquarters and Support Company, 38
 3d Amphibian Tractor (Amtrac) Battalion, 90, 141p, 142, 150, 152–53, 228, 381, 383
 Company B, 383
 Headquarters and Support Company, 144, 150
 5th Communication Battalion, 582
 7th Communication Battalion, 145–46

- 1st Engineer Battalion, 83, 419, 588–89, 591, 591p, 592
 Company A, 324, 589
 Company B, 439, 589
 Company C, 589
 3d Engineer Battalion, 62, 324, 588–89, 592, 592p
 7th Engineer Battalion, 145, 588, 591–92, 612
 9th Engineer Battalion, 588
 11th Engineer Battalion, 24, 26, 28, 284, 286, 324, 407,
 504, 588–89, 590p, 592
 1st Medical Battalion, 587, 83
 3d Medical Battalion, 587
 Company C, 587n, 588p
 1st Military Police (MP) Battalion, 145–46, 150, 157, 376,
 381, 582
 Company B, 157, 374
 Company D, 376–77
 1st Platoon; 377–78
 2d Platoon, 376–77
 3d Platoon, 376–77
 3d Platoon,
 1st Squadron, 376
 3d Military Police (MP) Battalion, 378, 565, 566n, 582,
 603n, 614
 Company C, 374
 1st Motor Transport Battalion, 83, 185
 3d Motor Transport Battalion, 62
 7th Motor Transport Battalion, 582
 Company B, 216
 1st Radio Battalion, 63
 Sub–Unit 1, 251
 1st Reconnaissance Battalion, 90, 144, 339, 552, 552n,
 553, 554n
 Company A, 378, 381
 Company E, 552n
 3d Reconnaissance Battalion, 44, 55, 76, 79–80, 118,
 363–64, 386, 552, 552n, 555, 616–17
 Company A, 81
 Company B, 70
 5th Reconnaissance Battalion,
 Company B, 552n
 1st Service Battalion, 582
 3d Service Battalion, 582
 1st Shore Party Battalion, 83
 3d Shore Party Battalion, 324
 Company A, 480
 1st Tank Battalion, 83, 89, 90n, 141, 150, 159, 186,
 376–77
 Company A, 292
 3d Tank Battalion, 171, 172n, 173p, 186, 234p, 236n,
 253n, 316 317p, 363, 389, 443, 446
 Antitank Company, 316
 Company A, 291, 387–88, 393, 395, 398
 Company B, 54, 387–88, 448
 3d Platoon, 316
 Company C, 118, 395, 448
 Company G
 3d Platoon, 316
 1st ANGLICO
 Sub–Unit 1, 639–40, 642
 I Corps Naval Gunfire Liaison Team, 639
 III Corps Naval Gunfire Liaison Team, 640
- 1st Armored Amphibian Company, 147, 160, 533, 537
 2d Platoon, 535
 1st Dental Company, 587
 3d Dental Company, 587
 11th Dental Company, 587
 1st Force Reconnaissance Company, 251, 552, 552n
 3d Force Reconnaissance Company, 76, 552, 386
 1st Hospital Company, 346, 587
 1st 8-inch Howitzer Battery, 535, 540
 3d 8-inch Howitzer Battery, 533, 534p
 1st Platoon, 538n, 542
 1st 155mm Gun Battery, 536, 540
 3d 155mm Gun Battery, 533
 5th 155mm Gun Battery, 535
 1st Provisional 155mm Howitzer Battery, 397, 551
 Provisional Battery Quebec, 537
 1st Searchlight Battery, 587
 1st Ground Surveillance Section, 444n
 5th Counterintelligence Team, 143
 13th Interrogation and Translation Team, 419n, 436n, 569n
 15th Interrogation and Translation Team, 80
- Air
- 1st Marine Aircraft Wing, 2, 4, 48, 108, 239–40, 251, 261,
 265, 276n, 311n, 360, 378, 391, 419–20, 423, 427, 433,
 458, 458p, 463, 466, 466p, 467, 468, 470n, 472–74,
 474n, 475, 475n, 479, 481, 483n, 485–87, 487n, 489n,
 490, 492–94, 496–98, 498n, 502, 506, 508n, 509, 510p,
 511, 514n, 515–16, 516n, 517n, 521, 521n, 523–24,
 526, 528, 528n, 528p, 532, 545–46, 548, 570, 593, 608
 Manning Level, 570
 4th Marine Aircraft Wing, 575
 1st MAW Communications Central (TDCC), 468
 1st MAW Tactical Air Direction Center (TADC), 467, 467n,
 468–69, 469n, 470, 485n, 487, 493, 496, 498, 507
 1st MAW Tactical Air Operations Center (TAOC), 468, 471p
 1st Light Antiaircraft Missile (LAAM) Battalion, 467, 459n,
 470p
 2d Light Antiaircraft Missile (LAAM) Battalion, 414, 459n,
 467, 467n
 Marine Aircraft Groups (MAG)
 MAG–11, 148, 148p, 458–59, 497n, 514n, 641
 MAG–12, 155, 460, 464p, 465, 497n, 498n, 514p
 MAG–13, 155, 460, 465n, 497n
 MAG–15, 631n
 MAG–16, 92, 147, 423, 460, 461p, 481, 517, 525p
 MAG–36, 70, 321, 463, 478, 481, 483n, 526
 MAG–39, 315n, 321, 353, 526–27, 527n, 528p, 530, 530n
 Marine Air Control Group (MACG)
 MACG–18, 458–59, 459n, 467
 Marine Wing Headquarters Group (MWHG)
 MWHG–1, 458, 459n
 Marine Wing Service Group (MWSG)
 MWSG–17, 458, 459n
 Marine Air Support Squadrons (MASS)
 MASS–2, 459n, 468–69, 474n, 489n
 MASS–3, 272, 459n, 468–69, 474n, 477
 MASS–4, 459n
 Marine Air Base Squadrons (MABS)
 MABS–16, 460
 Marine Air Control Squadrons (MACS)

- MACS-4, 467-69, 471p, 517n
 Marine Attack Squadrons (VMA)
 VMA-121, 460
 VMA-211, 460, 462p
 VMA-311, 324, 460
 Marine Attack Squadrons (VMA)AW
 VMA(AW)-242, 148p, 459, 464
 VMA(AW)-533, 460, 462p, 464, 465n
 Marine Composite Reconnaissance Squadrons (VMCJ)
 VMCJ-1, 148, 459, 465n, 545-48, 493, 493n
 Marine All Weather Fighter Squadrons (VMF(AW))
 VMF(AW)-235, 459, 459p
 Marine Fighter Attack Squadrons (VMFA)
 VMFA-115, 460, 460n
 VMFA-122, 459
 VMFA-235, 200
 VMFA-314, 360
 VMFA-323, 460, 463p, 497
 Marine Refueler Transport Squadrons (VMGR)
 VMGR-152, 463, 464p, 479, 481
 Headquarters and Headquarters Squadrons (H&HS)
 H&HS-18, 459n
 Headquarters and Maintenance Squadrons (H&MS)
 H&MS-11, 459-60
 H&MS-16, 460
 H&MS-36, 463, 478
 Heavy Helicopter Squadrons (HMH)
 HMH-364, 464
 HMH-453, 524
 HMH-462, 524
 HMH-463, 522n, 523, 524n
 Light Helicopter Squadrons (HML)
 HML-167, 520, 521n
 Medium Helicopter Squadrons (HMM)
 HMM-161, 527n
 HMM-162, 532n
 HMM-163, 50, 463, 526, 527n
 HMM-164, 51, 382p, 463, 636, 636n
 HMM-165, 117p, 176, 343p, 438, 518p, 631, 636n, 637p
 HMM-262, 315, 460, 526n, 631, 631n
 HMM-263, 526
 HMM-265, 94, 421n, 460, 523n, 636, 636n
 HMM-361, 109, 127, 532n, 631
 HMM-362, 265, 421n, 463, 483n, 485, 517n, 521n, 636n, 637p, 639n
 HMM-363, 242, 460, 636n, 639n
 HMM-364, 438, 463
 HMM-462, 463
 HMM-463, 460
 Marine Observation Squadrons (VMO)
 VMO-2, 94, 460, 463, 520
 VMO-3, 463, 520
 VMO-6, 50, 463, 478, 483, 520, 526
 Marine Air Traffic Control Unit (MATCU)
 MATCU-62, 476p, 478
Marine Corps Gazette, 531
 Marine Corps Hymn, 289
 Marine Detachment, Advisory Team 1, 60
 Marine Security Guard Battalion (State Department)
 Company E, 644
 Marine Advisors, U.S., 197n, 213
 Marine Advisory Unit (MAU) U.S., 645
 Marine Corps Recruit Depot, Parris Island, South Carolina, 20, 558, 561p
 Marine Corps Reserve, 560n, 563n, 574
 Marine Corps Schools, 3, 222, 289, 404, 532, 563p, 569, 645
 Amphibious Warfare School, 532, 645
 Marine Command and Staff College, 575
 Marine Corps Strength, 557-59, 570-71, 571n, 572, 574, 576-79, 580n, 581
 Marine Embassy Guard, Saigon, 642-44
 Marine Enlistment, Two-year, 558-59, 581
 Marine Enlistment, Three-year, 558
 Marine House Saigon, 642-44
 Marine Individual Rotation, 559
 Marine Mustang Officers, 565
 Marine Officer Candidate's Course, 562, 563
 Marine Officer Retention, 564
 Marine Platoon Leader's Class (Aviation), 569
 Marine Platoon Leader's Course, 562
 Marine Recruit Training, 561, 561p, 562
 Marine Reenlistment Rate, 564
 Marine Tables of Organization, 570, 570n, 571-72, 577, 577n, 579, 620
 Marine Tactical Data System (MTDS), 468
 Marine Unit Rotation, 559n, 569
 Marine Vietnam 13-month tour, 558, 568, 569, 569n
 Marines, Short Service, 565, 565n
 "Market Place," the, 392
 Marsh, LtCol James W., 139, 362, 396
 Martin, 1stLt Justin M., 448, 612
 Martin, LCpl John M., 585p
 Matheson, BGen Salve H., 237
 Mau Chanh (2), 424
 May Offensive (Mini-Tet), 425n
 Mazure, Francois, 178
 Meadows, Capt Charles L., 172, 174, 185, 185n, 189, 211, 222; Col 174n
 "Meat Market", 56
 Mediterranean Sea, 557
 Meegan, Capt George B., 435-36, 436n
 Mekong Delta, 4, 88, 155, 640, 645, 653
 Mekong River, 144
 Mental Group I, 574
 Mental Group II, 574
 Mental Group IV, 559-60, 560n, 574
 Mental Group V, 560, 560n
Merrick (APA 97), 638n
 Metzger, BGen Lewis, 25, 29, 30p, 31, 34, 83; MajGen, 27, 41n, 44, 46n, 47n, 236p, 237, 522n, 541, 547n; LtGen 19n, 20n, 21n, 24n, 62n;
 Meyers, Col Bruce F., 110n, 242n, 287, 287n, 288p, 289, 298n, 313, 313n, 314, 314n, 315, 315n, 316n, 338, 339n, 344n, 351, 372, 631, 635n
 Meyers, LtCol George F., 391, 394-95, 443, 445
 Meyerson, Joel, 583n, 585n
 Michael, Col Richard L., Jr., 366, 396-97, 399, 645
 Michaux, Col Alexander L., Jr., 556, 623, 623n, 634
 Micro Arclight, 478
 Mientay, "The Road to the West," 32
 Mieu Giang River, 292n
 Mike's Hill, 122, 123p, 124, 126, 140

- Milantoni, Maj P.S., USA, 147
- Military Assistance Command, Vietnam (MACV), 4, 5, 8, 11–16, 17n, 20, 21n, 22–23, 25–29, 31–32, 37, 59, 60, 66–67, 83, 98–99, 107–109, 116, 118, 126, 131, 149, 154, 158, 161–62, 164, 166, 168–69, 171, 172n, 173, 175–76, 176n, 177n, 178–80, 186n, 190, 190n, 193–94, 198, 205, 207, 211n 216, 219, 221, 225, 227, 231, 235–36, 238, 238p, 239, 239p, 240–41, 246, 253, 256, 276n, 310, 312, 312n, 324, 324n, 326n, 356, 360, 364, 364n, 365, 387, 391, 437, 467, 471–73, 473p, 475–76, 486, 486n, 487, 487n, 488, 488p, 489–92, 494–496, 498, 500, 502, 504–509, 514–16, 519, 537, 539–40, 571, 577–78, 580, 583, 586, 597, 599–601, 603–604, 606–609, 614n, 619–21, 625, 628–630, 633–35, 638–41, 644–45, 651–52
- MACV Forward, 69n, 110–11, 111n, 223, 237, 237n, 238, 239p, 240, 240n, 241n, 489, 490, 492, 492n, 653
- Air Directive 95–4, 466, 473, 503, 515, 515n
- Combat Operations Center, 23, 27p
- Letter of Instruction, 6 March 1966, 13
- Order of Battle, 603
- Studies and Observation Group (SOG), 60n, 67, 256, 270, 276, 644
- Tactical Air Support Element (TASE), 473, 492–93, 498, 505, 503, 507
- Military Posture, Northern I Corps, 1 September 1968, 391
- Miller, Col Edward J., 321, 352, 355–56, 368, 396, 406
- Miller, LtCol Thomas F., 517n, 521n, 526n, 531
- Milone, Maj Donald E., 566, 566n, 603n
- Miner, Col Ross R., 141, 229
- Minh Chau, 76
- Minh, President Ho Chi, 144, 373
- Mini Arlight, 477, 477n, 478
- Mini-Ter, 310, 338–39, 373n, 390n, 541, 589, 607, 611
- Ministry of Land Reform and Agriculture, 162
- Miranda, LCpl Albert, 273p
- Mitchell, LtCol John F., 28n, 80–82, 83n, 265, 266n, 270n, 278n, 282, 282n, 444n, 481n; Col, 80n, 269n, 276n
- Mobile Assistance Teams, 620, 620n
- Mobile Training Platoons, 623
- Mobile Training Teams, 620, 622, 625
- Mohr, Charles, 206n
- Momyer, Gen William W. "Spike", USAF, 3, 5, 472n, 473, 473p, 474, 474n, 475, 475n, 480–81, 486, 486n, 487, 490, 491, 491n, 492, 495, 498n, 503–504, 509, 635, 653
- Monkey Mountain, 467, 471p
- Montagnards, 58, 59n, 59p, 60, 60n, 270n, 281, 323, 357, 358p, 408, 455
- Monticello* (LSD 35), 638n
- Moore, Capt William O., Jr., 379–80, 412p
- Moore, Gen Joseph H., USAF, 467, 472
- Moore, LtCol Ben A., Jr., 348
- Moorer, Adm Thomas H., USN, 494p, 495–96
- Moran, Capt Lawrence R., 130
- Morocco, 1stLt Pasquale J., 641
- Morris, Thomas D., 560
- Morrison, GySgt Allen, 643–44
- Morrison, Wayne, 282
- Motor Transportation Coordination Center, 594p
- Mount Penn, Pa., 615n
- MTDS Program, 468n
- Mu Loc, 302
- Mueller, LtCol Charles E., 251p, 328, 328n, 339n, 636
- Mullins, Bert, 266n, 281n, 282n, 316n
- Mulroney, Col Peter J., 541n
- Munroe, SSgt James, 207
- Muong, 60n
- Murphy, Maj Dennis J., 46
- Murphy, Capt Richard J., 453
- Murphy, Maj Walter D., 171n, 174, 174n
- Murray, MajGen Raymond L., 13, 16, 26p, 28–29, 31, 34, 85, 90, 144, 145n, 237–38, 599, 616, 619, 622
- Mutter Ridge, 364–65, 368, 397, 399, 399p, 401, 403–404
- Mutter, 1stLt Clyde W., 301
- My Chanh River, 78
- My Lai, 248, 614–15, 615n
- My Loc, 115, 127–28, 130–31, 295–96, 302
- My Loc Village, 445
- My Son (1), 348
- Myer, Capt Donald J., 446
-
- N**
- Nalty, Bernard C., 474, 475n, 515
- Nam Dong, 389
- Nam O, 154
- Nam O Bridge, 153, 156
- Nam O Village, 153, 156–57, 157p
- National Leadership Council, 647
- National Peoples Self-Defense Committee, 606
- National Priority Areas, 600
- National Railroad, 340–41, 344, 348, 381, 426, 607 B&O, 92, 97
- Nauschuetz, LtCol H.W., USA, 608
- Naval Aviation Cadet, 569
- Navarro* (APA 215), 631n
- Navy Commands and Units
- Commander-in-Chief, Pacific (CinCPac), 3, 107, 466, 492, 501, 504p, 505–506, 509, 512–13, 531p, 583, 635
- Pacific Fleet, 633, 635
- Service Force, Pacific Fleet, 586
- Seventh Fleet, 2, 74, 80, 109, 116, 117p, 127, 360, 459n, 464, 468, 470, 486, 494p, 545–46, 548, 585n, 631, 633n, 634, 641
- Amphibious Ready Group 635, 635n, 639
- Amphibious Ready Group Alpha, 364, 638n, 639n
- Amphibious Ready Task Group 76.4, 390
- Special Landing Force, 80n, 116n, 242n, 458, 464, 572, 580, 633n, 634–36, 638
- Special Landing Force Alpha, 46, 46n, 74, 78, 80, 109, 110n, 127, 130, 242, 343, 352n, 364, 631, 635, 635n, 637p, 638, 638p, 639, 639n
- Special Landing Force Bravo, 80, 116, 117p, 232, 352n, 609p, 631, 633, 634n, 636, 637p, 638, 639
- Task Force 76, 636, 636n
- Task Force 77, 5
- Task Force 79, 639
- Task Force Group 76.4, 631, 639n
- Task Force Group 76.5, 636, 636n
- Task Force Group 79.4, 110n, 635n
- Naval Forces, Vietnam, 4, 239, 587
- Naval Advisory Group, 645
- Task Force 116 (River Patrol Force), 587

- River Section, 521, 587
 Task Force Clearwater, 231p, 2, 232n 253, 292, 294, 297, 307
 446, 446n, 587, 587n
 3d Naval Construction Brigade, 4, 587
 30th Naval Construction Regiment, 587
 32d Naval Construction Regiment, 587
 Naval Mobile Construction Battalion 5, 10, 60, 284, 587
 Naval Support Activity, 143, 583n
 Naval Support Activity, Da Nang, 4, 239, 585–86, 587, 616
 Hospital, 417, 424
 Naval Support Activity, Cua Viet, 57
 Naval Air Station, Fallon, Nevada, 574n
 Naval Air Training Command, 570
 Navy Airborne Tactical Data System (ATDS), 468
 Navy Reserves, 226
 Nawrosky, Capt Michael, USA, 136–37
 Needham, LtCol Robert C., 41n, 46, 46n, 47, 47n, 48p, 55n
 Nelson, LtCol Neil A., 427, 430
 Nelson, 1stLt Scott A., 199
 New Hampshire Primaty, 254
New Jersey (BB 62), 393, 394p, 435, 641
 New Life Development Program, 608
 New River, North Carolina, 532
 New Standard Men, 559–60
New York Times, 206n
 New Zealand, 4
Newport News (CA 148), 640n
 Newton, LtCol Donald E., 61
 Newton, PFC Leonard E., 70, 70n
 Ngoc Ngor, 103
 Ngoc Tavak Special Forces Camp, 338, 338n, 541–43, 543n
 Nha Nhan, 193
 Nha Trang, 149, 640
 Nhan Bieu, 73–76
 Nhi Ha, 293, 295–96, 298–99, 304–306, 309–311
 Nhi Trung, 362
 Nhu Le, 73–75
 Nicatagua, 596n
 Nickerson, MajGen Herman, 618p; 531, 617–19
 Nioris, Capt John D., 208
 Nitze, Deputy Secretary of Defense Paul H., 506, 506n, 509, 578–79
 Niuaroa, Cpl Molimao, 266, 268
 Nixon, Vice President Richard M., 3
 Nolan, Keith, 199n, 201n
 Nong Son (2), 416
 Norman, 1stLt Mation H., 283
 Norodom Compound, 642–44
 Norris, 1stLt George C., 297
 North Vietnam
 Vinh, 460n
 North Vietnamese Army Commands and Units
7th Front, 351, 371, 455
B-1 Front, 99
B-3 Front, 10
Command Group 4, 439
Group 44, 87, 91, 97, 97n, 99, 143, 328, 338, 347, 373, 373n, 384
Demilitarized Zone Front Headquarters, 32
Joint Military Staff, 318
Military Region 5, 10, 87, 99, 143, 149, 225, 338
Quang Tri Liberation Front, 351
Tri-Thien Region Party Committee, 205n
Tri-Thien-Hue Front, 79, 140, 214
Tri-Thien-Hue Military Region, 32, 64, 80, 102, 370
2d NVA Division, 16–17, 87, 99, 100–101, 144, 143, 144n, 155, 159, 160, 162–63, 228, 251, 328, 329, 336, 338, 418, 448–49, 537, 537n, 543, 652
3d NVA Division, 144, 448
24th NVA Division, 213
304th NVA Division, 64, 213, 275, 281, 319, 322, 351, 353, 385
308th NVA Division, 318–20, 336, 353, 355, 385
312th NVA Division, 32
320th NVA Division, 119, 126–27, 234, 242, 253–54, 291–94, 298–99, 304, 306–308, 308n, 309–311, 351, 385–86, 393, 403, 405–406, 410, 443, 456
324B NVA Division, 32, 126, 128, 213, 234
325C NVA Division, 32, 62, 64, 72, 213, 258, 281
325th NVA Division, 319
341st NVA Division, 32
2d NVA Regiment, 86n, 91
155th Battalion, 329
3d NVA Regiment, 100, 143n, 144n, 159, 163
4th NVA Regiment, 86, 101–102, 105, 154, 164, 166, 168, 190, 213–14, 413
1st Battalion, 101
2d Battalion, 101
5th NVA Regiment, 33, 73, 213, 412–13, 455
6th NVA Regiment, 79, 86, 164, 166–67, 213, 455
800th Battalion, 79, 167
802d Battalion, 79–80, 166–67
804th Battalion, 164, 168
806th Battalion, 164
9th NVA Regiment, 32, 73
1st Battalion, 105
21st NVA Regiment, 100, 155, 159, 163, 419n, 437, 418
27th (Independent) NVA Regiment, 351, 392–93
1st Battalion, 453
29th NVA Regiment, 32, 57, 213, 228, 258
8th Battalion, 32, 64, 289, 368
31st NVA Regiment (Red River), 87, 91, 143, 144n, 153, 157, 159, 163, 338, 373, 419n
3d Battalion, 163
36th NVA Regiment, 336, 373, 417, 424
1st Battalion, 423, 426
2d Battalion, 336, 416–17
3d Battalion, 336, 430, 435
38th NVA Regiment, 373, 373n
48th NVA Regiment, 126, 242, 294, 401, 410
52d NVA Regiment, 242, 294, 397, 400–401, 404, 410
56th NVA Regiment, 126
64th NVA Regiment, 126, 126n, 242, 364
7th Battalion, 397
8th Battalion, 397
66th NVA Regiment, 263, 281, 285
1st Battalion, 408
8th Battalion, 283, 285
68B NVA Rocket Regiment
3d Battalion, 437
68th NVA Artillery Regiment

- 1st Battalion*, 99
83d Engineer Regiment, 408
88th NVA Regiment, 318, 320, 322–23, 351
90th NVA Regiment, 32, 43, 47, 57, 213, 423
7th Battalion, 32
2d Company, 52
8th Battalion, 32
9th Battalion, 32
95C NVA Regiment, 64
4th Battalion, 258, 260
5th Battalion, 258
6th Battalion, 258
95th NVA Regiment, 32
101st NVA Regiment, 258, 277, 283
102d NVA Regiment, 318, 320
138th NVA Regiment, 363, 385, 387, 393–95, 443
1st Battalion, 387
141st NVA Regiment, 418–19, 419n, 423n
1st Battalion, 420
2d Battalion, 437
202d NVA Armored Regiment, 234, 275
203d NVA Armored Regiment, 234
3d Battalion, 253
246th (Independent) NVA Regiment, 408, 410
270th (Independent) NVA Regiment, 242, 385, 351, 395, 443
368B NVA Artillery Regiment, 91, 99, 144
368B Rocket Regiment, 338, 344, 373, 418
803d NVA Regiment, 32, 43, 128, 137, 213, 223, 232, 232n, 242, 370
1st Battalion, 32
3d Battalion, 32, 130, 133
812th NVA Regiment, 32, 118, 133–35, 351
4th Battalion, 371
K-4 Battalion, 136
K-6 Battalion, 136
NVA Quyet Thaing Artillery Regiment, 118
2d Sapper Battalion, 154
3d Sapper Battalion, 373n
6th NVA Independent Battalion, 32
10th NVA Sapper Battalion, 134
27th (Independent) NVA Battalion, 139, 385
166th NVA Battalion, 631n
190th NVA Battalion, 95, 97
311th NVA Battalion (Quang Da), 95
402d Sapper Battalion, 144, 348, 378
804th Main Force Battalion, 252
808th NVA Battalion, 73, 77, 351, 444
814th NVA Battalion, 371
818th Separate Battalion, 351
D-3 Sapper Battalion, 414
Hue City Sapper Battalion, 168
T-3 Sapper Battalion, 328
T-87 Sapper Battalion, 373n
T-89 Battalion, 373
H-2 Engineering Company, 154
 Northern Bunker Complex, 433–34, 434p
 Northern Sector Defense Command (NSDC), 89, 141, 146–47, 156n, 157, 159, 533
 Northwest Monsoon Campaign Plan, 16
 Norton Air Force Base, California, 574n
 NROTC, 562
 Nui Ba Lao, 401
 Nui Dat Son, 423, 533n
 Nui Ke Mountain, 412
 Nui Loc Son, 228
 Nui Tia Pong, 401, 403
 Nung, 60n, 158
-
- O**
O'Brien (DD 725), 641n
O'Brien, Capt Robert J., 642–44
O'Callaghan, Col Thomas P., 573n
O'Connor, Capt William J., 69, 256n, 260, 260n
O'Neil, Capt Richard A., 398
O'Neill, Maj John E., 409
Oak Hill (LSD 7), 638n
 Oberforder, Don, 147
 Office of Civil Operations, 600
Ogden (LPD 5), 638n
 Okinawa, 3, 60, 83, 227, 237, 464p, 522–23, 557, 579, 631, 631n, 633–34, 634n
Okinawa (LPH 3), 639
 Old French Fort, 59n, 60, 263, 286, 326
 Olin, Maj Enos S., 639
 Olson, BGen Harry C., 582, 583p, 594
 On-The-Job Training, 561
 Operations
 Allen Brook, 251p, 252, 252n, 328, 328n, 329, 330p, 333–35, 335n, 335p, 338–43, 347, 373–74, 541n, 542, 551, 591
 Ardmore, 62
 Auburn, 91–92, 95, 97
 Badger Catch, 116, 116n, 128, 129p, 130, 133p, 636
 Badger Catch/Saline, 232n
 Badger Tooth, 80, 82, 118, 631, 632p, 633, 633n, 635
 Ballard, 251
 Ballistic Armor, 635
 Baxter Garden, 252
 Bold Mariner, 639
 Charlie, 324, 326
 Charlton, 253
 Checkers, 16–17, 20, 57, 68, 73, 83–84, 105, 109–110, 118, 126, 141, 166n, 169, 536–37, 652
 Claxon, 87, 87p
 Comanche Falls-Lam-Son, 261, 411
 Concordia Square, 306
 County Fair, 13, 425, 436, 596, 597n, 598p, 612, 630
 Cove, 79
 Crockett, 61
 Cumberland, 107, 249
 Daring Endeavor, 638–39
 Dawson River, 455, 549
 Delaware, 253–54, 291, 312, 371, 516, 540, 540n
 Drumfire II, 320, 544, 544n
 Durango City, 635
 Eager Hunter, 638
 Eager Yankee, 343, 636
 El Paso, 67
 Ford, 249
 Fortress Attack, 127, 635, 635n
 Fracture Jaw, 270
 Fremont, 78
 Garrard Bay, 638

- Golden Fleece, 596
 Granite, 78–79, 80, 82
 Green, 445
 Headshed, 545
 Henderson Hill, 423, 438
 Hickory, 24, 357
 Highrise, 545
 Houston, 230, 248, 252, 347, 372, 414, 636
 Hue City, 194, 210, 211, 213, 230, 248, 540, 640, 640n
 Jasper Square, 250, 328
 Jeb Stuart, 109, 119, 137n, 230, 249
 Jeb Stuart III, 370
 July Action, 364, 368
 Kentucky, 18, 20, 37–38, 40, 46, 52, 56–57, 119n, 120, 127–28, 138, 232, 232n, 234–35, 241n, 242, 292, 307–308, 351, 357, 359, 364, 387, 389–90, 393–94, 396, 443, 446, 448, 449, 631
 Kicker, 571, 578
 Kingfisher, 38, 40
 Lancaster, 18, 20, 37–38, 46, 52, 54, 57, 83, 118–19, 119n, 120, 127–28, 140, 232n, 242, 253, 389–90, 396
 Lancaster I, 57
 Lancaster II, 57, 83, 119, 126n, 232, 233p, 234, 242, 245, 293, 352, 357, 359, 363, 448, 636, 638
 Mameluke Thrust, 252, 252n, 338, 338p, 339, 343, 343p, 345–47, 349, 352, 352n, 373–74, 381, 414, 423, 542, 551
 Marshall Mountain, 445
 Maui Peak, 419, 420p, 422, 422p, 423, 551
 Meade River, 425, 427, 427n, 427p, 428–30, 430p, 431p, 434p, 436–38, 529, 638, 638n, 639
 Medina, 73, 73n
 Minefind, 74, 78
 Mingo, 249
 Mixmaster, 569n, 579
 Muscatine, 228, 246
 Nam Hoa I, 455
 Napoleon, 18, 20, 37–38, 39p, 40, 113, 130, 232, 295
 Napoleon/Saline, 232, 241n, 242–43, 243p, 244, 253, 292–93, 298, 304p, 306–307, 309, 351, 357, 362–63, 386, 390–91, 394–96, 445, 636
 Napoleon/Saline II, 443, 446
 Neosho, 78–79, 79p, 80, 82–83, 83n, 110
 Neosho II, 109
 Neutralize, 471, 545
 Nevada Eagle, 371, 455
 Niagara, 65, 108, 270, 270n, 271p, 471–72, 472p, 473–75, 477, 486–87, 490, 538, 538n
 Niagara I, 65
 Niagara II, 65, 474
 No Name, 328, 328n
 No Name No. 2, 252
 Osceola, 18, 57, 73–74, 78, 83, 118, 631
 Osceola I, 118
 Osceola II, 118–19, 133, 135, 230
 Pegasus, 241, 246, 249–50, 252–54, 281, 283, 284–85, 286p, 287, 289–90, 308, 312–13, 317–18, 326, 326n, 327n, 356, 503, 516, 540, 540n, 542, 589, 590p
 Pocahontas Forest, 346
 Prairie, 60
 Proud Hunter, 638
 Quick Track, 251
 Rawlins Valley, 455
 Recovery, 604–605, 605p, 606–607, 609, 630
 Rice, 298n
 Robin, 321, 321p
 Robin North, 321–24, 355n, 356
 Robin South, 321–24, 355n, 356
 Rock, 248
 Saline, 116, 130, 137, 232, 636
 Scotland, 18, 57, 62, 283, 283n, 284, 311, 326n, 327n, 406, 540, 540n
 Scotland II, 289, 291, 306, 312–13, 316–17, 319, 326n, 352, 354, 390, 396, 406, 409, 448, 450, 451p, 540n, 541, 541n
 Sussex Bay, 381–82, 382p, 383–84
 Swift Play, 342p, 343, 348, 636
 Swift Pursuit, 638
 Swift Saber, 636
 Taylor Common, 437–39, 440p, 441p, 442, 442n, 551, 551p, 556
 Thor, 360–62, 362p, 363, 545–47, 547n, 548, 563n, 641, 641n
 Tran Hung Dao, 649
 Valiant Hunt, 638p, 639
 Virginia, 60
 Wheeler/Wallowa, 87, 92, 99, 107, 228, 246
 Woodpecker, 589, 591p
 Worth, 247–48
 York, 16, 107, 252
 York I, 107
 York II, 16, 107–108
 York III, 16
 Oppenheimer, Col Harold L., 622
 Ord, Col James B., Jr., 437, 441p
 Outposts
 Alpha, 266, 266n
 C–4, 387, 391, 395
 Oceanview, 386, 391, 395, 405, 446
 Overstreet, Capt Lee R., 285
-
- P**
 Padley, Col John J., 61
 Palace of Perfect Peace, 195
 Palatas, LtCol Michael V., 351, 357, 359
 Palm, Edward E., 627
 Palmer, LtGen Bruce, USA, 614, 510
 Panyaninac, PFC G., 315
 Paris Agreement, January 1973, 280n
 Paris Peace Talks, 73, 373, 384, 387, 579, 593, 606
 Parker, LtCol Eric B., 545; Col, 547n
 Parker, LtCol Evan L., Jr., 41, 43, 74, 459n
 Parks, Maj W. Hays, 615, 617; Col 615n
 Parsons, LCpl Stephen R., 94
 Patrick, LtCol George L., 636n
 Patton, LCpl Jack L., 120
 Payne, Maj James C., USA, 138
 Peabody, Col. Clifford J., 613
 Peard, Col Roger W., Jr., 517n, 522n, 524n
 Pearson, MajGen Willard, USA, 111, 500
 Pedersen, Col Poul F., 566n, 569n, 577n
 Peers Commission, 615n
 Peers, LtGen William R., USA, 614

- Pensacola, Florida, 570
 People's Revolutionary Party, 10, 423
 Perea, LtCol Horacio E., 588
 Perfume River, 164, 166, 168, 173, 175–77, 178p, 179, 185, 191–92, 198, 200, 211, 211n, 216, 218p, 219, 230, 232n, 235, 582n, 583, 586–87, 640
 Perrin, LtCol Jack W., 588
 Perry, LtCol Victor A., 588–89
 Personal Response Program, 599, 599n, 609, 616–17
 Peterson, Michael E., 616n, 628–29
 Phan Thiet, 640
 Philippines, 463, 524, 631, 634, 634n, 638, 642, 645
 Phillips, LCpl James L., 591p
 Phillips, LCpl John L., 369p
 Phillips, Col Rhys J., Jr., 227
 Pho, Capt Hoang, 269
 Phoenix (Phung Hoang) Program, 603, 603n, 630
 Phong Dien City, 78
 Phong Dien District, 78, 166
 Phong Ni, 614n
 Phu Bai, 16–18, 20, 47n, 51, 69, 73, 79–80, 83–84, 86, 88, 105, 105p, 106, 108, 110, 119, 127, 155, 166n, 169, 169n, 170–72, 172n, 175–76, 179, 188, 194, 197–99, 206, 211n, 216, 223, 227, 230, 235, 237, 238p, 245, 248–49, 252, 284, 312, 343, 346, 347, 372, 388, 391, 413–14, 458, 468–69, 487, 492–93, 496, 518, 520, 524, 535, 535n, 537, 540n, 542, 551, 553, 582, 583–84, 587–89, 594, 597, 612, 620–22, 626, 641, 652–53
 Phu Bai Airfield, 106, 170, 637p
 Phu Bai DASC, 468
 Phu Bai Vital Area, 110
 Phu Cam Canal, 164, 172, 177, 178p, 185, 191, 194, 211, 589
 Phu Dong (2), 330–31
 Phu Dong Armored Base, 647
 Phu Loc, 6, 16, 79, 84, 86, 97, 101n, 110, 154–55, 166, 169, 171, 194, 197, 230, 252, 372, 388, 551, 582, 621–22, 636, 652
 Phu Loc District, 80, 101–102, 105–106, 240, 248–49, 641n
 Phu Loc Sector, 536
 Phu Loc Town, 101–105, 110
 Phu Tho, 139
 Phu Thu District, 372, 412–13, 455
 Phu Thu Peninsula, 249, 252
 Phu Vang District, 412–13, 455
 Phuoc Trach, 98
 Phuoc Vinh, 412
 Pierce, 1stLt Arthur A., 365, 387
 Pipes, Capt Kenneth W., 72, 258, 260, 279, 282–83; LtCol, 64n, 260n, 280n
 PK 17, 107
 Platt, BGen Jonas M., 558–59
 Pleiku, 149
 Poilane, Felix, 287n
 Poillon, Col Arthur J., 110, 192n
 Poindexter, LtCol John E., 388–89
 Political Action Teams, 600n
 Polk, 1stLt Patrick D., 208
 Pork Chop Hill, 287
 Powell, Hospitalman Richard L., USN, 381
 Powers, Lt Runas, Jr., USN, 598p
 Practice Nine, 22–25 (*See* barrier)
 Prados, John, 263, 263n, 283n
 Prescott, 1stLt Alexander F., 296–97, 302
 Prichard, Capt John L., 49, 49p, 50, 124
 Princeton (LPH 5), 636n, 637p, 638n
 Pritchett (DD 561), 640
 Program 4, 571
 Program 5, 571
 Program 6, 577, 579
 Project 100,000, 559–60
 Project Sigma, 523
 Project Takeoff, 603
 Providence (CLG 6), 640, 641n
 Provincial Reconnaissance Units, 603n
 Psychological Operations, 608–609, 609p, 639
 Psychological Warfare, 598, 610
 Public Health Building (Hue), 180, 182
 Puckett, L.D., 608
 Pueblo (AGER 2), 225, 226n, 574, 57n
 Puller, Lt Lewis B., Jr., 560
 Purdum, LtCol Frederick K., 642
-
- Q**
 Qua Giang (2), 381
 Quang Da Special Sector, 8
 Quang Da Special Zone, 85, 87, 92
 Quang Nam Province, 8, 16–17, 84, 87–88, 99, 101, 143, 149, 252–53, 328, 375, 419n, 422, 437, 439, 448, 536, 553, 601, 618
 Quang Ngai, 8, 16, 85, 155n, 639
 Quang Ngai City, 143, 155, 225, 228, 448
 Quang Ngai Province, 16, 84, 142–43, 228, 254, 601, 614, 618
 Quang Tin Province, 8, 16, 85, 88, 142–43, 338, 419n, 542, 553, 582n, 618
 Quang Tri, 8, 10, 18–20, 25, 33, 37n, 38, 78n, 110, 172, 172n, 315n, 453, 485n, 527n, 530n, 589
 Quang Tri Airfield, 73–74, 75p, 118–19, 135, 136n, 232, 289, 465n, 485, 526, 528, 529p
 Quang Tri Ammunition Supply Point, 546
 Quang Tri Base, 46, 57, 73, 75, 75p, 78, 79p, 83, 113, 118, 235, 237, 242, 245, 253, 291, 298n, 315n, 352–53, 362–64, 449, 467n, 528p, 533n, 582, 585, 589, 613, 638
 Quang Tri City, 18–19, 32, 73, 76–78, 109, 118–19, 133–36, 136n, 137, 137n, 140, 155, 177, 193, 227, 230, 242, 263n, 264n, 298, 310, 326, 351, 370, 390, 396, 411, 412p, 443–45, 453, 463, 613, 625, 631, 653
 Quang Tri City Citadel, 135
 Quang Tri Pipeline, 593
 Quang Tri Province, 14, 16, 18, 31–32, 36n, 58, 61, 64, 68, 73, 79, 83, 85, 102, 108–110, 116, 118, 135, 149, 227, 230–32, 235, 246, 250, 258, 263, 270, 273, 283, 287, 290–91, 298, 308, 315n, 317–18, 320, 338, 349, 351–54, 356, 364, 370, 385–88, 390–92, 392p, 395, 410, 412, 439, 443, 445, 450, 456, 490, 536, 541, 545, 548, 587, 594, 612–13, 613p, 618, 623, 623n, 631, 641, 652
 Quang Tri River, 54
 Quantico, Virginia, 289, 532, 563p, 569, 645
 Que Chu, 193
 Que Son, 16, 99, 158, 346
 Que Son Mountains, 154, 329

- Que Son Town, 87
 Que Son Valley, 84, 87, 91, 99–101, 163, 228, 246, 652
 Qui Nhon, 149, 240
 Quick, LtCol Daniel J., 352, 636
 Quilter, MajGen Charles J., 512, 512n, 513p 515–16, 522, 524, 524n, 526, 529, 530
 Quinn, LtCol Francis X., 414–15
-
- R**
 Ra Co Ap, 408
 Racial Incidents, 566
 Radar TPQ–10, 51, 115, 127, 469, 474n, 475n, 477, 483n, 496
 Air Support Radar Team (ASRT), 272, 469, 478, 478n
 Air Support Radar Team Bravo (ASRT–B), 477–78
 Radcliffe, Capt Henry J.M., 82,
 Radio
 UHF, 469n
 VHF, 469n
 Ralph, Capt Thomas H., 334
 Randall, Col Thomas L., 146–47
 Rann, LtCol Louis A., 369, 396, 407
 Rao Quan River Valley, 64, 69, 256, 407p, 408, 450
 Rasmussen, BGen Henry A., USA, 584n
 Rattan, Col Donald V., 119, 135
 Ray, Capt Ronald D., 646p
 Raymond, Capt John W., 63
 Razorback, 399–400
 Red Beach, 89, 147, 156, 159, 248, 584p
 Reed, Col Edwin O., 460
 Regal, Capt John E., 128, 130–31, 137–38
Repose (AH 16), 587
 Republic of Korea Marine Corps (ROKMC), 381
 2d Korean Marine Brigade, 2, 84–85, 85p, 88, 90, 142, 149, 154, 158, 160, 163, 229, 426, 460, 516, 531n, 537, 582, 593, 640
 Reserve Call-up, 572
 Reserve Mobilization, 575
 Reserves, 12n, 12, 227n
 Reserves, Class III Category, 575
 Resor, Secretary of the Army Stanley R., 361n
 Rest and Recreation (R&R), 567, 569, 571–72, 577n
 Revolutionary Development, 246, 607
 Revolutionary Development Cadre, 86, 600, 600n, 603n
 Revolutionary Development Ministry, 600, 600n
 Revolutionary Development Program, 85, 91, 133, 142, 410, 443, 460, 599, 600n, 601, 608
 Revolutionary Development Teams, 600, 600n, 601
 Rexroad, LtCol Donald N., 335, 344
 Rick, LtCol Robert F., 636
 Ridgeway, Sgt Ronald L., 280n
 Roa Quan River, 61n
 Roach, 2dLt Terrence R., Jr., 277
 Robb, Capt Charles S., 375p
 Roberts, John R., 263n
 Robertshaw, MajGen Louis B., 472, 512n
 Robertson, MajGen Donn J., 25, 84, 88, 91, 95, 99p, 105, 141–42, 145–46, 150, 155, 158, 160, 161–63, 221, 249, 251p, 328–29, 338, 344, 374, 565, 610, 634
 Robertson, LtCol John W.P., 431, 433, 435–36
 Robichaud, Col Clifford J., Jr., 253, 291, 293p
 Rock Quarry (Khe Sanh), 60, 61n, 259p, 265, 267p, 285
 Rocket Belt, 158, 229, 533
 Rocky, LtCol William K., 92, 94, 95n, 97, 142, 148, 150, 152, 160
 Rockpile, 18, 26, 53p, 54–55, 113, 116, 119, 127, 140, 293n, 364, 368, 370, 397, 399–401, 404–405, 477
 Rodney, LtCol Glenn W., 645, 649
 Rogers, MGySgt James W., 301n, 302
 Rolling Thunder, 5, 459n
 Romine, LtCol Richard E., 631
 Roosevelt, President Franklin D., 560n
 Rose, Col Maurice, 566n, 580
 Rosson, LtGen William B., USA, 238–39, 239n, 239p, 240, 240n, 241, 241n, 253, 283–84, 287, 299, 306, 307p, 308n, 312, 312n, 324n, 352–53, 356, 361n, 391, 470n, 503, 503n, 504p, 540, 641
 Rostow, Walt, W., 65p
 Roth, Capt Ronald K., 640
 Route 1, 18, 23–24, 40, 75–76, 78, 78n, 79p, 80, 82, 92, 100–101, 101n, 102–103, 105, 107–108, 110, 118, 133, 136, 140, 142, 145, 149, 149n, 150, 153–55, 160, 162, 168, 171, 185, 193, 216, 230–31, 244–45, 249, 252, 291–93, 293n, 295, 297–98, 301, 306, 312, 324, 351, 376–79, 381, 413–14, 425, 427n, 445, 448, 467n, 583, 585, 589, 592–93, 631
 Route 1A, 35
 Route 4, 149, 158–59, 415, 419–22, 426, 429, 432
 Route 9, 18–19, 24, 28, 36n, 40, 46, 52, 53p, 54–56, 58, 59p, 61, 61n, 67, 69, 113, 119–20, 120n, 122, 123p, 125p, 126, 126n, 133, 138, 214, 232, 241, 245–46, 256, 268, 269n, 273, 275, 275p, 281, 283–86, 286p, 287–89, 291–92, 316–17, 317p, 318–21, 323, 326, 351, 353–57, 364, 368, 386, 396–97, 405, 407, 407p, 408–409, 448, 450, 455, 474n, 478, 546, 589, 590p, 592, 594p, 652–53
 Route 13, 11
 Route 88, 292
 Route 101, 35
 Route 102, 35
 Route 537, 92, 331, 340
 Route 540, 422
 Route 547, 223, 249, 371, 412
 Route 547A, 372, 412
 Route 554, 81–82
 Route 558, 396
 Route 561, 24, 28, 40, 44, 46, 127, 244n, 351, 393
 Route 566, 28–29
 Route 604, 76
 Route 605, 40
 Route 1022, 404
 Route Package 1, 3, 464
 Route Package 4, 464
 Rudd, 1stLt Tyrus F., 405
 Rung Sat, 645
 Rural Reconstruction, 600n
-
- S**
 17th Parallel, 6, 11
 Sa Huyen, 84, 586
 Sadowski, Col Joseph L., 524n
 Saigon, 4, 11, 13, 107, 133, 158, 164, 194–95, 197, 199, 206, 227, 238, 310, 373, 467, 467n, 474, 491–92, 493n, 498n,

- 503–505, 509–11, 516, 541, 583, 583n, 600, 603, 607, 614, 625n, 639–42, 644–45, 646p, 647, 648p, 649, 650p, 651p
- Saipan, 20
- Salvati, Maj Ralph J., 183
- San Diego, CA, 227
- Sanctuary* (AH 17), 587
- Sandino, 596n
- Sang, BGen Kim Yun, 84
- Sante Fe Trail, 35, 255, 255n
- Sao River, 193
- Saulsbury, 1stLt Jerry N., 258–59
- Savannah, Georgia, 524n
- Schaeffer, Capt Jack D., 365
- Scheib, Capt Thomas, A., 75
- Scheidel, PFC Robert L., 553p
- Schick, Col Edwin S., Jr., 34n, 535, 535n, 538n, 540n, 541n, 549n
- Schlarp, LtCol Jack E., 517n, 521n, 639n
- Schlight, John, 475n
- Schmidt, Col Maynard W., 631, 634n
- Schneider, 1stLt Peter N., 445
- Schunck, Cpl Henry M., 542–43
- Schwenk, Col Adolph G., 227, 333–35, 573, 573n; LtGen, 574
- Scoppa, LtCol Joseph, Jr., 549–51
- Scout Dogs, 441p, 442
- Seabees, 18, 28–29, 31, 46–47, 54, 60–62, 62n, 64n, 105, 230, 246, 324, 540, 588–89, 591, 607
- Search and Destroy Strategy, 600, 607
- Seavy-Cioffi, Larry J., 282, 282n
- Selective Service System, 559, 560, 560n, 574, 580
- Draft Calls, U.S., 560n, 580
- Seminole* (AKA 104), 638n
- Sensors, 266n, 269, 269n, 270–71, 281, 444, 444n, 471, 471n, 538, 589
- Seoul, Korea, 216n
- Sexton, Col Marrin J., 409, 450
- Seymoe, LtCol Joseph, USA, 263
- Seymour, LtCol Rufus A., 348
- Shaeffer, Capt Jack D., 398
- Shafer, Capt Francis L., Jr., 81
- Shanley, 1stLt Donald E. R., 272
- Sharp, Adm Ulysses S. Grant, USN, 3, 3n, 19, 21, 107–110, 236, 255, 466, 473–74, 486–87, 490, 491p, 492, 495, 504–507, 509, 511–13, 583, 635, 635n
- Shauer, LtCol Walter H., 483n, 485n, 517n, 521n, 526n, 529n, 636n
- Shaw, Capt James L., 448
- Ship and Boat types
- LCM (landing craft mechanized)(Mike Boat), 3, 6, 8, 113p, 116, 127–28, 19 218p, 296, 300, 304p, 586–87
- LCU (landing craft, utility), 38, 106, 113p, 116, 127, 198, 203, 216, 230, 231p, 22n, 294, 384n, 390, 583, 586–87
- LST (landing ship, tank), 37p, 38, 390
- River Patrol Boats (PBS) (Swift boat), 200–201, 232, 587
- Sienko, Col Walter, 530n
- Signal Hill, 454
- Silva, Capt Christopher J., USA, 543
- Simmons, BGen Edwin H., 20
- Simms, HM3 Alan B., 140
- Simpson, Capt Jerry I., 645, 649; LtCol 649n
- Simpson, MajGen Ormond R., 408p, 437n, 439p
- Single Manager, 240n, 298n, 473n, 486–87, 488p, 489, 491, 491p, 492–97, 497n, 498, 498n, 500, 500n, 501–506, 506n, 507–508, 509p, 510, 510p, 511–13, 513p, 514–16, 608, 635, 653–54
- Single Manager Directive, 492, 495
- Single Manager Honolulu Conference, 506
- Sisley, Maj Frederick E., 404, 410, 453, 455
- Sivak, Cpl David M., 345, 345p, 346
- Skipper, Maj Kenneth J., 379
- SLAM (seek, locate, annihilate, and monitor), 471
- Small Wars Manual*, 616n
- Smith, SgrMaj Agrippa W., 288p
- Smith, Col Franklin L., 139, 149, 154, 162–63, 238, 240, 636, 636n
- Smith, LtCol George W., 453
- Smith, Capt Gerald W., USN, 587
- Smith, 1stLt Ray L., 180, 211n
- Smith, Col Richard B., 40–41, 46–47, 48p, 50, 54, 126, 138, 138n, 139, 238, 308–309, 351, 357, 363, 455, 623, 623n
- Snead, Capt Paul L., 289
- Snyder, LtCol William P., USA, 304
- Solis, LtCol Gary D., 615, 615n
- Som Soi, 305
- Son Phan, 451
- Song Ngan Valley, 453
- Soto, Sgr Rudy A., 642–44
- Sound-ranging base, 547, 547n
- Sousa, Capt Richard G., 51
- South China Sea, 88, 92, 130, 359, 375, 468, 593
- South Vietnamese Armed Forces, 5, 197
- Air Force 192, 416, 458
- Armed Propaganda Teams, 598, 603n
- Capital Military Command, 647, 649
- General Reserve, 107, 465, 645, 651
- Army of the Republic of Vietnam Commands and Units
- 1st ARVN Division, 8–9, 17–18, 31, 40, 85, 106, 116, 164, 167–68, 172–73, 175–76, 192, 197, 199, 204–205, 206n, 213, 223, 249, 411, 639–40, 649
- Headquarters Company, 164
- Reconnaissance Company, 164, 167, 206
- 2d ARVN Division, 8, 85, 155, 228, 239p, 639
- 1st Ranger Group, 438
- 1st ARVN Airborne Task Force, 168, 192
- 7th Battalion, 168
- 1st ARVN Cavalry Regiment, 411
- 1st ARVN Regiment, 133, 135, 137, 177, 192, 370, 371, 412, 444–45, 449
- 1st Battalion, 133
- 2d Battalion, 133, 445
- 3d Battalion, 133
- 2d ARVN Regiment, 20, 26, 28–29, 38, 115, 128, 244, 246, 253, 291–95, 298, 301, 304–305, 309, 361, 364, 366, 387, 390, 392–95, 404, 443, 446, 448–49, 612
- 1st Battalion, 292, 304, 365, 395
- 2d Battalion, 40, 392, 395, 448
- 3d Battalion, 365, 393, 409–410, 449, 453
- 4th Battalion, 176, 192, 292, 306, 409, 410
- 5th Battalion, 448
- 3d ARVN Infantry Regiment, 106, 168, 192, 195, 199n, 204, 204n, 206, 210, 370, 410–11, 455
- 1st Battalion, 168, 176, 192, 204

- 212th Company, 209p
 2d Battalion, 168, 176, 210
 3d Battalion, 168, 176
 4th Battalion, 168
 4th ARVN Regiment
 2d Battalion, 192, 199n
 4th ARVN Cavalry, 146
 2d Troop, 435–36
 6th ARVN Regiment, 155
 2d Battalion, 250
 7th ARVN Armored Cavalry, 168, 171, 173,
 1st Squadron, 393
 3d Troop, 168
 11th ARVN Armored Cavalry, 387, 394–95
 51st ARVN Regiment, 8, 85, 142–43, 149, 154, 158, 160,
 336, 415, 430, 431p
 1st Battalion, 160, 381, 419n
 2d Battalion, 160, 419n
 3d Battalion, 150
 4th Battalion, 160
 54th ARVN Regiment, 455
 2d Airborne Battalion, 176
 2d ARVN Airborne Battalion, 168
 5th ARVN Ranger Battalion, 154
 8th ARVN Airborne Battalion
 84th Company, 285
 9th ARVN Airborne Battalion, 133
 21st ARVN Ranger Battalion, 381
 37th ARVN Ranger Battalion, 269–70, 281, 285, 381
 102d ARVN Engineer Battalion, 149
 1st Ordnance Company, 167
 101st ARVN Engineering Company, 211
 Black Panther Company, 176, 192, 195, 195, 199, 210
 National Police, 86, 86n, 143, 146, 153, 357, 373, 395,
 423, 603n, 648p
 Popular Forces (PF), 7, 46, 74, 85, 85p, 98, 104, 146, 149–50,
 156–57, 357, 371–72, 380, 405, 445–46, 448, 449, 600,
 600n, 607, 618, 618p, 619–20, 622, 626p, 627–28, 629n
 15th Popular Forces Platoon, 149
 Regional Forces (RF), 7, 86p, 133, 146, 167, 357, 371, 372,
 405, 411, 445–46, 446p, 448–49, 455, 600, 600n, 601,
 607, 612–13, 613p, 622, 629n
 59th Regional Force Battalion, 85
 111th Regional Force Company, 381
 195th Regional Force Company, 264
 220th Regional Forces Company, 447p, 612
 256th Regional Forces Company, 263
 708 Regional Forces Company, 149
 915th Regional Force Company, 263, 265
 South Vietnamese Marine Corps, 204, 210, 215p, 232n,
 645, 647, 651n
 1st Battalion
 Vietnamese Marine Corps, 214p, 646p, 648–49
 4th Company, 204
 2d Battalion
 Vietnamese Marine Corps, 649n
 3d Battalion
 Vietnamese Marine Corps, 647
 4th Battalion
 Vietnamese Marine Corps, 209p, 210, 646p, 647–49, 649n
 5th Battalion
 Task Force Alpha, 194–95, 197, 204–205, 206n, 213,
 214p, 216, 223, 645, 646p, 647, 650, 651p
 Task Force Bravo, 645, 647–48
 Vietnamese Marine Corps Division, 651
 Vietnamese Marine Brigade, 651
 South Vietnamese Navy
 Coastal Patrol Force, 115
 South Vietnamese Self Defense Units, 605–607, 630
 Southeast Asia, 557, 570, 579, 581
 Southeast Asia Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense
 for System Analysis, 628
 Southeast Asia Orientation Training, 561
 Southern Sector Defense Command (SSDC), 89, 90n, 98, 141,
 145, 15
 Spangler, 1stLt William J., 355
 Spaniel, PFC Richard C., 93p
 Spark, Col Michael M., 439, 441p, 448
 Spark, LtCol William F., 403–405, 639
Spice, 617
 Spreading Oil Spot Strategy, 596
 St Joan of Arc Church (Hue), 182p
St. Paul (CA 73), 641n
 Stacy, 1stLt Stephen E., 398
 Stahl, Sgt Mykle E., 259, 259n
 Stalingrad, 216n
 Stamper, 1stLt Thomas B., 263, 263n
 Starbird, LtGen Alfred, USA, 21, 23, 28; Gen 22–23
 Starlight Scope, 44
 State Department, U.S., 5n, 642
 Steel Tiger/Tiger Hound, 3
 Steele, Maj David L., 50
 Steen, Capt Kent O.W., 477, 477n; Col 256n
 Steinberg, LtCol Melvin J., 631
 Stemple, LtCol James W., 347–48, 383, 384n, 419, 419n, 421,
 423n, 432–33, 438–39, 441p, 442, 442n, 554n, 559n
 Stent, Maj Howard N., 59
 Stewart, Maj Billy F., 545
 Stilwell, MajGen Richard G., USA, 361, 361p, 364, 387–388,
 388n, 411, 448, 546, 548, 586n, 625
 Stinemetz, LtCol Broman C., 144, 552n, 554n
 Stringray, 54, 54n, 55, 118, 144, 250, 251, 328, 339, 347, 537,
 542, 552, 552n, 553p, 554–55
 Stockman, Col James R., 20–21
 “Street Without Joy,” 78, 78n, 80, 164, 631, 633
 Strongpoint Obstacle System (SPOS), 11, 19–20, 22, 24–25,
 27, 29, 241, 255, 652 (See also Barrier)
 Struggle Movement, 7, 164, 647
 Stuart, Col Vaughn R., 20n, 136n, 311n, 353n, 365, 366n
 Stubbe, LCdr Ray W., USN, Chaplain Corps, 58n, 59n, 61n,
 65, 263, 263n, 276n, 283n, 327n, 486n
 Studt, LtCol John C., 287–89, 339, 339n, 352n; Col 14n, 256n
 Subic Bay Naval Base, 524, 634, 634n, 636, 638
 Sunder, LtCol Charles H., USA, 585n
 Suoi Tien Hien Valley, 400–401
 Super Gaggle, 483, 483n, 485, 485n
 Sweet, LtCol Richard S., USA, 193–94
-
- T**
 Ta Bat, 412
 Tach Han River, 76
 Tactical Data Communication Center (TDCC), 468

- Tai Nu, 361
 Tam Ky, 149, 155, 250, 463
 Tan Mon, 395
 Tan My, 14, 232n, 586–87, 593
 Tan My Causeway, 593
 Tan Son Nhut Airbase, 474, 476, 492, 647–49
 Tao Quan River, 58
 Taon, Col Nguyen Van, 85
 Tarawa, 20
 TAT-101 Turret 521, 512n
 Tay Loc, 195
 Tay Loc Airfield, 164, 166–67, 176, 192
 Tay Ninh, 411
 Taylor, 2dLt Bayard V., 302
 Taylor, Gen Maxwell, USA, 21
 Taylor, SSgt Karl G., 435
 Tchepone River, 58
 Temporary Commissions, 562
 Terry, LCpl A.J., 417p
 Tet, 16, 108, 111n, 126, 133, 137–38, 140–42, 144–45, 145n, 155, 163, 164, 166, 166n, 169, 214, 216, 221, 225, 225n, 230–31, 237, 241, 250, 258, 270, 289, 310, 311n, 336, 408, 425n, 455, 465, 489, 524n, 537, 541–42, 572, 574, 574n, 575, 580, 585–87, 589, 592, 604, 606–12, 621, 621n, 621p, 627–28, 630, 635n, 640, 642, 644, 647, 648p, 650p, 653–54, 467n
 Thach Han River, 74–75, 75p, 77, 119
 Thailand, 3, 4, 65, 271p, 471, 567
 Tan Son Nhut, 649n
 Thang, Gen Nguyen Duc, 600n
 Thanh Quit, 86p, 88, 142, 614n, 621
 Thanh Quit Bridge, 160
 Thanh Quit River, 90, 141, 149n, 153, 160, 161p
 Thayer, Thomas, 603
The Betrayal, 619n
 Thi, LtGen Nguyen Chanh, 647; Gen, 7
 Thieu, President Nguyen Van, 6p, 7, 19, 110, 373, 401, 604, 606, 651
 Third Lieutenant Nhut, 204
 Third Offensive, 385, 393, 414, 425n
 Tho Thenon, 346
 Thom Tham Khe, 80, 80n, 631, 631n
 Thomas, 2dLt Michael H., 70–71
 Thomas, Capt Raymond A., 131
 Thomas, GySgt Frank A., 190
 Thomas, LCpl John W., 376
Thomaston (LSD 28), 636
 Thompson, Dr. Wayne, 3n
 Thompson, Maj Robert H., 197, 197n, 198–99, 201–203, 206–208, 216; LtCol 199n, 200, 347
 Thon Duc Kinh, 357, 359
 Thon Mai Dang, 445
 Thon Mu Kham Valley, 372
 Thon My Chanh, 412, 445
 Thon My Loc, 363
 Thon My Thuy, 585
 Thon Nai Beiu, 74
 Thon Nghia An, 448
 Thon Nong (8), 612
 Thon Son Lam, 54, 119, 127, 352, 364, 370, 396–99, 448, 544
 Thon Thanh Luong, 448
 Thon Thuong Xa, 445, 448
 Thon Tra Loc, 445
 Thon Vinh Dai, 448
 Thong Nghia, 302
 Thong Thuong Xa, 136
 Thong, Maj Hoang, 197
 Thu Bon River, 88, 90, 92, 97, 144, 248, 250–51, 328, 330, 336, 340, 343–44, 346, 414, 418–19, 437, 553, 554n
 Thu Bon Valley, 346
 Thu, Col Vo, ARVN, 87
 Thua Thien Province, 8, 10, 14, 16–17, 18–19, 20, 32, 73, 78, 80, 83–84, 101–102, 105–110, 119, 127n, 155, 164, 175–76, 214, 221, 227–28, 230, 239–40, 242, 246, 343, 371–72, 388, 392, 410, 412–13, 413, 445, 455–56, 463, 490, 536, 582, 607, 612, 618, 636, 641, 641n, 652
 Thua Thien Provincial Headquarters, 188, 190, 190n
 Thuc, Col Truong Tan, 85
 Thuong Do, 294, 302–303, 305
 Thuong Duc, 338, 338p, 339, 345–46, 375, 420p, 554n
 Thuong Duc Special Forces Camp, 158, 418–22, 423n, 542
 Thuong Nghia, 309
 Thuong Phuoc, 73–74, 76
 Thuong Xa Village, 453
Ticonderoga (CVA 14), 641n
 Tien Phouc, 250
 Tiensha Peninsula, 89, 89p, 144–47, 155, 157, 460, 467, 471p
 Tiger Tooth Mountain, 60, 60n
 Time Magazine, 206n
 Tinh Yen River, 343, 381
 Toan, Col Quang, 239
 Todd, Capt Gary E., 323; Maj, 14, 17n, 32n, 34n, 50n, 256n, 266, 276, 293n, 326n, 396–97, 397n, 398
 Tolpingrud, 1stLt Kenneth, 366p
 Tolson, MajGen John J., USA, 107, 109, 118, 177, 192–93, 205, 207, 216, 254, 284, 286, 287n, 370, 487–88
 Tompkins, MajGen Rathvon McC, 13–14, 18, 20, 20n, 21, 21n, 21p, 31, 38, 64, 68–69, 73, 80, 83, 116, 120, 124, 126–28, 130–31, 140, 231, 232, 236p, 237–38, 246, 253n, 269, 271p, 275, 283, 291–92, 298–99, 306–307, 307p, 308, 310, 311n, 313–15, 315n, 316, 316n, 359, 437n, 549n, 614, 614n, 617, 634
 Tonc, Lt La Thanh, 72, 258, 258n, 260
 Toner, LtCol Edward R., 37–38, 38n, 113, 115, 130, 232
 Tonkin, 65n
 Tope, Col Lyle V., 458
 Tra Vinh, 640
 Transportation Control Center, 583n
 Treado, LtCol Marshall J., 467
 Treasury Building (Hue), 182
 Tri Buu, 133, 135, 137
 Trieu Phong, 370, 444
 Trinh Minh The Bridge, 373
 Trinh, Nguyen Duy, 254
Tripoli (LPH 10), 519p, 636n, 637p, 638n
 Tropical Storm Elaine, 422
 Truc Kinh, 300, 305–306, 309
 Trundy, LtCol Richard T., 636n
 Truong River Bridge, 101–102, 102n, 169–71, 171n, 249, 250, 607
 Truong Son River, 144, 388
 Truong, BGen Ngo Quang, ARVN, 106, 164, 107, 167–68, 171, 192, 195, 197–99, 204, 204n, 207, 216, 223, 361p, 649

Tu Cau Bridge, 414
 Tu Do Soccer Stadium, 216
 Tully, LCpl Lester A., 174
 Tuong Van Tuong, 40
Turner Joy (DD 95), 641n
 Tuy Loan River, 90, 148, 153, 158–59
 Twining, LtCol David S., 467, 468n, 469, 474n, 478n, 489n;
 Col, 517n
 Twohey, LtCol Richard B., 397–99, 401, 404–405, 448
 Typhoon Bess, 384, 391–92, 401, 414, 414n, 593

U

U.S. Consulate, Saigon, 643
 U.S. Embassy Chancery, 642, 644
 U.S. Embassy, Saigon, 600, 642–44
 Udorn, Thailand, 468
 Underdue, PFC James S., 81
 United Press, 206n, 248

V

Valley Forge (LPH 8), 631, 631n, 636, 636n
 Van Ryzin, MajGen William J., 238, 307, 308n, 614n, 622,
 625n
 Van Stockum, BGen Ronald R., 567–68
 Van Winkle, LtCol Archie, 321, 321p
 Vancouver (LPD 2), 227, 573, 636n
 van den Berg, LtCol Oliver W., 86, 101, 101n, 104, 104n
 Vandier, Lt William L., USN, 640
 Vargas, Capt Manuel S., 297, 299–303; Maj, 297n
 Vargas, Maj Jay R., 297n
 Vaught, LtCol James B., USA, 193–94
 Vehicles
 Amphibian Tractors, 303, 383, 424
 Armored Personnel Carrier, 133, 146, 176, 303, 378, 390,
 394, 435, 436, 436n
 LVT (landing vehicle, tracked), 153, 157, 159, 232n, 242,
 242n, 243, 296, 387, 395, 633n
 LVTE (landing vehicle, tracked engineer), 38, 242
 LVTH (landing vehicle, tracked howitzer), 38, 40, 131, 160,
 242, 535, 537
 LVTP (landing vehicle, tracked personnel), 296n, 297
 LVTR (landing vehicle, tracked retriever), 38
 M42 Dusters, 54–55, 118–19, 138–39, 171, 171n, 291, 407
 M48 Tank, 54–55, 98–99, 118–19, 138–39, 173, 173p,
 175, 184p, 189, 198p, 202, 208, 234p, 293p, 294, 296,
 300, 317p, 407, 421, 544n, 590p
 M67A2 Tank, Flame, 54, 246p
 M103 Bulldozer, 591p
 Mechanical Mule, 152, 182n, 219
 Ontos, 103, 127, 130, 177, 186, 187p, 191, 201–202,
 210n, 211, 292, 407, 633n
 Patrol Air Cushioned Vehicles (PACV), 232n, 587
 PT-76 Tanks, 234, 253, 275, 347
Vernon County (LST 1161), 631n
 Veth, RAdm Kenneth L., USN, 5, 239, 587
 Vien Dien River, 150
 Vien, Gen Cao Van, ARVN, 7–8, 110, 375p, 649
 Viet Cong
 National Liberation Front, 10, 373, 190
 Presidium of the Central Committee, 144
 Viet Cong (VC) Self-Defense Forces, 91

Viet Cong Units

1st VC Regiment, 100, 144, 159, 163
401st VC Regiment, 143, 155
25th VC Battalion, 91
70th VC Battalion, 155
80th VC Battalion, 101
802d VC Battalion, 101
804th VC Battalion, 249
808th VC Battalion, 411
814th VC Battalion, 135
840th VC Battalion, 640
C-10 Battalion, 642
C-130th VC Battalion, 153
K-2 VC Battalion, 250
K-4B VC Battalion, 101, 105
R-20 or Doc Lap VC Battalion, 91, 97, 143, 144, 146,
 149–50, 153, 160, 328, 348, 373, 373n, 377, 426
V-7 VC Battalion, 373n
V-25th VC Battalion, 97, 146, 144, 149, 153, 160, 328,
 373, 373n, 381
V-51 Battalion, 363
C-23 Reconnaissance Company, 373
C-59 Local Force Company, 363, 444
Cam Lo Local Force Company, 139
H-99 Local Force Company, 444
Q-15th VC Local Force Company, 153
Q-16th VC Local Force Company, 153
Q-55th VC Local Force Company, 157
Q-91 Company, 376, 424
Q-92 Sapper Company (Quang Da Special Zone) 2d District, 377
Reconnaissance Team X 2/89, 373
 Viet Minh, 6, 65n, 78, 542
 Vietnamese National Railroad System (VNRS), 92, 607
 Vines, Sgt H.D., 430p
 Vinh Dien Bridge, 418, 424
 Vinh Dien River, 88, 153, 163, 414, 425
 Vinh Linh District, 32
 Vinh Loc District, 372, 412–13
 Vu Gia River, 248, 338, 338p, 339, 346, 418, 421, 421n, 422,
 554, 554n
 Vu Gia River Valley, 158, 542
 Vung Tau, 647

W

Walker, Maj Jack E., USA, 219
 Wall, Gibert, 280
 Walt, LtGen Lewis W., 3, 13–14, 15p, 23–24, 501p, 503,
 596n, 597n, 598, 608, 616n, 617, 619, 619n, 625
 Ward, Capt Alexander K., 245
 Ward, Capt Joel D., 400
 Warkentin, Lt(j.g.) Marvin L., USN, 640–41
 Warren, Maj George F., 302–303; Col 634n
Washburn (AKA 108), 227, 573, 636n
Washington Post, 147, 164n, 177, 190n, 194, 206, 216n, 223, 256
Washington Star, 95n
 Washington, D.C., 3, 5n, 12, 12n, 13, 15–16, 20, 23, 28, 66,
 95n, 225, 227, 254, 275n, 308, 475, 486, 489, 491,
 494–95, 500, 504, 508, 509, 512–13, 519, 523, 526,
 528, 530, 601, 653
 “Washout,” the, 44, 48
 Watson, LtCol LeRoy E., 348, 415, 417

Weapons and Ordnance

- Antiarmor Weapon, Light (LAAW) M72, 180, 180n, 182p, 188n, 261, 261n, 299p, 301, 389, 409
- Bomb, 750-pound, 433
- Bomb, Daisy Cutter, 396, 397n
- Bomb, Fuel-Air Explosive, 421
- Cannon, 20mm, 296, 485, 521
- Carbine, M1, 99p
- Charge, C-4, 61, 180
- Gas, CS, 183, 183n, 184p, 189, 194, 202-203, 211n, 260, 272, 326, 349, 377, 377n, 452, 485
- Grenade, Rocket-Propelled, 52, 55, 97-98, 120, 122, 127-28, 131, 138, 149, 153, 159-60, 186, 198, 202, 242, 242n, 263, 285, 294, 294, 297, 303, 318-19, 323, 344, 347, 376-77, 389, 391, 393-94, 408, 416
- Gun, Gatling, 154, 375
- Gun, 5-inch, 200, 204, 641
- Gun, 6-inch, 641
- Gun, 8-inch, 147, 200, 362, 393, 409
- Gun, 16-inch, 393, 394p, 435, 641
- Gun, 90mm, 98-99, 175, 175p, 202, 210, 335, 361, 379, 389, 393-94
- Gun, 130mm, 33-34, 115, 137, 268n, 319-20, 322, 401, 537
- Gun, 175mm, 61, 66, 66p, 107, 142, 270, 281, 320, 409, 437, 477, 477n, 535, 536p, 537-38, 544, 546, 551, 553
- Gun, Antiaircraft, 37mm, 478
- Gun, Antiaircraft, 40mm, 54, 118, 138, 171n, 253n
- Guns, Light Field, 85mm, 361
- Howitzer, 8-inch, 186, 200, 207n, 253, 320, 405, 421, 433, 437, 534p, 544, 544n, 551
- Howitzer, 85mm, 405
- Howitzer, 105mm, 33-34, 38, 40, 54, 98-99, 101, 115, 118, 131, 160, 186n, 189, 194, 210n, 256n, 258n, 287, 355, 535, 551p
- Howitzer, 122mm, 537
- Howitzer, 152mm, 33, 126-27, 405, 537
- Howitzer, 155mm, 50, 54-55, 118, 147, 186n, 194, 200, 204, 205n, 409, 437, 442n, 524, 535, 537, 538n, 538p, 540n, 544n, 549n, 550, 550p, 551
- Howitzer, 155mm (Towed), 549, 549n
- Howitzer, Pack, 75mm, 366, 366n, 367p, 533n
- Howtar, 107mm, 533n
- Knife, K-Bar, 345p, 346
- Launchers (E-8), CS, 183, 189, 203
- Launcher, Grenade (M79), 50-51, 129p, 176, 200p, 430p
- Launcher, Rocket, 122mm, 87n, 87p, 100, 535n
- Machine Gun, .30-caliber, 94, 138, 296, 446
- Machine Gun, .50-caliber, 48, 76, 98, 119-20, 131, 169, 171, 171n, 177, 200, 210, 253n, 259, 296, 315n, 319, 322, 368, 376, 395, 446, 521, 523n, 552
- Machine Gun, 7.62mm, 98, 521n, 523n
- Machine Gun, M60, 51, 70, 100, 138, 179p, 185-86, 203p, 218p, 301n, 366p, 376, 410, 521, 531, 552
- Mines, Claymore, 81
- Miniguns, Vulcan, 533n
- Missile, (Homing All the Way Killer) HAWK, 147, 414, 467, 467n, 468, 470p, 548
- Missiles (SAMs), 34, 127
- Mortar, 4.2-inch, 38, 98, 142, 194, 200, 202, 389, 477, 533, 533n, 535, 538n, 540n, 542, 549
- Mortar, 60mm, 33, 44, 49, 51, 76, 118, 127, 129p, 159, 180, 205, 245, 247, 270, 318, 344, 389, 394-95, 400-401, 408, 424, 448, 552
- Mortar, 81mm, 33, 48, 52, 55, 98-99, 103, 146, 152, 183p, 189, 259, 280, 296, 363, 369, 383, 403, 409, 430p, 448, 543
- Mortar, 82mm, 49, 104, 118, 126, 137, 146-47, 249, 256, 320, 322, 362, 365, 389-90, 395, 399-401, 403, 408, 410, 421, 424
- Mortar, 120mm, 90
- Munitions Antipersonnel, Beehive, 424, 424n
- Munitions, Controlled Fragmentation (Firecracker), 275, 275n, 480, 480p, 481, 538n, 542
- Pistol, .38-caliber, 643
- Pistol, Service, .45-caliber, 198, 296
- Radar, countermortar, 547
- Rifle, Browning Automatic, 104, 180
- Rifle, Assault (AK-47), 50, 55, 75-76, 82, 124, 154, 169, 171, 193, 199, 259, 300, 303, 399
- Rifle, M1, 168, 168n, 180, 189
- Rifle, M14, 409p, 582
- Rifle, M16, 14, 53, 76, 82, 85p, 115, 138, 168n, 180p, 182, 202, 218p, 244, 345, 380p, 409p, 501, 582, 593, 607, 643
- Rifle, Recoilless, 57mm, 176, 212, 424
- Rifle, Recoilless, 75mm, 178, 348, 408
- Rifle, Recoilless, 106mm, 48, 98, 130, 141, 152, 177, 182, 182n, 182p, 183, 183p, 186, 187p, 188-89, 203, 268, 275, 278, 280, 287, 289, 296, 322, 342n, 403, 448
- Rifle, SKS, 189
- Rifle, Spotting Scope, M49, 155
- Rocket, 3.5-inch, 182, 185, 188-89, 188n, 422p, 233p, 435
- Rocket, 122mm, 87n, 90-91, 91n, 98-99, 118, 147-148, 154-55, 158-59, 169, 210, 235, 248-49, 261p, 268, 313, 365, 368, 377, 424, 480, 533, 537, 591
- Rocket, 140mm, 90-91, 99, 119, 533
- Rocket, 144mm, 591
- Rocket Antitank, B-40, 146, 154, 168, 172, 180, 186, 188, 189, 191, 199, 205, 232, 341, 424, 542, 642
- Rocket Pods, 2.75, 521n
- Rounds, 85mm, 119
- Rounds, Grenade M79, 76
- Shell, 105mm, 56
- Shell, 120mm, 43
- Submachine Gun, Beretta, 643
- Submachine Gun, M31A1, 180p
- Torpedoes, Bangalore, 281, 347
- Webb, Alvin B., Jr., 206n
- Webster, BGen George D., 252, 372
- Weise, LtCol William, 74, 127, 130, 242-43, 292, 292n, 294-301, 301n, 303, 303n, 303p, 304, 310, 631; BGen 296n, 304n
- Weiss, 2dLt Peter W., 279-80
- Wells, 1stLt Alexander W., Jr., 195, 204, 205n
- Welpott, Capt Ronald R., 428; LtCol, 422n
- Wensman, Cdr Linus B., USN, 566
- West, Francis J. "Bing," 629
- Western Gate Hue Citadel, 167
- Western Pacific, 463, 570
- Westmoreland, Gen William C., USA, 3, 3n, 3p, 4-5, 5n, 8, 10-14, 14n, 15, 15n, 16-17, 17n, 19, 21n, 22-27, 27p, 29, 31, 34-38, 46n, 61n, 62n, 65-67, 69n, 84, 84n, 91, 107-11, 116, 118, 126, 142, 149, 161-62, 174, 176, 194, 205, 210, 221, 223, 225-27, 235, 237-38, 239n,

- 240, 240n, 241, 241n, 255, 255n, 256, 268, 270, 272p, 275–76, 276n, 283, 308, 312, 312n, 313, 324, 326, 338, 356, 444, 466, 471, 472p, 473, 473n, 474, 476, 486, 487, 487n, 488, 488p, 489, 489n, 490, 491p, 492, 495, 500–501, 501p, 502–505, 506n, 507–510, 515, 518–19, 541, 543, 545, 572, 578, 583, 586, 597, 599–600, 600n, 619, 619n, 620, 628, 634, 635, 635n, 644, 650, 652–54
- Wexford County* (LST 1168), 631
- Whalen, LtCol Robert P., 169–70
- Wheeler, Gen Earle G., USA, 65–66, 107–108, 174, 227, 270, 272p, 491, 495–97, 500–501, 506, 506n, 507, 509, 512, 514, 572, 574, 578, 583
- Whetstone* (LSD 27), 631n
- White Elephant, 586
- White, Maj Robert E., 479, 482p
- White, LtCol William J., 483, 483n
- Whiteknight, Sgt Ronnie D., 260
- Whiteside, 1stLt Thomas M., 446
- Wickwire, LtCol Peter A., 60
- Wildfang, CWO–3 Henry, 479, 482p
- Wilker, Col Dean, 155, 460, 465, 498n
- Wilkinson, LtCol James B., 62–63, 72, 282
- Willhite, Cpl Arliss, 103–104, 104n, 627n
- Williams, Capt James L., 294–96
- Williams, BGen John E., 638, 638n, 639
- Williams, LtGen Samuel T., USA, 21
- Willoughby, Capt Frank, USA, 275
- Wilson, LtCol Daniel M., 532n, 631
- Wilson, Col Frank E., 463, 483n
- Windham County (LST 1170), 638n
- Winslow (AKA 94), 639n
- Winter-Spring 1967–68 Campaign, 11
- Winter-Spring 1968–69 Campaign, 423
- Woodham, LtCol Tullis J., Jr., 227, 229, 333–34, 335p, 339, 342n, 520n, 573n
- Wooding, RAdm Robert R., USN, 587
- Wozar, 1stLt Richard M., 342
- Wunder Beach, 230, 245, 291, 390, 445, 585, 585n, 586, 586n
-
- X**
- Xam Rao Vinh Valley, 448
- Xang, Maj Tran Phouc, 431p
- Xe Pon, 408
- Xe Pon River, 58
- Xom Cham Plateau, 59, 62
- Xuan Dai, 329
- Xuan Dai (2), 328, 330
- Xuan Hai, 49
- Xuan Khanh, 449
- Xuan Khanh Resettlement Village, 395, 405, 446
- Xuan Thanh, 453
-
- Y**
- Yale University, 5
- Yang River, 346
- Yates, Lawrence A., 629
- Yeary, 2dLt Randall D., 68
- “Yellow Brick Road,” 253
- Yen River, 88, 91, 98–99, 153, 157, 591
- Yordy, PFC Charles R., 335
- Young, Capt Richard K., 52
- Youngdale Board, 531–32
- Youngdale, MajGen Carl A., 374–75, 375p, 381–83, 414, 419, 421, 423, 436, 437n, 439p, 441p, 531, 565
-
- Z**
- Zachery, Sgt G.B., 189
- Zahuranic, Cpl George B., 642–44
- Zais, MajGen Melvin, USA, 371n, 455
- Zensen, Capt Roger, 244; LtCol 244n

The device reproduced on the back cover is the oldest military insignia in continuous use in the United States. It first appeared as shown on Marine Corps buttons adopted in 1804. With the stars changed to five points, the device has continued on Marine Corps buttons to the present day.

